1	Wednesday, 5 December 2018
2	(10.00 am)
3	LADY SMITH: Good morning. Mr Peoples.
4	MR PEOPLES: Good morning, my Lady. The next witness to
5	give oral evidence wishes to remain anonymous and has
6	chosen the pseudonym "Eric".
7	LADY SMITH: Thank you.
8	"ERIC" (sworn)
9	LADY SMITH: Please sit down and make yourself comfortable.
10	Eric, just one thing I want to ask you at this
11	stage, which is to try to keep in the right position for
12	that microphone. We need you to use it for not just
13	everybody to hear you in the room, but particularly so
14	the stenographers can hear you through the sound system.
15	Mr Peoples will explain to you what happens next.
16	Questions from MR PEOPLES
17	MR PEOPLES: Good morning, Eric.
18	A. Good morning.
19	Q. Before I begin to ask some questions, can I just say
20	there's a red folder in front of you within which there
21	is a copy of a statement you've provided to the inquiry
22	in advance of today. I will be asking you some
23	questions about the things that you've told us about
24	in the statement.
25	You're free to use that at any time to refer to or,

- if I direct you to some matters in it, the statement
- will also appear in front of you on the screen, so if
- 3 you find it easier to work off the screen, then please
- do so. It's very much a matter for you.
- 5 Before I actually ask you any questions, I'll give
- 6 the reference number that we have attached to your
- 7 statement for the benefit of the transcript. It's
- 8 WIT.003.001.6021.
- 9 At this stage, if I could ask you to turn to the
- final page of the written statement on page 6029 in the
- 11 red folder. Can you confirm for me that you have signed
- 12 your statement on that page?
- 13 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Can you also confirm that you have no objection to your
- 15 statement forming part of the evidence to this inquiry
- and you believe the facts stated in your written
- 17 statement to be true?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Against that introduction, can I ask you to perhaps turn
- 20 to the first page of the statement on page 6021 and
- 21 confirm for me simply that you were born in the year
- 22 1951? I don't need your precise date of birth.
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. On that page, Eric, you give us some background
- 25 information which I can maybe just take at this stage.

- 1 The reason you're here today is that you were, in the
- 2 early 1970s, working for Barnardo's at an establishment
- 3 in Scotland known as Balcary House in Hawick.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Before I ask you about that, you tell us a little bit
- 6 about your qualifications and work experience during
- 7 your working life. Basically, your area of interest and
- 8 employment has been social work, is that right, for your
- 9 whole adult life?
- 10 A. That's right.
- 11 Q. And that what you tell us on page 6021 is that you've
- held, I think, between 1976 and 2009 various social work
- positions with local authorities in England; is that
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. That's right.
- 16 Q. It appears from the description of the various posts
- 17 you've held that they've mainly been concerned, is it,
- 18 with adults and older persons and vulnerable adults?
- 19 A. Yes, that's correct: adult social work and particularly
- with older people.
- 21 Q. You tell us that your qualifications -- you have a BA in
- 22 sociology and social administration, which you obtained
- from the University of Durham in 1975. You have
- 24 a certificate of qualification in social work and
- a diploma in applied social studies, which you obtained

- from the University of Southampton in 1976, and you also
- 2 have a diploma in management studies from
- 3 Anglia Polytechnic that was obtained in 1990.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Before you obtained these qualifications, you did work
- on various occasions in the early 1970s for Barnardo's
- 7 at Balcary House in Hawick; is that correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. I'll try and take some dates from you at this stage
- 10 based on some of the records that we've seen and try and
- 11 put it together with the information you have told us
- about on page 6021. I'll just take the dates at the
- 13 moment before I ask you anything about your period at
- 14 Balcary.
- I think that your first employment there was as
- a volunteer working for an organisation called Community
- 17 Service Volunteers, and that you worked at Balcary for
- a time in 1970; is that right?
- 19 A. Yes, that's right.
- Q. I have seen some records that suggest you may have
- 21 worked in 1970 from around of that year until
- . I don't know if that accords with your
- 23 recollection.
- 24 A. That would fit. I can't recall the exact dates.
- Q. It is a long time ago.

- 1 Then, as I understand it, the following year, prior
- 2 to changing, I think, direction at university, you took
- 3 up employment for a time at Balcary starting in 1971;
- 4 is that correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Again, I can maybe put some dates to you from records
- 7 that we've been shown. I think it's accurate to say
- 8 that you may have started around 1971 and
- 9 thereafter you would have completed a two-month
- 10 probationary period with Barnardo's. I don't know if
- 11 that rings any bells now.
- 12 A. The date would be right. I don't recall a probationary
- 13 period. I'm not saying there wasn't.
- 14 Q. Internally I think they had a process which involved
- a probationary period after which an appointment was
- 16 confirmed. I don't know if that was something you're
- aware of. We've seen documentation to that effect.
- 18 A. Fine. I don't recall it, but I --
- 19 Q. And I think the post that you were employed in at that
- 20 time was I think as an assistant house parent. I think
- 21 that was how it was described at least.
- 22 A. That sounds familiar, yes.
- 23 Q. After the probationary period and approval of your
- 24 appointment, you continued in that capacity until you
- left the organisation on 1972; does that

- 1 ring a bell?
- 2 A. Yes, it'd be about right.
- 3 Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, I think you then, the following
- 4 year, may have worked also during vacation from
- 5 Durham University for around a couple of months in 1973
- from 1973 through to about 1973,
- 7 which would probably accord with the university
- 8 vacation. Do you remember that?
- 9 A. Well, I hadn't, to be honest --
- 10 Q. There seems to be a record to that effect.
- 11 A. Now you say it, that's certainly believable, so ...
- 12 Q. I think it was in a different capacity. You were
- 13 basically a summer job, if you like.
- 14 A. Okay, yes. Perfectly believable, yes.
- 15 Q. The inquiry has asked some questions about various
- 16 matters in your statement. Before I look at these
- 17 matters with you, can I perhaps start in a more general
- 18 way and just ask you what your particular memories are
- 19 of your time at Balcary. Have you got particular
- 20 memories that stand out or is there anything in
- 21 particular that you recall of that chapter in your life?
- 22 A. I'm not sure what I would highlight. It felt a positive
- 23 period at the time. I thought I was being useful.
- There were plenty of moments of laughter and enjoyment.
- Q. If you were asked about -- if someone was to say, "Tell

- 1 us about the place itself", have you any memories or
- 2 general impressions or recollections of the place
- 3 itself?
- 4 A. The physical?
- 5 Q. Not just the physical, your whole impressions of the
- 6 establishment.
- 7 A. Right. In a sense it was larger than life in a way
- 8 because it was a very large house, very large number of
- 9 people, but it was trying to operate as a family group
- 10 as well. So that sort of contrast between family life
- 11 but having to cope in, for want of a better word,
- 12 what was an institutional setting.
- 13 Q. I think, just picking up on that theme, at page 6022 in
- 14 your written statement you say:
- "It was a welcoming place but with a somewhat
- 16 institutional feel."
- 17 Can you help us with what you were trying to convey
- 18 by that?
- 19 A. It was a large imposing house, but it would be much
- 20 larger than most of us would think of living in,
- of course; it was even bigger at the back.
- 22 As you go in, you've got very large rooms. So
- a large dining room, which catered for that large number
- of children and staff eating together. A pleasant smell
- of furniture polish and floor polish -- pleasant but

- 1 has, to my mind, a slight institutional tone to it. Not
- 2 necessarily unpleasant, but it ...
- 3 Q. Can you recall approximately how many children were at
- 4 Balcary when you were there in the early 1970s?
- 5 A. I imagine it must have varied, but my memory is
- 6 something around mid-teens, so 15, 16, 17.
- 7 Q. Children?
- 8 A. Children, yes. At most.
- 9 Q. What age range did --
- 10 A. School age, but I think the youngest was 5 or 6, going
- 11 up to 14.
- 12 Q. And boys and girls?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. You tell us a bit about the management structure in
- 15 those days. You tell us that the persons who were in
- 16 charge at that time were a Mr and Mrs Barron; is that
- 17 right?
- 18 A. That's right.
- 19 Q. Was that Joan and Stuart Barron? Does that --
- 20 A. Yes, yes, it does.
- Q. You also tell us that there were a couple who were the
- deputies to the Barrons called Mr and Mrs Wright.
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. But you tell us that during the -- between the start of
- 25 your association with Barnardo's in the 1970s and the

- end of it, that Mr Wright was killed in a car crash.
- 2 A. That's right.
- 3 Q. Did Mrs Wright continue to work at Balcary or can you
- 4 recall?
- 5 A. Yes, she did. I'm not sure for how long but, yes, she
- 6 did.
- 7 Q. In terms of the staff numbers, you've estimated -- and
- 8 indeed it's in your statement at page 6022 -- that there
- 9 was perhaps 16 to 18 children. You give us some
- 10 estimation of the staff/children ratio being about --
- 11 child to staff ratio of 2:1. Would that be about right,
- that there were maybe 8 or 9 staff?
- 13 A. Yes. I hope I haven't conflated different people at
- 14 different times, but I think that's right.
- Q. Because we would have Mr and Mrs Barron, we would have
- the deputies, Mr and Mrs Wright, so that would be four.
- 17 And then you talk of care staff that may have varied in
- 18 numbers but you estimated they were perhaps four or five
- 19 in number in addition, is it, to the Wrights and the
- 20 Barrons?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And you'd be one of the care staff in that category?
- 23 A. That's right.
- 24 Q. Would the others be permanent staff or volunteers?
- 25 A. They were permanent staff.

- 1 Q. Were they all performing essentially the same role, as
- 2 a residential care worker or house parent?
- 3 A. Yes. I don't think there was any distinction in role.
- 4 Q. You also say that you have a memory that there was
- 5 a cook and kitchen assistant, a part-time gardener and
- 6 a part-time cleaner in addition?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Would they be counted in your staff ratios?
- 9 A. No, no.
- 10 Q. So far as your role as a carer, residential care worker,
- is concerned, I think on page 6023, when asked about
- 12 that matter, you tell us that in the time you were
- 13 working at Balcary, the home wasn't divided into units
- 14 with carers being responsible for a specific group of
- 15 children or young persons.
- 16 A. That's right, yes.
- Q. So far as the layout and sleeping arrangements were
- 18 concerned, it being a mixed unit or establishment, there
- 19 were presumably dormitories or bedrooms for boys and
- 20 dormitories or bedrooms for girls?
- 21 A. Yes, there were about three large shared
- 22 bedrooms/dormitories and there was also some -- at least
- 23 two -- smaller rooms that could be twins or two people
- or one person for the older children.
- 25 Q. And generally speaking in terms of the dormitory

- 1 arrangements, were the children in particular
- 2 dormitories there according to age?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. As well as gender?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Would staff, including yourself, be staying on the
- 7 premises, as it were, in staff quarters?
- 8 A. Living in? Yes.
- 9 Q. Can you tell me, and I appreciate it's a long time ago,
- 10 what are your memories of the Barrons as the people in
- 11 charge? Can you help us with what you remember of them?
- 12 A. Experienced -- or they came over as experienced.
- 13 Confident. Clearly they were the couple in charge,
- 14 which was fine. I'm not sure what else to say.
- 15 Q. I suppose I might ask you a more specific question on
- that. How would you describe the relationship between
- 17 the Barrons and the children and young persons? If they
- 18 were the people in charge and indeed in charge of you
- 19 and in overall charge of the establishment, what sort of
- 20 relationship would you say they had with the children
- and the young persons that were at Balcary at that time?
- 22 A. I'd have said they were good. In authority terms they
- 23 were clearly one level; Mr and Mrs Wright were clearly
- 24 at the next level; then there were the staff, the care
- 25 staff. So I suppose there was more respect, formality

- for the management roles, but more for Mr and Mrs Barron
 and -- not less, but the next level down for the
 deputies.
- So they would be the source -- they were very much
 the leaders of the group, the home, they were very much
 in charge, so if there was a doubt about something
 it would be to Mr or Mrs Barron that you would go.
- Q. So far as interaction with children was concerned at
 that time, would the main interaction be between what
 one might term the primary carers like yourself and
 others rather than between Mr and Mrs Barron and the
 children or was that the way it was or was it different?
- 13 A. I'd say there was more from the Wrights and from the
 14 other care staff than Mr and Mrs Barron, but they were
 15 involved as well. I guess they did more of the
 16 office-based things. So I would say, yes, they probably
 17 were slightly less in face-to-face contact with
 18 children, but not necessarily, in my memory, a great
 19 deal.
 - Q. But Mr Barron, and perhaps his wife, would they have an office which they would use to carry out their management functions?
- 23 A. There was an office. They didn't describe it as their
 24 office, it was downstairs, off the main hall. It would
 25 be -- if they were in there, then the door would be open

20

21

22

- and if they had someone with them or some reason not
- 2 to ... and if Mr and Mrs Wright were leading, on duty at
- a particular time, they would use it if necessary.
- 4 Other times, it would just be shut.
- 5 Q. So far as the Barrons were concerned, I think the term
- 6 then might have been joint superintendents, if that was
- 7 their description, can you recall how they divided up
- 8 their responsibilities? Did one do particular things
- 9 and did the other have other responsibilities in
- 10 practice? It's a long time ago, I'm just seeing if
- 11 you have any memories of how they divided up their role.
- 12 A. It doesn't strike me that that was the case. Logically,
- it seems as though perhaps it might have been, but
- I can't think of an example.
- 15 Q. If I can take a possible example. In terms of, say,
- 16 morning routines -- and I think and others as carers
- would be involved in getting children up and out to
- school and so forth -- would that be something that
- 19 either Mr Barron or Mrs Barron would get involved in
- 20 personally?
- 21 A. Downstairs as part of the routine, yes. Probably less
- 22 so in terms of getting the children up. Probably less,
- I would say.
- 24 Q. At mealtimes would one or other be present --
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. -- or at least on the scene?
- 2 A. No, present. Staff and children ate together.
- 3 Q. Including the Barrons?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Did the Barrons have any children?
- 6 A. Yes, one lad.
- 7 Q. Did he fit in just with the other children and do the
- 8 same as they did?
- 9 A. Yes. They seemed to strike quite a good balance there.
- 10 Obviously, he went to the same school, so he was
- 11 a schoolfellow of the children. He would join in on a
- sort of age-appropriate basis. And at times, he would
- be at his home, in the flat, and the Barrons were quite
- open about, well, that's his -- he's with his family or
- 15 whatever. So I always thought that was a very difficult
- path to tread. It seemed to work. He was a nice lad.
- 17 Q. In terms of routines like bathing and washing, was that
- 18 something that the Barrons would supervise or would it
- be left to the care staff?
- 20 A. I think it depended on who was around, but it would
- 21 probably be more likely to be Mr and Mrs Wright and the
- care staff.
- 23 Q. I think you tell us, so I can maybe take this short,
- 24 that in terms of keeping any record of what was going on
- at Balcary at that time, you weren't directly involved

- in keeping or maintaining records; is that right?
- 2 A. That's right.
- 3 Q. Were you aware whether Mr and Mrs Barron or any other
- 4 person had a responsibility for maintaining records and,
- 5 if so, what records they were expected to complete?
- 6 A. I was aware that there were records in the office, which
- 7 were appropriately secure. But I don't know what
- 8 specifically they were or meant to keep.
- 9 Q. Were you asked as a carer to convey information about
- 10 the day-to-day activities or other matters to the
- 11 Barrons in order that that could be noted and recorded?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. That wasn't the way it was working at that time?
- 14 A. No. I'm not even sure if there was a daily record --
- 15 well, I kind of assume there wasn't, but I don't know.
- Q. You weren't expected to at some time to either sit down
- 17 with Mr and Mrs Barron or some other person --
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. -- and tell them what had gone on or tell them of any
- 20 particular matters that they wanted to know about?
- 21 A. No, if it was sharing of information it would be most
- 22 likely to happen after lunch in the staff room, where
- 23 there tended to be -- most of the staff would congregate
- for coffee with the Barrons.
- Q. So far as the Barrons are concerned, we have heard from

- one witness who was a resident during their time at
- 2 Balcary and indeed a resident during the time of their
- 3 predecessor, and that's a person you wouldn't have had
- 4 any dealings with, Miss O'Brien, but you may have heard
- 5 about her at the time. She pre-dated the Barrons and
- 6 your time at Balcary.
- 7 One witness, I think, did say that something along
- 8 the lines that the Barrons -- there wasn't a lot of
- 9 perhaps overt affection from them, they weren't that
- 10 type of couple. Does that accord with your recollection
- 11 of them, that they were maybe a little more detached?
- 12 A. Yes, that would fit with my recollection.
- 13 Q. Another one described Mrs Barron as a bit stern and
- 14 strict, at least in appearance or impression.
- 15 A. Yes. Yes, so if there was an issue of manners or
- something that needed "correcting", then it would be
- 17 probably Mrs Barron that would say something rather than
- 18 Mr Barron.
- 19 Q. I think it was described at times she had a stern look.
- 20 A. Yes, she could have a stern look, yes.
- 21 Q. There was some evidence to the effect that Mr Barron
- 22 might be involved in activities involving children and
- young persons --
- 24 A. Mm-hm.
- 25 Q. -- whereas Mrs Barron might be more involved in the

- 1 organisational aspects of the home and sorting out
- 2 matters like clothing, food supplies and things of that
- 3 kind. Again, I don't know whether that is something
- 4 that you remember or not, but was that the way things
- 5 were perhaps allocated?
- 6 A. Now you say that, certainly I can picture Mr Barron
- 7 playing football with us and the children or coming
- 8 swimming. I don't recall Mrs Barron being involved in
- 9 those sorts of activities.
- 10 Q. Would you have an involvement in dealing with the
- 11 children during the morning as part of your house parent
- duties in getting them up in the morning?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. In your time what would happen if a child had wet the
- 15 bed?
- 16 A. A low-key response. That was something that the Barrons
- 17 and the Wrights -- there was a clear expectation that
- 18 you treated that as low-key and didn't make a big fuss
- 19 about it.
- 20 The approach was that you just took the necessary
- 21 bedding off the bed to go down to the laundry and the
- 22 child would have a quick -- I was going to say dip
- in the bath, sort of to waist level in a warm bath to
- 24 avoid discomfort and quickly carry on with the dressing
- 25 routine and what have you.

- 1 Q. Would it have been obvious to other children in the
- 2 dormitory that the child had wet the bed though?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. Did that ever cause difficulties in terms of remarks
- 5 being made or not?
- 6 A. There could be remarks from other children, putting down
- 7 but probably in a slightly crowing way, saying
- 8 "I haven't" or "I don't". But the response to that was
- 9 to reject that sort of criticism and say, "Hey now, lots
- of people that happens to; if you haven't, well done".
- 11 Q. Can you ever recall, in the time you were there, a child
- having to take their own wet sheets downstairs to the
- laundry?
- 14 A. Quite likely in terms of the process, but when they
- needed to go down, depending on where -- how dressed
- 16 people were and how time was going, what have you. So
- 17 yes, certainly it --
- 18 Q. It could have happened?
- 19 A. Oh yes.
- Q. The reason I'm asking you about that is that there was
- 21 some evidence I think to the effect that if a child wet
- 22 the bed, one witness who spanned the time of the Barrons
- and indeed their predecessor, Miss O'Brien, although she
- 24 wasn't maybe able to put a precise date, recalled having
- 25 to take her wet sheets downstairs after she wet the bed

- and she found that personally to be a bit humiliating
- 2 because she said it was obvious then that she had wet
- 3 the bed and that she was taking her wet sheets down to
- 4 the laundry, which other children who hadn't wet the
- 5 bed, they were not doing that. I don't know, did that
- 6 happen in your time perhaps?
- 7 A. It did. I mean, I don't think it was intended to be
- 8 punitive or humiliating or anything like that. I think
- 9 it was just practical. But my first thought as you were
- saying that was, well, the other children in the shared
- 11 bedroom would know, but to be fair to the person you're
- 12 quoting, of course the other children wouldn't in the
- other bedrooms. So in a sense it was spreading
- information that maybe was better not spread.
- 15 Q. And I suppose if one was trying to look at it from the
- 16 perspective of the child, particularly a child who had
- 17 wet the bed, that could be perceived by them,
- 18 understandably, as rather humiliating and perhaps
- 19 putting the spotlight on them, some sort of walk of
- shame, if you like, as they perceived it.
- 21 A. It's possible. It's possible, yes.
- 22 Q. Whatever the intentions, whether that wasn't the
- 23 intention or not, that might have been --
- A. An outcome.
- 25 Q. -- an outcome of the process.

