1	Thursday, 31 January 2019
2	(10.00 am)
3	PANEL SESSION
4	CHARLIE COGGRAVE (recalled)
5	SALLYANN KELLY (recalled)
6	DAVID BEARD (recalled)
7	LADY SMITH: Good morning.
8	Mr Peoples, I have been warned you're not the
9	witness today; we have just had a re-arrangement of the
10	chairs.
11	MR PEOPLES: Yes, I haven't changed roles today.
12	LADY SMITH: Unless you want to change your mind!
13	MR PEOPLES: I think I'll pass on that invitation.
14	We are doing something slightly different today. As
15	your Ladyship will be well aware, we're having what's
16	described as a panel session. We have brought back
17	three persons who have given evidence to us before about
18	their respective organisations and they're here today to
19	assist us and I will maybe say a little bit about what
20	the purpose is.
21	LADY SMITH: I think that would be helpful so that everyone
22	know what is going to happen.
23	Just to introduce, we have Charlie Coggrave, who is
24	currently with Quarriers and has responsibilities for
25	safeguarding. Then we have SallyAnn Kelly, who is the

1 current chief executive of Aberlour Child Care Trust. 2 And David Beard --3 MR JACKSON: My Lady, the sound isn't working. 4 (Pause) LADY SMITH: We need to fix this. Just a moment. 5 6 (Pause) 7 LADY SMITH: While that is being sorted out, Charlie, 8 SallyAnn, David, you'll remember when you all gave 9 evidence, you gave evidence on oath or having affirmed 10 that you would tell the truth. That, of course, continues to apply when you speak in the hearing. 11 12 Thank you. 13 (Pause) MR PEOPLES: I'm told we might need a few minutes. 14 15 LADY SMITH: I will rise for five minutes to sort that out. 16 (10.04 am)(A short break) 17 18 (10.12 am)LADY SMITH: I'm told that all is well now; is that right? 19 MR PEOPLES: Yes, I think it is now. I'm hoping that it's 20 21 going to the back. 22 LADY SMITH: I've got a thumbs up from the very back of the room and that's looking hopeful. 23 24 MR PEOPLES: It's a slightly different position and I hope 25 that everyone can hear me.

Maybe I should just repeat what I said earlier.

Today is a bit different. We're having this panel session. We have today three persons who are going to make up the panel: Charlie Coggrave, who's currently employed with Quarriers and has responsibility for safeguarding matters and other matters; SallyAnn Kelly, the chief executive of Aberlour Child Care Trust; and David Beard, who again has corporate responsibility for safeguarding matters with Barnardo's.

They have all given evidence, as your Ladyship is well aware, but today there is a slightly different reason that they're here. As they have been advised, this session today is intended or confined to really looking at current child protection practices, but more with a view to identifying whether, in the view of the panel members, there are any particular issues that need to be addressed in relation to current residential childcare provision in Scotland.

Really, it's an opportunity so far as the inquiry is concerned for these individuals, who have considerable experience in this field, to identify matters or issues they believe ought to be addressed or looked at as part of the work of the inquiry.

I have advised them that I'm interested in ascertaining whether there are areas or aspects of

residential childcare provision which ought to be looked at with a view to making improvements or changes. That includes any suggestions that the panel members may have as to how the current legal and regulatory framework applying to residential childcare could be improved or strengthened so as to offer greater protection from abuse to children in residential care currently or in the future.

So that's really the broad purpose of today. I'm sure there will be some views on some matters. I'm largely going to leave it to the panel members to say things, but I do have one or two general questions that I might wish to kick off with and see if they can help me.

Perhaps, just by way of introduction, as a general issue, we have heard a lot of evidence about what I call broadly staffing issues in the course of this case study and indeed what happens currently in relation to the recruitment of staff and issues of qualifications, training and so forth.

One matter that I wanted to ask the panel members today about is the Health and Care Staffing (Scotland)

Bill, which is currently before the Scottish Parliament.

Because I understand that is relevant to staffing issues, including staffing levels in residential

1	childcare establishments for children in Scotland.
2	SallyAnn, I take it you'll be familiar with the fact
3	that there is proposed legislation to introduce certain
4	statutory duties in relation to staffing and the
5	staffing levels in residential care establishments.
6	Is that an initiative you welcome?
7	SALLYANN KELLY: We haven't completed a formal consultation
8	yet on the bill but certainly in terms of the regulation
9	of the workforce and the staffing levels, we already
LO	work to standards via the Care Inspectorate in Scotland
L1	in terms of staffing levels within residential care.
L2	Whether bringing that on to a statutory footing is
13	per se a good move is something that we would need to
L4	consider further in our consultation response.
L5	I wouldn't want to just give you an off-the-cuff
L6	response to that.
L7	What I would say, as far as I'm aware, is that the
L8	current regulations provided by the Care Inspectorate
L9	appear to work well for our organisation. Obviously,
20	I cannot comment beyond that with any specific
21	knowledge. But certainly we adhere to the requirements
22	that the Care Inspectorate lay down.
23	MR PEOPLES: Charlie, do you have any views on the proposal
24	and the legislation? I think the proposal for the
25	benefit of those who are here today, the intention is to

1	create a statutory duty on various bodies, including
2	care providers who have registered services with the
3	Care Inspectorate, to ensure that appropriate numbers of
4	suitably qualified staff are available and that certain
5	guiding principles have to be taken into account in
6	seeing that the duty is discharged. I think that's the
7	broad purpose of it and I don't think we need to know
8	too much of the detail at the moment. But can you offer
9	us any views on that development or that initiative
10	that's currently before the Parliament?
11	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: I think would echo SallyAnn's views, that
12	there is currently a great deal of description from the
13	Care Inspectorate about expectations on providers and
14	how we should support individuals. A lot of that
15	becomes, to some degree at least, focused around the
16	needs of those individuals, which seems sensible.
17	I guess it can't be harmful to put that into
18	statute, but I'm not sure I'm well enough versed to be
19	able to tell you quite what difference that would make
20	from a current Care Inspectorate regulation, which
21	we would adhere to.
22	MR PEOPLES: The proposed legislation does appear to
23	effectively require organisations to consider whether

they have not only suitably qualified and competent

staff but also in sufficient numbers, so there's

24

1	obviously an intention to see that that situation
2	obtains at all times.
3	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: Mm-hm.
4	MR PEOPLES: Is that a bad thing?
5	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: Not at all, no. I suppose the point I'm
6	not clear on and forgive me if I should be, but
7	I understand this is still under consultation is the
8	differential between that position and the one which we
9	find ourselves in now. So we would already need to ask
10	ourselves some of those questions. We already have very
11	specific guidance from the Care Inspectorate about how
12	we should be staffing our levels of training and support
13	and levels and numbers and hours. I recognise you don't
14	want to go into the detail of that and perhaps we don't
15	know that yet.
16	MR PEOPLES: No, I just want a general view because it's
17	trying to place certain responsibilities on a statutory
18	footing and I suppose you are raising the issue whether
19	that's necessary in view of the current arrangements,
20	which you I think are indicating work well.
21	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: I'm asking the question as to what the
22	difference would be. I'm not seeking to take a position
23	on it; I'm just not 100% clear on what difference in
24	practice that would make. I suspect until the

consultation is complete we won't be clear about that.

1	I don't see it as being harmful in any way.
2	MR JACKSON: David, do you have any views on this proposed
3	legislation?
4	DAVID BEARD: I guess my general comment would be: is the
5	exception of this going to be applied to providers of
6	units of all sizes? Because clearly there are a number
7	of provisions at present, the size of the numbers of
8	children and young people they take don't mean that
9	they're required to meet regulatory requirements. So
10	there's an sense that they will go under the radar to
11	the extent they may well do now.
12	I think the important aspect of this, if it is going
13	forward and have some value, is that it's consistently
14	applied across all aspects of the residential care
15	sector.
16	MR PEOPLES: Can I perhaps ask you this in a more general
17	footing going away from the proposed legislation that's
18	currently before the Parliament: are there, in your
19	view, still issues surrounding staffing or staffing
20	levels in residential care establishments for children
21	and young persons? Maybe I could start again with
22	SallyAnn.
23	SALLYANN KELLY: I think there remains an issue in terms of
24	recruitment and retention across the country. That's
25	something that Aberlour, like the two other

organisations, are members of the Coalition of Care and Support Providers in Scotland. I am part of the board of that organisation. We regularly run membership questionnaires, really, in terms of the state of the sector, if you like.

Certainly recruitment and retention comes up as a key issue across the third sector for those provider organisations. And just to put that into context, that includes adult providers as well as children's service providers.

In terms of staffing on the floor, if you like, we would always comply, if not go beyond, the Care Inspectorate regulations in terms of staffing numbers. If there was an issue with that, we would follow our procedures in terms of -- if we weren't able to get an additional staff member, we would obviously talk to the Care Inspectorate about that immediately. But there is an issue about the status of residential childcare that is something that has prevailed for quite some time.

MR PEOPLES: I would like to come to that as effectively
a separate matter, but in terms of staffing issues,
specific staffing issues, you still sense there is at
least still an issue about both recruitment and
retention of the right people with the right skills?

1	SALLYANN KELLY: Yes. I think we work very hard on that,
2	but I think because of the wider issues in relation to
3	the status of the residential childcare sector, that
4	remains a challenge for us and there have been a number
5	of reports that have been done historically that have
6	made very clear recommendations to government about this
7	in terms of pay and reward, for example, within the
8	residential childcare sector and terms and conditions,
9	which have not been fully implemented. Also,
10	qualifications is an issue.
11	MR PEOPLES: If I can follow up, going back to the
12	legislation briefly, or the proposed legislation, the
13	duties that are imposed will be applied to local
14	authorities who purchase services as well to take
15	account of the principles and the need or the
16	requirement to have the right staff with the right
17	qualifications. Is that a means of addressing any
18	concerns about the way that services are purchased by
19	local authorities?
20	Charlie, you look as though you want to answer that
21	one.
22	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: I suppose in a previous life, I was
23	a commissioner with local authorities, so I've
24	experience of the other end of the telescope, if you
25	like, on this. I think that part could be welcomed and

could be of assistance. The gap between expectations and provision of resources is a challenge for local authorities and providers as well, so I instinctively warm somewhat more to that, perhaps.

I feel obliged to say, though, that numbers of staff in and of itself is not necessarily a protective factor. Winterbourne View had lots of staff, but it was what they did and what they did in full sight of each other that caused great concern. So I think you're right, as SallyAnn said, it's about how residential care and childcare is perceived, it's about how it's rewarded, how people are supported and guided to do the job effectively and appropriately, not just the numbers of people.

LADY SMITH: So you're talking not just about quantity but quality, people who are right for the job?

CHARLIE COGGRAVE: Absolutely, yes, and properly supported and guided to do it in the right way and to know what is okay and what is not okay and how best to deal with very vulnerable and often quite tricky young people who have very difficult backgrounds.

MR PEOPLES: Can I put it this way then: in principle,

do you subscribe to the view that you only get high

quality care if there's proper funding with the right

staff and the right staffing levels?

1	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: That would seem extremely neighbor, yes.
2	MR PEOPLES: That's what you're trying to achieve but it's
3	how you go about it that's sometimes the difficult
4	exercise?
5	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: I would agree.
6	MR PEOPLES: I should maybe ask David if he wants to comment
7	on this matter.
8	DAVID BEARD: I think consistency is the issue here. As
9	I understand it, there's an existing commissioning
10	framework for residential care in Scotland, Excel. What
11	I believe equally is the case is maybe the standards of
12	those providers are not necessarily all consistent.
13	Young people move, sadly, from establishment to
14	establishment, they may well receive a differential
15	quality in what they get from each establishment. So
16	surely one of the issues is getting consistency in that
17	commissioning environment.
18	MR PEOPLES: Maybe it doesn't apply to the residential
19	childcare provision, but I think that there's certainly
20	been recent coverage in the press that some care workers
21	are paid under purchasing arrangements on a minute by
22	minute basis and that has an effect on their salaries
23	and indeed their pay over time. I think that's for care
24	workers perhaps in the community sometimes. I think
25	Charlie is nodding there. That is an issue, is it?

1	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: I'm agreeing with you, Mr Peoples,
2	in that I think my understanding, if I understand the
3	point you're making, is often about domiciliary care
4	where perhaps people are only paid whilst they're
5	actually performing a task, so with an older person, and
6	the travel in between isn't paid and so forth.
7	MR PEOPLES: That's not an issue in residential childcare of
8	the type that the three organisations provide?
9	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: It wouldn't be within the services that
10	I'm aware of. I'm not sure. But generally speaking,
11	you're paid from the moment you turn up to the moment
12	you leave again.
13	MR PEOPLES: Sorry, SallyAnn, you wanted to add something?
14	SALLYANN KELLY: I just wanted to elaborate on I think
15	this is a really important issue and it's something
16	obviously that the Care Review is looking at as well and
17	we're participating in the Kirov in looking at how we
18	improve the situation for residential care.
19	First of all, I would say our residential care staff
20	are salaried staff. We are a minimum wage employer and
21	all of our practice staff are paid well above the
22	sorry, above the living wage, a living wage employer and
23	all of our salaried staff receive remuneration above the
24	living wage.
25	However, I think there is probably something more

that we can do as a sector in relation to residential childcare workforce. I think the point that David makes about the National Residential Framework is a good point. I think if we fundamentally want to look at improvement, then we have to look again at how we commission services.