- 1 A. Yes. I accept that, yes.
- 2 Q. What was the situation about disciplining and punishment
- in your time? Because Mr and Mrs Barron were there for
- 4 the whole of the period that you were employed at
- 5 Balcary, between 1970 and 1973. What was the situation
- 6 about discipline and punishment in broad terms?
- 7 What was the policy or the approach of the Barrons and
- 8 the establishment?
- 9 A. Well, one of the questions was about use of the strap,
- 10 for example. That, as far as I know, didn't exist.
- 11 Certainly I wasn't aware of that ever being used or
- threatened; it was used at school.
- 13 Q. Yes.
- 14 A. So there was no formal punishment like that and there
- was no, as far as I'm aware, formal punishment of
- 16 attending to Mr and Mrs Barron to be punished or
- 17 something like that.
- 18 Q. Because I think historically, at Balcary, perhaps there
- 19 had been a cane that was from time to time used by the
- 20 person in charge. Historically, I say, but I was just
- 21 wondering what the position was in your time. Were you
- 22 ever aware that such an implement was --
- 23 A. No --
- 24 Q. -- in the establishment or in the office or used by any
- 25 member of staff?

- 1 A. No. I'd be shocked if it was even there, to be honest.
- 2 Q. You didn't see one?
- 3 A. I certainly didn't see one. I don't recall it ever
- 4 being spoken of either.
- 5 Q. If that be the case, what did happen if a child
- 6 misbehaved or displayed what might be termed challenging
- 7 behaviour?
- 8 A. Obviously it would depend on the situation. I suppose
- 9 try to prevent things blowing up in the first place,
- 10 de-escalate, distract, tell off, be cross with -- and at
- 11 times threaten being reported to Mr Barron or Mrs Barron
- 12 as being a higher authority.
- 13 Q. If these were the methods that were used, I take it by
- 14 you and indeed others -- is that what you are telling
- 15 us?
- 16 A. Yes, that's the approach.
- Q. How did you know to react or respond in that way? Was
- 18 there some form of written guidance, instruction? Or
- 19 how did it come about that that's how you dealt with
- these situations?
- 21 A. There was certainly no written instruction or guidance.
- 22 By observation of what the other staff did or
- 23 particularly the tone that Mr and Mrs Wright and Mr and
- Mrs Barron set.
- Q. Because I think you do tell us in your statement, just

- on that matter, and I can maybe take it at this point,
- 2 that you can't recall having any either specific
- 3 induction in what we would understand that term to mean,
- 4 or specific training when you were at Balcary for the
- 5 job that you were asked to do. Is that right?
- 6 A. That's right, yes.
- 7 Q. And indeed, you also say in the statement -- and I think
- 8 you have just confirmed in the evidence you've just
- given -- that you can't recall there being any written
- guidance or policies that you were aware of or indeed
- 11 asked to read or take on board.
- 12 A. No. I wasn't aware that there was anything. If there
- was, it would have been in the office presumably, but
- 14 there was nothing that we were asked to look at.
- Q. For a time -- and I think it may have pre-dated your
- 16 period at Balcary -- there was a document or a set of
- 17 policies known as the Barnardo's Book, which first saw
- 18 the light of day in 1944 and went through a further
- 19 edition in 1955. I think the information we've been
- 20 given by the organisation is to the effect that that
- 21 book was no longer in use by the late 1960s, and if that
- 22 be the case, it wouldn't have been in use when you were
- at Balcary, obviously, if that's correct.
- 24 But we were told that it was replaced by a system of
- 25 circulars which would be issued from the head office or

- 1 headquarters to superintendents and establishments. If
- 2 that was the system at the time you were at Balcary,
- 3 were you aware that that system was in operation and did
- 4 you ever see any circulars or were asked to look at any
- 5 to be acquainted with what was in them?
- A. No. I don't recall even any reference to documents like
- 7 that.
- 8 Q. So far as your period of employment between 1970 and
- 9 1973, and in particular when you were employed between
- 10 1971 and 1972 on a contractual basis, did you receive
- any or were you asked to undergo any training during
- 12 that period?
- 13 A. There was one bit of training that was offered to me and
- 14 another member of staff, which was human growth and
- 15 development. It was a Barnardo's initiative and I don't
- know who the tutor was, I don't know what her connection
- 17 was with Barnardo's or education, but a small group of
- 18 staff from -- two of us and at least one, if not two,
- 19 from another home met, a bit like an evening class.
- 20 Q. So was that training received at Balcary then?
- 21 A. No, it was at somewhere else. I think it was at another
- 22 home in the neighbourhood, but I remember it was a car
- journey away.
- 24 Q. And how long did that training course last in terms of
- 25 time?

- 1 A. From memory, three, four -- six sessions at most.
- 2 Q. Over a period of weeks, is it?
- 3 A. Yes. I mean, I assume it was every week or every other
- 4 week, I would guess.
- 5 Q. Were you expected to attend that training course? Was
- it voluntary or mandatory?
- 7 A. As far as I was concerned it was very much voluntary.
- 8 Mrs Barron asked the two of us if we would like to.
- 9 I get the impression it was encouraged but equally
- 10 I think both of us were -- not exactly biting her hand
- off, but we were delighted to have some training.
- 12 Q. Going back to the question of discipline and sanction,
- I think effectively you're telling us that you have no
- 14 recollection of any form of corporal punishment being
- used at Balcary in your time.
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. And, obviously, you've mentioned the sort of methods to
- 18 deal with children who were displaying challenging
- 19 behaviours or were misbehaving. What if a child didn't
- 20 calm down? Was there any form of restraint ever used to
- 21 deal with that situation, and, if so, how was that
- supposed to be handled?
- 23 A. I don't recall any time of someone being physically
- 24 restrained. I can think of times where you'd physically
- 25 separate children from fighting or whatever and you

- 1 would try and -- you could send one in one direction and
- 2 one in the other. I can't remember -- by restraint, you
- 3 mean holding someone down? Or I interpret that as --
- 4 I don't recall that --
- 5 Q. Yes. I think we know in modern times that in certain
- 6 settings with vulnerable people who may display certain
- 7 behaviours, sometimes for either their own safety or the
- 8 safety of others, certain physical restraint methods are
- 9 used from time to time. I think it's a common
- 10 understanding that that is done.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. What I'm wondering is whether such methods were in use
- in your time, and if so, were you given any guidance as
- 14 to how to deal with that situation?
- 15 A. No, there wasn't anything. What I would think of as
- restraint in adult social care terms, no, no pinning
- 17 people down or holding.
- 18 Q. So nothing of that kind was ever formally the subject of
- instruction or guidance --
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. -- to aid you as to how to deal with that sort of
- 22 situation if it arose?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Because I suppose it might be thought -- this was an
- 25 establishment, as I understand it, for children who had

- in some cases significant emotional disturbance and
- 2 behavioural problems before they got there. Were you
- 3 aware of that?
- 4 A. I wasn't aware that that's the designation that it had,
- 5 but I certainly understood that children were coming
- from, to varying degrees, broken and split-up homes with
- 7 significant emotionally distressed backgrounds, so I was
- 8 aware of that.
- 9 Q. And I suppose with that background -- whether you knew
- 10 the detail or not, with that background I suppose common
- 11 sense would say that it's difficult to imagine there
- never being occasions when they might require the sort
- of restraint that might be used today to deal with them.
- 14 They might sometimes not calm down, not be able to be
- reasoned with, and they might have to use some other
- method that may have to be deployed in the short-term.
- 17 It seems to make sense that it's unlikely that there
- 18 would never have been these occasions with that group of
- 19 children.
- 20 A. I mean, there certainly were times when children would
- 21 blow up, for want of a better word, but it didn't seem
- 22 to ... It wasn't a war zone. It didn't feel as though
- there was a constant battle going on.
- Q. I'm not suggesting this is a constant thing, I'm
- 25 suggesting that if you can have that situation today,

- 1 you could equally have had it in the 1970s.
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. Challenging behaviour isn't a modern phenomenon.
- 4 A. No.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And disturbance, emotionally or for whatever reason,
 isn't a modern development in children and young
 persons, and these were children from quite disturbed
- 8 backgrounds at times.
- A. Yes. I imagine we were told, but I don't think it was
 more than common sense, that if children were going home
 or to their family for a short stay or whatever, it was
 understood that they may be more emotionally susceptible
 to blowing up or having a tantrum or whatever. So there
 was an expectation of, again, trying to avoid and
 cutting a bit of slack, for want of a better word.
 - Q. So far as your knowledge of the children and young persons in the home was concerned, I rather get the impression from what you've said so far, at least in your role, you weren't given detailed knowledge of the background of the children that you were caring for.
 - A. That's right. We would tend to know geographically where people came from, but that was more to do -- because of distance of travelling. I didn't have a pen picture of each child. You might pick up from the child or from colleagues that there was a bit of information

- that they normally lived with relative X or had
- 2 siblings Y, but I had no overview or pen picture and
- I had no sense of what was happening in their outside
- 4 lives that might be impacting on them.
- 5 Q. Looking back, and reflecting on that, I take it you
- 6 might agree with me that that might have been a sensible
- 7 thing for the care staff to have information about so
- 8 they knew the people they were dealing with, they knew
- 9 something about their background, something about their
- individual characteristics, problems, strengths,
- 11 weaknesses and so forth.
- 12 A. I agree very strongly. With hindsight, I find it
- upsetting, to put it mildly, that we weren't working in
- terms of trying to help people's emotional situation.
- In a general sense because everything was -- care was
- well-intentioned, a stable setting and so on. Obviously
- 17 that was aimed at helping children or being beneficial
- 18 to children.
- 19 Q. Because it might be said that the early 1970s isn't the
- 20 Dark Ages, so these are things that perhaps ought to
- 21 have been addressed perhaps in a more considered way
- 22 with some form of system that would produce or provide
- that information to care staff, front-line staff.
- 24 A. I think that's right.
- 25 Q. So far as behavioural difficulties are concerned, were

- 1 you given any guidance as to what you should look for to
- 2 see if a child was particularly troubled whilst they
- 3 were in care in terms of were there things to watch out
- 4 for, indicators of problems or potential harm occurring
- 5 to them, whether within the setting or outwith the
- 6 setting? Were you given any guidance on that, what to
- 7 look for?
- 8 A. Not that I can recall at all.
- 9 Q. Can you recall if in your time any children ever ran
- 10 away from Balcary?
- 11 A. No. I'm fairly sure they didn't. I think I would have
- 12 remembered that.
- 13 Q. Would you have known how to respond to that situation if
- 14 they were brought back? If someone was to make a break
- for whatever reason and were brought back, were you
- given any guidance as to how you would deal with that?
- 17 A. No. No, because if it had happened while I was there,
- 18 I'm sure there would have been discussion at the very
- 19 least.
- 20 Q. You tell us on page 6024, Eric, a bit about mealtimes
- 21 and you've already said this morning that in your time
- 22 at Balcary the staff and the children ate together;
- is that right?
- 24 A. That's right.
- 25 O. And that included the Barrons?

- 1 A. Yes. Yes, if they were off duty, they would eat
 2 upstairs in their flat.
- Q. You deal with what would happen at mealtimes and about children who might not necessarily like everything that was served up to them. Can you tell us how that situation was dealt with? There must have been times when children said, "I can't stand this, I'm not going to eat it", and so forth. How was that dealt with?
- 9 A. It didn't seem to be an issue, but children were
 10 encouraged to eat food. I can't remember how the food
 11 was served and the process of portions getting on the
 12 plates. I have a feeling it must have been in the
 13 dining room because I can remember children jollying the
 14 server to have less of X and more of Y, so there was
 15 a certain amount of negotiation.

I don't think I'm conflating this with other memories, but I think there was just an expectation that you'd try things, have a small amount of something you didn't like and have more of what you did like, and you were expected to finish your food. But there wasn't a "you stay behind until you eat it" approach.

- Q. So you can't remember that sort of treatment of a child who was not willing to --
- 24 A. No.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q. -- or wasn't wanting to eat something?

- 1 A. It may have been that they were kept back a bit to
- 2 finish, particularly if they'd been talking a lot at
- a meal perhaps, but it wasn't until you eat it or
- 4 whatever. And I'm not even sure I really remember
- 5 an issue being made about keeping children back, to be
- 6 honest.
- 7 Q. In this inquiry -- and I'm not being specific to
- 8 Balcary -- we have heard that historically some children
- 9 were force-fed if they didn't eat something. Did you
- 10 ever see anything like that happening --
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. -- or that they might have a meal re-served on the next
- 13 occasion?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. No?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Can you recall whether there was any choice given in
- 18 your time if someone said, "I don't like liver, I would
- 19 like something else"?
- 20 A. I don't think so. I don't know because there was some
- 21 sort of access to, I don't know, bread and jam or
- 22 whatever. But I don't recall there being choice.
- I think one of the things that the cook and presumably
- 24 Mrs Barron did try to do was aim at food that was more
- likely to be ...

- 1 Q. Consumed?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Who did the cooking then, was it Mrs Barron?
- 4 A. No, the cook's name was June or Jean. There was a cook.
- 5 Q. We've heard a person called -- she was certainly maybe
- 6 there in the 1960s, and I don't know if she was still
- 7 there in your time. BEH does that ring a bell?
- 8 Mrs BEH ?
- 9 A. No, no.
- 10 Q. So it was someone else that was by that stage doing the cooking?
- 12 A. Yes, and she wouldn't have been called anything.
- Q. I don't know if she was called that to her face;
- I suspect she was called it behind her back by the
- 15 children. I'm not suggesting that was what she asked
- the children to call her. I should have made that
- 17 clear, that's my mistake.
- 18 A. I wouldn't have called her behind her back. She was
- 19 a nice lady.
- Q. The woman that you are thinking-off?
- 21 A. Yes, she said it as she saw it and she was good with the
- children.
- 23 Q. You deal on page 6024 with the matter of free or leisure
- time in paragraph 15. You tell us there were things
- 25 there and we have heard other evidence that there were

- 1 activities, trips and free time and so forth --
- 2 A. Mm-hm.
- 3 Q. -- at Balcary. You do say, however:
- 4 "I don't recall reading being actively encouraged."
- 5 That seems to be something that maybe sticks out in
- 6 your mind.
- 7 A. That was in response to a question and I was -- looking
- 8 back, I thought maybe there should have been a slot.
- 9 But reading certainly wasn't discouraged and there was
- 10 reading at bedtime. There were books and a bookshelf
- 11 downstairs in the playroom, but there wasn't an
- 12 atmosphere where we as staff or the home was expected to
- -- "Here's a quiet time after tea, everyone go and read
- 14 for ten minutes" or something like that.
- Q. You tell us on page 6025 that the children -- and we
- 16 know this, I think, from other evidence -- at this
- 17 particular establishment, they were educated at local
- 18 primary and secondary schools in the area.
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. You say you can't specifically recall homework being
- 21 required. I suppose that might be, well, the school
- 22 didn't insist on it for children at the school or
- 23 children at Balcary or it might simply be that you have
- 24 no memory of being involved in assisting children with
- 25 homework. I just wonder what your memory is on that.

A. Well, certainly I don't remember being involved and
I don't recall if there was a school policy about either
the work being done at school or meant to be done at
home. Either it didn't figure on my radar or I've lost
it in time, but I don't ... There weren't ... I don't
recall anything being said in terms of either generally
or specific children, "This person is particularly good

at X or Y, let's help with that".

8

17

18

19

20

- It's a difficult one, perhaps, to -- a difficult 9 Ο. 10 question to answer, but to what extent do you think at 11 that time in that establishment, given the fact that it 12 was taking in children from difficult backgrounds, to 13 what extent education, reading, encouragement of reading 14 and educational development was given a priority? Did you get the sense that that was a priority for the 15 16 management?
 - A. No, I didn't. I'm not saying because they had particular views about it or whether they were -- whether this was a general low expectation approach. I don't know.
- Q. So far as external supervision or oversight of the home
 was concerned, I don't think you've got any recollection
 of any external official visitors or you've got no clear
 recollections of that happening on a regular basis?
- 25 A. No, I don't recall any visitors. I don't recall the

- senior manager visiting. I'm not saying she didn't, but
- I hadn't met her when I was appointed, so I would
- 3 have -- I think I would have known.
- 4 Q. I think we understand from the evidence that the way
- 5 Barnardo's as an organisation worked was that they did
- 6 have their own staff, they had an Edinburgh office, they
- 7 had field social workers, I think they would have been
- 8 then called, and these individuals would from time to
- 9 time visit various establishments, no doubt including
- Balcary. But you don't remember those persons
- specifically? You're not saying they didn't come but
- 12 you don't remember their attendance?
- 13 A. I certainly don't remember a manager coming. I can
- 14 remember a reference to a Barnardo's social worker and
- there was a sort of implication that this was a new
- development. So they were there somewhere, but
- 17 I personally never met them when they visited and
- I wasn't expected to, so I wouldn't necessarily know
- whether they were there.
- 20 Q. I suppose if you were there for an appreciable period of
- 21 time, particularly between 1971 and 1972, and were
- 22 caring directly for children, if those visitors were
- coming and not speaking to you, they weren't getting
- 24 direct information from the front line carers --
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. -- if that was the way it was done?
- 2 A. Yes. Certainly not from me and I'm not aware of it from
- 3 other staff.
- 4 Q. A number of children by the 1970s, probably all of them,
- 5 would have been placed in Balcary by a local authority
- 6 as a form of placement to discharge their obligations
- 7 towards children in need of care away from home.
- 8 Can you recall what I would term local authority
- 9 social workers rather than Barnardo's fieldworkers
- 10 visiting and engaging with you and the children?
- 11 A. No. Exactly the same answer, really, as for the
- 12 Barnardo's social workers. Some children had
- a social worker, but I certainly never met them.
- Q. What about other visitors? I got the impression -- and
- I think it's on page 6026 and you in fact deal with this
- directly at paragraph 22 -- that your recollection was
- 17 that at that time visits by parents and family members
- 18 was not a common occurrence at Balcary.
- 19 A. No, it wasn't.
- 20 Q. Although you do have a memory that some children would
- 21 go away and stay with family from time to time?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Either during the holidays or perhaps at weekends?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Or things of that kind?

- 1 A. Yes. I think more likely to be holidays than weekends,
- I think. But again, distance was a factor, I think.
- 3 Q. What system was in place at that time, if you're able to
- 4 help us, to ensure that staff, particularly those
- 5 directly involved in care, were doing their job properly
- and that they were treating the children well? What
- 7 sort of system of monitoring within the establishment
- 8 existed to ensure that staff did their job properly?
- 9 Are you aware of any?
- 10 A. I'm not aware of any formal systems. There wasn't
- 11 supervision. There weren't structured staff meetings.
- 12 Q. Was there staff appraisal in your day?
- 13 A. No, not in my day.
- Q. You didn't get an appraisal or evaluation?
- 15 A. No.
- Q. I think just on that topic at paragraph 23 on page 6026,
- 17 Eric, you make the point at the end of that paragraph --
- and this may reflect what you've told us already:
- 19 "Although I didn't feel unsupported at the time and
- 20 managers were supportive, with hindsight it would have
- 21 been better to have had individual staff supervision
- 22 meetings and staff meetings."
- 23 So I take it that is -- you'd have seen that as
- 24 a systemic weakness, if you like, of the system at the
- 25 time?

- 1 A. Yes, and an important one to my mind as well.
- 2 Q. And when you're talking about staff supervision
- 3 meetings, that to some extent is part of a process of
- 4 evaluating staff performance and appraising them from
- 5 time to time as well as a maybe formal appraisal
- 6 annually or whatever?
- 7 A. Yes, and guidance.
- 8 Q. And guidance, yes. So any sort of discussions tended to
- 9 be an informal discussion when you were together for
- 10 a coffee or something like that, perhaps, rather than
- something structured?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. I think you also tell us that you're not aware of any
- inspectors or other officials of that kind visiting the
- home in the time you were there. You're not saying,
- I take it again, that they didn't come, but if they did,
- 17 you're not aware that they were there?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Although I think in the case of Balcary, unlike some
- 20 places run by Barnardo's, it wasn't a school or an
- 21 educational establishment as children were educated
- elsewhere.
- 23 A. Yes, that's right.
- Q. You have a memory, though, that, at least in your time,
- 25 there was at least one occasion when you recall a review

- 1 being undertaken or reviews being undertaken of
- 2 children. So simply based on that recollection, there
- 3 seems to have been some system whereby the children's
- 4 placement and their situation was reviewed periodically;
- 5 is that right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. But you weren't personally attending reviews --
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. -- to give information --
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. -- about children that you were dealing with? Is that
- 12 your memory?
- 13 A. That's right. I remember being asked to write
- 14 a paragraph about children at one set of reviews.
- 15 Q. But you were at least providing information in the way
- 16 you've described?
- 17 A. Certainly on one occasion.
- 18 Q. For the purpose of a review?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. On page 6027 you were asked about access to children and
- 21 you say what -- I think you're not aware or can't recall
- 22 any specific policies or written guidance, I think,
- about access to children and whether there were any
- 24 rules or regulations governing where children could go
- or where staff and children should be together or

- 1 whether they should be together on their own or
- 2 whatever. There was nothing of that kind laid down?
- 3 A. Nothing at all. I don't remember it being discussed.
- I don't actually remember children going on sleepovers
- 5 or anything other than to family.
- Q. We've heard that historically, in some settings,
- 7 children who perhaps didn't get a lot of family contact
- 8 might have befrienders, people who'd come and visit and
- 9 take them out and even maybe have them over to stay.
- 10 Can you recall whether such a system was in operation at
- 11 Balcary in your time or not?
- 12 A. Not as far as I know. I wasn't aware of that. Whether
- 13 there was something left over, I don't know, but I don't
- 14 recall it.
- Q. Were there any areas within the home that children that
- were -- were off limits for children? Because clearly
- 17 there were staff quarters and private quarters for staff
- 18 to stay in. What was the rule about children and having
- 19 access? Was there a rule? Were you aware --
- 20 A. Well, the Barrons' flat had a little internal door which
- 21 was locked if there was no one there. The office
- likewise would be locked if there was no one using it.
- There was a staff room, which wasn't locked at all, and
- 24 which is where at times children would come and ask for
- a member of staff or whatever. You weren't meant to be

- in the kitchen except under the cook's express
- 2 permission.
- 3 Q. Would that be for health and safety reasons?
- 4 A. Yes, safety reasons, but children did help with some
- 5 kitchen chores.
- 6 Q. I think we've certainly heard some evidence, not about
- 7 Balcary, but another establishment run by Barnardo's
- 8 in the 1970s where, from time to time, children would be
- 9 alone with a staff member in the staff member's private
- 10 quarters. Were there any rules about that at Balcary or
- 11 were staff ever instructed about that?
- 12 A. Not that I'm aware of, but equally I wouldn't expect
- that to happen.
- Q. But you're not aware that anyone said, this is the rule,
- 15 that staff and children shouldn't be together alone in
- 16 private quarters for example?
- 17 A. Well, I don't explicitly remember that. I guess there
- 18 was just an expectation.
- 19 Q. You were asked also about what degree of preparation
- 20 children were given for leaving care, particularly the
- 21 older children leaving places like Balcary. I think you
- 22 don't really know whether there was any -- is that the
- 23 situation? You're not aware of what, if any,
- 24 arrangements were made to prepare children?
- 25 A. No. I don't know what happened after they left Balcary

- 1 either. But I don't recall -- I wasn't aware of any
- pre-leaving preparation.
- 3 Q. And you would be dealing from time to time with young
- 4 persons who would be reaching that stage in care, would
- 5 they?
- 6 A. Well, I can remember one lad now, who must have left
- 7 around the time I was there, because I was trying to
- 8 remember whether he went to stay -- I don't think he
- 9 went to family. So whether he went into the services or
- into a cadetship-type arrangement or into a Barnardo's
- 11 setting, I would guess in Edinburgh, I don't know.
- 12 Q. Because I think again we've heard some evidence from
- some former residents in establishments run by
- Barnardo's that they didn't feel adequately prepared for
- life outside of the establishment. But you perhaps
- can't help us on that, whether that would have been the
- 17 general situation?
- 18 A. I would have to put my hand up and say I would tend to
- 19 agree with that.
- 20 Q. So it wasn't obvious to you at the time that there was
- 21 this -- there was some form of structured preparation
- for the older children?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. And that you were expected to effectively implement some
- form of preparation programme?

- 1 A. To assist that. I certainly wasn't involved in that.
- I think if anyone had been involved in that, it would
- 3 have been Mr and Mrs Wright or Mr and Mrs Barron,
- I suspect, but I don't remember being aware of that.
- 5 Q. I suppose that to make it effective, it might have been
- a good idea to say, well, if we're going to have that
- 7 system, it's not just Mr and Mrs Barron that should be
- 8 involved, it should be the staff who are dealing with
- 9 the young persons on a regular footing to talk to them
- about matters like that, to prepare them for the
- 11 differences between living in an establishment and
- 12 living in the wide world. Does that not make sense?
- 13 A. Absolutely. I mean, there's something about having
- a plan, isn't there? And I think that's with hindsight.
- I wasn't aware at the time, but with hindsight. If you
- had a sense of a plan as to where the child was aiming
- 17 to go or get to, then you could discuss that or consider
- 18 ways in which you might help them get to that. I wasn't
- aware of a plan for children.
- Q. I suppose some of the things I'm talking to you about
- 21 today seem to you, with your long experience in dealing
- 22 with perhaps vulnerable adults and others, that these
- 23 are things that you -- people take for granted these
- 24 days, care planning, preparation, after care --
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. -- and so forth, having policies and systems that are
- 2 written down that staff are supposed to read and
- 3 understand.
- 4 A. Absolutely. Looking back, it's a different world.
- 5 O. Yes.
- 6 You were asked on page 6028 of your statement about
- 7 whether you had any awareness of abuse. You tell us
- 8 that you didn't see any behaviour that you considered to
- 9 be abusive --
- 10 A. Mm-hm.
- 11 Q. -- towards children or young persons at Balcary.
- 12 However, you do tell us that although you didn't see
- anything, you became aware of an incident involving
- 14 a volunteer staff member. Was that an incident that
- occurred in your time at Balcary?
- 16 A. Yes, it was.
- 17 Q. And did you become aware of that incident while you were
- 18 at Balcary?
- 19 A. Yes, I did.
- 20 Q. Can you tell us how you became aware and what you recall
- 21 about the incident that you tell us about in
- 22 paragraph 31?
- 23 A. As I recall it, the incident happened, I assume, in the
- 24 evening at bath time. For whatever reason, I was in the
- 25 staff room, so whether I was just arriving or off shift

- 1 probably, I don't know. I learned of the incident
- 2 actually from the member of staff, the volunteer in
- 3 question, because he had come into the staff room and
- 4 was visibly upset and it was from him that I learned
- 5 that the child had been hit. But at that stage, the
- 6 child had already, as I understand it, reported that, as
- 7 in straightaway.
- 8 Q. And so the knowledge that this volunteer had -- and you
- 9 tell us in your statement it was -- was it a male or
- 10 female?
- 11 A. A male.
- 12 Q. Had hit a boy aged 10 in the chest with a clenched fist.
- Was that information that the volunteer conveyed to you
- 14 when you saw him?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. So you say he was admitting to this behaviour?
- 17 A. Certainly. For want of a better word, I mean, he was
- 18 very upset, if not distraught, and he said he'd just
- been goaded beyond his self-restraint.
- 20 Q. But I suppose that's what people caring for vulnerable
- 21 children have to be trained to cope with.
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you have training to cope with that and did he have
- training to cope with that situation?
- 25 A. I didn't. I don't know what training he had or didn't

- 1 have, I'm afraid, but I would assume it was similar to
- 2 me since he must have arrived in a similar period to me.
- 3 Q. What you tell us, I think, of the matter is that the
- 4 member in question was immediately suspended and you
- 5 understand he was, I think, in the terms of today,
- 6 summarily dismissed from his employment?
- 7 A. Yes, the word suspended I don't recall being used, but
- 8 in effect he was --
- 9 Q. Relieved?
- 10 A. Yes, relieved of duties, for want of a better phrase,
- and he left within 24 hours.
- 12 Q. So there was swift action taken to deal with the
- 13 situation at least on that occasion?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. But made all the easier because the person in question
- had admitted to doing this? It didn't need to be
- 17 investigated in that way. That's how you deal with the
- 18 matter of admitted fact.
- 19 A. Yes. I'm confident that if it had been -- even if
- 20 he hadn't, that action would have been taken, because it
- 21 was very clear from the Barrons.
- 22 Q. You tell us that no child or young person ever spoke to
- 23 you about any form of abuse when you were at Balcary; is
- 24 that the situation?
- 25 A. That's right.

- 1 Q. You are asked at paragraph 32 on page 6028 about what
- 2 sort of person a child could speak to if they had
- 3 a concern that they wanted to disclose. You tell us
- 4 that there were people that they could have said things
- 5 to at the time if they had a concern; is that right?
- 6 A. As a fact, yes.
- 7 Q. I was going to --
- 8 A. Looking back, whether that was actually realistic is
- 9 another matter.
- 10 Q. I think that's probably the point I was maybe hoping to
- get your views on. Because you've now had broad
- 12 experience of not necessarily working with vulnerable
- 13 children and young persons over your working life, but
- 14 vulnerable adults and no doubt some of the same things
- can happen, whether to adults or to children. Is it
- 16 your experience that even if one has so-called trusted
- 17 adults in an organisation or as part of an official
- 18 system that that doesn't guarantee that people feel
- 19 confident enough to tell these people if there's
- 20 something that is going wrong or something that's
- 21 happening to them? Is that a common occurrence?
- 22 A. Well, history tells us that that certainly is true, so
- yes would be my answer. I mean, I think the other side
- of that is that what people say may or may not be
- 25 explicit, so it's whether or not whoever they're saying

- it to realises what's being said.
- 2 Q. Is that not also where training and education has to
- 3 come in, that you can interpret language or behaviour or
- 4 a child's way or a vulnerable adult's way of explaining
- 5 that there's a problem? They don't necessarily come out
- 6 with it and say, "I am going to explain to you about
- 7 abuse"?
- 8 A. Exactly, yes.
- 9 Q. So you have to have that education --
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. -- and also the knowledge of what to look for even if
- they don't explicitly disclose, whether they're
- displaying unusual behaviour or they've done something
- 14 that might call for an explanation like running away,
- for example?
- 16 A. Yes, absolutely.
- Q. But I'm getting the impression that none of that was
- 18 apparent to you, this level of training and knowledge,
- 19 at least at Balcary in the early 1970s?
- 20 A. No, it wasn't.
- 21 Q. Before I come to one other matter, I'll go to page 6029,
- 22 which is in a section called "Helping the Inquiry".
- 23 I get the impression from your answer about care
- 24 settings, even ones like Balcary, which you felt in
- 25 general terms was a positive environment albeit with the

- 1 qualifications you've given today, you say:
- 2 "With hindsight, it seems utterly wrong for young
- 3 children to be in a care home setting at all."
- 4 That might seem a little bit idealistic because
- 5 I think the current thinking, is it not, is that there
- is still a place in the case of certain children for
- 7 some form of residential care setting, that not all
- 8 children can be in a family setting, whether with their
- 9 own family or with foster families? I'm not sure quite
- 10 how far you're going with your opinion or argument on
- 11 that. What is the concern?
- 12 A. I mean, I think it probably is -- did you say ideal?
- 13 Q. Yes, in an ideal world, it is better to be in a family,
- 14 a conventional family setting.
- 15 A. I'm not sure whether I put it in the statement. The
- other issue is long-term, in particular. So the idea of
- 17 young children being in long-term residential care --
- 18 I don't think it is idealistic to say that shouldn't
- 19 happen. I think if there is no better or safer
- 20 alternative for children to be in residential care
- 21 whilst a longer term and more appropriate, to my mind,
- 22 setting was found, then that's fair enough.
- 23 Q. I suppose, just following up on that point, that the
- 24 reality is that in the case of children of a certain
- age, and perhaps with a certain background, if they come

- into the care system when they're perhaps 10, 11, 12 or
- 2 maybe even earlier, but not as very young children,
- 3 they're harder to place --
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. -- particularly if they've got a background of
- 6 behavioural problems that have caused them to be moved
- 7 from place to place, then it's very difficult sometimes
- 8 to get people who will take that challenge on?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. So however much it might seem a good idea, that is
- a problem and it's a problem today just as much as it
- may have been a problem in the past?
- 13 A. It is a problem today. But before children were
- fostered, it was thought you couldn't do that because it
- 15 was too difficult. It worries me from my adult social
- 16 care -- because of my work with people with
- 17 disabilities, it worries me that there's a greater
- 18 chance that children with disabilities would be in
- 19 residential care, not least for the reasons that you've
- 20 said, but where does their emotional care come from?
- 21 LADY SMITH: You may not be aware of this, Eric, but later
- 22 in this inquiry we will be looking in detail into foster
- care and the reason for that is because of people coming
- forward to us to tell us about having been abused in
- 25 foster care and there being difficulties with foster

- 1 care. The impression that we are getting at this stage
- 2 is it cannot be assumed that foster care will always be
- 3 a good experience or the right thing for the individual
- 4 child.
- 5 A. I absolutely agree. In many ways, in a fostering
- 6 situation it is harder to have systems and monitoring
- 7 and what we were talking about earlier. In many ways in
- 8 a group care setting it is easier to inspect and monitor
- 9 and get feedback.
- 10 MR PEOPLES: I suppose the other point that might be made,
- 11 particularly around the time you started at Balcary,
- is that those in care settings were to some extent
- 13 professionalised, albeit not completely in those days,
- 14 and maybe not even completely today, but foster parents
- are not professionals. At the moment that's not the way
- they operate. There are systems, but they're not seen
- as a profession.
- 18 A. They're not seen as that, although some authorities have
- 19 used that as a phrase and paid --
- Q. Higher rates.
- 21 A. Paid rates that enable people not to go out to work, so
- in that sense they are professional.
- 23 Q. And offer them more structured training?
- 24 A. But I fully accept what my Lady said.
- Q. On the point of people with disabilities and as they go

- 1 into adulthood and whether they end up in a residential 2 setting for long periods, you obviously have certain 3 views, but I think we have historically moved on from a situation where someone who had a disability was 4 5 necessarily put into some form of institutional setting 6 and stayed there for the rest of their days. We have 7 places -- I think now the current policy is to try and 8 have people included and in the community in mainstream 9 schools and mainstream employment and so forth. 10 that's the current thinking, although it's maybe not 11 a perfect system?
- 12 A. Yes, and it's not universally subscribed to.
- Q. It may be that there are certain residential settings
 that some would argue are better for people with
 specific problems and disabilities because they get the
 specialist care that they can't get in the community or
 in a mainstream setting.
- A. And I have had said to me by service users and their
 families and by others, equally passionately, strongly
 the other way.
- 21 Q. So it's an issue that divides opinion even today?
- 22 A. Yes.

25

23 LADY SMITH: Eric, if we assume for the moment that there is 24 always going to be a need for residential care for

children, what do you see as the irreducible minimum

1		features that require to be in that provision if
2		children are going to be properly provided for?
3	Α.	I think it's a long list, isn't it? I'm just trying to

think --

- 5 LADY SMITH: Well, if you want to give me three or four top 6 items in that list I'd find it interesting.
- A. There need to be systems in place that understand what
 the experience of care is for the person, for the child.

 That covers quite a big umbrella. But unless those
 systems are understanding what the child experiences,
 there's a risk that they will believe that things are
 better than they are or different to what they are.

Whether it's a different bullet point or subset, the voice of the child. A lot of work has been done to try and create circumstances where children can speak to a trusted adult outside, have a named person that it's actually okay to go to. So there need to be systems that respond to the individual needs and experience of the child and there need to be systems that are able to see the bigger picture so that there is context and that the legal framework, the monitoring frameworks, some of those things are themselves accountable.

And accountability, I guess, relates heavily to understanding what is actually happening.

LADY SMITH: Thank you.

- 1 MR PEOPLES: I get the impression, Eric, that to set up at
- 2 least a better system, one critical matter which maybe
- 3 has historically not happened is to get the experiences
- 4 of the person in care, how they felt, how they perceived
- 5 the situation, what would have worked for them or what
- 6 didn't work for them? Unless you have that direct
- 7 experience to contribute to devising a system, you're
- 8 not going to get a system that will work. Because
- 9 historically, I don't think people were consulted to
- say, "How was this experience for you? What is wrong
- 11 with it? What should we have done?"
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Is that not a critical thing that has to be understood?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And involve people very directly rather than just having
- 16 well-meaning professionals saying, "Well, we've
- 17 researched this, although we have never been in a care
- setting personally, we've got these great ideas that we
- 19 think will work", you have to go and talk to the people
- who have had direct experience?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And find out from them and maybe engage them in the
- 23 professions that are caring --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. -- in a meaningful way?