There are two drivers in terms of that framework, one is price and one is quality. My suggestion would be that those are not balanced drivers and we need to make sure that whenever we are commissioning services for children, that we do that on a strategic basis with local authorities working alongside providers to look at what the best possible arrangements are, with the involvement of children themselves who are receiving those services.

That is not done at the moment at national level and that's something that again CCPS, the organisation that I referred to earlier, has been influencing government for change on for many years, actually, and I think that could make a significant improvement in terms of the manner in which those placements are commissioned, the consistency that might be thereafter achieved in terms of the quality of care that children receive, but also the types of models that are used within those institutions or houses, and that there is a level of

Ι	agreement about how we take a trauma-responsive approach
2	to children in Scotland forward, because we don't have
3	that yet either.
4	LADY SMITH: SallyAnn, you commented that you think there
5	are two drivers, one is quality and one is price, and
6	I think I understand what you're getting at, but you
7	feel they're not balanced. Explain that for me.
8	SALLYANN KELLY: So within the residential care framework
9	we are certainly on the framework as we provide
10	residential care nowadays and we have to make
11	submissions to the framework. A lot of that submission
12	is about the price of our placements for children on the
13	framework.
14	You submit that response and then you cannot
15	thereafter change the price, so we are in a situation
16	where on many occasions the price that we have charged
17	doesn't actually cover the cost of the care that we
18	provide. And I think other providers would probably be
19	in the same place.
20	We have tried to have a conversation as an
21	individual organisation and we have tried to have
22	conversations as a collective of organisations to say:
23	can we look again at how we do this and that we spend

far more time on the quality of what we're providing

rather than what appears at times to us -- and I'm sure

24

1 Scotland Excel have a different perspective -- but what appears at times to us like a rather blunt instrument in 2 3 terms of whether you're on the framework or whether --LADY SMITH: Right. So amongst yourselves there are 4 conversations? 5 SALLYANN KELLY: Yes. 6 7 LADY SMITH: Outward conversations? 8 SALLYANN KELLY: Yes. CCPS have been involved in these 9 conversations over a period of time --10 LADY SMITH: CCPS? 11 SALLYANN KELLY: Coalition of Care and Support Providers in 12 Scotland. 13 MR PEOPLES: There have been conversations with those that are responsible for the commissioning framework? 14 15 SALLYANN KELLY: Yes. MR PEOPLES: I suppose the point you're making, and correct 16 17 me if I'm wrong, is that high quality care for 18 vulnerable children with very complex needs is expensive? 19 SALLYANN KELLY: Yes. 20 MR PEOPLES: And you can't get away from that from you're 21 22 going to deliver that? SALLYANN KELLY: Yes. 23 24 LADY SMITH: Not to put too fine a point on it, I have on 25 occasion, through cases that have gone to the Additional

1	Support Needs Tribunal, seen figures that are six-figure
2	sums per year for providing for children with
3	particularly complex needs.
4	SALLYANN KELLY: That would be correct.
5	MR PEOPLES: Just on that point, is there any risk where the
6	cost gets to a certain level that the placement will
7	change because of the attitude of the placing authority
8	and the costs involved?
9	SALLYANN KELLY: I can only speak from Aberlour's point of
10	view and our reports to that. And we have had our
11	price went up this year because we had to invest nearly
12	a quarter of a million in our residential care last
13	year. Actually, most local authorities and we have
14	an accepted price on the framework which we now charge.
15	And, actually, most local authorities have been
16	supportive of that.
17	There is a couple of exceptions to that and I don't
18	want to name them
19	MR PEOPLES: I don't think you need to. I just want to know
20	if there is an issue.
21	SALLYANN KELLY: Our approach to that is our children don't
22	know that and we will not tell our children that and we
23	will continue to care for our children, and any
24	negotiations we need to take will be done directly with
25	the local authorities.

1	MR PEOPLES: Can I ask to a staffing issue as well because
2	perhaps consistency and establishing relationships
3	requires continuity of staff, the same people perhaps on
4	a regular basis being involved, albeit perhaps on a team
5	or rota or shift basis. Is there still too much use of
6	temporary staff or agency workers to make sure that the
7	full complement of staff are on duty at any one time?
8	Is that still a problem or is it a problem?
9	SALLYANN KELLY: I think the reality is that we probably are
10	the biggest provider of residential care in 2019.
11	We have a relief pool of staff, which we use on an
12	as-and-when basis to cover for permanent members of
13	staff if there's sickness or people are on holidays. So
14	we do on occasion need to use agency staff, but that's
15	becoming about less of an issue for us. Even with the
16	relief pool, there can be some times when you do have
17	issues in terms of just making sure that the shifts are
18	covered, which is usually the case in our
19	establishments, if we need additional staff because
20	a particular child's distressed, then maintaining
21	staffing levels above the regulatory minimum can
22	sometimes be a challenge.
23	I have to applaud our residential staff because
24	I have been with Aberlour for four and a half years and
25	they're very knowledgeable about my keen interest in

1	residential care. They have never once come to me with
2	a staffing crisis. They have been hugely creative in
3	terms of addressing that and putting children first.
4	MR PEOPLES: Charlie, did you want to say something on that
5	issue?
6	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: I suppose, as you're aware, our current
7	children's provision is relatively small in comparison
8	to colleagues here. So if it's acceptable, my comments
9	probably relate to the broader spectrum of adults as
10	well, but I think the issues overlap significantly.
11	I'd agree again I hesitate to say this again,
12	SallyAnn I agree with SallyAnn's perspective that
13	it is remarkable sometimes that folk are as flexible and
14	as willing to be flexible with their time and their
15	commitments to be able to get a phone call at 6.30
16	in the morning to say, "Somebody's phoned in sick, can
17	you come in and cover?" and people do.
18	One can only applaud their commitment to those
19	children and adults in doing so. I think it's one of
20	the great challenges. I speak from my experience of
21	managing residential care. It's one of the great
22	challenges of trying to maintain that in the reality of
23	people's work and life and experiences and the
24	experiences in life of those young people.
25	I think our preference would always be not to use

1	agency staff. I in no way wish to demean them, but
2	it is more comforting to have staff under your own terms
3	and conditions, your own processes, surety that they
4	know the people that they're working with, that they
5	know how we do things, as the culture of any
6	organisation is important about how we support people.
7	I have worked for an organisation that never used
8	agency staff. That puts an enormous amount of pressure
9	under those individuals who do work for you and the
10	impact on retention, I felt, was quite significant.
11	I think it's an issue.
12	I'm sorry to say, unless David is going to help us
13	out here, I don't necessarily have the magic bullet that
14	can assist you with the answer.
15	MR PEOPLES: I'm not necessarily looking for the bullet at
16	the moment; I just want to know whether it's an issue
17	and how it impacts on the service and no doubt the
18	service users and their safety and well-being.
19	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: Just to finish, we have changed our
20	approach and now work with only one master vendor, and
21	have put a lot of effort into how we do that to try and
22	get much more fluency and control over that matter.
23	It's early days for that process for Quarriers, but the
24	feedback I'm getting is that that has a good impact and

a good effect and it seems to be an improvement but

I think it's still an issue.

DAVID BEARD: Similarly, it would be an exception rather
than the rule, but on occasions, absolutely we have
needed that in order to keep establishments ticking
over.

We also have a master vendor relationship. That undoubtedly has its values. But to assist an organisation and more particularly the children and young people that we're looking after. Because we have consistency of process of how those staff are onboarded into the organisation, the minimum expectations we have of the master vendor in terms of checks, references, et cetera, and also an opportunity to have some understanding, albeit sometimes at a matter of hours before someone's coming on shift, of their background and experience and therefore a reasonable opportunity to sense check their suitability to work in that establishment.

LADY SMITH: Can you explain what you mean by the master vendor relationship?

DAVID BEARD: There are a number of recruitment agencies around, as we know, who provide staff in the care sector. So we have a preferred provider, probably is the better way of putting that, relationship with one organisation. It's an agreement over a period of time,

Т	it has minimum expectations, as inversald, in terms of
2	references, checks, disclosure checks, et cetera,
3	et cetera, so that we have some assurance when they come
4	to us.
5	Often if we have used that particular individual
6	before, we'll want to use that particular individual
7	again for all the reasons we've been talking about.
8	LADY SMITH: Let me ask all of you this. I think I know
9	what your answers will be but I want to record them
LO	anyway. You have talked about the wonder, if I can put
L1	it that way, of willing commitment, the willing
L2	commitment of people that work for you and their ability
L3	to go the extra mile, as one might say, for the
L 4	children. Can that be legislated for?
L5	SALLYANN KELLY: Well, there are limits to it because it
L6	already is legislated for in terms of the limits on it
L7	in terms of the European Working Time Directive.
L8	LADY SMITH: But you could still get the wrong person.
L9	SALLYANN KELLY: In terms of the qualities that person
20	brings, my view is, no, you can't legislate for
21	compassion and love, but you can certainly make sure
22	that in terms of how you select staff for training, if
23	we are moving to a degree-level training, or through
24	your recruitment processes, that you understand and they
25	understand that, actually, those very human qualities

1	that we need are going to be something that we will pay
2	particular attention to in any recruitment process and
3	any probationary period.
4	LADY SMITH: That's at the stage of recruitment, but after
5	that, how do you maintain that commitment and
6	willingness on their part?
7	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: I think it's important that
8	I indicated it can have an impact on retention. I think
9	it's really important that when we have staff who are
10	willing to do that that we don't abuse that. I'm
11	conscious that's a word on the title behind you. but
12	within the context of that.
13	Again, I speak as something who managed a unit. If
14	I got that phone call at 6.30 in the morning saying
15	someone was ill and wasn't able to attend, you tend to
16	pick up the phone to the person who's most likely to say
17	yes as your first port of call. I was always conscious
18	that that could be punishing good behaviour. If we're
19	not I think it's really important that we don't set
20	the foundations of the service we're providing on
21	a presumption of that flexibility. I think that needs
22	to be an additional benefit rather than a cornerstone of
23	what we do. Am I articulating this well enough?
24	LADY SMITH: Yes, I think I see what you mean and we will no
25	doubt come back to this at various points in this

1	inquiry.
2	You seem to be describing a leadership style that
3	models to your staff the way they should treat the
4	children that they are directly caring for; is that it?
5	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: Personally, I think that's absolutely
6	critical. And how we work with our staff must surely
7	replicate itself on how they approach the people they
8	work with, children or vulnerable adults.
9	LADY SMITH: Thank you.
10	MR PEOPLES: Can I just pick up, David, a point about the
11	use of agency workers? You did say that obviously,
12	you're doing your best to ensure that all the necessary
13	checks are made before they're used by the organisation.
14	Do I take it, though, that those checks in that
15	situation are not made by the organisation but by the
16	agency that you have trust in?
17	DAVID BEARD: They are.
18	MR PEOPLES: Is that in any sense a concern or a weakness
19	for you, that you don't control the process?
20	DAVID BEARD: I'm carefully crafting my answer
21	MR PEOPLES: I don't want you to be critical of your master
22	vendor relationship. I just want to look at it as
23	a general issue. I'm not trying to relate it to any
24	specific provider.
25	DAVID BEARD: If I answered your question by saying is there

1	no risk involved in it, I could never say that.
2	However, the nature of the relationship that we have
3	with that particular provider, which is a large UK
4	national provider, and within the terms of the agreement
5	that we have with them, we have to have assurance that
6	what they have undertaken is the correct and proper
7	processes and we have had no experiences to the
8	contrary, is the other point I will say to you.
9	MR PEOPLES: Do they tend to mirror your own processes?
10	DAVID BEARD: Yes, they do.
11	MR PEOPLES: I see everyone is nodding. That's the
12	situation generally, is it, with agencies and master
13	vendors?
14	SALLYANN KELLY: Yes, and part of that is the relationship
15	with the SSSC and the registration of the workforce and
16	there needs to be registration in place.
17	MR PEOPLES: I think you're probably keen to tell me or to
18	address what you think about the issue of improving the
19	status of care workers in residential care settings and
20	recognising the importance and worth of the work done by
21	them.
22	What in your view, and I'll ask each of you, can and
23	should be done to improve the status of such workers and
24	to make the work more attractive in a competitive labour
25	market? What can be done?

4	~ 77 7	1						
1	SallyAnn,	you have	obviously	got	some	views	on	this.

- SALLYANN KELLY: Yes. How long do we have?
- 3 MR PEOPLES: Well, as long as it takes.
- 4 SALLYANN KELLY: I will try and cover some key points. One
- 5 of the things, I think, is that the very outset -- and
- I know that there's been submissions made, I think, from
- Romy Langeland, in terms of you asked her some questions
- 8 about improvement in residential care, all of which
- 9 I concur with.

However, one of the things that I would probably add to what Romy has said is that actually what we need in our society and across our sectors -- and actually across all of the organisations that interface with children -- is a level of transparency of practice so that there is a very clear learning culture for children and with children, whether it be in residential care or in school settings or whatever, where children feel that they have a voice. So we need to think about how our leadership and our staff respond to some leadership calls in relation to how we look after children and how we care for children.

I think one of the other things for me is that in acknowledging the real challenges and the difficulties that are around in terms of just how hard a job residential care of children can be -- and there's

massive joy in that job too. My residential workers when we go to visit them are incredibly proud of what their children achieve and I am proud of what they achieve as well. But it's a hard shift and, actually, we owe it to our people to give them a clarity of -- around methodology and thinking about what it is we're trying to do.

We are certainly moving -- we have already used a fairly clear methodological theoretical approach in term of how we look after children and that is about being trauma responsive, because we know the children who come to us come in quite distressed states and we need to be able to understand as human beings how we can support that distress.

The first principle of that is we actually need to understand how we deal with their distress so that our workforce -- we need to help them to regulate themselves as adults and their responses to difficult situations. We then need to understand how we build those relationships with children and through building those quality relationships, how we then look at what -- the reasoning that we can do with children in terms of looking at different ways that they might be able to cope. We are quite heavily reliant on theoretical approaches to that. We use didactic(?) practice, we are

increasingly using the neurosequential model from Bruce
Perry in the Child Trauma Academy.

Review.

I don't want to get too detailed on this, but it's a really important thing that when children come to us, the staff understand the theory behind healing.

MR PEOPLES: I understand that from the point of view of the staff having to understand that theory so they can do their job properly. What about valuing the staff?

Society traditionally, or at least historically, and I think many of us know this, the care sector was a low-paid sector and perhaps an undervalued sector in terms of pay. How do you create a different status and worth, not just as an organisation, but more generally to ensure that people see it as a meaningful, rewarding job that's paid appropriately? How do you do that?

SALLYANN KELLY: I spoke yesterday about the introduction of SCQF level 9 qualifications at degree level, which the government intended to look at introducing a couple of

I think we need to again speak about how we actually implement those changes. One of the concerns that was expressed at the time was not the principle of training our residential workforce to level 9, it was the practical implementation of that and how do we do that

years ago and it's been put on hold as part of the Care

at the same time as making sure that our children are properly looked after. I know you asked me a question about the five-year lead-in period for the SCQF level 7 qualification and I did say to you yesterday it does feel like a long time, but actually when you take into account what we currently experience in terms of recruitment and retention, and just how much fluidity is in that system in terms of workers, you can see how those qualification periods might start to expand because of how much capacity you have in the system to allow people to go on external training, for example.

So we need to try and look at that. I think we do need to look at pay and reward. We have tried to do that as an organisation over the last few years in the sense that we have reinstated things like enhanced payments for Christmas and New Year, which our staff didn't get. We have improved our sickness absence policy.

But actually what we know from staff, from our exit interviews, is there are a myriad of issues that might be impacting. But we need to do that as a whole system rather than individual employers, albeit there are things we can do and I wouldn't deny that.

Certainly the issue around how we contract and commission placements I think is important. Staff need

1	to feel that they have agency in terms of making
2	assessments of children who are coming in to live in
3	a family group and how they then can transport those
4	children. So there's a whole host of different areas.
5	MR PEOPLES: Are you saying in some ways to make the job
6	more rewarding for staff, you have to give them more
7	direct responsibility in the decision-making, including
8	placements and placement decisions, as well the children
9	themselves perhaps?
10	SALLYANN KELLY: Yes, we have a system in Aberlour where we
11	probably are phoned numerous times on a daily basis if
12	we have a vacancy in any of our houses and we have
13	a clear system about assessing that young person. There
14	will be conversations at certainly assistant service
15	manager level and service manager level about the young
16	person, what their needs appear to be and whether in
17	fact, within the context of who else is living in our
18	house at that time, whether that young person would seem
19	to be a young person that we could work with alongside
20	those other children or whether their needs are greater
21	than we can meet.
22	MR PEOPLES: David, do you want to say anything about this?
23	It's a general issue of the status of workers in
24	residential childcare.
25	DAVID BEARD: A number of things, I think many of which

1	will concur with what SallyAnn said. I think there's an
2	awful lot of competition in residential care.
3	Increasingly in recent years the independent sector has
4	become a major provider of residential care. They may
5	well have, on paper, better terms and conditions for
6	staff as well.
7	We may have a view as to the quality of care that's
8	provided, but that's again, it'll be more anecdotal
9	than evidential, so I won't say any more in respect of
10	that. But that clearly has its challenges.
11	Scotland, like the other Celtic nations, has
12	geographical challenges in terms of how it recruits and
13	retains staff in areas as well and I don't think we can
14	underplay the importance of that issue as well.
15	In some preparation for this, I went back and had
16	a look at a report and excuse me quoting another
17	former previous chief executive of Barnardo's,
18	Lady Smith, you may have heard of
19	LADY SMITH: It's all right, go ahead.
20	DAVID BEARD: In 2016, Sir Martin Narey did some work in
21	England. He did an independent review of children's
22	residential care.
23	LADY SMITH: Sorry, who was that?
24	DAVID BEARD: Sir Martin Narey. Just some points that came
25	out of that which made me think quite a lot last night.

We talked a lot during the evidence stages and today about the issue around qualification versus empathy and understanding. He looked particularly around the area of qualification. His overwhelming conclusion was one that it must be about having a confident and competent workforce and that doesn't necessarily mean a highly qualified workforce.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think within the context of that in England, there was a sense that the graduate-entry people should be moving more into that kind of residential field. He was not in favour of that. There was no evidence that he could find, both from conversations he had but also other research, that provided any improved quality of care for children and young people. And what equally he confirmed -- and there's some interesting quotations from young people in the research as well -- is the importance of that -- good relationships is the most incredibly -- there was a lovely quote which I don't have with me. It was a young man who had some extremely troubled and difficult times when leaving care and referenced the particular empathy and love and understanding and support and direction he had received from one particular of member of staff. That member of staff didn't have any formal qualifications but he certainly had that ability and empathy to work with

children and young people.

I suppose the opposite to that -- and I guess it is the whole issue of how we re-professionalise the area of residential care. Over the decades, that's clearly gone up and down, both in terms of specific qualifications, and we seem to be back into that kind of agenda again around that.

Clearly, things like continuous professional development are absolutely important and will help to retain staff. You said, Lady Smith, before, earlier on, about the importance of leadership. Consistent managers, I think, in homes, if we can get to that point, are incredibly important, I think.

The research in England -- and this will be the same wherever, they set the tone for the home. They raise the importance of the culture of that home and that unit. If they themselves have a qualification, they're in an excellent position to advocate on behalf of that child or young person with the other professionals around them: social workers, mental health professionals, et cetera, et cetera.

So I think the importance of that, importance of that strong leadership role, arguably, for one of status, having a professional qualification, I think is hugely important and not to be underplayed.

1	MR PEOPLES: Can I just raise two matters with you arising
2	out of what you've said? In terms of leadership and
3	quality of the leadership and consistent leadership,
4	you're talking about leadership at all levels of the
5	organisation, wherever there is leadership required,
6	including at unit level?
7	DAVID BEARD: Absolutely, but I was relating to this
8	particularly about unit managers because they
9	themselves organisational culture is clearly set from
10	the top down, but the culture within individual units.
11	MR PEOPLES: That's a key role for setting the culture,
12	setting the standards, setting the direction and
13	achieving standards?
14	DAVID BEARD: I believe so, yes.
15	MR PEOPLES: Just going back to the qualifications against
16	empathy or confidence and competence against higher
17	level qualifications, I did want to ask you, because
18	I suppose we heard evidence that historically there
19	are people who were described, I think by one witness,
20	as intuitively good at their job without necessarily any
21	formal training of any kind. They just did it well. We
22	all know, I think, there are people in society who don't
23	have maybe the capacity to pass exams, do degree-level
24	qualifications and coursework, but they're very good at
25	their jobs. Are you saying that we shouldn't be

Τ	assuming that all care workers in residential childcare
2	establishments need a degree qualification or the
3	equivalent because there are alternative ways of getting
4	the right people into that job and we should be trying
5	to find those ways as well? Do you see the point I'm
6	making?
7	DAVID BEARD: I do. I think what I'm saying is that it's
8	the importance the recruitment exercise has to get
9	the right mix for the right position for the right post.
10	And that may be someone who comes with a qualification
11	and has the most fantastic empathy. There's your ideal
12	person and your ideal candidate, and we would all rush
13	for those immediately. But I think, as I said in my
14	evidence previously and I remember Lady Smith asking
15	me a very similar question at the time I'm strongly
16	of the belief that qualification is not the most
17	important thing: empathy and understanding, the
18	intuitiveness is. I strongly believe that.
19	MR PEOPLES: Charlie, do you want to add anything to these
20	contributions?
21	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: A lot that has been said I would agree
22	with.
23	I noted to myself my fear about the degree-level
24	courses. That might put off some people that you so
25	accurately described, Mr Peoples. They might be

intimidated by the idea of undertaking a degree but actually might be some of the best folk we've got.

I'm minded that I understand how an internal combustion engine works from A level physics, but don't ask me how to fix your car. So sometimes a knowledge of and an understanding on paper and the skills and abilities that individuals bring -- for me, we need some balance between those two things and I don't think any of us of advocating that a wholly unqualified workforce would be the ideal.

I think it's about trying to find some way forward between those things.

MR PEOPLES: I might see these skills as qualifications, but it's trying to identify them and finding the people who have them, I suppose, is the difficulty.

CHARLIE COGGRAVE: Often I think it's about those individual staff members who have, one might call them gifts, that they bring to the workplace. But they think that's just them and they don't think it's anything special, and it's an important leadership task for us to remind them. It's an important resource issue for our staff to have the ability to reflect on that and for us to help them.

As David so greatly said then, to begin to unpick what it is they bring and how valued that is.

I wanted to say as well, we talked about the value

of residential childcare. I think resource, I think levels of pay, all of that, training, all of that contributes. I think there is still an underlying theme, though, about -- and I say this with respect to my colleagues who will know more about young people's childcare than I do, as we tend to focus around small numbers with complex disabilities, but my sense is there is still a lack of valuing around children, and that's a huge, I think, driver around this all. No, not driver, part of your force-field analysis about that. So the whole idea of coming into social care for some people can be seen as, perhaps for men more than women, something that's not great.

I did a leadership course a few years ago and sat with a number of high flyers from across the world and I still remember someone to this day asking me what I did and where I'd been and I took them through 30 years and the response was, "But it's not a career, is it?" and I still take umbrage about that three years on. I think there is still a perception about working with children that are vulnerable, it's a bit of a second-class -- not my experience, but there seems to be ...

MR PEOPLES: I take your point. SallyAnn seems to want to add something to that.

SALLYANN KELLY: I think I want to return to the qualification issue. I was on the group of people who were discussing the implementation of the level 9 qualification. As I said earlier, we didn't have an in principle objection to level 9 being introduced as a minimum standard of qualification; it was more to do with the implementation.

I suppose what I would say at this point is we have probably been here as a society with a number of professions across the ages and, for me, with somebody who's rapidly moving towards retirement in six or seven years, I want to remain ambitious for our residential childcare workforce. And one of the objections that we raised at the time was the fact that these people that we were speaking about just now who sometimes struggle to get their SVQs and HNCs, to be honest, are absolute diamonds of residential workers and they could potentially just find this a step too far and could we look at different ways of assessing competency in relation to degree-level qualifications, not just being an academic exercise.

What we were saying is we need more time for that, but let's not lose the ambition. I would not sit here as a qualified social worker and tell you that you don't need qualified social workers for very complex cases.

Our children in residential care come to us with some of the most complex, distressing backgrounds and I think we need to remain ambitious for them in terms of what they can achieve, but also ambitious for our workforce in terms of what they can achieve, but support them to achieve that.

MR PEOPLES: Just going back to this question of perhaps recognising, or at least society recognising, the importance and worth of work and indeed perhaps males recognising that it's an area they should participate in more often, because I think the care sector is underrepresented by males and indeed there have been campaigns to try and increase the percentage of males in the workforce recently.