- 1 A. In some circumstances that does happen, I know, but less
- 2 importantly than that, there's something similar about
- 3 hearing from staff who have worked in those settings as
- 4 well.
- 5 Q. Yes.
- 6 A. They can be less important than hearing from --
- 7 Q. I'm not sure I would say -- it is important because
- 8 ultimately, we can all be told what systems were in
- 9 place, what policies we have, and all of that, but
- 10 ultimately policies have to translate into practice and
- it's people on the ground that have to put the policies
- into practice and you have to hear how it works in
- 13 practice from them.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Is that correct?
- 16 A. Absolutely.
- 17 Q. I have one final matter, which is dealt with in
- 18 paragraph 33, and you tell us about a matter of
- 19 a complaint. Before I go on -- and I'm not going to
- 20 take long with this -- can I say at this point that you
- 21 do not have to answer any questions about this matter.
- You've got the right just to say you don't want to
- answer any questions I'm going to ask you about this.
- I'm only going to ask a few questions. I think it has
- been explained to you before what rights you would have

- in the event that someone has made a complaint.
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 LADY SMITH: Could I echo that, Eric? Although this isn't
- 4 a court, in an inquiry setting you have exactly the same
- 5 rights to remain silent if you choose to do so. But if
- 6 you do answer, your evidence is available for anybody at
- 7 a later date in the transcript. I'm sure you appreciate
- 8 that.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- MR PEOPLES: So first of all, at page 6028 -- and we can
- deal with this relatively shortly, I think -- in 2004,
- 13 you became aware, I think, of a complaint having been
- 14 made to the police by a former child at Balcary, which
- alleged, as you tell us, indecent touching in 1970 or
- 16 1971. What you tell us -- is that correct, that there
- was a complaint?
- 18 A. That's right.
- 19 Q. You tell us in your statement that you firmly deny the
- 20 matters that were alleged at that time.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Is that your position?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. What you also tell us, I think, about that particular
- 25 matter is that there was, at the time, a formal

- investigation, which you were involved in, and indeed
- 2 you were interviewed about the matter, and that
- 3 a decision was taken, and I think communicated to you,
- 4 that no further action was considered appropriate.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Is that what it comes to?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. Am I right in thinking, though, that prior to that
- 9 complaint being made and being investigated, you hadn't
- 10 been aware of any form of complaint either by this
- person or any other person about the way you had behaved
- or conducted yourself at Balcary?
- 13 A. That's right.
- 14 Q. Is that the position?
- 15 A. Yes.
- MR PEOPLES: These are all the questions I have for you
- 17 today, Eric. I have not been made aware that other
- 18 parties have any questions to ask, so unless there are
- 19 any, I would just thank you for attending and thank you
- for the evidence you've given today.
- 21 LADY SMITH: Could I check if there are any outstanding
- 22 applications for questions?
- MR JACKSON: No, thank you, my Lady.
- 24 LADY SMITH: No -- Eric, is there something you want to add?
- 25 A. Could I briefly say something?

- 1 LADY SMITH: Please do.
- 2 A. I appreciate that hindsight can be easy. I also
- 3 appreciate that Barnardo's were probably better than
- 4 average, but I think that back then, staff were let
- 5 down. Worse, the children were let down. As an
- 6 inexperienced member of staff, I feel I let the children
- 7 down and I'm sorry about that. Looking back, it doesn't
- 8 feel good. Thank you.
- 9 LADY SMITH: Eric, thank you for that. When you say the
- 10 staff were let down, are you reflecting on what you've
- 11 already told us about?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 LADY SMITH: The lack of structure, the lack of formal
- training, really just being allowed to be thrown into
- 15 the job and get on with it, doing the best that you
- 16 could on what you could see other people were doing?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 LADY SMITH: Was there anything you wanted to add to that?
- 19 MR PEOPLES: No, there's nothing, my Lady. I think it's
- very helpful to have those closing remarks.
- 21 LADY SMITH: Thank you very much for engaging with the
- inquiry, for writing to us in response in the way
- 23 you have done, and for providing your statement and
- coming along today to answer our questions. It's
- enormously helpful to me to have had your input.

1 Thank you for that and I'm now able to let you go. 2 Α. Thank you. 3 (The witness withdrew) LADY SMITH: It's almost 11.30. We'll have a break now. 4 (11.28 am)5 6 (A short break) 7 (11.45 am)LADY SMITH: Ms Rattray. 8 Witness statement of QFB (read) 9 10 MS RATTRAY: My Lady, at this stage we will have read-ins from two statements of two witnesses who were expected 11 12 to be giving oral evidence but are now unable to be 13 here. The first is a statement of a witness who wishes to 14 remain anonymous and has the pseudonym QFB 15 His statement can be found at WIT.003.001.7606. 16 QFB 17 statement is in the form of answers to questions, which 18 were put to him by the inquiry. Where appropriate I have added words to give the context of the question 19 and provide the context to some of his answers: 20 "My name is QFB I was born in 1955. The only 21 22 qualifications I have are my GCEs that I obtained from high school in Gateshead. I'm not very good with dates 23 24 but my works history is roughly as follows. In the 25 1970s, I was a clerical officer within the Civil Service

in Newcastle. In 19/3, I had a care role at Barnardo's
in North Berwick. In 1978 I had a care role in
Philadelphia for approximately six months. In the 1980s
I had a care role at Barnardo's in North Yorkshire.
In the 1980s I had a sales role at Crystal Motors in
Harrogate. In the 1980s I had a care role at Leonard
Cheshire Foundation. In the 1980s I had a care role at
Breckenborough School. In the 1990s I had a care role
at Walderheath residential home for the elderly. In the
1990s I was scheme manager with
Tyne & Wear. In 2000s I had a management role at
And in 2012 I retired due to ill
health.

"I started working as a care worker for Barnardo's in North Berwick in the 1970s. I stopped working for Barnardo's in 1978.

"I think I just rang up the NSPCC, or whatever the equivalent was then, and enquired about jobs that were going. I then applied by completing an application form they had sent me. I wanted the job because at that time I was annoyed about the way kids were being treated and wanted to do something about it. I wanted to work with children because I wanted to provide trusted, honest and committed support for children.

"I can't recall the specific application process.

1 But I think I just had to provide my details, school 2 grades and any experience I had. I didn't have any 3 experience at that time. I had two separate interviews. I attended North Berwick and was shown round by the 4 5 boss. I just had a general chat about things. I also attended a more formal interview at the Barnardo's 6 7 headquarters in Edinburgh. 8 "I can't remember what references I gave. I think 9 there was perhaps one from my church leader. 10 "I have no idea what checks were made to find out if I was suitable to work with children. I can't remember 11 12 any. It was more about having an interest in the job. 13 "I can't recall any qualifications or experience 14 that were needed for the job. 15 "I was at Dr Barnardo's Glasclune North Berwick. I was very impressed when I arrived as it was a very 16 17 dramatic building on the top of hills with a backdrop to 18 the sea. It had an estate-type layout with a road 19 through the woods leading up to the big house, which had 20 three floors. The home also had a large front and back garden. The building had sleeping rooms, social areas, 21 22 a TV room, a kitchen, bathrooms and a laundry. "There was a head, a deputy, a third in charge, some 23 24 senior care workers, and then care workers. I can't

recall specific staff members. Mr Eric Falconer was the

25

1 man in charge. I was accountable to anybody higher than 2 I was, which was everybody. There were about 20-odd staff.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"The number of children at Glasclune varied. It was normally somewhere in the 20s. It was evenly spread between boys and girls. Some were as young as 5, but most of them were between 12 and 19. Some would stay for years and some would stay for very short periods. There were around 3 to 4 staff to the 20-odd children at any one time.

"I was a care provider or care worker. I worked in the whole home with all the children. I would only enter the bedroom section for the boys though.

"I was responsible for encouraging the kids to get up, get themselves washed and dressed, and making sure they were fed. I was also required to encourage the children to behave properly and to entertain themselves. For example, I would supervise the children if they wanted to play football and I would often join in. I was responsible for however many children were there at the time, so up to the mid-20s.

"I would generally work on my own unless other staff were also involved in playing football or whatever. There was no fixed rule about it.

"The groups were generally evenly mixed with boys

and girls. I stayed and slept in the staff flat, which was located on the ground floor. Later in my time there was an annex built and staff stayed in there. I was directly accountable to anyone senior to me. Generally I would report to the deputy head, Bill Craig.

"Anyone senior to me supervised me or checked up on me. I recall that the home was burnt down and we were all relocated to an adjacent property. The only other change I can recall is when the annex was built.

"My role was explained to me by Mr Falconer. There was no induction, it was more of a learning-on-the-job approach. There were occasionally courses to go on such as drama and music therapy, but there was no formal training otherwise. As I say, it was just a case of learning on the job. I wasn't offered any other training or education during my time there.

"Any courses that people did go on would be available to whoever was interested. As I say, these were few and far between. It was more about learning on the job. I don't think there were any written instructions. We were just given general guidance from our supervisors when required.

"There was a staff meeting on a Friday where staff would be given a summary of any new children who were joining. Staff would be very aware if a child was new

to a place and steps would be taken to make sure they were settling in. Staff would just keep an extra eye on the children, make sure they knew where everything was, and make sure nothing was bothering them. That support would be provided until they no longer required it. The children weren't allocated to specific areas other than boys and girls.

"The children would be woken for school around 7.30 am. Staff would walk round the rooms gently knocking the doors to wake the children. Some children did have problems wetting the bed. We would let the children go to school and then change the bed whilst they were away. This would avoid highlighting the issue and save the children from embarrassment. If they got embarrassed, this would make the issue worse.

"The children wore school uniform. They would either bring their clothes with them or Social Work would provide it. The home also had an account with the school if any of the children needed clothes bought for them. The laundry within the home washed and pressed the clothes. The children were encouraged to polish their shoes themselves and sometimes staff would show them how. Children didn't share clothes as they all had their own.

"There was a dining room with six big tables where

the children would sit down to eat. The food was excellent as it was prepared by an on-site cook. If children didn't like the food, or didn't want to eat the meal, they would be offered something else that they did like. The children were never punished if they didn't finished their food. They would be offered alternatives or encouraged to eat what they had. There was obviously a limit on how many alternatives we could offer.

"There was no allocated time for washing and bathing. Children could shower or bath whenever they wanted. They would be encouraged to do so regularly but it was up to them. The children would be encouraged to bath or shower at least once a week, some were more often than that. Sometimes the children would bathe alone and sometimes they would share. It would depend on their ages and how busy it was. Unless the children asked for help then the staff wouldn't be in the room. The staff would just wait outside and encourage the children to get washed from the door. It was important to give the children privacy.

"The children would sleep in rooms with three to four beds. There were three to four children per room. Some children did have their own rooms if they were a bit older. The children kept their belongings within wardrobes and drawers that were in their rooms.

Depending on what age the children were, they were encouraged lights out at different times. Before bed, they would be told to put away anything they were playing with and brush their teeth.

"If a child wet the bed during the night, then the staff would change the bed whilst the child washed themselves. Staff would try not to wake the other children up as they did this. There would be a couple of staff on duty throughout the night, but they weren't waking shifts. This means they would be sleeping through the night and would only get up if needed to. Children were free to get up and use the toilet and get a drink. The only restrictions were that they didn't wake the other children.

"Children could play football, play on the snooker table, read books or play with toys. They could also go out to youth clubs in the local area. They had televisions and radios. There were lots of books, et cetera, within the TV room. There was not a library as everything was available in the TV room. Reading was encouraged. The children could play with any toys they brought with them and toys were also provided. The type of things that were provided were chess sets, Lego and board games.

"Football was the main organised sporting activity

for children. There was a garden for the children to play in if they wanted to go outdoors. The children would go to the cinema, but they wouldn't generally go shopping. The children had personal possessions and got both pocket money and treats.

"The children would be taken on trips to places such as the beach, the local town or Edinburgh. They would either be supervised by staff or they would go themselves if they were old enough. I would attend these trips sometimes. My role was to supervise and/or transport the children.

"The children went to primary school and secondary school in North Berwick. The school would communicate any issues to the home on a weekly basis. The children would do their homework within a quiet area in the home.

"There was no religious instruction in the home.

"The local GP would deal with any health problems the children had. This would be by appointment generally. There were no medical staff based in the home. There were no routine health checks. If they were ill, they were taken to the doctor. If a child needed to go to hospital, they would have been taken to the local hospital. There was a dentist in town and I think the children were just taken there when necessary. I think the children had individual files

1	and so medical information would be stored on them.
2	There may have been a daily log too.
3	"The children didn't do any work. They would b

"The children didn't do any work. They would be asked to help wash up after dinner.

"There was a Christmas meal for the children who spent Christmas in the home. Other children would go and spend time with their families. I can't really remember what happened on birthdays.

"Family and friends would visit the children. They would phone in advance. Children would go out to visit their parents or other family if they were able to.

I think visitors were encouraged. They were certainly made welcome.

"I can't think of anyone else who visited other than officials, such as social workers. I don't think there was a visitors' book. I can't recall how often social workers would visit. I think it was whenever it was deemed necessary. They would speak to the children in a private office.

"In relation to checks made by Barnardo's to see whether I was doing my job properly, I think the senior members of staff would meet with Barnardo's to report monthly. I don't recall specific checks, but staff would be accountable to one another. I don't know the system for monitoring the care provided to the children.

"Barnardo's did visit. If Barnardo's did visit then they would be free to speak directly to the children.

They could do so privately or in front of others. If issues were identified by Barnardo's or senior staff then those issues would be raised with the relevant staff. Any feedback would be an informal follow-up on issues raised.

"The practice or procedure for disciplining staff was that staff were generally just spoken to. If it was very serious, then there would be a formal written intervention. Staff raising a grievance or concerns about other staff would do so through a senior member of staff. At the time I felt supported to do my job properly, but in hindsight there wasn't proper support.

Senior staff from head office would visit. I don't remember any other officials. I'm not aware what happened during any such visits. I'm unaware whether visits would involve speaking to children, feedback, follow-up or any recording.

I think that senior staff reviewed the position of the children. This would be done via a case conference involving the head, the deputy and social work.

I believe the child's views were considered.

"Siblings were only separated if one was a boy and the other a girl. Otherwise their views would be

considered and they could share if they wanted. If they didn't want to share, then they wouldn't be forced to.

Siblings were encouraged to see each other if they wanted to. They weren't forced either way. Children were always encouraged to maintain relationships with family members and were given information and updates about their family.

"Generally, only social workers, doctors and family had access to children on their own. From time to time staff would be alone with children, but this was rare.

I don't know what checks Barnardo's made to find if such people were suitable to be with children. I don't know how children's safety was protected but I presume checks were carried out.

"The position of the children being discharged from the home would be reviewed via a case conference.

I think some kind of action plan was provided but
I wasn't directly involved. The children were often found accommodation via the local authority. Little other assistance was offered once they left the home.

"The children had individual files which were kept under lock and key. We just kind of knew what information to record through learning on the job.

There was nothing written. Generally, we would record any significant incidents involving the children, eg

hospital attendances, truancy, family visits and fights with other children.

"Records were written up when we had time to update them. It was preferable to do so as soon as possible after whatever was being recorded had happened. Records were there so staff could know a little bit about the children they were dealing with. Staff had access to the records and read them when necessary.

"The records kept were just folders in a filing cabinet. They were locked in an office. Staff could access them. I have no idea what happened to them after I left or a child left. I don't recall much more about records other than what I have said.

"Discipline was kept to a minimum. Staff would only intervene if it was to protect the safety of children or property. It was situation dependent, but generally we would just try to mediate whatever the issue was. There were general expectations of good behaviour but nothing was written down. Fighting and abuse tended to end up being punished. Children would have their pocket money stopped or be firmly spoken to. We couldn't ground them and there was no violence.

"Whoever was on shift would deal with discipline.

It happened whenever it was necessary. Children were never physically punished. Punishments were not

1 recorded but particularly large incidents would be.

"I have been asked why sanctions and punishments were not recorded and why there was no punishment book. It was just how things worked then. Serious incidents were recorded so other staff would be aware.

"I didn't see any abuse. If I had, I would have reported it. If children had any concerns or worries, they could speak to staff, social work, families or teachers. Children knew they could do that. No child ever reported abuse to me. I think children were confident enough to report things. We promoted an open and trusting atmosphere.

"No abuse was ever reported to my knowledge.

"I have been asked about any allegations of abuse against me for which there has been no criminal record.

I have been advised not to answer any of the questions in this section.

"I have been asked about any prior statements I have given about my time at the establishment. I have been advised not to answer any of the questions in this section.

"If the kids were ever stopped by the police, then they were brought back to the home. I have no knowledge of any complaints of abuse to the police, so can't answer the rest of these questions.

1	"I have been asked that if I did not see or hear of
2	any abuse while I was at the home, how it can be that
3	allegations of abuse have been made against staff.
4	I don't know.
5	"I have been asked that if I did not see or hear of
6	abuse how it can be that the inquiry has information to
7	the effect that the experience of abuse some children
8	had at the home has continued to affect them and impact
9	on their lives. I don't know.
10	"I don't have any comment to make on any lessons to
11	be learned to protect children in care now or in the
12	future."
13	My Lady, at this stage in the questionnaire, QFB was
14	given an opportunity to respond to specific allegations
15	of sexual abuse which were made by CIB and we
16	heard oral evidence as well from CIB The
17	relevant parts of CIB statement, redacted
18	in an appropriate manner, were sent to QFB for his
19	comment or response.
20	QFB response is:
21	"I have been advised not to answer any of the
22	questions in this section."
23	And the statement or response to the questionnaire
24	was signed by QFB on 4 October 2018.
25	LADY SMITH: Thank you very much.

Τ	Withess statement of JASMINE BELL (read)
2	MS RATTRAY: Next is a read-in from a statement of
3	Jasmine Bell, who was a former employee of Barnardo's.
4	Her witness statement can be found at WIT.001.002.0749:
5	"My name is Jasmine Bell. I was born in 1946. My
6	contact details are known to the inquiry.
7	"I want to tell you about the period of time between
8	1963 and 1966 when I had a very happy experience working
9	as a care assistant at Balcary children's home in
10	Hawick, Scotland.
11	"I was born and brought up in Lockerbie, Scotland,
12	and as a child I attended Lockerbie High School. I left
13	school before sitting my exams as I wanted to work with
14	children. I started looking for jobs in the field of
15	childcare and responded to an advertisement for a job as
16	a care worker at Balcary children's home in Hawick.
17	"I recall that I had to travel to Carlisle and then
18	get a bus to Hawick for interview. I was 17 years old.
19	I was interviewed by Miss O'Brien, who was the person in
20	charge. The home was run by the Barnardo's
21	organisation.
22	"I had no previous experience of working with
23	children and there was no training provided apart from
24	what I learned on the job. It was a residential home
25	and I lived in there with the children and other staff

1 members.

"I arrived at Balcary children's home and was put to work straightaway. I loved working with children and I thoroughly enjoyed my time there. I was to remain in post for over two years and left to get married just before my 20th birthday.

"Balcary was a mixed-sex home and had about 26 children. They were of different ages, between 4 and 16. I was in charge of the younger boys who were aged up to about 10. I do remember one boy who was 17, but was about to join the army so he stayed on. There were a lot of siblings who were there together. They were placed in dormitories depending on age and sex. They were all aware of each other. We had a set of triplets. The bedrooms had between six and seven children, although there were some smaller rooms for the older children.

"There was one occasion when a brother and sister came into the home. The brother was very young and we made a decision that he would sit at a table with his sister until he got used to the routine. This was a decision made amongst the care staff and we didn't have issues making our own decisions.

"The home was run by Miss O'Brien. I think that Miss O'Brien had been the first person to run the home,

which was opened during the Second World War. She had come from London with a few children who were evacuated to avoid the bombing in London. When the home had opened, she was put in charge of running it. She was known to the children as Mamaji, which I think means 'mother' in another language.

"While I was at Balcary, Miss O'Brien retired and the running of the home was taken over by a married couple carried Stuart and Joan Barron. As it happens, Stuart Barron died in 2018.

"Although the home was mixed-sex, it was segregated. The children came from all over Scotland and were all long-term residents. There were whole families consisting of three siblings of differing ages and sexes. I was never told of any of the history of the children and, as far as I was aware, there were no records kept of their stay at Balcary. I was 17 years old and only a year older than some of the resident children.

"There were about eight or nine staff members.

A woman who was a former resident of Balcary and became a care worker showed me the ropes. She had left and joined the army only to return and work at the home.

She was in charge of the older boys. Miss O'Brien was in overall charge and she was succeeded by Stuart and

1	Joan Barron, who took over the running of the school
2	when I was still there.
3	"There was a woman called Old Hutchy, who seemed to
4	have a supervisory role. I never knew her real name.
5	Betty McLellan looked after the young girls and Roberta
6	looked after the older girls. I remember that Roberta
7	came from Lockerbie.
8	"Agnes Thompson covered for the staff that were
9	having a day off. When the Barrons arrived and took
LO	over, they had a 6-year-old boy. I think that
L1	Mrs Barron had previously worked at a Barnardo's home in
12	Balerno near Edinburgh.
13	"Most of the staff resided at the home.
L 4	Miss O'Brien and then the Barron family had a flat on
L5	the first floor of the house. I had a room on the
16	second floor when I arrived but moved to a room on the
L7	first floor near where the children slept.
18	"It was a big country house on the ground floor
19	there was a staff sitting room, a dining room, a kitcher
20	and scullery. Miss O'Brien also had her office on the
21	ground floor.
22	"There was an outbuilding adjoined to the house
23	which had the boys' cloakroom and laundry. There was
24	also a small girls' playroom.

"Upstairs on the first floor in the building was

24

25

where all the bedrooms were. Some of the staff also had bedrooms there. I recall that on the second floor there was a hatch and when you lilted it, there was a fireman's pole which went down to the first floor.

"The first floor was where Miss O'Brien had her flat. Boys and girls had separate bedrooms. The small boys and girls were under 10 and the big boys and girls went up to 16.

"Miss O'Brien had a favourite child who was the youngest boy. He had a sister and a brother who were also in Balcary at the same time.

"There was a laundry and a furnace room in an outhouse which also had a washing machine and a huge tumble dryer to get the clothes dried. The tumble dryer was seldom used because of the cost. The washing was dried on pulleys or in front of the furnace. There was also a sewing room on the second floor where repairs were carried out. There were cupboards full of clothes which had been donated for the children's use.

"My colleague, who was the woman who was a former resident, was assigned to give me the instructions on what to do. I took charge of seven young boys under the age of 10. There was no formal training and I had no previous experience of working with children. I became known as 'Auntie Jas' to the children. You would always

call the children by their first names. I didn't have
a uniform but worked in my own clothes.

"I was responsible for the seven or so young boys and would get them up in the morning and get them ready to go down to breakfast. I would strip all the beds down to the mattress and then make them up again.

I think that I also had to turn the mattress. I would sweep the floor and apply a liquid polish. I would have to use a huge antiquated instrument to polish the floor.

I remember it had a steel ball on the pole in the middle so you could polish the floor in a flowing movement.

"You would then go with the children downstairs for breakfast. The children all had their own table to sit and I always sat with the younger boys. Miss Hutchison always prepared breakfast. You had to sing a grace at the start of the meal and also when the meal was finished.

"You would get the children ready for school.

I think that my colleague took the younger ones to school in a minibus. When the children had left, I would go back to finish the floor polishing and buffing. You were never off duty until the children went to bed at night. I had to do a deep clean of the areas I was responsible for and they had to be cleaned once a week.

"At bedtime the routine was that the children would

fold their clothes in a specific way and leave them at the foot of their beds. The theory was that in case of fire, the children could grab their clothes on the way out. I helped to do this so often as part of the routine that in later life I did it for my own son.

"The children would come back to the home at lunchtime to be fed. We all sat at our tables and we all stood and sang grace at the start and finish of every meal. When the children went back to school in the afternoon, I sometimes got a couple of hours off.

"Thursday was wash day and I had to wash and dry all the clothes and have them ironed and put away by 6 o'clock at night because that is when I went off duty. I had Friday off. When my colleague left to get married, I took on the responsibility of the older boys. It meant that I had double the work and responsibility for also looking after their clothes.

"When the children were at school, the staff would meet at 10 o'clock every day in the dining room and have coffee. We would also have to take turns and do a reading from the Bible. We always said a prayer before and after coffee.

"The children would be able to play outside in the grounds of the home in the evenings. There were also playrooms for them if the weather was bad. There were

plenty of toys and play equipment and they would play with each other. Each child had a cuddly toy in their bed. If I wanted to go out late in the evening I had to ask Miss O'Brien for permission.

"Occasionally I was invited for afternoon tea with Miss O'Brien in her flat. I dreaded this as I was quite intimidated by her. The staff would take it in turns to stay at home in the evenings to look after the children. The other staff would have the night off. We would have to get some of the children up to go to the toilet. I think that I also read stories to the younger kids at night.

"There was one night when I got a boy out of bed and took him to the loo. He was about six years old. The route to the loo passed the main staircase. He was still half asleep and he just trotted on ahead. When I caught up with him, he was peeing down the stairs.

"There was another occasion when I looked in on him during the night. I must have been late to bed for some reason. It was obvious that he had wet the bed. I was tired and took him into my room next door. I washed him and put one of my nighties on him. I told him to get in beside me. I probably shouldn't have done that, but it seemed right to me. The upshot was that he wet my bed and Miss O'Brien found out. Miss O'Brien was only

1 annoyed because I hadn't told her I had an electric
2 blanket.

"There was a routine when you sat at the same table the children. Before and after every meal you stood and sang a grace. The food was very good and there was plenty of it. I had never seen tinned tomatoes before and we sometimes got them for breakfast. There were no issues with children who didn't eat the food; it was just left. Mrs BEH was the name of the cook. The food was varied and nutritious.

"I assisted and supervised the small boys with bathing and washing. I suppose I supervised this.

I didn't get any instruction on what to do. They would often share a bath. There was a young black boy who had a skin condition and I kept him separate from the other boys and used to apply cream to him. I remember on pay day I would buy a bottle of Fairy Liquid and the kids would have bubbles in their bath. I bought this with my own money.

"All the children wore the local school uniform for attending school. There was no uniform in the home. Each child had play clothes and Sunday clothes.

I recall that they had jumpers donated by the local Pringles knitwear factory. I do recall washing the children's T-shirts. I dried them in the tumble dryer

and they shrunk. I was resourceful enough to replace them without anyone knowing.

"Two of the older boys hated school uniforms because the local children, who seldom wore the uniform, bullied them and called them snobs. I saw some jeans and casual shirts for them which I had to alter so that they fitted. The boys went to breakfast in uniform and changed into jeans which were hidden en route to the school. They had to repeat the process in reverse when they came back for lunch. I think the children did better at school because of this and they were not subjected to any bullying.

"One boy had a kilt and accessories for wearing on a Sunday. Miss O'Brien had provided this outfit for him. I felt that another boy who had also arrived at the home, and who was very young, should have a kilt too. I remember getting a kilt from the sewing room for him and I altered it to fit. He hadn't had the best start at the home, arriving with head lice, and he was delighted with his kilt.

"All the children attended the local schools in Hawick. There were no issues with the schools and the children seemed to get on well with the local children. The children had to do homework when they came home from school and we would assist with that. There were a lot

of books in the playrooms to help them with their homework.

"On a Sunday afternoon' always took the children for a walk. The older children didn't have to go. If you were staff on duty, you went on the walk. If the weather was bad, we went to the Salvation Army hall where they ran a sort of Sunday school. It was very casual and the children enjoyed it.

"We often went on holiday to North Berwick. I think that there was another children's home in North Berwick and we used to swap places with the kids from that home. Miss O'Brien used to make the sandwiches on the beach. They were usually sandwich spreads or Marmite, which was spread thickly. Like myself, many of the children hated Marmite, so many of the sandwiches were buried in the sand.

"I do recall that the children went for a haircut at the local barber's once a month. The older children were becoming fashion conscious and wanted to grow their hair, which was the style at the time. The barber usually gave the same haircut to everyone and so the older children would try to avoid going. I would cut the hair of two of the older boys more in keeping with the fashion.

"There was a river across the road from the home

where we all used to swim in the summer. We also went sledging in the winter when the snow came. The children attended the local Scout and Guide groups.

"I think that the children would get a cake on their birthday. There was a huge emphasis on Christmas. On Christmas Eve the children would be gathered together in the sitting room. We would listen for sleigh bells and Santa would pull the sleigh up to the home, the sleigh which was kept in one of the outhouses. On my first Christmas as the sleigh was arriving, it started to snow. Santa would then speak to all the children and they would get presents. There would be a Christmas lunch. It was a great occasion.

"There was a man called Uncle Doug who would send the children the most lavish presents. I think he came to the home on a few occasions and stayed over. He worked in Kuwait and I saw him once. I don't know what his connection was to Balcary but I think that he sponsored one of the children.

"There was no formal discipline that I was aware of and there was no guidance on what to do if children didn't behave. I didn't have any information on where the children came from. If I had any issues, I would refer them to Miss O'Brien. As it happens, I can't remember having to go to Miss O'Brien with any issues.

Miss O'Brien was always wandering about the home but she didn't have much one-to-one contact with the children.

I had no formal supervision.

"If the children were misbehaving, I would make them sit down and not move. I suppose that you would call this time out. I would also raise my voice to the children. I might have given them the odd smack on the bottom. I remember my colleague, who had been a former resident, used to give the children a smack on the bottom. It didn't happen very often. It was not excessive and at the time it was deemed to be quite acceptable.

"There was an occasion when a girl attacked me. She was a big girl for her age. She followed me into one of the bedrooms and jumped on to my back, causing me to fall on to one of the beds. She was still on top of me when her brother came in and pulled her off. Her brother had seen her behaviour and realised she was up to something and followed her. It was just as well for me because I found the whole incident quite frightening.

"I don't remember any inspections taking place in the home. I can't remember any child welfare officers calling at the home. I can only remember the father of one of the small girls coming to visit.

I don't remember visits from other parents.

"The home had a laundry service that would call regularly. They would look after all the sheets and bedding. There was a rear entrance where the deliveries could be made.

"At Easter some local organisations donated to the home decorated boiled eggs. There were more eggs donated that we could possibly use. We had to dispose of them and dug a hole in the grounds and buried them.

"There was a photographer from the local paper who would call and take photographs of the kids on occasions. On one occasion a giant chocolate egg was donated to the home. The photographer came and we got one of the small girls to sit inside the egg.

Unfortunately, she had an accident and peed into the egg. I think we cleaned it up but we still ate the chocolate.

"Some former residents used to come and visit the home for weekends. Miss O'Brien sponsored one such person. She treated him more like a son.

"The local doctor came to the home most Saturdays and dealt with all the ailments. There were no issues with medical care and it was available to any child that needed it. I didn't have any medical training and there was no one on the staff who was medically trained.

There was a well stocked medical cupboard and I had full

1 access to it.

"I remember when a brother and sister arrived at
Balcary, I discovered they had a chronic infestation of
head lice. I didn't realise that they had lice until
later in the day they arrived when they had already been
in the company of the other children. I was worried
that it would spread to the other children.

Fortunately, this didn't happen. I got head lice
treatments and a nit comb to try to help them and used
them to remove as many lice and eggs as I could. I also
checked all the other children.

"I also recall another boy who was a big 14-year-boy. He couldn't stop talking and would do a lot of shouting. In this day and age he may have been diagnosed as autistic. I think the doctor prescribed him phenobarbitone to be administered if need be. I did not administer that drug.

"Two girls used to run away on occasion. The home was usually locked up at night but there were a lot of other ways to get out if they wanted. They were running away to go to America to visit one of their mothers.

I don't think they knew where America was. They usually ran away in their pyjamas.

"There was a large tree in the grounds called the Punch tree, which the kids could climb up and try and

1 hide.

"I recall that on one occasion I was looking out of the window and saw them at the Punch tree trying to hide. I walked out under the tree and talked loudly so they could hear me. I let them know that I was calling the police. When I walked away, they came down from the tree and sneaked back into the house.

"If any of the children did go missing, the staff would call the police and report it. Two boys used to sneak out at night. I would always have a walk around the dormitory at night and often sat and spoke to them. I asked them where they went and they said they walked around the local park. They told me that it was great fun and asked me to go with them. Betty, another staff member and I once went with them to the park.

"I had one boy who frequently wet the bed and it upset him. He was about 6 years old. We were not given instruction on how to treat bed-wetters and I never punished him. I told him that I had spoken to the doctor and that he had given me some tablets to cure the problem. What they were in fact were Haliborange vitamin tablets that my mother had given to me. He started to take one at night-time. When the tablets ran out, I substituted them for glucose coloured with Ribena. This medicine also worked. Unfortunately one

of the older girls saw me making up the placebo and told him what the tablets and the drink were. I don't know why she did this. It resulted in him starting to wet the bed again.

"In recent years he told me that after I had left the home, the girl would come along and rub his face into the wet sheets. He called her a cruel bitch. She was one of the older girl residents in the home and used to help out with the younger children.

"There was always a religious aspect to the home.

We had to stand up and sing grace at mealtimes. We had staff Bible readings when we met for coffee every morning.

"On a Sunday night after the younger children had their bath, they would go to the staff room and join in singing hymns that were similar to those sung by the Salvation Army. I think that they might have gone to church on a Sunday but I can't remember.

"There was pocket money handed out on a Saturday morning and most of the children bought sweets. All the younger children went to a matinée on a Saturday morning at the local cinema.

"When I first arrived there was a very old Labrador dog called Bruce. He slept in Miss O'Brien's flat. He must have been very old as he was struggling to walk.

I think that he died very soon after I arrived.

"There were two rabbits in a hutch in the backyard. They produced babies and I recall that one of the older girls used to look after the rabbits and clean the hutch. I recall that she cleaned the hutch and during the night the doe had eaten half her babies.

"The home had a pet donkey called Dobby. He lived in a field at the front of the home and was also a local attraction amongst the people of Hawick who would pat him and feed him. One of the older girls used to look after Dobby. There was an occasion during the winter when there was snow on the ground. She came to me and told me that Dobby appeared to be very ill. I went with her and we could see Dobby lying in the field at the furthest point from the gate. He was jerking and his breathing was laboured. We stayed with Dobby until he died. The Barrons were away that weekend so I called the local vet, who wouldn't come out because there was nothing he could do; I was advised to call the knacker's yard.

"The men from the knacker's yard came and

I explained to them the sensitivity surrounding the

removal of Dobby. The younger children were at the

cinema. The men from the knacker's yard explained that

they could not enter the field with their lorry because

of the snow. They attached a winch to Dobby's neck.

This was terrible to watch and I insisted that they attach the winch to his legs. It took a while to get Dobby's remains on to the lorry and the young children were due back at any time. I insisted that the men cover up the remains of the blanket in case the children saw them driving through the town.

"The men took Dobby away and later I sat down with the children, amidst a lot of tears, and explained that Dobby had passed away. It was my first experience of witnessing death and I found it very upsetting. I was able to speak to the Barrons on the phone and they did debrief this incident when they returned."

Moving on paragraph 71:

"When I was halfway through my time at Balcary,
Miss O'Brien retired and the Barron family became the
management at Balcary. They were called Stuart and Joan
and they also had a son. They took over the flat on the
first floor of the house. When they arrived at Balcary,
the routine became less regimented and they were easy to
talk to. They stayed at Balcary until the home closed
in 1974. The singing at mealtimes stopped, which was
a great relief.

"The children always felt they were ridiculed at school because Miss O'Brien insisted that they wear the

school uniform. A lot of the local kids didn't have to do this. The Barrons allowed the children to make their own decision about this. I felt that I learned a lot more about childcare from the Barrons, who were much more relaxed and approachable. We didn't have to get permission to go out at night; we just told them that we would be going out.

"One of the older boys at the home sometimes came to my room and would sit and talk to me about his problems. He would ask me for a cigarette and he would sit on my bed and chat with me like we were equals. In fact, I was only a year older than him.

"I left Balcary House in 1966. I very upset at leaving and I remember that I cried all the way home. It was such a good place to work and I loved all the children. I was so affected than when I got home to Lockerbie I was prescribed a sedative by the local doctor. I would describe Balcary as being a very happy home.

"I remember that I sat down with one boy and told him I was leaving. He got very upset and he thought that I would never see him again. It was very close to 6 June 1966 and I told them that I would meet him again at 7 pm on 7 July 1977 outside the gates of the home.

When I turned up on that date he was there to meet me at

the gates. We repeated the process for 8 pm on

August 1988. We've had occasional contact ever since.

"I was engaged to be married and a job had come up

"I was engaged to be married and a job had come up at a children's home in Dumfries. The job was with the local council and the agreement was that I had to stay at the job for a year. The post was as an assistant house mother in a home. I remained for a year and then got married and gave up working because it was a residential home. I was 21 years old and I concentrated on being a housewife and mother.

"Later in life, I worked in a school library.

I then worked as a social work assistant in a family centre. Between 1989 and 1991, I did my social work training at Jordanhill in Glasgow and worked in a childcare team. I retired in 2008.

"I would meet some of the former children of Balcary at organised reunions. I kept in occasional touch with one boy and had arrangements to meet him very occasionally. When his marriage broke up, he found the Christmas and New Year very hard, so I invited him to come and stay with my family over the festive period.

"One day out of the blue I was phoned by a girl who had managed to get my number through phoning the library in Lockerbie and then being passed on to my brother's business number. She knew I lived in Lockerbie and was

2 3 4 5	disaster. She wanted to know that I was okay. I maintain contact with her to this day. "I attended a few Balcary reunions for former residents and staff at Balcary. One of the original children at the home was the organiser. He was at the home before I arrived and I never came across him at Balcary.
4	"I attended a few Balcary reunions for former residents and staff at Balcary. One of the original children at the home was the organiser. He was at the home before I arrived and I never came across him at
	residents and staff at Balcary. One of the original children at the home was the organiser. He was at the home before I arrived and I never came across him at
5	children at the home was the organiser. He was at the home before I arrived and I never came across him at
	home before I arrived and I never came across him at
6	
7	Balcary.
8	
9	"I recall being at a reunion in Edinburgh and also
10	at the former home, which is now Balcary House Hotel.
11	I also kept up with some of the other people on social
12	media. One of the girls told me about the Scottish
13	Child Abuse Inquiry and so I decided to contact you.
14	"I was not aware of any abuse when I was working at
15	Balcary children's home. It was not until later life
16	that I learned of some instances which I never witnessed
17	or was told about.
18	"One of the former boys I met at the reunions of
19	Balcary told me that he had had something that he wanted
20	to tell me and it was the reason he was sent away from
21	the home. He sent me a private message on Facebook. He
22	told me that when he was at Balcary and was about 14,
23	he had a weekend job at the owned by

BHQ , who is now deceased. BHQ and some

of his friends would rape and sexually abuse this boy

24

25

every weekend that he worked at the I don't know where this happened, but it wasn't in the home. He said that he didn't want to work any more and the staff were fine with that but pointed out that it was extra pocket money that he was missing out on. He was unable to tell anybody the real reason why he left.

"BHQ on occasion came to the home and asked if the boy would come back to work for the day as he was so busy. It resulted in him being sexually abused and raped again. He never told any of the staff. He said that his behaviour deteriorated and the home couldn't cope with him so he was moved somewhere elsewhere where they could deal with him. He was still under 16.