There have also been campaigns to attract new care staff, national campaigns, and I was trying to find out -- I saw one example, for example, in 2018 called "A job and a joy" campaign. I don't know that it's directed at residential care, but the idea seems to be working with children the message is that it is rewarding, enjoyable and meaningful and you are making a difference to children's lives. That's the message going out to the public and to people who might be thinking about a career. Do you think that type of strategy is effective in raising awareness and improving

1	the perception of the status of care work with children?
2	Do you think these are ways or at least one way to do
3	that?
4	I'll ask all three of you about what you think of
5	campaigns like that.
6	SALLYANN KELLY: It certainly talks to what Charlie just
7	talked about in terms of the general perceptions and
8	attitudes towards children and seeing that as a joyful
9	engagement rather than something that's onerous, albeit
10	it can be both at once.
11	One of the things that we do as part of our
12	recruitment is we invite people to talk to existing
13	members of staff and the children obviously have that
14	interface.
15	When people talk about and it is a vocation that
16	they talk about. We have many people that have worked
17	in our children's houses for many years. When they talk
18	about the challenges, yes, but the joy that they get
19	from working with these children, that is the most
20	powerful message, I think, for anybody that would be
21	interested in working in that setting.
22	MR PEOPLES: Is it getting across the idea that something
23	that is challenging is rewarding in itself?
24	SALLYANN KELLY: Yes.
25	MR PEOPLES: And you are doing something worthwhile and

1 therefore you can feel more self-worth and be valued 2 more, but you should be by society as well? 3 SALLYANN KELLY: Absolutely. MR PEOPLES: And that needs to be reinforced within the care 4 worker sector? 5 SALLYANN KELLY: Yes. 6 MR PEOPLES: Today as much as historically? 7 8 SALLYANN KELLY: It's an ongoing reinforcement that needs to be done. 9 10 CHARLIE COGGRAVE: I think it's more than just the care worker sector, such a thing. I accept you're trying to 11 12 describe a nebulous concept, but it's broader than that. 13 We have a not dissimilar campaign at the moment, but my 14 fear is we're all fishing in the same pond and there's 15 not enough fish in that pond; what we need is a bigger 16 pond. 17 MR PEOPLES: To make it a more attractive pond to swim in 18 you have to raise the status, you have to make people believe it is rewarding and they should go there in 19 20 preference to some other career? 21 CHARLIE COGGRAVE: Yes. 22 MR PEOPLES: And that's the challenge? CHARLIE COGGRAVE: It is. It's a handful, but I can think 23 24 of friends of mine during my career that I've suggested 25 they might change and take into social care and have

1	those conversations. They are all still doing it, but
2	often they were in their 30s and 40s before and had
3	just written it off as something that couldn't possibly
4	be for them.
5	I'm not quite sure how we make that shift, but
6	there's some kind of paradigm shift for society as
7	a whole, I think, for us to understand what it is we do
8	a little better and perhaps that's incumbent on us to be
9	more open about that. But I think it's broader than
10	that as well.
11	LADY SMITH: Charlie, you give me the impression that this
12	pond that you're all fishing in has not just potential
13	care workers but others in it; who are the others?
14	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: I'm not sure I intended to give you that
15	impression.
16	LADY SMITH: You said it was more than just the care worker
17	sector. What did you mean?
18	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: Okay. Mr Peoples said something about
19	influencing the care worker sector and what I was trying
20	to describe is and forgive me if I misinterpreted
21	what you were saying if by that we mean that existing
22	group of folk who would describe themselves as care
23	workers, I want it to be broader than that, I want to
24	bring people in who don't currently think of themselves,
25	"that wouldn't be for me", because I think there are

1	a lot of folk that would suit and would be very
2	appropriate for.
3	MR PEOPLES: I did mean more that this was the area to go
4	into and I wasn't necessarily thinking of the people
5	that are already there. I was trying to see how you get
6	more people there.
7	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: You're on that side of the room now,
8	Mr Peoples, and I'm beginning to feel like
9	LADY SMITH: Go ahead, Charlie: it's very helpful.
LO	MR PEOPLES: It's your turn to come back at me!
L1	Can I move to a different topic. I wonder what your
L2	thoughts on this are: should greater efforts be made
13	and maybe you'll tell me if they're already being
L 4	made to employ more care-experienced people as care
L5	workers in residential childcare establishments or as
16	volunteers? Is there enough in the sector or this area
L7	already or could more be employed?
L8	SALLYANN KELLY: We certainly have people who have been
L9	brought up in care working for our organisation and in
20	residential care. I think that certainly there's
21	something to be said for people who have experienced
22	care and who have got to a point in their life where
23	they feel that they can give something back to the
24	sector to come in.
25	I don't think it's suitable for everybody because

1	I think it depends on people's experiences and how their
2	emotional and physical and mental well-being is at that
3	point. But certainly, we have people that we have
4	looked after who work for us now or have been looked
5	after by other people who work for us now.
6	MR PEOPLES: I suppose they bring an understanding that
7	those of us who have never been in care don't have and
8	that can be very valuable, subject to other
9	considerations that you've mentioned.
10	SALLYANN KELLY: Yes, they can. Again, it's about people's
11	understanding of human relationships and human
12	connection. I have also had experiences where people
13	brought assumptions with them to the workplace about how
14	things could have been, based on their experiences,
15	which were not helpful in the context of what we were
16	working with at the time, if you see what I mean.
17	So for me, you individually assess people, but for
18	us we would certainly never see the fact that someone
19	had had care experience as a negative and something that
20	would exclude people at all.
21	MR PEOPLES: It's certainly not a bar
22	SALLYANN KELLY: No.
23	MR PEOPLES: and it might have positive advantages, but
24	you have to get the right people?
25	SALLYANN KELLY: Absolutely.

1	MR PEOPLES: Can I ask you this: one of the things we've
2	been discussing is historically the problem of children
3	and young people having an effective voice in a variety
4	of ways if they're in care. Is there more that can be
5	done to give children and young people in care an
6	effective voice?
7	I'll maybe start with you, Charlie: do you think
8	more can be done?
9	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: I think on Monday we touched on the
10	subject of advocacy and it's been something I'm very
11	passionate about, I think, throughout my career. It can
12	be extremely difficult to access advocacy in a timely
13	way. When folk need somebody to talk to, they need
14	somebody to talk to, they don't need a waiting list.
15	I also think it is more likely that a young person will
16	express themselves fully and frankly and be able to talk
17	about very difficult things if they've got
18	a pre-existing relationship with somebody, than if
19	somebody's drafted in to start talking about these
20	conversations when an issue has arisen.
21	So I would certainly welcome greater access to fully
22	independent advocacy. I have a particular stone in my
23	shoe about employees of local authorities or of
24	providers who say, "I will advocate for that young
25	person". I'm really clear they can't. You need

1	a complete separation of who you work for.
2	A social worker cannot fully advocate for a young person
3	because they are often being told that all they provide
4	for that young person is a handful of hours a week and
5	they don't have any recourse to change that, whereas an
6	independent advocate can argue that's not acceptable in
7	a way that doesn't bring them, their own line manager
8	taking them into a room and saying, "Don't say that".
9	MR PEOPLES: David, do you have views?
10	DAVID BEARD: I just totally concur with that. It's
11	a significant issue, isn't it, in terms of that
12	independent advocacy and who is able to provide it?
13	There are children and young people being placed in
14	Scotland from out of authority, aren't there, from
15	England as well? For those young people, that factor of
16	isolation is even more the case.
17	As I understand it, organisations tend to be funded
18	by local authorities. They can only provide advocacy
19	with those they have a remit to work with, so it leaves
20	a whole raft of other children and young people
21	potentially extremely isolated. The point that Charlie
22	was just making about Children's Rights Officers
23	employed by local authorities rather than being
24	independent, again doesn't give that voice.
25	So it remains for organisations like Who Cares?

1	We have a small number of advocacy contracts, but only
2	within four local authority areas in Scotland. So
3	that's an absolute drop in the pond, to use that analogy
4	again, really.
5	I think throughout the course of this inquiry,
6	I think we've all raised the importance of advocacy,
7	even more so now than perhaps even before, really,
8	because this is a real opportunity perhaps to try and
9	grasp this and take it forward.
10	MR PEOPLES: SallyAnn, do you want to add anything?
11	SALLYANN KELLY: Certainly in terms of advocacy, as
12	a residential childcare provider in Scotland, we've
13	taken the view that we should not provide advocacy in
14	residential childcare, that there needed to be
15	a separation in the provision of that. So we give money
16	to Who Cares? for the children who live in Fife who
17	provide independent advocacy. We don't manage that
18	service and we don't make any demands on that service
19	other than the fact that they're visible and they're
20	doing their job with our children.
21	But what I would say is that the reason we do
22	that is because the children who come to us in Fife come
23	from a multitude of local authorities and often the
24	advocacy arrangements that are in place for local
25	authorities don't adequately reach out to children who

are in external local authority placements. So we decided to pay for that for children to ensure that they had that should they need it. And that's really advertised for children.

But we also provide -- we have a policy and participation post in our organisation who works with a group of young people to elicit their views on a range of different things and we have a youth conference every year. This gives me sleepless nights sometimes. Last year we took 95 young people away, there was fun involved, they had a great time, it was marvellous, but you can't take 95 young people away without it being -- there's always some event or other. But they had a great time.

One of the really important parts of that conference is it's about seeking children and young people's views, they decide the agenda, they decide who they want to invite, and they decide the parameters of the conversations we have.

That's not something that can touch into every child who has contact with Aberlour, but it's seem as fairly representative of a number of different -- the lovely thing about that conference is that that brings children from residential care, children from our disabilities services, children from our community services together.

So it's a great weekend actually and it's a full weekend.

I think the other thing for me is, again, and we have tried to become involved fairly proactively on a number of things. So we are engaging proactively with the Life Changes Trust to look at how we can support changes to the care system. We currently have an initiative that's a multi-agency initiative, to use some jargon, if I've not used enough already. Its involvement with Aberlour, another third sector organisations called Includem, the Care Inspectorate, and CELCIS. This really is about — it's speaking to some of the discussions that have taken place about how we ensure that there's love at the heart of the care system for children and young people.

Part of what I've argued publicly is we need to look at what we mean by love and what children and young people understand from love. So basically, we have engaged in a process of looking and using -- our children are involved in this as well and some of the key people who are involved in designing this will be people with care experience themselves. It is basically a project to bring together something that will be a toolkit for organisations to guide them in their work to try and ensure that they can develop practice which

1	has love, a well-defined concept of love, at the heart
2	of it for children in the care system.
3	For me, it's really important in taking that forward
4	and children and young people drive it rather than
5	spectate.
6	MR PEOPLES: Because they have to tell you what to them they
7	need to get what they consider the love and affection
8	SALLYANN KELLY: Yes. It's part of giving agency to
9	children and young people that they have some level of
10	input, and I suppose the other thing that we're trying
11	to do is and again children's voices in we touched
12	on this in some of my evidence the use of physical
13	interventions
14	MR PEOPLES: I was going to come to that. Can I maybe come
15	to that separately?
16	If I can just pursue this initiative that you have.
17	This is I think what you've referred to in your
18	organisational statement as the Life Changes Trust
19	Workforce Development Initiative in partnership with
20	other bodies, including, I think you have said, the
21	Care Inspectorate and CELCIS, and Includem.
22	I will just read out what you said and you can
23	confirm you have said it essentially:
24	"The purpose is to develop a package for
25	organisations to use as a learning and development

1	toolkit to help the workforce to understand what love
2	and relationships mean for people in the care system."
3	I think you also told us, helpfully, that the first
4	part of this three-year project, which involves a team
5	of care-experienced people, is to determine what is
6	needed to improve how love and relationships feature
7	in the care system and to develop a toolkit for use for
8	that purpose. Does that capture what the initiative is
9	about?
10	SALLYANN KELLY: Yes.
11	MR PEOPLES: And this has presumably the support of the
12	Care Inspectorate and the support of CELCIS as well?
13	SALLYANN KELLY: The Life Changes Trust are very
14	enthusiastic about it and we have also discussed it with
15	the Care Review.
16	MR PEOPLES: I'll come back to the restraint one. I don't
17	know whether others on the panel here want to say
18	anything on that initiative. Do they see it as
19	a healthy development?
20	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: I think that all the evidence says that
21	people are more likely to be kept safe when they are
22	connected and they are able to speak to somebody in
23	confidence. It's isolation and separation that adds to
24	people's vulnerability, whether children or adults with
25	a disability.

1	So for me, and it's written within our own procedure
2	and my bet is it'll be within yours, the more that we
3	connect with people and the more we make children and
4	young people confident to able to say, no, that's not
5	okay, and know that they are listened to and I think
6	what SallyAnn was describing was a position whereby if
7	young people or adults tell us we'd like to do something
8	and we do it, that gives them confidence that actually
9	they will be listened to. And if they say something
10	more complex, more organisationally challenging, more
11	risky in their mind to them, it is more likely they will
12	be listened to and be acted upon. That in itself
13	protects them, gives them confidence and gives them
14	ability to not just report when something's gone wrong
15	but be able to have the confidence to stop something
16	going wrong in the first place.
17	MR PEOPLES: David, do you want to add anything?
18	DAVID BEARD: I can't add anything more than my colleagues
19	have said other than to concur.
20	LADY SMITH: Can I just pick up on one thing and it may be
21	simply the use of language: is it realistic to expect
22	every person who works with a child in care to love
23	every child they work with?
24	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: It's probably a definition of love, isn't
25	it, which is a thorny issue, I suspect.