"This boy went on to have a hard life and I kept in touch with him after I made contact with him through the reunions. I recall on one occasion he asked to borrow money from me while I was on holiday in Greece. It was the only time that he asked and I knew that he must have been desperate because he had never done it before. I arranged, through a former girl resident, to get some money to him.

"One of the older boys told me in later life that

the used to rub

his face in the wet sheets when he wet the bed and then

he was forced to carry them down to the wash house.

1	"At the time I was at Balcary I never had to
2	maintain any records on the children. I was unaware of
3	any records being kept. Miss O'Brien never shared with
4	the staff the background of any of the children and
5	I don't think there was any way of finding out. In
6	hindsight, this may have been detrimental to my care of
7	them.
8	"I have no objection to my witness statement being
9	published as part of the evidence to the inquiry.
10	I believe the facts stated in this witness statement are
11	true."
12	The statement was signed by Jasmine on
13	22 August 2018.
14	LADY SMITH: Thank you very much.
15	MS RATTRAY: My Lady, that concludes the read-ins. We have
16	one more oral witness, but she is not due to arrive
17	until 1.30.
18	LADY SMITH: If she's not getting here until 1.30, we
19	shouldn't start much before 2.00 to give her time to get
20	settled. So I will rise now until 2.00, a slightly
21	longer lunch break.
22	I think there was one name you mentioned that's
23	covered by my GRO, the BHQ , isn't it?
24	MS RATTRAY: Yes, BHQ
25	LADY SMITH: That's right, BHQ

1	Thank you.
2	(12.36 pm)
3	(The lunch adjournment)
4	(2.00 pm)
5	LADY SMITH: Yes, Ms Rattray.
6	MS RATTRAY: My Lady, the next witness is Mary Roebuck.
7	MARY ROEBUCK (sworn)
8	LADY SMITH: Please sit down and make yourself comfortable.
9	I'm sorry if we're a bit of a nuisance about the
10	microphone, but we do need your voice to be picked up by
11	the microphone. I'll hand over to Ms Rattray and she'll
12	explain what happens next.
13	Questions from MS RATTRAY
14	MS RATTRAY: Mary, in the red folder in front of you you'll
15	see a copy of the statement you gave to the inquiry.
16	That was a statement you gave answering certain
17	questions that we sent to you. Just for our own
18	reference, we've given your statement a reference and
19	that's WIT.003.001.5564. These are just our numbers
20	that we've put on the top right-hand corner of the page.
21	When looking at your statement, you can either look
22	at the paper copy in the file if that's better for you,
23	or it's also going to come on the screen in front of
24	you, although certain things will have been blacked out
25	on the screen copy.

- 1 To start with, could you look at the paper copy and
- go right to the back page, which is at page 5576.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Can you confirm that you have signed your statement?
- 5 A. Yes, I have.
- 6 Q. Can you confirm that you believe the facts stated in
- 7 your statement are true?
- 8 A. Absolutely.
- 9 Q. When were you born? It's only the year of your birth
- 10 I'm looking for.
- 11 A. 1955.
- 12 Q. Today, you've come to the inquiry to help us, based on
- 13 your experience working for Barnardo's at Glasclune in
- North Berwick.
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Can you remember roughly what dates you were working for
- 17 Barnardo's there?
- 18 A. From -- I think it was April 1976 to the summer of 1982.
- 19 Q. Am I right in my understanding that the home there in
- North Berwick closed in 1982?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. You've also told us that whilst you were originally
- 23 based at the house known as Glasclune, there came
- 24 a point where everyone moved.
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. What was the reason for that?
- 2 A. The house burned down. We had a severe fire and there
- 3 was no way it could recover from that. Fortunately,
- 4 next door was a similar type building that had been an
- 5 old people's home and it was empty and we moved in
- 6 there. It was renovated and Barnardo's took that one.
- 7 Q. When did that move take place?
- 8 A. I would think 1980 maybe.
- 9 Q. Once you had moved next door -- and I think you say
- in the statement it was called St Baldred's Tower?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Once you moved to St Baldred's Tower and the home closed
- in 1982 -- did you stay at St Baldred's Tower until it
- 14 closed or did you go back to Glasclune at any stage?
- 15 A. No, you couldn't.
- Q. When you were working there, it's correct that you were
- 17 known as Mary Lennie at that time?
- 18 A. That's right.
- 19 Q. How old were you when you were working at Glasclune?
- 20 A. In 1976 I'd be 21; I went for my interview on my 21st
- 21 birthday.
- 22 Q. So you'd be about 27 or thereabouts when you stopped
- working there?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. I'm going to ask you a few questions now about how you

- came to work for Barnardo's. At page 5565 of your
- 2 statement, you tell us a little about the process
- 3 whereby you were recruited. Can you tell us about that,
- 4 how you came to be working there?
- 5 A. I had been nursing before that and somebody that I met
- on the course had been a house mother at another home in
- 7 Edinburgh and it sounded just like the type of job that
- 8 appealed to me. I wrote to Barnardo's head office and
- 9 they came back with vacancies that were available and I
- 10 was interviewed at Drumsheugh Gardens, which was where
- 11 the head office was then, and visited the home, was
- shown round, that type of thing.
- 13 Q. You tell us that you attended two interviews at head
- office.
- 15 A. As far as I can remember.
- 16 Q. And you tell us these were with Sylvia Massey and
- 17 David Pomfret?
- 18 A. That's right.
- 19 Q. What was their role in Barnardo's?
- 20 A. Sylvia Massey I think was a divisional director, but
- I really can't remember, and David Pomfret worked with
- 22 her.
- 23 Q. Why was it that you wanted to work with children?
- A. I'd always been surrounded by kids at home and it was
- 25 the Sick Children's Hospital that I nursed in as well

- and it just seemed the right way to go.
- 2 Q. Your qualifications at that time -- did you complete
- 3 your nursing training?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Why was it you didn't want to complete your training and
- 6 you wanted to move to childcare role?
- 7 A. I gave up nursing, I just -- I wasn't very well at the
- 8 end of my training and I decided not to go back to it
- 9 and I had another job in between times in a shop and
- then followed up with Barnardo's.
- 11 Q. At that stage did you have any formal qualifications or
- 12 experience, formal experience in childcare?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Did that seem to be a problem at all when you were
- 15 recruited?
- A. No, because I was recruited as a junior member of staff
- 17 type of thing and it was very much a case of learning on
- 18 the job, I think, and in-service training would be
- 19 offered.
- Q. You describe the layout of Glasclune and its location in
- 21 your statement and we've heard by now a lot about that,
- 22 so I won't ask you in any detail about that. You do say
- 23 that when the residents in the home moved to
- 24 St Baldred's Tower, it wasn't child-friendly. Can you
- 25 explain that a little bit?

- 1 A. I think it was the fact that it had been an old people's
- 2 home and it had been renovated kind of quickly to fit us
- in because there was nowhere else to go; Glasclune
- 4 itself was demolished. I think it was just all done
- 5 kind of quickly. Really, these houses weren't built for
- 6 purpose they were meant for, I don't think.
- 7 Q. When you were there, can you remember at all about how
- 8 many children would have been in the home at one time?
- 9 A. I can't really because it changed quite a bit. When
- I first started there, there was a block of children
- 11 that had been brought up in care, and then it changed to
- 12 children that were having difficulties at school and
- 13 what were then described as maladjusted children, that
- 14 type of thing. So it had changed and some people were
- only there for a little while and some people were there
- for longer, so I couldn't really say.
- 17 Q. You tell us that the age range of children was anywhere
- between 5 and 18.
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And was it both boys and girls?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Did the numbers or the general numbers -- I appreciate
- 23 the numbers varied -- change at all before the home
- 24 closed? What I'm getting at is whether there was
- 25 a steady decrease in numbers or did the home remain

- 1 quite full until it closed and then the children went
- 2 off elsewhere?
- 3 A. I think it did slow down a bit because they knew it was
- 4 going to be short-term. I think closure had been on the
- 5 books for quite a while anyway. A lot of children had
- 6 grown older and just naturally moved on to other
- 7 establishments or home or whatever.
- 8 Q. At page 5567 of your statement, you were asked questions
- 9 about the staff structure at Glasclune. Who was in
- 10 charge when you were there?
- 11 A. Eric Falconer.
- 12 Q. And what were your impressions of Mr Falconer as your
- 13 boss?
- 14 A. Well, I hadn't worked in that sort of set-up before and
- 15 he was very much a father figure, I think, to a lot of
- 16 the kids that were there at that time. Mrs Falconer
- worked there as well for a while but I didn't actually
- 18 work with her. She left to go on and do further
- 19 training and things. So it was Eric that I knew best.
- 20 He had a lot of respect for the people.
- 21 Q. You describe him as a father figure. Did he have a sort
- 22 of warm relationship with the children there or was he
- someone who was quite distant from the children?
- A. No, he wasn't distant, he was very involved with them
- 25 all.

- 1 Q. Did you gain the impression at all whether the children
- 2 liked him or felt at ease with him?
- 3 A. Yes, I think they did, aye.
- Q. Mr Falconer, was he the person that you reported to and
- 5 were accountable to when you were there?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. You tell us quite a bit about other staff there. There
- 8 seems to be a staff structure because you say yourself
- 9 you had different roles --
- 10 A. Uh-huh.
- 11 Q. -- when you arrived there and I think you were
- 12 a house mother.
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And then you were promoted to another position?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Which position was that?
- 17 A. Well, it was called third senior then.
- 18 Q. What was the difference between a house mother and
- 19 a third senior?
- 20 A. Eric didn't always have to be there, I was there. There
- 21 was Mr Falconer and then the deputy and myself, and we
- 22 each had a team. We'd work alternate shifts and things
- 23 like that together and anybody new starting or people on
- 24 placements, students and things, would come to me and
- ask about different things.

- 1 Q. So as a third senior, you were in charge of a team; is
- 2 that right?
- 3 A. Yes, on the shift that I worked, yes.
- 4 LADY SMITH: How old were you at that stage?
- 5 A. Possibly 25.
- 6 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 7 MS RATTRAY: You mention in your statement another position,
- 8 team leader.
- 9 A. Uh-huh.
- 10 Q. Was that lower or higher than a third senior?
- 11 A. It was the step up to third senior from house mother.
- 12 It was in between.
- 13 Q. Right. If we start then in looking at your role, what
- 14 were your general duties when you were a house mother?
- 15 A. I think the main aim of the place was to make it
- a friendly environment for the kids because most of them
- had come from troubled backgrounds, so a house mother
- 18 was the everyday things like ordering the food and
- 19 laundry and schooling and everyday things to make it
- 20 more homely.
- 21 LADY SMITH: How did you know they had come from troubled
- 22 backgrounds?
- 23 A. It was quite openly discussed and a lot of the children
- I had been involved with had been orphans, what would
- 25 then have been classed as orphans, and had come up right

- 1 through the care system. But there were other kids that
- 2 came who had -- their cases had been discussed at staff
- 3 meetings and things like pass-overs. We knew if they
- 4 had a history with schools or hospitals or parents,
- 5 anything like that. That was all taken into concern.
- 6 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 7 MS RATTRAY: On that topic, when a child was admitted to the
- 8 home, was there any particular discussion or meeting or
- 9 arrangements whereby staff were made aware of the
- 10 child's background?
- 11 A. It was selective, I think, in that it was what we needed
- 12 to know, we knew, and everything. That was all I can
- say, really.
- 14 Q. In your experience in the years you were there, did you
- form a view at all as to whether the information you
- were provided with was enough to allow you to care for
- 17 the child or do you feel that it would have been better
- had you had more information?
- A. No, I don't think it would have been ... I don't think
- 20 looking back it would have been any better. Sometimes
- 21 I maybe felt that things should have been kept --
- 22 LADY SMITH: Can you move a little nearer the microphone?
- 23 Your voice is drifting away. You didn't think it would
- have been better to have more?
- 25 A. I don't think it was necessary to know everything about

- 1 every child and their history when they come in.
- 2 LADY SMITH: Why not?
- 3 A. Because I think there was such a large staff and their
- 4 families deserved some privacy as well, some
- 5 confidentiality, just on a couple of things that I can
- 6 think about.
- 7 LADY SMITH: What type of thing? I don't want to know who
- 8 the families are.
- 9 A. For instance, we knew that a parent had had a family
- 10 before this family belonging to the child that we were
- involved in and there was a discussion about whether or
- not that teenager should be told that she had siblings.
- And I said at the time I didn't think so because I felt
- 14 that the woman particularly involved, her
- 15 confidentiality should be respected as well.
- 16 LADY SMITH: What about getting information regarding the
- 17 circumstances which had resulted in the child coming
- into residential care? Didn't you need that?
- 19 A. Yes. There was a basic file and it was sort of passed
- 20 down. You weren't allowed to just go into the filing
- 21 cabinet and open it up and read everything about every
- 22 child. It was what we needed to know what was passed
- down to the other members of staff.
- 24 LADY SMITH: How?
- 25 A. In group meetings, staff meetings, that type of thing.

- 1 LADY SMITH: Thank you. Ms Rattray.
- 2 MS RATTRAY: You've been explaining your role as
- 3 a house mother. Was your role as a house mother, from
- 4 what you've described, primarily doing kind of household
- 5 chores and management rather than providing direct care
- for the children?
- 7 A. Well, every child was assigned a key worker and that key
- 8 worker took on -- was the face between the child and the
- 9 schools, hospitals, and sometimes family meetings and
- 10 things, and social workers. Sorry, I've forgotten ...
- 11 Q. That's okay. I was asking whether your role as
- 12 house mother was mainly focused on the domestic
- provision rather than the childcare provision and you
- 14 were explaining that each child was allocated a key
- worker.
- 16 A. Yes. Yes, I think the whole ethos was to create
- a homely atmosphere. Some of these kids had never had
- anything like that, you know, hadn't had the same
- 19 experiences that you'd expect in a normal home. And
- I think a lot of emphasis was put on that at the time.
- 21 Q. Which members of staff were the key workers? When I ask
- 22 that I'm not looking for names, I'm just looking for
- 23 their roles. Was there a particular role of key worker
- or was that simply a responsibility spread out between
- everybody?

- 1 A. It was spread out between everyone. Sometimes it would
- be a case of you would think -- try and match somebody
- 3 up, but sometimes it was a natural relationship that
- 4 would grow between a member of staff and a child and
- 5 they would take on that role.
- 6 Q. So when you were a house mother were you also a key
- 7 worker?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. How many children at one time were you the key worker
- 10 to?
- 11 A. Three or four.
- 12 Q. Were they children who had been in the care system since
- they were young and were treated as orphans or were they
- 14 the children who were coming in who had perhaps a more
- troubled history?
- 16 A. I think the key worker system really came in with the
- more recent children. Yes, I would say that.
- 18 Q. So how equipped did you feel to be a key worker or to
- 19 cope with the care of a child who was coming into care
- 20 in circumstances where they had a troubled background
- 21 and were ... I think the word was maladjusted?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. What kind of behaviour did a child of that background
- 24 and experience present?
- 25 A. Well, it varied. For some children, trust was a big

- issue, how to trust people. Then some of them were very
 angry, some teenagers, just angry and unable to express
 their concerns or their fears. Now and again it could
 get kind of physical, you'd have to hold children down
 to let them work off -- because they were kicking and
 biting and whatever. That didn't happen very often, but
- 8 Key workers -- a couple of my kids were fostered so
 9 I was involved in them getting foster parents and the
 10 social workers that secured it all, that type of thing.

I did see it happen.

7

11

12

13

- Q. How equipped or skilled did you feel you were at that time to be able to manage children with these kind of problems?
- A. Looking back, not at all. Not skilled, not experienced
 and not a great life experience either compared to what
 these children had had. And we were young -- all the
 staff were young -- so probably not very equipped, but
 because we didn't know any different, then ... The more
 serious stuff was maybe taken over by management,

 I can't remember.
- Q. You have told us a little about your role as
 house mother. In what way did your role as team leader
 differ from being a house mother?
- A. It wasn't an awful lot different except doing the rotas
 and -- like staff rotas and things like that to make

- 1 sure there was cover. There wasn't a great deal of
- 2 difference really, except I had a bit more experience so
- 3 the newer people could come in.
- 4 LADY SMITH: When you became a team leader and this third
- 5 senior role, did you carry on with key worker
- 6 responsibilities or not?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 MS RATTRAY: And how old were you when you became a team
- 9 leader?
- 10 A. Maybe 23. It really just -- it wasn't a case of a job
- being applied for, it was just you had been there the
- longest and if you wanted to do it, you could take it
- 13 on.
- 14 Q. As team leader, were you responsible for less
- 15 experienced staff?
- 16 A. Only in an informal sort of way. I wasn't responsible
- for them getting training or anything like that, like
- 18 would be expected these days, I don't think.
- 19 Q. We've mentioned before your promotion to third senior
- 20 and at that stage you're heading up a shift --
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. -- of five or six staff members?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. What other responsibilities did you have as a third
- 25 senior?

- 1 A. I really can't remember it all, to be honest.
- 2 Q. Was there a significant difference between being
- 3 house mother, team leader and third senior, or was it
- 4 just --
- 5 A. It was just a natural progression, I think, rather
- 6 than -- like the deputy had a lot of responsibility and
- 7 they took on ... they shared most of Mr Falconer's
- 8 responsibilities. Mine was more for the day-to-day
- 9 running of things, I think, and being on call and we had
- 10 sleeping-in duties, things like that. And if a member
- of staff needed me for anything, I was there.
- 12 Q. You tell us that you worked in the main unit. What do
- 13 you mean by that? Was there more than one unit?
- 14 A. We're talking about Glasclune?
- 15 Q. Yes.
- 16 A. At Glasclune itself, everything happened in the main
- 17 house, but there was -- not long after I started there
- 18 was a coach house in the grounds and it was renovated to
- 19 accommodate some staff but also a flat for teenagers
- 20 that were ready to leave the care system to learn about
- 21 money and looking after themselves, because they hadn't
- had to do it to that point.
- Q. I'll ask you a bit more about that later on.
- 24 A. Okay.
- 25 Q. You say in your statement at 5568 that the needs of

- 1 children changed and I think that's in the context of
- 2 children with different backgrounds arriving in the
- 3 home --
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. -- and therefore your roles changed to suit that.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Can you expand upon that more for us and explain how
- 8 your roles changed in response to the change perhaps
- 9 in the background of the children?
- 10 A. I think we were more involved with the schools and
- 11 social workers, fostering groups, things like that.
- 12 I think that would be ... The police were involved
- 13 a few times with minor crime in the area, that type of
- thing, and sometimes it was our kids that were involved.
- 15 Q. So the change in your role would perhaps involve
- 16 liaising with external bodies --
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. -- more?
- 19 A. That's a good way of putting it, yes.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 You also mention, under the subject of "Structure",
- 22 that there were frequent changes in staff. Do you mean
- that there was a high turnover of staff?
- 24 A. It would appear that way sometimes, aye. People would
- 25 be there for a couple of years. It was unusual for --

- I was there six years, another member of staff was there
 about that time. It was unusual for staff to stay as
 long as that.
- Q. Do you know the reason for there being such a high turnover?
- A. Some people had come right from university and it was
 a stepping stone to going on to do social work or
 something else, that type of thing, or just moving for
 a change in career.

- Q. Are you aware of the extent to which frequent changes in staff affected the children who were living there?
 - A. A couple of times, aye, but I think because the key
 worker system -- and at that time Barnardo's encouraged
 a lot of involvement with the children, taking them home
 to your own home -- I kind of had kids come to my mum's
 with me -- trips, holidays, things like that.

Sometimes you'd see -- in one case in particular there was a little girl that got so involved and was going away and doing these things and being treated like a daughter by a member of staff, and then they leave and there was just no contact at all. And that was, you know, that wasn't right that that was allowed to happen.

Q. You tell us that kids spending time alone with staff
members was something which was actively encouraged by
Barnardo's at the time. You tell us about that at the

- foot of page 5573. It was encouraged by Barnardo's; do
- 2 you remember how it was encouraged? Was there any
- 3 guidance sent out?
- 4 A. I don't remember there actually being any formal
- 5 guidance given out. It was all about letting kids see
- 6 what real home life was like, but in hindsight that
- 7 maybe wasn't the best idea. And to give them treats,
- 8 especially the ones that didn't have families that could
- 9 come back and forwards to see them, because a lot of the
- 10 kids went home at the weekend, but then those that
- 11 didn't have that would take them out and for birthdays
- 12 and special treats and shopping and things.
- 13 LADY SMITH: Would I be right in assuming that when it came
- 14 to children going to the homes of members of staff, no
- 15 checks on those homes were made?
- 16 A. No, it was enough that it was with me, which just
- 17 wouldn't happen now.
- 18 LADY SMITH: Were you even asked who else would be there?
- 19 A. I don't think so.
- 20 LADY SMITH: Ms Rattray.
- 21 MS RATTRAY: So is it fair to say it was a common practice
- 22 that children might go home at the weekend with a staff
- 23 member?
- A. It became less common as time went on, but when I was
- 25 there initially, and in response to -- did anybody check

- 1 whether you were there, who was going to be there, it
- 2 was usually because we were going to our own homes with
- 3 our own family, but there was no check done on family or
- 4 anything like that.
- 5 Q. Moving on to the subject of training, you tell us that
- 6 your role was explained at interview with Miss Massey
- 7 and Mr Pomfret and there was a visit to the unit before
- 8 you started, but you tell us that no official training
- 9 was offered as you remember.
- 10 A. Not at that stage, no.
- 11 Q. You say that you were introduced slowly to all aspects
- of the post.
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Can you explain just in practical terms how that worked?
- 15 A. A lot of it was just learn as you go and there were
- 16 members of staff, like older ladies, who worked
- 17 part-time, and they sort of took people under their wing
- 18 and just to meet the kids, to find out where everything
- 19 is, just the day-to-day practicalities of things. And
- 20 then there would be staff meetings to catch up on things
- 21 and see what there is, and also supervision, but that
- 22 was a bit haphazard, I have to say.
- 23 Q. We'll take these things one at a time. A staff member
- 24 would take you under their wing?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Did you have that experience with more than one staff
- 2 member? Like you would perhaps be told what to do or
- 3 taken under the wing by more than one staff member?
- 4 A. Yes. They would sort of -- everybody would chip in and
- 5 look after you.
- Q. Did you ever find that there were any differences in
- 7 approach between different staff members or was everyone
- 8 on the same --
- 9 A. No, I'd say they were all on the same page.
- 10 LADY SMITH: Mary, you're drifting away from the microphone
- 11 a bit.
- 12 A. Sorry.
- 13 LADY SMITH: If you could try and use it, that would be very
- 14 helpful.
- MS RATTRAY: Did you ever know or form a view on whether the
- more senior staff or more experienced staff who had
- 17 taken you under their wing had been given any particular
- 18 direction or guidance as to what instruction to give you
- or guidance themselves to give to you?
- 20 A. No, I don't think so. Not that I recall.
- 21 LADY SMITH: Was there any system of regular one-to-one
- 22 meetings with a senior member of staff to talk about how
- 23 you felt you were progressing?
- 24 A. Yes, that was the staff supervision, but it was pretty
- 25 haphazard. When I started there initially, it was

- 1 Mr and Mrs Falconer and I think Mrs Falconer took most
- of the responsibility for that side of things. But then
- 3 when she left after I'd been there maybe about a year,
- 4 it did fall by the wayside, but I knew I could approach
- 5 anybody if I needed to.
- 6 LADY SMITH: That wasn't what I was really getting at.
- 7 A. Sorry.
- 8 LADY SMITH: No, no -- I get what you said about when the
- 9 Falconers were there, but from what you're saying it
- doesn't sound as though there was an established system
- where, for example, every three months or every
- 12 six months you'd sit down with a particular senior
- 13 member of staff, and you would go through what you were
- hoping to have achieved, think about how it had gone,
- talk about any problems you had in working with the
- 16 children or anything of that sort.
- 17 A. No, there was nothing officially timescaled (sic). If
- 18 there was a problem it would get spoken about or if
- 19 I wanted to approach anyone, I could do that.
- 20 LADY SMITH: That's a bit different, isn't it?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 LADY SMITH: Because that's you going for help rather than
- 23 somebody senior keeping an eye on how you're progressing
- in developing your career with the children.
- 25 A. Of course they were maybe doing that without involving

- 1 me in it, you know, between management, conversations
- between themselves and senior management. Again,
- 4 LADY SMITH: Ms Rattray.
- 5 MS RATTRAY: You tell us a little about that at page 5573.
- I just want to clarify something: I think at that stage
- 7 you were asked what formal checks were done, I think, to
- 8 ensure that you were doing your job properly. What you
- 9 say in your statement is:
- "I recall what formal checks were done, but we did
- 11 have supervision from senior staff."
- 12 I'm just trying to clarify so we understand.
- 13 I think there might be perhaps a typing error there.
- 14 Are you saying you don't recall what formal checks --
- 15 A. I recall formal checks were done, but I don't know what
- they were.
- 17 Q. Right. So how do you remember formal checks? What do
- 18 you remember about them?
- 19 A. Just -- I must have filled in a form or something,
- 20 probably, application forms, initially when I applied
- for the job. Maybe I'm assuming, but I think there were
- checks done.
- 23 LADY SMITH: What do you have in mind when you say checks?
- 24 A. Like a police check or ... I know there wasn't
- 25 disclosures then, anything like that, but possibly

- 1 police checks.
- 2 LADY SMITH: But you don't know?
- 3 A. And references from ex-employers.
- 4 LADY SMITH: Do you remember giving the names of referees?
- 5 A. I must have, but I don't recall who.
- 6 LADY SMITH: Which employers would you have offered as
- 7 referees?
- 8 A. Um ... I don't know, sorry.
- 9 LADY SMITH: Ms Rattray.
- 10 MS RATTRAY: Under the heading "Internal monitoring" you
- 11 speak about:
- "We did have supervision from senior staff."
- And I think that's what you have told us about,
- 14 about Mrs Falconer, but that rather fell by the wayside
- when she moved on.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. You say that:
- 18 "[You were] assessed on your work, given guidance,
- and allowed to air grievances."
- 20 A. Mm-hm.
- 21 Q. What kind of guidance were you given?
- 22 A. Probably if I was going to meetings regarding the
- 23 children or with social work, that type of thing: what
- 24 to bring up or what needed to be discussed.
- 25 Q. How frequent were these meetings or events when you had

- 1 supervision from senior staff and they were assessing
- 2 you?
- 3 A. Very infrequent.
- Q. Guidance that you were given: was there any stage when
- 5 you were at Glasclune that you were given any written
- 6 guidance?
- 7 A. No, not that I recall.
- 8 Q. You don't remember a staff handbook?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Or a memo coming round, saying to do something in
- 11 a particular way or anything of that nature?
- 12 A. No, it was mostly in conversation, oral instruction,
- that type of thing.
- Q. When you were assessed, were there any notes recorded of
- 15 that, of these meetings with senior staff?
- 16 A. I don't recall. I don't recall it, no.
- 17 Q. Were you given any written feedback or aims or anything
- 18 like that?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. In relation to supervision, you explain that in terms of
- 21 training, when you started, you were taken under the
- 22 wing of someone. At what stage in your employment at
- 23 Glasclune were you first left unsupervised with
- 24 children?
- 25 A. You mean within the setting itself?

- 1 Q. Yes.
- 2 A. Within the house? I really couldn't tell you. I really
- 3 don't know.
- 4 Q. At what stage were you permitted to take a child home or
- 5 to take a child out on your own for a treat?
- 6 A. It would have been well on. Maybe when I was third
- 7 senior I did that.
- 8 Q. Sorry?
- 9 A. When I was third senior I would do that.
- 10 Q. Do you know whether staff more junior than that would
- 11 have been allowed to take children out for a treat?
- 12 A. It did happen, yes.
- Q. You tell us that you completed a year's basic care
- 14 training at Moray House, Edinburgh, on day release and
- that there was no qualification and only an attendance
- 16 award. What did that training involve?
- 17 A. Some of that was going over the confidentiality and lots
- 18 of things like first aid and handling yourself at
- a meeting, that type of thing.
- 20 Q. Did it involve any training on actually caring for
- 21 children?
- 22 A. It did in that it was ... I don't know, I can't put it
- into words what I'm trying to say.
- Q. Can you remember at all whether any part of that
- 25 training involved the equivalent to what we might call

- child protection today, any training on how to keep
- 2 a child safe who was in care?
- 3 A. Yes, aye.
- 4 Q. It did involve something of that type?
- 5 A. It did, yes, but it was a very short course, it was only
- a year, and the whole thing about confidentiality and
- 7 children's welfare ...
- 8 Q. Was there any discussion in the course about the risk to
- 9 children of being abused whilst in a care setting?
- 10 A. There probably was, but I really can't remember. It's
- 11 that long ago.
- 12 Q. Can you remember anything about what the content of the
- training might have been of what you were told to do or
- 14 ought to do?
- 15 A. Some of it was like giving particular scenarios and it
- 16 was like social care, really, and particular scenarios
- and how you would deal with it within the setting.
- 18 Q. What kind of scenarios? Can you remember any examples?
- 19 A. Like if a child was getting brought in, how you would
- 20 help them be admitted and how you deal with family
- 21 meetings and things like that. Just about everything
- that I can remember.
- 23 Q. Was that looking at how to administer admission and
- 24 administer --
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. -- family meetings? Did it look at how to think about
- 2 it from the child's perspective of how the child might
- 3 feel on being admitted or whether the child might want
- family meetings? Was it that sort of child-centred --
- 5 A. Yes, I would say so.
- 6 Q. It was?
- 7 A. Yes, definitely.
- 8 Q. That was part of it?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. You go on to say that other than your Moray House
- 11 course, there were short training sessions which were
- offered mainly in-house --
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. -- and attendance on these courses was compulsory.
- 15 A. Mm-hm.
- Q. What did these courses involve, these training sessions?
- 17 A. Often it would be staff would be put into small groups
- 18 to deal with certain scenarios or how to talk and how to
- 19 listen to people. Again, as the years went on, we were
- 20 dealing with different kinds of children, there were
- 21 different things came in.
- Q. Who provided the training?
- 23 A. As far as I'm aware, it was mostly Barnardo's. They
- 24 would get someone to come in.
- 25 Q. So it wasn't someone in the home who was delivering the

- 1 training, Barnardo's arranged for someone else to come
- 2 in?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. I don't expect you to remember names or anything, but do
- 5 you remember at all what the background or
- 6 qualifications were of the people coming in?
- 7 A. No, but I know it was all arranged through headquarters.
- 8 That's all I remember.
- 9 Q. And those sort sessions, did they start as soon as you
- 10 arrived at Glasclune or was that something that emerged
- 11 later on?
- 12 A. It varied. Things were kind of thrown up in the air
- with the fire and everything that happened. That sort
- of -- I think more effort was concentrated on just
- 15 getting things back to some sort of normal.
- Q. What I'm trying to understand is whether this
- 17 arrangement for the provision of in-house training was
- in place when you first started at Glasclune.
- 19 A. It would be, but I don't know with regards to myself
- 20 when I went to my first one or anything like that.
- 21 Q. How frequently were the training sessions? Were they
- every month, every three months, once a year?
- 23 A. It kind of varied. Sometimes you'd get a block and then
- there'd be nothing for a while. I really don't know.
- Q. You tell us that some of the courses really helped you

- develop your understanding of children's needs and how
- 2 to handle certain situations to achieve the best
- 3 outcome. Can you expand on that for us?
- 4 A. I think some of them helped you look at the bigger
- 5 picture, what you were just seeing presented to you
- 6 wasn't all you had to look at, you had to take all the
- 7 other things the children had to cope with. From that
- 8 point of view, I think that helped me grow in that way.
- 9 It's something that I've stuck to.
- 10 Q. When you talk about the bigger picture and what children
- 11 had to cope with, are you talking about the family
- 12 background or something else?
- 13 A. Almost every aspect of their life: the family
- 14 background, schools, medical conditions, being cut off
- from grandparents and things like that. Just day-to-day
- stuff as well. And the house was -- considering, as
- 17 I've said in my statement somewhere else, most of the
- 18 children came from Niddry or Moredun in Edinburgh, which
- 19 were considered really rough areas at that time. To
- 20 come to an idyllic place like North Berwick, into this
- 21 big mansion house, it must have been really scary and
- I was very aware of that with them.
- Q. I think you actually tell us that at the end of your
- 24 statement, when we asked about lessons learned or
- anything from your experience that might help us. And

- 1 you say:
- 2 "Especially considering that most of our children
- 3 came from the Niddry and Wester Hailes area of
- 4 Edinburgh, it must have been a really culture shock for
- 5 most."
- A. Yes, it must have been.
- 7 Q. And:
- 8 "Even though beautiful North Berwick had very little
- 9 to offer troubled teenagers in particular."
- 10 A. Mm-hm.
- 11 Q. Moving on to certain topics, at page 5569 you tell us
- that some children or children of all ages had problems
- 13 with wetting the bed.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. How was that dealt with?
- 16 A. Very low-key. There was never a big deal made about it.
- 17 You had teenage boys that wet the bed. There was no big
- 18 fuss made about it, it was just dealt with. No
- 19 attention was drawn to anything like that. It was all
- very subtle, I felt.
- 21 Q. Was there any discussions between staff or direction
- given to you telling you that you ought to deal with it
- in this way?
- 24 A. I think it was just a spoken instruction, probably, when
- I first started, because there were a couple of children

- 1 that had medical conditions that made them have
- 2 accidents and things like that that they had no control
- 3 over. But there were other kids, it was maybe more
- 4 emotional and it was just how it was dealt with every
- 5 morning.
- 6 Q. In relation to mealtimes you say that you remember
- 7 mealtimes as friendly and as informal as possible,
- 8 considering the setting which was a formal dining room.
- 9 You say it was a good time to catch up with each other
- 10 and the kids and to interact with staff and other kids.
- 11 Did the staff have their meals with the children?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Do I take it from that that mealtimes were more than
- just a means to feed staff and children?
- 15 A. Yes, it was quite social. The dining room itself was
- massive, oak-lined walls, big bay windows and things,
- 17 and maybe half a dozen tables, big tables, and there'd
- 18 be six or eight children and staff at each of these.
- 19 And people just came in and sat where they wanted and
- 20 talked to who they wanted to and all that.
- Q. So a meal was a social occasion?
- 22 A. Yes, I would say so.
- 23 Q. As well as simply a pragmatic means to an end?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. You also say that there was a provision for children who

- 1 didn't like particular foods.
- 2 A. Mm-hm.
- Q. And so alternatives were on offer; is that right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. You tell us that no one was forced to finish a meal.
- 6 A. No, not that I know of. Not that I remember.
- 7 Q. I think you tell us there, and elsewhere in your
- 8 statement, that if a child had a particular dietary
- 9 need, then that's something which was accommodated.
- 10 A. Yes, it was.
- 11 Q. I think you gave an example of a birthday cake on one
- 12 occasion. Can you tell us about that?
- 13 A. We had two children who had been diagnosed with coeliac
- 14 disease. It turned out in later life that they hadn't
- 15 had that, but that was what it was at the time. You
- 16 couldn't go into a shop and buy gluten-free stuff then
- 17 that they needed in their diet. Every child got
- 18 a birthday cake and theirs was ice cream and meringue
- 19 that they were allowed to have and for their birthday
- 20 party, the food was made to accommodate them. And we
- 21 had another girl that was a severe diabetic. The same
- 22 happened with her.
- 23 Q. Moving on to washing and bathing, you said that older
- 24 children bathed alone --
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. -- and staff were always nearby in case assistance was
- 2 needed and to ensure that they were allowed privacy.
- 3 A. Mm-hm.
- 4 Q. Once again, this focus on -- it would appear to be
- 5 a focus on not just facilitating privacy but actually
- 6 protecting privacy. Was that something you thought up
- 7 yourself or were you given a direction about that by
- 8 anybody?
- 9 A. No, I think there was probably direction about that.
- 10 Particularly in the boys' wing, as it were, the bathroom
- 11 was right next to the bedrooms, and people had to wander
- 12 up and down the corridor to get there, and it was
- important that they were given privacy.
- 14 Q. These directions, who gave the directions?
- 15 A. I think it was just general -- I had been taught this is
- what we do, just by the staff that I was working with.
- 17 It wasn't in written form or anything like that that as
- 18 I recall.
- 19 Q. Under the heading "Sleeping arrangements" on page 5570,
- 20 you describe in Glasclune that there'd be three or four
- 21 children to a room, who shared a bedroom.
- 22 A. Uh-huh.
- 23 Q. And that they had a wardrobe and bedside cabinet, which
- 24 were treated as private.
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And then you tell us that in St Baldred's Tower,
- 2 children were allowed to decorate rooms with posters and
- 3 pictures.
- 4 A. Uh-huh.
- 5 Q. Was that something that didn't happen in Glasclune? Was
- 6 that a new development at St Baldred's Tower?
- 7 A. No, it did happen in Glasclune as well, I have just not
- 8 put it down.
- 9 Q. So children were able to personalise their space?
- 10 A. Very much so, yes.
- 11 Q. How many staff members were on duty overnight?
- 12 A. Usually two and somebody on call.
- 13 Q. So there wasn't an arrangement whereby it would just be
- the one member of staff?
- 15 A. No. No, because the way the house was split up, it was
- like a girls' wing and a boys' wing, so there'd be
- 17 somebody at these places. And latterly, something I've
- 18 not put down there, they employed someone to do night
- 19 the shift that sat up at night, was actually there.
- That was when we were in St Baldred's.
- 21 Q. And that was someone on a waking shift --
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. -- as you say in your statement?
- 24 When you talk about the numbers of staff and the
- 25 wings, am I to understand from that that there would be

- 1 two people sleeping in the boy's wing and two in the
- 2 girls' wing or do you mean one in each?
- 3 A. One in each.
- 4 Q. In relation to trips and holidays, you tell us that
- 5 there was always a summer holiday.
- A. Mm-hm.
- 7 Q. And camping was a popular --
- 8 A. I know they had gone away camping a couple of times when
- 9 I was there.
- 10 Q. You say that staff/child ratios were met on trips.
- 11 A. I believe so, aye.
- 12 Q. Can you remember what these ratios were?
- 13 A. Oh no. Sorry.
- Q. Would there ever be a situation when it was just the one
- 15 member of staff with two or three children?
- A. No. It'd be at least two, but I couldn't tell you what
- the -- we had a minibus and that was what they'd go on
- 18 the camping trips in and things, but there'd always be
- more than one member of staff.
- Q. In relation to schooling, you tell us that:
- 21 "Younger children did homework with staff members
- 22 and a local lady was hired to assist older kids with
- homework."
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Was this local lady qualified in anything, to your

- 1 knowledge?
- 2 A. I don't know. I imagine she must have been, but
- 3 I really don't know for certain.
- Q. Was there an area within the home that was set aside to
- 5 do homework or did the children just do homework
- 6 wherever they sat down?
- 7 A. If they needed the one to one, there was a room set
- 8 aside where you could go and spend time with them if you
- 9 wanted to.
- 10 Q. At page 5572, you tell us about the arrangements for the
- 11 children having visitors. And you tell us that parents
- and family were encouraged to visit on a regular basis.
- 13 As a matter of practice, did the children receive many
- visits from family members?
- 15 A. Well, the majority of the children went home at the
- 16 weekend, and often a parent would come -- their dad
- 17 would drive down to pick them up and take them home.
- Most of them went home at the weekend.
- 19 Q. When we asked you about external inspections at
- 20 page 5573, you tell us that Sylvia Massey and
- 21 David Pomfret visited on a regular basis and attended
- 22 meetings weekly.
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Earlier in your statement you said that there were
- 25 regular staff meetings.