Ι	SALLYANN KELLY: I woke up at 2 o'clock this morning
2	thinking about this. What came to mind was one of the
3	basic principles of social work that I still hold, which
4	is that and again it's about definition. One of the
5	very, for me, basic requirements of working in a human
6	way with other human beings is the concept of
7	unconditional positive regard. So that when we work
8	with people, their deeds are not what is at the
9	forefront of our thinking and the relationships we build
10	with them, it's the assets they bring as people and
11	a non-judgemental approach.
12	So if that is how you want to define love, then,
13	yes, I think it is truly reasonable to expect our people
14	to display that to our children.
15	LADY SMITH: I think it could be confusing to some people,
16	couldn't it, because in some people's minds love is
17	something else?
18	SALLYANN KELLY: Yes.
19	LADY SMITH: And you cannot, however hard you work at it, be
20	forced. Whereas expecting people to care for as warmly
21	as they possibly can, in an atmosphere of respect, every
22	child they're working with, is an entirely reasonable
23	thing to do, isn't it?
24	SALLYANN KELLY: Can I just say something else here as well?
25	Because one of the things that I get quite curious

about, in terms of this conversation about love, is that our children come to us sometimes with quite different perspectives on what love is. And often the people they love very most in the world are the people that have hurt them before they come to live with us. They don't stop loving that person and they don't stop seeking the love back from that person.

I have yet to meet a care-experienced young person who, when you speak to them, doesn't talk about love for their parents or caregivers, even when those parents and caregivers have been involved in abuse. I think we need to be careful because we need to honour that in some way within the system too. So we can't seek to replace that, but we can certainly give additional support to children.

We also need to understand that even if we do our very best for children and we try that and we work hard at that, if that remains an unmet need for that child, that that love that they desire from their parents, from their origins, is not returned, then the question I often ask myself is: can what we are doing ever be enough?

MR PEOPLES: Obviously, then -- but it's now quite common to hear people in various organisations -- and I'm thinking here of Who Cares? Scotland -- the head of that and

Τ	indeed Angus Skinner in this inquiry have all spoken
2	quite firmly about the importance of the concept of
3	love, as perhaps defined to some extent in the terms
4	you've given.
5	But is there a danger of using the term love rather
6	than perhaps another word to capture the same things
7	that are required of people who are providing care in
8	residential settings or do you think love can still be
9	used and used appropriately?
10	SALLYANN KELLY: I have a great respect for Who Cares?
11	I was a member of the board for some time. I like the
12	work they do very much and we work with them as
13	a partner. I think what we need to understand when Who
14	Cares? have embarked upon this campaign around love in
15	the care system, they didn't make that up on a whim. If
16	you talk to children and young people who have
17	experienced care, often what they will say to you
18	is: I just felt like I didn't have love, I didn't feel
19	warmth, and I didn't feel empathy. We have heard that
20	in applicant statements to the inquiry.
21	So I have no issue about the use of the word "love".
22	I think, though, we need to be careful about how we
23	define that.
24	MR PEOPLES: Yes. There have to be clear boundaries about
25	what that involves for those that are expected to give

1	that love, as you've explained.
2	SALLYANN KELLY: Yes. We also need to be careful not to try
3	to replicate inappropriately love that children are
4	seeking from a parent, but try to repair the
5	expectations, if you like, of that child in terms of how
6	they build resilience if that love is not returned from
7	a parent.
8	MR PEOPLES: Just on this and it was touched on earlier,
9	about Sir Martin Narey I think he carried out
10	a review that looked at foster care and we're not
11	looking at that in this case study. One of the
12	recommendations he has come up with, which has currently
13	been accepted in England, was that foster parents should
14	be encouraged to hug and comfort children in their care
15	in situations where good parents would do so. That's
16	his message loud and clear. Do you have any issue with
17	that in the context of residential childcare?
18	SALLYANN KELLY: No.
19	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: No.
20	MR PEOPLES: So there is no issue. You agree with
21	Sir Martin
22	SALLYANN KELLY: Absolutely.
23	MR PEOPLES: for both residential care settings and no
24	doubt in foster care?

25 CHARLIE COGGRAVE: I think for me, and again I defer to my

colleagues on this, the alternative is to say that children as young as 5 and 6 in incredibly difficult and traumatic situations, or even just stubbing their toe — I took my daughter to the dentist to have a filling the other day. She's 14. She still got a hug. I think the situation where children are potentially separated from their family and their parents and can go through something like that without the comfort of physical human contact doesn't seem okay.

I acknowledge there are potential risks, but there are potential risks in every facet of what we do.

DAVID BEARD: Getting this understanding of what we mean by love and what it really means and that kind of importance of that physical connection on occasion is critical to all this. It's the fundamentals of why, I'm sure, the majority of us came into the social care field. That was the fundamentals that brought us into it. You talked about it, SallyAnn, earlier on. Getting the fundamentals of this right, it would begin potentially to address some of the other issues.

People have an understanding -- if they come into this sector, what it is, if they have it within them as a human being and what they can give to children and young people, it's the right thing to do and it will help those children and young people grow. For want of

Τ	a better cliche, job done, in respect of that. This is
2	critical and so important.
3	SALLYANN KELLY: The one I think I would say, and it goes
4	back to the whole trauma-informed environment and
5	it's important for our staff to understand that that
6	physical comfort is, we know, a good thing in terms of
7	human relationships if it is done in a manner in which
8	the child is ready to receive it.
9	What we also know is that for some children that
10	have been through significant trauma, they find physical
11	contact complicated, to say the least.
12	So we need to be guided by children and we need to
13	be guided by young people. If they are struggling with
14	physical contact, and that needs to be very clear for
15	the people in the unit, because you do get folk who
16	just I have friends who universally hug and I have
17	others who are less inclined to.
18	LADY SMITH: Some people are less huggy than others.
19	SALLYANN KELLY: Yes.
20	LADY SMITH: And some children are less huggy than others.
21	SALLYANN KELLY: Yes. That's made more complicated if they
22	have a history of trauma. That would be my only caveat
23	to that: just be led by the child.
24	MR PEOPLES: So you have to have that always in mind and
25	it's all down to the individual assessment of that

1	child
2	SALLYANN KELLY: Yes.
3	MR PEOPLES: and how they might perceive these things or
4	what they would feel more comfortable with?
5	SALLYANN KELLY: Yes. And there is good evidence and
6	I think Harry Burns referred to it as the physiology of
7	the hug. There are chemical processes and the release
8	of serotonin that can help.
9	LADY SMITH: I suppose in the hugging recommendation, what's
LO	most important is being guided by what a good parent
L1	would do in the situation. You can never be the child's
L2	parent, but you can strive to understand how a good
L3	parent would parent that particular child. Is that
L 4	really the most important thing?
L5	SALLYANN KELLY: Yes, absolutely.
L 6	MR PEOPLES: Can I just ask one more thing? I'm conscious
L7	of the time. There's one more topic on this theme of
L8	effective voice that I'd like to just raise before we
L 9	have the morning break and that's the issue of
20	mentoring.
21	You have talked about independent advocacy services.
22	I'm aware that in relation to some young people and
23	I think this includes perhaps people in care there
24	are certain projects now, and one example would be the
25	MCR Pathways project in Glasgow I think SallyAnn's

1	nodding, she's aware of that. It involves, I think,
2	young people having some mentor to assist them in
3	various ways to cope with demands of leaving care, where
4	they go, what they do, helping them to achieve their
5	ambitions.
6	Should greater use of that also be made for people
7	in care?
8	SALLYANN KELLY: We have mentoring services for looked-after
9	children in the community and also looked-after children
10	in residential and foster care. There's a good evidence
11	base for mentoring. I may be wrong, but I think
12	Barnardo's also provide mentoring services.
13	MR PEOPLES: That's very different from independent advocacy
14	because it's performing a different function, but just
15	as important?
16	SALLYANN KELLY: Well, it's for a different purpose, but
17	it's as important, yes.
18	MR PEOPLES: So you would subscribe to it if you can achieve
19	some mentoring relationship that that would be a good
20	thing for many children?
21	SALLYANN KELLY: Yes.
22	MR PEOPLES: Not all children perhaps, I don't know.
23	SALLYANN KELLY: In terms of the fit with the theory in
24	terms of that consistent, reliable, predictable human
25	adult relationship with children, the more of them that

1 they have, the more likely they are to recover from 2 their trauma. So yes, absolutely. 3 MR PEOPLES: Do you want to add anything, Charlie? CHARLIE COGGRAVE: I agree. I think it's something I'm less 4 5 up to date on in terms of our services. Ever so briefly and slightly cheekily, in terms of the hugging part, can 6 7 I add people on the autism spectrum into that. People 8 on the spectrum may respond very badly to physical contact and be overstimulated. So I think it's the 9 10 point her Ladyship was making about treating everybody individually, but in terms of mentoring I would defer to 11 12 my colleagues on that. 13 MR PEOPLES: I think that's a good as time as any to stop. I think there are a few more matters I would like to 14 15 raise with you. LADY SMITH: We'll take the morning break now and I will sit 16 17 again in 15 minutes. 18 (11.30 am)19 (A short break) 20 (11.48 am)21 LADY SMITH: Mr Peoples. 22 MR PEOPLES: I wonder if I could move to another matter and 23 ask you if you have any views to offer. It's the issue 24 of educating children and young people about the potential dangers to them in care settings --25

1	LADY SMITH: Mr Peoples, I think we're having difficulty
2	with hearing you.
3	(Pause)
4	LADY SMITH: Thank you.
5	MR PEOPLES: If I can move to a different topic. As I think
6	I just indicated, but perhaps wasn't heard, I was
7	interested in the issue of educating children and young
8	people of the potential dangers to them in care settings
9	and whether there's any more that you believe could be
10	done and should be done in terms of making young people
11	in care conscious of any possible dangers and whether
12	there's room for further education on that topic or
13	whether enough, you think, is being done at present.
14	SallyAnn?
15	SALLYANN KELLY: I think it is linked directly back to the
16	conversations that we've had about children's advocacy
17	and children's rights. I think what I would say to you
18	is, in terms of modern practice, when children come to
19	live in our houses, then we are very clear with them
20	about what is okay and what's not okay in terms of
21	behaviour and how they would be supported if anything
22	happened to them that they needed to talk to anybody
23	about.
24	We have key worker schemes where they have an

identified key worker. I have certainly talked to the

25

1	staff about how we decide which key worker and how
2	quickly we decide who the key worker for a given child
3	is, because actually I think there's merit in the child
4	choosing the key worker. We're working that through in
5	terms of timing for that.
6	MR PEOPLES: What about children with learning disabilities?
7	That must present a challenge. I'm not saying it's one
8	that you can't meet, but is that something you find
9	you've been able to address in terms of education?
LO	SALLYANN KELLY: That can be more complex if children have
L1	communication difficulties. I think statistically we
L2	know that they are more vulnerable in terms of abuse,
13	not just in residential care but in wider society as
L 4	well. That really is, again, about making sure that
L5	staffing levels for children affected by disability are
16	different to children in our children's houses, for
L7	example, and we often work on one-to-ones or two to ones
L8	with those children.
L 9	MR PEOPLES: Is there also an issue of making sure you have
20	effective ways of recognising concerns and signs
21	in relation to communication between the child and the
22	care team?
23	SALLYANN KELLY: Yes, of course, and we use a range of, as
24	will the other organisations as well, a range of
25	different communication tools for children.

1	MR PEOPLES: Charlie, do you want to say anything?
2	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: I would echo what SallyAnn said.
3	Particularly around children and young people with
4	disabilities, she's absolutely right. The evidence that
5	I understand is that the incidences are higher amongst
6	young people and adults with a disability than of the
7	general population. I think that using tools like the
8	SHANARRI wheel and considering the S of safe as a very
9	broad context, that's not just about falling off your
10	bike, it is about risks in the broadest sense, are
11	critical.
12	I equally think that it needs to be tailored to the
13	individual and for somebody with a fairly limited life
14	experience, I think one needs to be mindful about how
15	the messages around danger are put across.
16	If you think of analogy of going for surgery, if you
17	listen to the fact that the general anaesthetic might
18	kill you, you might never go under, but equally the
19	balance
20	So it has to be done about the individual.
21	MR PEOPLES: I think lawyers might have a difficulty with
22	that one because informed consent would mean that you
23	have to be told about risks generally, no matter how
24	small, unless they are absolutely infinitesimally small,
25	perhaps. So there is possibly an issue there if you

1	were looking at it from that perspective, but
2	I understand the point you're making in a practical
3	sense.
4	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: I'll not suggesting that we should avoid
5	or evade them, it's about how that's done, particularly
6	with somebody with perhaps limited communication or
7	limited cognitive ability where it can have
8	a counterproductive impact.
9	MR PEOPLES: David, do you want to add anything?
10	DAVID BEARD: All the points people have made are important.
11	What I would say about the mitigation of risk is that
12	education is one element of it, but also the environment
13	in which those children and young people are being
14	placed. There's something about the size of units
15	comparable to the needs of that particular child and
16	young person, the population mix, the gender mix, the
17	placing of children up to the age of 12 potentially with
18	older children, opening them up to the potential
19	increased vulnerability and risk. All those placement
20	decisions are important ingredients in deciding or
21	enabling a safe or physical environment and emotional
22	environment for that child or young person to be brought
23	up in.
24	MR PEOPLES: Maybe I can move on to another initiative and
25	I think, SallyAnn, you touched on it earlier this

1	morning, but I said I'd maybe wait until later in this
2	session. It's the general issue of restraint and
3	alternatives to restraint. I think SallyAnn, Aberlour
4	are taking part in or about to take part in a pilot
5	scheme called Safer Places; is that correct?
6	SALLYANN KELLY: Yes.
7	MR PEOPLES: Can you tell us a little bit about that project
8	or pilot scheme and what's the intention?
9	SALLYANN KELLY: This is something that's been driven from
10	within the organisation but we've discussed it with the
11	Care Inspectorate and the independent Care Review, and
12	it's really around part of what we want to try and
13	make sure we have in place is that we are a learning
14	organisation, which means that you need to learn from
15	your mistakes too as well as the good things that
16	happen.
17	This came from we had been having a conversation
18	really around CALM and I talked about CALM yesterday in
19	terms of our response to physical interventions with
20	children when they became distressed to the extent that

really around CALM and I talked about CALM yesterday in terms of our response to physical interventions with children when they became distressed to the extent that they were posing a risk to themselves or others. We had talked around how the ideal place would be to not actually have physical interventions, negative physical interventions with children. That was just an ongoing conversation.

Then an incident occurred which made us focus more clearly on that, and that was an incident that happened with a fairly new member of staff, whereby a young person became quite distressed in the car and it culminated in the worker being assaulted by the young person and the worker using an unauthorised hold on the child. The child wasn't injured and the worker had some limited injuries, but it was a very distressing situation for everybody.

So we thought that, actually, let's have
a conversation about how we can look at taking
a different response to this. So at the time that I was
writing the input to the organisational statement, we
had decided that what we would pilot -- it's easy to
talk to the Care Inspectorate and various other folk,
but the idea that we would pilot in two of our houses
was using practice that was trauma informed, but would
not involve physical interventions, and we had
sub-groups that included our young people and our
residential staff involved in planning the test, if you
like.

Where we are now, we've actually decided they're not going to do it just in those two houses. We're going to do it in all of our houses because we wanted to be more ambitious. We don't know if that is going to work, but

1	the children are at the heart of the planning and part
2	of it is just about sharing the messages of what we
3	should expect from each other in terms of if you're
4	upset and being clear about what we need to do.
5	We haven't said that we will eliminate physical
6	holds on children because we don't know if that's likely
7	to be possible because it depends where that child is in
8	terms of the level of their trauma and distress. But
9	we are certainly looking to manage this over a period of
10	time towards significant reduction and potential
11	elimination.
12	MR PEOPLES: So the aim is to minimise it at the very least,
13	but eliminate it if possible, but you don't know until
14	you've tried the scheme?
15	SALLYANN KELLY: Yes.
16	MR PEOPLES: I think initially you told us in the statement
17	this was a six-month pilot scheme in two establishments,
18	but now you're saying you want to roll it out across the
19	whole
20	SALLYANN KELLY: We want the test to take place across each
21	of the houses. We've had a conversation with the
22	Care Inspectorate, I met with them last week or the week
23	before, we have let them know this is what we're doing,
24	and they're going to alert their inspectors obviously.
25	We've also got a meeting in the diary with Fiona Duncan

1	from the Care Review.
2	MR PEOPLES: What's been the general reaction to this pilot
3	from the Care Inspectorate and others that you've been
4	made aware?
5	SALLYANN KELLY: The Care Inspectorate can't get involved
6	in the pilot in terms of any evaluation, but they were
7	very positive about the fact that we were moving in this
8	direction and certainly wanted their inspectors to be
9	sighted on it so at the point where we are inspected,
LO	and inevitably we will be, they have an awareness. So
L1	we have given them a commitment that we will evaluate it
L2	or put some form of evaluation in place and make sure
L3	that the inspectorate is kept up to date with the
L 4	progress.
L5	MR PEOPLES: Is it still a six-month thing before you review
L 6	and evaluate or are you going to take it over a longer
L7	period?
L8	SALLYANN KELLY: The review and evaluation will be from the
L 9	outset so
20	MR PEOPLES: Continuous review?
21	SALLYANN KELLY: Continuous review. I think it is still
22	six months, but it may be longer.
23	MR PEOPLES: I don't know if David or Charlie obviously
24	there's a general issue of restraint and we have
25	discussed this before. What do you make of that

Τ	initiative?
2	DAVID BEARD: I guess I'd be very interested to find out the
3	findings from it is the obvious answer to your question.
4	The serious answer to you question is, well, what I gave
5	you in my evidence previously, that we have relatively
6	limited numbers where physical restraint is given.
7	However, learning from these kind of examples will
8	be invaluable because we've all been using CALM as an
9	overall methodology, as the inquiry is aware. Taking it
10	forward and growing some learning from it will be
11	invaluable to us all.
12	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: I think I said on Monday that I went
13	away and checked after Monday and we haven't had
14	a restraint in the last 18 months. But where we do, and
15	I think colleagues here acknowledge this, it behoves us
16	absolutely to review. It's almost a sense that
17	something has gone wrong. I'm very conscious of it
18	in adults with a learning difficulty, it's still far too
19	common a practice in my perception. And it is about
20	I think SallyAnn described it very well out approach
21	and our mindset around folk, and we should aim for never
22	doing it. I think that's a very laudable target for us
23	all.
24	MR PEOPLES: SallyAnn, could I just ask you one thing on the
25	initiative: is there research or emerging research that

1	was taken into account that would suggest that
2	intervention in general is not a good thing in the case
3	of children in care with complex needs, or is it simply
4	more let's see whether we can find the evidence?
5	SALLYANN KELLY: I think there certainly is a body of
6	feedback from children and young people in relation to,
7	again, especially those children and young people who
8	have had trauma as a result of physical abuse or sexual
9	abuse, that any incident of restraint, even if it
10	follows the recognised procedure, can be seen as an
11	invasion.
12	I know that the Children's Commissioner in Scotland
13	is currently looking at this as well. I saw something
14	last week about this as a human rights issue in terms of
15	the restraint of children and the use of things like
16	isolation in schools, for example. So it's something
17	that we would want to look at. Certainly all of the
18	research that we have in terms of a trauma-based
19	approach would suggest that unwanted physical
20	intervention on a child is not good in terms of the
21	repair and recovery process.
22	DAVID BEARD: Can I make a general point about the whole
23	issue about handling challenging behaviour in
24	residential sectors alone? Because I think we can reach

a point of consistency and understanding about how we do

25

it. Some children and young people, as a result of not knowing how to handle a situation and then therefore getting into problematic situations within their unit, it ends up involving the police and arguably them facing criminal charges at the end of it. And it's a sad irony that some children leave residential care with more criminal offences against their name than they enter.

So the importance of that consistency of response, which is a bit of an emerging theme from the morning, I think is really important.

MR PEOPLES: Can I ask you about a different matter: the use of technology and whether technology can be used to improve the safety of children in care. I came across a press report in 2017 in The Scotland on Sunday, which reported that one local authority in Scotland, Perth and Kinross, had recently introduced into residential establishments for children and young persons what's called an app, Mind of my Own, which allows children to share opinions about their experience and have a say about decisions in their lives. It was reported that this app had been co-designed with children and young persons and used, according to the report, child focused language and was designed to encourage young persons to share their thoughts using a tablet or phone screen.

It was also reported, because it has been used by

1	some local authorities in England, that evidence had
2	shown that it had been used at times to report
3	incidences of abuse as users, according to the report,
4	had indicated they felt comfortable and safe
5	communicating via this particular approach.
6	I'm not exactly an expert in technology, but I don't
7	know if you have heard of this initiative and whether
8	you have any views on whether it is something that's
9	worth trying in a range of settings, also by charitable
10	care providers or others?
11	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: We use MoMo.
12	MR PEOPLES: Are you getting any
13	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: It's very early days for us.
14	MR PEOPLES: Too early to say?
15	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: The feedback is very positive.
16	We've not had a disclosure of the type you allude to
17	through it as yet, so I can't say with confidence, but
18	that would certainly be the feedback from the
19	organisation that their experience with other providers.
20	For me, any opportunity for a young person to be
21	able to make contact with somebody and say, something's
22	good, I hope, but in a worst-case scenario to say
23	something is not good must be of an advantage to us.
24	MR PEOPLES: SallyAnn?
25	SALLYANN KELLY: We have currently appointed a director of

1	digital and IT in Aberlour and part of the ambition is
2	about actually changing some of the interface between
3	people who we support and the organisation, and that
4	does include looking at what we can develop for and with
5	children in terms of their use of technology.
6	You'll appreciate that in the children's houses,
7	certainly when I became chief executive, I wanted to
8	make sure they all had access to the Internet. So they
9	do. That is under it's individualised planning
10	because there are vulnerabilities there too.
11	We are slowly getting the kids on to email and
12	I found that out on Christmas Day because I got an email
13	from one of the kids on Christmas Day. I thought, wow.
14	So we are looking at how we open up technology to
15	children. And I would certainly be interested in
16	looking further at that app that you speak of. I think
17	as long as all of the security things are correct, then,
18	yes, absolutely we should be open to that.
19	MR PEOPLES: David, any thoughts on this?
20	DAVID BEARD: I think we're all rapidly catching up, aren't
21	we, with children and young people and their
22	understanding of technology? Certainly, in Barnardo's,
23	we have growing digital platforms in the organisation.
24	Looking at co-design we talked earlier on about

the involvement of children and young people. There's

Τ	an example outside of residential care, but within
2	fostering we've developed something called Fostering
3	Friends, which is for young people in fostering
4	services, one in Scotland and one in the north-west of
5	England, to talk to one another, to raise issues around
6	that. It does raise challenges in terms of
7	safeguarding, it does raise challenges in terms of
8	access and security around that and clarity of that if
9	something is said, but then there is the potential for
10	it to therefore have to be reported and taken further
11	forward. So there's much learning to be done, but
12	absolutely it has to be the way to go.
13	MR PEOPLES: Just on the issue of the digital age, clearly
14	these days, data is collected more systematically than
15	historically was the case. Could better use be made of
16	data that is being collected and is there data that's
17	currently not being collected that ought to be collected
18	and analysed, and if so, for what purpose? Have you
19	thought of that, about what the value of the data is?
20	It's an important asset, some say.
21	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: Can I just ask in what context? When you
22	say data in that context, you make me think of the kind
23	of stuff that Facebook might collect go if I wander
24	about with my phone.

MR PEOPLES: I'm thinking more of collecting information

about the way the organisation works or certain things happen, to measure, say, processes and procedures and other things. I'm just wondering if that is -- I'm sure it's already being done, but whether more could be done either by an organisation or indeed by external bodies to collect meaningful data that would help the organisation. I'm looking at it in two ways.

CHARLIE COGGRAVE: I think it's something we're currently reviewing and I think I have been tasked by my chief executive to provide further information come April exactly on that point of where we begin to capture some of our activity and begin to sense where that might lead us to areas of concern.

So if there were restraints going off -- the term

I use is a hot spot -- around that, that should be

drawing our attention and we should have a way of

collecting and mapping that to ensure that we're able to

address that. I assume that's the kind of area you're

alluding to.

MR PEOPLES: That's an organisational initiative. Even on a wider footing, would you as an organisation benefit from data being collected from, say, a national body or an external body that would help you deliver a better quality of care or do you think at the moment the information out there is adequate or satisfactory?

1	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: I think that information sharing is
2	critical. I have some thoughts about data protection
3	and information sharing and we touched on it in my
4	evidence. That sometimes gets in the way, I feel,
5	although understandably. I would certainly welcome
6	a conversation about that and to see what might be
7	possible. I wouldn't want to dismiss it certainly.
8	MR PEOPLES: SallyAnn?
9	SALLYANN KELLY: We've recently just changed the database we
10	use for recording children's lives and stories as
11	a means of improving the outputs from that system so
12	that we can measure the impact of what we do better.
13	But we also have a fairly dense, in the best use of the
14	word, collation of incidents, accidents, complaints,
15	a whole range of issues. I receive reports on a regular
16	basis about any issues that have arisen in services,
17	including compliments that people might give us.
18	Again, we want to look at refining that and make
19	sure that system is as straightforward as possible and,
20	equally importantly, is accessible to the people who use
21	our services.
22	I think, in respect of the wider issue, then
23	certainly part of what I am currently involved in
24	there are two things I'm currently involved in that
25	I think are important in this regard. The first is the

1	Scottish Government Child Protection Leadership Group,
2	which is a group that's come about following the systems
3	review that Catherine Dyer chaired in relation to child
4	protection systems and processes in Scotland. One of
5	the areas that we are looking at within that group is
6	a concept of a core data set across children's services.
7	We've been looking at the concept of a core data set
8	for quite a long time and it is something that I think
9	we need to pay particular attention to because one of
10	the issues is that there are lots of organisations
11	collating lots of information, but there is no single
12	mechanism for pulling that information into a single
13	place and there's an issue about how those databases
14	talk to each other.
15	So we need to think about how we actually work a wee
16	bit more leanly with the data that we have and also make
17	sure that the data that we're collating, we're
18	collecting it for a purpose that is a reasonable purpose
19	under GDPR.
20	MR PEOPLES: Is that still a work in progress?
21	SALLYANN KELLY: Very much so.
22	MR PEOPLES: For the group, Scottish Government Child
23	Protection Leadership Group? It's certainly on the
24	agenda and is being discussed?

SALLYANN KELLY: It is. One of the other things, I have

just recently been asked to chair the at-risk workstream of the mental health taskforce and one of the remits

I will have as part of the at-risk workstream is that that workstream is aimed at those children and young people who sometimes find it hardest to access mental health services, and that includes our looked-after children, asylum-seeking families, the pre-fives in terms of parental support for post-natal depression, et cetera.

Part of what we need to look at is the data that's out there in collating informed data around prevalence and how we then support different bits of the population to make sure that we can put in place, again, something that's responsive, not reactive.

MR PEOPLES: David, anything to add?

DAVID BEARD: I kind of wanted to widen it into the external information and talk about children and young people who go missing from residential care.

MR PEOPLES: Yes, I think there's a very high percentage of people in Scotland. I think I've got some statistics that Police Scotland said a third of the reported missing cases in 2017 were children who had been in care. I know there's some question of the size or the scale of the problem for various reasons, but that's a high proportion of missing people.

1	DAVID BEARD: And that may well be an under-representation
2	as well is my understanding. Although there's
3	a framework, a missing persons framework in 2017, that
4	clear processes for return home discussions for those
5	children and young people are not embedded in practice.
6	So the police may well do their safe and well-being
7	checks, which I think they then RAG rate in terms of
8	their own grading, but the danger there is it can
9	minimise the vulnerability of children and young people
10	and the risk, and people are kind of looked at as
11	frequent absconders rather than necessarily looking at
12	underlying reasons. We know that could be a linkage to
13	child sexual abuse, child sexual exploitation, child
14	criminal exploitation, all the things that are very
15	contemporary in terms of our understanding. So that, to
16	me, is such a significant risk to children and young
17	people in residential care if we don't bottom out our
18	understanding of that.
19	MR PEOPLES: I have just found my reference to this.
20	According to Police Scotland and it was reported in
21	The Times on 24 November 2018 children in residential
22	foster care accounted for one third of the 23,000 people
23	reported missing in Scotland in 2017. That's a big
24	figure.
25	DAVID BEARD: It is.

1	MR PEOPLES: I think CELCIS have views on whether it was
2	higher than it should be because it might take in people
3	who just stayed out late for one reason or another. On
4	any view, it's a high figure.
5	DAVID BEARD: Absolutely.
6	MR PEOPLES: And these children and young people are
7	vulnerable when they go missing.
8	DAVID BEARD: Absolutely.
9	SALLYANN KELLY: Yes. We do have a missing young persons
10	protocol with Police Scotland so there's a very clear
11	system in place when children don't return to their
12	houses at the time they were supposed to return. That's
13	what David references in terms of the RAG, the red,
14	amber, green. Part of that will be informed by your
15	assessment of the level of risk potential that that
16	child is at.
17	I'm not sure, but I think those figures might also
18	capture those young people who repeatedly run away.
19	MR PEOPLES: Yes, it did. I should have said. I think the
20	report indicated that in some cases, one person might
21	have been reported missing 70 times. It was a very,
22	very high figure. So yes, you're perfectly correct:
23	it's not 6,000 or 7,000 individuals, but several
24	instances of children going missing, some very many
25	times.

Τ	SALLIANN KELLI: 1es.
2	MR PEOPLES: I'm grateful that you made that point.
3	So that's an issue well, it's clearly an issue
4	that must concern you and needs to be looked at.
5	SALLYANN KELLY: Absolutely. I think we have made
6	improvements. The protocol with Police Scotland is part
7	of that improvement. I certainly know when I came into
8	social work in the early 1990s, I had concerns about
9	a number of young people who had left their units or
10	children's houses for sometimes weeks and there was
11	a response, but it wasn't a national coherent response
12	like we have currently.
13	I think David is also right in terms of truly trying
14	to understand and I spoke to this yesterday about
15	when the child returns, making sure they're safe and
16	warm and fed and all of those things, but we need to try
17	and understand if they're running from something or to
18	something.
19	MR PEOPLES: I suppose the fact that there are so many
20	running for whatever reason, there's a question there to
21	be asked: if they are in good conditions but are running
22	away, what is the explanation and how do we address it?
23	Maybe they would rather they shouldn't be there or there
24	might be something better so far as they're concerned.
25	SALLYANN KELLY: David alluded to things like child sexual

1	exploitation. There is emotional and mental coercion
2	that goes on in some circumstances for children that
3	means that they will also run away from places where
4	they feel comfortable and safe, unfortunately.
5	MR PEOPLES: Yes. But it's a big problem that needs to be
6	looked at?
7	SALLYANN KELLY: Absolutely.
8	MR PEOPLES: Can I turn to a different matter:
9	whistle-blowing. Can I just mention again something
10	that I found reported in the news in 2018. In a survey
11	for the Public Accounts Committee of the UK Parliament
12	in 2014, 46% of those working in the Health Service
13	reported that they didn't feel confident about speaking
14	up about problems in their department for fear they
15	could be met with reprisals.
16	David, if I could just ask to begin with you. Did
17	you indicate in earlier evidence to this inquiry that
18	you are at least testing out a new process of some form
19	of confidential hotline that people can use to report
20	matters in a way that may not give rise to the concerns
21	of obviously some of the people who have completed the
22	survey?
23	DAVID BEARD: It's live as we speak. As I indicated when
24	I gave my evidence, it includes that independent triage
25	So it goes to an external triage, it doesn't get lost

within the internal bit or potentially ignored within the internal bit. That was in essence on the basis of work done with the Barnardo's staff group as a whole. Some of it was triggered from the issues that arose in February last year from Oxfam and Save the Children, and that kind of lack of people feeling confident that they were able to escalate concerns within the organisation, but also hearing a strong voice within the organisation. There was a significant number of people themselves who felt that they wouldn't necessarily be heard if they were whistle-blowing, if they were reporting and the triage was done within the organisation. It's very early days in terms of being able to indicate to you whether that's opening up the process in the aspect of transparency that we would want it to, but we are doing some equally recent work around bullying and harassment of staff within there and making the awareness to the staff group as a whole that issues that they raise within that context will be heard and

21 So early days.

will be heard well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

MR PEOPLES: But this is another way to blow the whistle at least being attempted to see if people can have more confidence to speak up?

25 DAVID BEARD: Yes.

1	MR PEOPLES: SallyAnn, do you have anything to add?
2	SALLYANN KELLY: We introduced a new whistle-blowing policy,
3	which I think has been provided to the inquiry. We
4	don't have any formal relationship with an external
5	provider in relation to whistle-blowing to somewhere
6	outside of the organisation, but I suppose we're
7	probably a much smaller organisation.
8	MR PEOPLES: Do you see the merit in that, though?
9	SALLYANN KELLY: Yes, if you were concerned that there was
10	a cultural organisation, and in my organisation then
11	I would, but I think what I have is evidence that since
12	that whistle-blowing policy was introduced and through
13	independent assessment through IIP, that actually people
14	do feel confident generally about coming forward.
15	We don't close down any systems to people, so they
16	know they can contact me directly, they have my mobile
17	phone number, they can contact other senior managers,
18	and we have got a couple of examples where people have
19	come through the whistle-blowing procedure and their
20	concerns have been listened to and the organisation's
21	taken decisive action in terms of the outcome.
22	MR PEOPLES: Charlie, do you want to say anything on this?
23	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: The only thing I would add, I think
24	I have listened with interest to what my colleagues have
25	said there's something about culture and leadership,

1	I suspect, within this as well. I've heard at the
2	inquiry, you know, paths can be made available to folk,
3	but if they're not feeling confident to access them,
4	they might have as well have barbed wire strung across
5	them. I can't think of a better way of putting it than
6	the soft presentation of the culture. I separate the
7	culture of the organisation from the policy and
8	procedural framework. The two things need to sit with
9	each other, so it's not just what you told us but what
10	actually happened that informs that. That's, I feel,
11	very difficult to codify.
12	MR PEOPLES: You do need a combination of things, but
13	culture is very important, how you receive a concern?
14	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: Yes.
15	MR PEOPLES: It has to be seen as something positive that
16	helps the organisation and it should be recognised as
17	such?
18	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: I think I said in my evidence that if
19	you have a complaints procedure and you never get
20	a complaint, then I suspect your complaints procedure is
21	not properly accessible, not that you're perfect.
22	MR PEOPLES: Or your culture is not perfect.
23	On the subject of whistle-blowing, and I'm not
24	really sure I have very much information on this,
25	forgive me on this, but in terms of the NHS, I think

1	I understand there might have been plans and maybe
2	they've been formulated and put into force to
3	introduce a independent national whistle-blowing officer
4	connected to the Scottish Public Services Ombudsman.
5	I think that was specifically for the NHS, not for
6	necessarily for wider services. That brings
7	independence into play if you're not happy with the
8	organisational response. I don't know whether you think
9	there's any merit in some form of independent body
10	having that function in the care system.
11	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: I don't know whether I'm not going to
12	suggest that this completely covers off the issue, but
13	the Care Inspectorate would certainly act as such in our
14	context and I know complaints are made to the
15	Care Inspectorate, which we are then required to respond
16	to and discuss and have transparency about.
17	MR PEOPLES: So you would feel confident that the
18	independence comes from the Care Inspectorate system
19	that's existed since 2001 because it's an independent
20	public body with regulatory functions?
21	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: It certainly gives me additional
22	confidence, yes.
23	MR PEOPLES: Okay. So maybe there's not the need for this
24	independent national whistle-blowing officer in the
25	context of the care sector? I'm just trying to see what

1	you think.
2	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: I suppose I'm pausing because I hesitate
3	to dismiss it without considering it at greater length.
4	There are some functions and I would also hesitate to
5	reject any new ones. I suppose my position is the more
6	places folk have to go, the more likely it is they will
7	access one of them, and it's very difficult for people
8	to know all of the possible ways forward. It's what
9	comes to your attention or you feel. I think it must be
10	very important for the individual that, for whatever
11	reasons, they perceive that to be safe, and that can be
12	their own criteria, not ones that you or I think make
13	sense.
14	MR PEOPLES: It's finding a range of choices and the more
15	choices, the more likelihood there is that someone will
16	take one of them?
17	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: I agree.
18	MR PEOPLES: A sort of commonsense approach?
19	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: I'm always hesitant with common sense.
20	MR PEOPLES: So am I. But that may be the thinking about
21	putting another possible option on the table?
22	SALLYANN KELLY: I would agree. I don't feel challenged by
23	another mechanism for people to go to to have their
24	voice heard.
25	MR PEOPLES: I suppose it gives a message that the

1	organisation is not afraid to be judged by an
2	independent body as to its actions and responses to
3	concerns.
4	SALLYANN KELLY: We already are, as Charlie says, but if for
5	whatever reason a person feels that you know, they
6	may have exhausted have gone to the Care Inspectorate
7	and been unhappy with the response they got. I think
8	there's a whole raft of reasons that people might not
9	see the regulator as the first person that they would
10	or first body they would go to. I just feel as if if
11	there's a need for it and people have evidenced that,
12	then
13	DAVID BEARD: And the other challenge back, I guess, is just
14	because you have an overall independent person, that one
15	individual enquiry doesn't fall down any number of
16	different routes and no one picks it up. Because that's
17	the problem really. In addition to everything we've
18	talked about, we've also got the Charity Regulator
19	in the middle of all this as well, another route people
20	can use, if they wish
21	MR PEOPLES: You have so many routes that they don't join
22	up?
23	DAVID BEARD: So the absolute task is to create that
24	organisational culture, isn't it?
25	SALLYANN KELLY: Yes.

Τ	DAVID BEARD: Irrespective of the size of your organisation,
2	you need to create somewhere where they can go, and they
3	can go straight to the chief executive, whether it's
4	a big organisation or a small organisation. The others
5	are there as your belt and braces, if you will, but
6	ultimately the organisation takes responsibility.
7	SALLYANN KELLY: Absolutely.
8	MR PEOPLES: Can I ask you on the subject of regulation or
9	perhaps just oversight more generally. There is
10	a Children's Commissioner now and no doubt his present
11	functions include responsibility for issues affecting
12	looked-after children, including children in residential
13	care. I take it I understand that would be part of
14	his broad remit to look after their interests?
15	SALLYANN KELLY: All children in Scotland, yes.
16	MR PEOPLES: But the remit is all children, not just
17	children in care. Is there any mileage in a more
18	specific regulator for looked-after children with
19	specific responsibilities or do you think that's an
20	unnecessary layer of regulation? I'm just interested in
21	your thoughts, if you have thoughts, on it.
22	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: I pulled a bit of a face and turned to
23	look at SallyAnn and saw she was approximating my face.
24	MR PEOPLES: There's no call for it?
25	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: Personally, I'd want to consider it a bit

1 further.

2 MR PEOPLES: Okay.

SALLYANN KELLY: Yes, that is something I'd want to give

some consideration to because when you look at the

complex system that children have to navigate at the

moment, I think whatever we did would need to add value

to that system and not make it more complicated.

MR PEOPLES: I wasn't really seeing this role as necessarily another place that a child could go for an individual or specific complaint, it may be looking at someone who is permanently there to have a constant review of the system with, certainly, maybe even specific functions to do so periodically, who can look across the system, can look at the data that -- if you get your core data that you're wanting perhaps under this group that you're part of, that that might allow someone to keep the focus on a specific group with very specific needs and very specific requirements for protection. Is that something at least worth discussing?

CHARLIE COGGRAVE: While SallyAnn thinks, I will say my one concern about that is we touched on advocacy and that advocacy is often funded for particular groups of people. I'm certainly aware of people that approached advocacy (inaudible) to me and were turned away because their diagnosis doesn't fit within the core funding.

1	If we introduced another the risk, I suppose, and
2	I'm particularly talking about a kind of pros and cons
3	approach, you might fall in and fall out of their
4	purview again, and that will be of some concern.
5	I think it would be what would they add to
6	Care Inspectorate and that Children's Commissioner and
7	the named person and the other structures we've got.
8	MR PEOPLES: I suppose I'm just thinking, inquiries come and
9	go, short-life groups come and go, but if you have
10	a particular group that you want to protect and
11	constantly review the practices, do you need some sort
12	of permanent body that's overarching, made up of no
13	doubt suitably qualified individuals, to oversee the
14	whole system and this particular group? I'm just
15	floating that idea.
16	SALLYANN KELLY: I did just allude to the complex system
17	that children already need to navigate. One of the
18	things that I would probably argue in that system
19	is that qualitative evaluation of services should be as
20	near to those services as possible, albeit independent.
21	We have a children's service planning process in
22	Scotland through community planning partnerships.
23	We have local child protection committees. We have
24	chief officers' groups in every local authority.
25	So there is an infrastructure in place, which

oversees quality locally on a multi-agency basis.

Because what everybody else will say to you is, far too

often, we look through a lens in terms of residential

childcare, which only takes us to social work and we

actually need to broaden that lens to include education

and health and their performance in terms of meeting the

general outcomes for children.

So for me, I would be less inclined to put in place a looked-after tsar type of thing than I would be to really strengthen the responsibilities and roles of the existing, a term I don't like, corporate parents.

MR PEOPLES: David?

DAVID BEARD: That would be my feeling as well. I think there's a danger if you create another raft, that becomes an industry all of its own, and it becomes further and further away from the fundamentals, which is the needs of the child and young person. You serve the industry because, generally speaking, those bodies become extremely hungry and demanding and require a lot to be given to them. They move away from any sensible coordinative role to actually being very, as I said, hungry. They want things from you.

Our focus should be on, picking up -- the child and young person and what needs to be wrapped around them for them to have the best opportunities in life.

1	MR PEOPLES: Mention has been made on a few occasions this
2	morning about Fiona Duncan who's presently conducting an
3	independent Care Review and I think is about halfway
4	through her task. It has been reported in the press in
5	October of last year that she attended some conference
6	in Glasgow and said something along the lines that the
7	care system for children in Scotland is not
8	fundamentally broken but I think her message was it
9	could be improved. Do you agree with that? SallyAnn,
10	perhaps you might want to kick off.
11	SALLYANN KELLY: Yes, I agree with that. I think I'm on
12	public record stating quite clearly that we should not
13	repair the care system and start again, that we should
14	embrace the good things of the care system, of which
15	there are many, and we should continue on our
16	improvement journey.
17	DAVID BEARD: Absolutely. We have such a history across all
18	the four nations of this country of ripping up the good,
19	starting again, re-inventing the wheel, whatever cliché
20	of that form you want to take. Let's take and develop
21	the good things. It isn't fundamentally broken: we just
22	need to make it better.
23	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: I'd defer to my colleagues as they're
24	more experienced in this particular area. I wouldn't
25	defer from their views.

1	MR PEOPLES: Maybe I can finish with this general question
2	for each of you. What more needs or ought, in your
3	view, to be done to ensure so far as possible that
4	children in residential care in Scotland today and in
5	future are kept safe from abuse and are not exposed
6	during their period of care to the risk of abuse?
7	SallyAnn, do you have any views?
8	SALLYANN KELLY: You'll be surprised to well, you'll not
9	be surprised to know that I do have views. I think the
10	first thing I would argue, actually, is that we need to
11	think very seriously about prevention and early
12	intervention and support to families and providing that
13	in a way that is coherent across the country to try and
14	support families to continue to care for children and
15	avoid them coming into care in the first place.
16	That includes dedicating work, to identifying the
17	children that are most likely to come into care and
18	working with those families in a consistent way and
19	being led by them to look at what they think would
20	improve their situation to the point where they feel
21	able to care for their children. That to me is a very
22	fundamental thing and it is something that I've

The other thing for me is that there needs to be

about, as have others.

certainly been involved in discussions at national level

23

24

investment and resource in relation to the provision of residential care. We need to -- we are not a provider that says residential care is a last resort. We are proud to provide residential care for children in 2019 to those children who require it. We believe that that need will continue into the foreseeable future.

What we are very ambitious about is making sure that that residential care is of the best quality and we continue to invest significant amounts of money in re-provisioning houses for our children to make sure that they're as comfortable as they can be and we'll continue to do that. But there is an infrastructure issue and there is a status issue for the residential workforce, both of which need to be addressed and both of which are not new news to the government.

MR PEOPLES: David, do you have anything to add or other points to make?

DAVID BEARD: We talked a lot during the course of the organisational evidence and indeed today about things such as robust recruitment and checks and balances, references, et cetera. That's clearly one very important part of the process to ensure that residential care continues to improve and get better.

But it's only one part of it. We as providers are only one relatively small element of what is provided

for children and young people as part of their care experience, their residential experience. So agencies working together, as SallyAnn alluded to a few minutes ago, recognising their education, their emotional needs, their education, the needs of their emotional health and well-being, the CALMS(?) provision that needs to be wrapped around many children and young people in residential care and the inconsistency of that, how we support those young people to move in as smooth a way as possible, and that that transition from care into adulthood is done in as smooth and supportive way.

It's a big challenge for any of us, for a child and young person who's lived in the care system, so that investment throughout the care journey is critical in relation to this.

Organisationally, at one time, we moved away significantly -- and Sir Roger Singleton talked about it -- from residential care. I think the commissioning environment is changing and I think we will be probably moving back slightly into that field. There will be nowhere near, I suspect, the volume that we once had but that mixed economy of care, requiring well-delivered quality residential services, is going to be a fundamental part of that. I repeat we need that wraparound support of other agencies to get the best

Τ	qualit	У.

2 MR PEOPLES: Charlie?

CHARLIE COGGRAVE: I'd echo what my colleagues have said.

I think in terms of resource, as I said earlier, it's quality, not quantity, but you need a quantity of quality. So you can't get away from the fact that the resource clearly is one defining matter around this --sorry, I thought you were going to ask me something.

I think an emphasis on preventative services -- I've been in this game 30-plus years and every year I've heard, "If we could invest more in", whether it's the NHS, whether it's social care, whatever service, then preventing people getting ill saves you money and helps you target resource and is a far better experience for the individual affected.

I have a little stone in my shoe again about information sharing. We found it extremely helpful over the last few months -- and I said this in my statement -- that we've managed to develop a relationship with a couple of individuals in Police Scotland that we can share information with and feel confident about how they will respond to that. Not that we'll tell them how to respond, but we will have a conversation about what that looks like, in order to get the best outcome for the individual and the best

1 outcome for society.

I think that that can be very difficult if you don't have one or two people to contact. If it's just a police constable who doesn't know the background or the context, they can get a bit excited about the whole thing and that can be actually detrimental, and -- we've talked about disclosure -- folk who might have shared something with us can be shut down rather than be supported to share their story and allow us to act on it.

I still hear from our services that they don't feel they get their feedback from other elements of the system, whether that's Police Scotland, whether that's the local authority. So if an allegation of harm is made, it can go off into the big sausage machine that is the local authority and we don't always get clear responses about what's happened -- or is there anything we need to act upon.

Data protection still comes across that. We've talked about redacting children's childhoods and access to photographs is probably not what you're looking for this afternoon, but I think clearer guidance for that, I would welcome that hugely.

MR PEOPLES: To allow you to relate as much as you can without breaching any legal regulations?

1	CHARLIE COGGRAVE: Or to be told that would be my
2	preference, but the other side of that coin is to be
3	told we can't do it. And let's be clear about that.
4	I feel a pressure to make judgements without a very
5	clear framework, and I have tried hard with the ICO and
6	others, and often the response is it's a matter of
7	judgement.
8	MR PEOPLES: Yes. SallyAnn, can I just go back to one thing
9	you said? Obviously, you've said that some of the
LO	things that have to be still considered is the resources
L1	point, the status issue of care workers, and you also
L2	mentioned the infrastructure issue. Can I just make
L3	sure I understand what the infrastructure is, so far as
L 4	you're concerned, that still maybe needs to be looked
L5	at, addressed and improved?
16	SALLYANN KELLY: For individual organisations who choose to
L7	provide residential care, like Aberlour, one of the
L8	things that we do is we make significant investment in
L9	properties and buildings. So to make that practical,
20	before we started providing residential care in
21	highland, we invested £1.4 million in the purchase of
22	good quality homes for children. So the same would be
23	true for other organisations.
24	Part of that is if you decide to do this work, you
25	need to bring money to the table, and we're happy to do

that. However, there are also issues in relation to the through care of children, for example, and young adults, and the changes that the 2014 Act brought to bear in terms of people's right to continue in care. We have a system that does not have the capacity potentially to provide the continuing care that these young people are entitled to. And there are still deficits in the system in terms of caring and supporting children who have got entitlement beyond the age of 18. That's in relation to a range of physical provision, if you like, in terms of supported care, continuing in the children's houses, a whole range of things that we need to look at.

That Act was implemented, my statement -- and this has been -- I have said this publicly before. The Act has been introduced and the financial memorandum around that Act has not been adequate for local authorities to fundamentally shift the balance of care and realise the entitlement that those children and young people have.

MR PEOPLES: Well, I think with that note, I would bring the session to a close. I don't have any more questions or issues to raise with you. All I would like to say is thank you very much indeed for coming today and sharing your thoughts and views, which I'm sure will be very helpful to us in our work ahead, particularly on some of the issues you've raised. Because it's obviously part

1	of our reference to look at the current position and the
2	position going forward. So thank you very much indeed.
3	LADY SMITH: Are there any outstanding applications for
4	questions of anyone on this panel? No.
5	Charlie, SallyAnn, David, thank you so much for
6	coming back today and engaging in a quite different way
7	and for providing me with extraordinarily valuable
8	evidence. That has been really, really helpful. I'm
9	now really able to let you all go. Thank you.
LO	(The witnesses withdrew)
L1	LADY SMITH: So we rise now until can you remind me of
L2	the date, Mr Peoples?
L3	MR PEOPLES: We rise now. That's obviously the end of the
L 4	evidence phase. We are rising now until 11 February,
L5	Monday the 11th, for oral closing statements. But
L 6	we have a process or a timetable that written
L7	submissions should be lodged by parties no later than
L8	noon next Friday, which is the 8th, I think.
L 9	LADY SMITH: That's right, yes.
20	MR PEOPLES: So that process will take place between now and
21	the 11th and we'll have our closing submissions on
22	Monday.
23	LADY SMITH: Thank you very much. I'll sit again at
24	10 o'clock on Monday the 11th.
) 5	Perhans I can just indicate at this stage that at

1	the end of the closing submissions in this case study,
2	indeed the end of this case study, I will explain then
3	what our plans are for case studies for the rest of
4	2019, which I hope will be helpful to everybody. So
5	after we've completed those submissions.
6	Thank you.
7	(12.46 pm)
8	(The inquiry adjourned until 10.00 am
9	on Monday, 11 February 2019)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX
2	
3	PANEL SESSION1
4	
5	CHARLIE COGGRAVE (recalled)1
6	
7	SALLYANN KELLY (recalled)1
8	
9	DAVID BEARD (recalled)1
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	