- 1 A. There was a staff meeting every Friday morning and
- 2 either Miss Massey or Mr Pomfret would come down to
- 3 attend these meetings.
- 4 Q. What kind of things were discussed at these meetings?
- 5 A. Pretty much everything that would be involving the
- 6 children: families and liaison between other agencies
- 7 and things.
- 8 Q. Situations regarding an individual child's
- 9 circumstances, were these matters which were discussed?
- 10 A. Yes. Sometimes, yes.
- 11 Q. You say that you recall others visiting but you weren't
- involved in meetings with them and the person you had
- most contact with was David Pomfret.
- 14 A. That's right.
- 15 Q. So what was the nature of your contact with
- 16 David Pomfret there?
- 17 A. He was the most regular visitor -- well, visitor, but
- 18 the senior member of staff that would come down. He
- 19 also was like the face of the headquarters. He was
- somebody we knew we could contact.
- 21 Q. When either David Pomfret or Sylvia Massey came down and
- 22 attended the staff meeting every week, did they go and
- speak to the children at any stage?
- 24 A. Yes, yes. Miss Massey didn't visit very often, but when
- 25 she did, she would attend these meetings. David did

- 1 come down and the kids all knew him, yes.
- 2 Q. So did the meetings take place outwith school hours?
- 3 A. No. It was a Friday morning when the kids were mostly
- 4 at school.
- 5 Q. So did David Pomfret come back at a different time to 6 speak with children?
- 7 A. He didn't have one-to-ones with children, I didn't mean
- 8 that. Just they knew who he was because he was around
- 9 or he'd be there for maybe social things as well.
- 10 Q. But it wasn't an arrangement whereby, for example,
- David Pomfret came round to engage with the children and
- maybe ask them questions about how they were or things
- were going?
- 14 A. No, it was if he was there, he would interact with
- 15 children.
- 16 Q. Under the heading "Review of placement", you tell us
- 17 that:
- 18 "Reviews were continuously carried out regarding
- 19 placement by social workers and staff involved with the
- 20 families. Case conferences were held and discussed at
- 21 great length. The child's views were always sought."
- 22 Who was the person or the role of the person who
- obtained the child's views for these meetings?
- 24 A. We also had -- we had a social worker connected to --
- 25 that was employed by Barnardo's, Mark Wilson. I have

- 1 not put that down. He was sort of liaison between the
- 2 different groups. It would be him probably that would
- 3 take part of that and Mr Falconer.
- Q. The child's local authority social worker --
- 5 A. Yes, they came down regularly.
- 6 Q. And were they able to meet with children in private?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And in general terms how often would they visit?
- 9 I appreciate it'll vary from child to child.
- 10 A. That's it, aye. Some children had a lot of input from
- 11 social work and other children didn't. It was just as
- 12 and when, I think.
- 13 Q. You mentioned earlier on that a flat was set up in
- 14 Glasclune to provide leavers with some form of
- 15 preparation for leaving care.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. The flat, would that involve the young people actually
- 18 moving in to live in the flat?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And how many young people would be living in the flat at
- 21 one time?
- 22 A. Four or five and a member of staff.
- 23 Q. You tell us that they would be taught about money
- 24 management, cooking, shopping, cleaning and generally
- 25 taking care of themselves.

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Who provided that kind of guidance?
- 3 A. The member of staff that was placed there as well and
- 4 myself and any member of staff that was around really.
- 5 Q. So for how long would a young person live in the flat to
- 6 prepare for life in the big wide world?
- 7 A. Well, it was a bit unfortunate that it really only got
- 8 up and started before we had the fire and we'd lost the
- 9 big house, so kids had to move into that accommodation.
- 10 So it never really got off the ground to have much of
- 11 a history, really.
- 12 Q. You say that in St Baldred's a similar idea was set up?
- 13 A. Mm-hm.
- Q. Was that not straightaway maybe?
- 15 A. That didn't really take off either. It ended up being
- 16 accommodation for the children. There was the main
- 17 house of St Baldred's and next to it was what had been
- 18 stables and it was used for storage for vans and things,
- 19 but upstairs was a flat. I wasn't very involved
- in that, but there were members of staff there and
- a bedroom for boys and a bedroom for girls, maybe four
- in each. It just became a small flat for everybody. It
- 23 didn't really -- it was different ages, it wasn't just
- for kids that were ready to leave.
- 25 Q. You say that a flat in Edinburgh was purchased for the

- same reason and a few of your young adults moved there.
- 2 A. Yes, that's right.
- 3 Q. How old were the young people when they moved to
- 4 Edinburgh?
- 5 A. They must have been over 16, but I don't know much more.
- Q. Was a staff member at that flat as well?
- 7 A. Aye, there were two Barnardo's staff there. It wasn't
- gives just our kids that were there, it was kids from possibly
- 9 Pencaitland and there was one of our members of staff
- and a member of staff from there to help them, aye. But
- I don't know how successful that was because that was
- just when it closed down.
- 13 Q. In relation to records, you tell us that you're not
- 14 aware of any actual guidance being given on
- 15 record-keeping.
- 16 A. Not that I recall, no.
- 17 Q. You remember that there was a daily logbook kept.
- 18 A. Yes, that's right.
- 19 Q. And what was the purpose of the logbook?
- 20 A. It wasn't that there was something written about every
- 21 child every day or anything; it would be if something
- 22 had happened or somebody was unwell or if the children
- 23 had received phone calls from family, who they were and
- if arrangements were getting made for the weekend, and
- 25 things like that. It was a daily log thing. it wasn't

- 1 specific.
- 2 Q. You said that was mainly for keeping staff up to date on
- 3 day-to-day information. So was the purpose of that to
- 4 hand over between shifts?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And you tell us there were records kept on admission,
- 7 discharge, visitors and money matters and they were all
- 8 locked up in a filing cabinet.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Which members of staff had access to those records?
- 11 A. Mr Falconer, the deputy, and myself.
- 12 Q. And was there a file for each child?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. How often would the files be written up?
- 15 A. I couldn't tell you. I don't know.
- Q. Who was responsible for writing up the file of a child?
- 17 A. Mr Falconer or the deputy I would think.
- Q. So what about the key worker? Did the key worker not
- 19 keep records and write in the children's file?
- 20 A. Um ... I don't know. I can't remember.
- 21 Q. Do you know whether any of the information in the daily
- 22 logs would be transferred over to a child's file?
- 23 A. I don't know, sorry.
- Q. That's okay.
- 25 A. When we had the fire, we lost all the records and all

- 1 paperwork, so I don't know.
- 2 We did have a secretary that used to come in
- 3 a couple of hours, a couple of times a week, and she
- 4 would type up reports, but I think they basically came
- 5 from above.
- 6 LADY SMITH: Sorry, they basically came?
- 7 A. From Mr Falconer and the deputies.
- 8 MS RATTRAY: In relation to the subject of discipline, you
- 9 tell us at page 5574 that there was an unwritten code of
- 10 conduct --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. -- and any physical sanctions were not allowed. How did
- 13 you become aware of this unwritten code of conduct?
- 14 A. Well, it would just be verbally through supervision and
- other members of staff.
- Q. So it was something you might learn in a piecemeal
- fashion when you were taken under the wing of various
- 18 staff members?
- 19 A. Yes, and that's one of the things I would have learned
- too on in-service courses.
- 21 Q. Tell us about that, what you learned on the in-service
- 22 courses about discipline or codes of conduct.
- 23 A. Well, just making people aware of what was expected and
- 24 what wasn't going to be tolerated. Back in the 1970s,
- I think probably it wasn't that unusual for children to

1 get smacked. But no, in a setting like that, it would 2 never ... It just had to be said that, you know, this 3 can't happen. I think that's what all that was. LADY SMITH: Why do you say "not in a setting like that"? 4 5 Well, when you're looking after somebody else's children and you're taking responsibility for them, the way to 6 7 deal with things definitely isn't to get physical or 8 smacking or anything like that. 9 LADY SMITH: Thank you. 10 Ms Rattray, I'm not sure how much longer you think you need. It's 3.05 at the moment. We could take the 11 12 five-minute break just now to give the stenographers a breather. Shall we do that? 13 MS RATTRAY: That might be a good time. 14 15 LADY SMITH: We'll take five minutes and sit again afterwards. 16 17 (3.05 pm)18 (A short break) 19 (3.12 pm)20 LADY SMITH: Ms Rattray. MS RATTRAY: Mary, we were talking about discipline before 21 22 the break. You tell us in your statement -- and you have mentioned this earlier -- that you often had to 23 24 restrain children to stop them from hurting themselves

or others when they lost control.

25

- 1 A. Mm-hm.
- 2 Q. And you say that:
- 3 "As far as [you] remember, this was always done in
- 4 a loving and caring way and often allowed the kids to
- 5 let off steam and often resulted in them feeling more
- 6 secure."
- 7 You talked about holding children down sometimes.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. What training were you given and other staff members
- 10 given on how to physically restrain children?
- 11 A. I don't know that there was any formal training or if it
- 12 was just learning on the job. I don't recall being
- shown how to do it. Just often it was just a hug, you
- know, giving them a cuddle and holding them for a while.
- 15 For other things it could be with the big teenage
- lads, holding their feet down so they could gather
- themselves a bit. That type of thing.
- 18 Q. When you say holding their feet down, what do you mean
- 19 by that?
- 20 A. I'm not explaining it very well, but if they were
- 21 kicking, biting, spitting, that type of thing, which
- 22 happened with a couple of the children -- not all the
- 23 children were like that, but you'd have a situation
- 24 arise now and again and they would be down and you'd
- 25 just be holding them and telling them it was going to be

- all right and let them get it out of there system.
- Q. When you say "down", do you mean on the floor?
- 3 A. On the ground, yes.
- 4 Q. Maybe you'd have needed some help to restrain a teenage
- 5 boy who was kicking and spitting.
- A. Yes, I wouldn't have attempted it on my own. Often it
- 7 was because the situation just blew up out of nothing.
- 8 You'd be standing there one minute and somebody would
- 9 say the wrong thing.
- 10 Q. How many staff members would be needed in that kind of
- 11 scenario?
- 12 A. I don't know, but maybe two or three, depending on the
- 13 child.
- Q. Would you agree that an inept or untrained physical
- 15 restraint of a child by one, two or three staff members
- 16 could well have been experienced as abuse by the child,
- 17 regardless of the good intentions of the staff members
- involved?
- 19 A. Yes, I think particularly now it would, yes.
- Q. So would it be fair to say that a practice where staff
- 21 members in effect were required to physically restrain
- 22 children who had lost control, without training, was an
- abusive practice?
- 24 A. At the time I think it's all that people knew. I don't
- 25 know that there was -- what other options were available

- 1 at the time when these things happened.
- 2 Q. Irrespective of what other options may or may not have
- 3 been available, as a matter of fact, when you're looking
- 4 at what actually happened, would you agree to expect
- 5 staff to have to physically restrain a teenager who was
- 6 out of control was in itself a practice which was
- 7 abusive?
- 8 A. I suppose it could be, yes.
- 9 Q. You do tell us in your statement, as you've told us now,
- 10 that you weren't given any guidance, sorry, on that, but
- also you tell us that you weren't given any guidance on
- 12 reporting abuse.
- 13 A. The only time I would maybe come across that would be
- 14 when I was doing in-service training, but I can't recall
- 15 it.
- Q. You can't recall clear guidance or a system whereby
- 17 staff would know that if they were concerned about
- 18 abuse, either they could have raised it or a system
- 19 whereby the child could have reported that kind of
- 20 complaint?
- 21 A. The child would hopefully report to a key worker,
- 22 social worker, or management, like the superintendent.
- 23 If I had concerns, I would go to senior staff.
- Q. You used the word "hope". While I appreciate that it
- 25 may well have been your wish at the time and hope at the

- time that a child could have reported, to your knowledge
- was there any actual system in place which had been more
- 3 reliable than perhaps a hope?
- 4 A. Not that I know of.
- 5 LADY SMITH: Mary, can I go back to this matter of
- 6 restraining a child. When children came into the home,
- 7 are you aware of them being warned that if they
- 8 physically got out of control, staff would restrain them
- 9 physically?
- 10 A. No. Definitely not, no.
- 11 LADY SMITH: Might that have been of some assistance to the
- 12 child to prepare them for understanding what might
- happen?
- 14 A. I think it would depend on the child. I can think of
- a couple of kids who were young men and they were very
- angry young teenagers and would maybe have just riled
- 17 them. I don't know.
- 18 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 19 MS RATTRAY: In relation to awareness of abuse, you tell us
- 20 that whilst you were working there, you weren't aware of
- 21 abuse of children --
- 22 A. That's right.
- 23 Q. -- there. You do tell us that, in fact you remind us,
- you say:
- 25 "We must remember these children found trust very

- 1 difficult and sharing was not always easy."
- 2 A. Uh-huh.
- 3 Q. So how easy or otherwise would it have been for a child
- 4 to report abuse?
- 5 A. It wouldn't have been easy. I don't know how they would
- 6 have gone about it, really, unless they felt confident
- 7 and trusted somebody enough to tell them these things.
- 8 Q. You do tell us that whilst you weren't aware of any
- 9 abuse, you did share a concern about an emotionally
- 10 close relationship between a male member of staff and
- 11 a teenage boy.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. You say it wasn't an official complaint but you wanted
- 14 to make people aware of your concerns?
- 15 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Firstly, what was your concern about that relationship?
- 17 A. Like I said before about the staff getting involved with
- the children, then just leaving, that type of thing.
- 19 This particular boy had big trust issues and he was very
- angry a lot of the time. He had become friendly and
- 21 vice versa with a member of staff. I said to the member
- 22 of staff, and I said to my senior, that I felt he was
- 23 too emotionally involved, that they were investing so
- 24 much -- the boy as well as the adult -- investing too
- 25 much in this, that if the adult left, that boy would be

- devastated and it would knock him back quite a bit and
- 2 I didn't know how he would handle that.
- 3 Q. Who was it who was emotionally involved, was it the boy
- 4 and/or was it the adult?
- 5 A. I think it was both. I think it was half and half.
- Q. You were concerned about the impact it would have upon
- 7 on the child if the adult left?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. At the time did you have any concerns about the effect
- or how vulnerable it might have left the child by the
- 11 nature of that very emotionally close relationship
- 12 whilst the adult was still there?
- 13 A. It was the child I was thinking of at the time: he is
- not equipped to handle this and we should be making
- a wee bit of separation.
- 16 LADY SMITH: Tell me a bit more about what you observed that
- got you worried.
- 18 A. Well, they spent a lot of time together.
- 19 LADY SMITH: Where?
- 20 A. Well, at home, like in the house itself. When this
- 21 member of staff went home for weekends ...
- 22 LADY SMITH: Did he take the boy?
- 23 A. The boy would go too. Quite often trips, runs in the
- 24 van, things like that, that you'd be much more aware of
- 25 now. But if that boy wanted anything or needed

- anything, he only went through that member of staff.
- 2 And it got to the stage where that member of staff was
- 3 even -- if I said something, he'd, say, take the boy's
- 4 side for it. Do you know what I mean? That type of
- 5 thing. I just felt -- and they were quite close in age,
- 6 really, and it was just too emotionally charged.
- 7 LADY SMITH: The member of staff said to take the boy's
- 8 side?
- 9 A. It was just one or two incidences I can think of,
- I would say, no, this isn't happening, to the boy, and
- 11 the member of staff would say, "Well, I think it
- should", and I would say, "No, this isn't right".
- 13 LADY SMITH: Because the boy wanted it?
- 14 A. Yes, and he was trying to appease the boy and vice
- versa.
- MS RATTRAY: To whom did you report your concerns?
- 17 A. Mr Falconer.
- 18 Q. And what did Mr Falconer do about it?
- 19 A. I don't know that anything official was done or not
- 20 done; I just know that it was talked about within staff
- 21 groups.
- 22 Q. What did Mr Falconer say to you when you did report it?
- 23 A. At the time it was like, well, it might do the child
- 24 good to have someone that, you know -- care about him,
- 25 but in a -- I'm not putting that right. I'm not saying

- 1 that the right way. It was like the boy might benefit
- 2 in some way from knowing that there was a constant there
- 3 in his life, that sort of thing, which once I explained
- 4 how I felt about it, he said, "I'll talk to him", but
- 5 I don't know if anything more was done about it.
- 6 Q. Was this member of staff a key worker for the boy?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. You tell us that later on, some years later, after you
- 9 left Glasclune, maybe six to seven years ago, you were
- 10 interviewed by the police --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. -- who came to your house for a statement about the time
- 13 you spent there.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Tell us about why the police were asking you for
- 16 a statement.
- 17 A. There was an allegation against that member of staff
- that I've spoken about by another child.
- 19 Q. Who was the member of staff?
- 20 A. Am I allowed to say names?
- 21 Q. Yes.
- 22 A. QFB
- Q. Who was the child?
- 24 A. ; he's known now as
- 25 Q. You say in your statement that the police were also

1 following up on an allegation made by a CIB Μr 2 That's right. 3 Α. And it was an allegation of sexual abuse by this member 4 Q. of staff? 5 6 Α. Yes. CIB a child at Glasclune whilst you were 7 Q. Was 8 there? 9 Yes. Α. 10 Q. Did you ever see anything that caused you any concern CIB whilst you were there? 11 in relation to 12 No, not at all. He was -- not at all, it came as Α. a total shock to me -- can I just clear something up? 13 Yes, of course. 14 Q. When you asked for the names, isn't the 15 Α. child I spoke about here. He's the child I spoke about 16 in the last question. 17 18 Q. Yes. To be clear, your concern at the time you were at Glasclune was about a relationship between 19 QFB and the police came to speak to you but 20 and they were speaking about an allegation made by 21 a different former resident? 22 I just thought I'd ... 23 Yes, by CIB 24 LADY SMITH: Yes. I see what you mean, but don't worry,

Mary, I'm following the [draft] transcript and it's

25

- okay, I'd picked that up. You hadn't confused me.
- 2 MS RATTRAY: The staff member clearly had opportunities to
- 3 be alone with the child you were concerned about at the
- 4 time and in fact the child went to his house. Did that
- 5 staff member also have an opportunity to be alone with
- 6 CIB
- 7 A. Yes, he had the opportunity to be with any of the boys.
- 8 I don't know if he took CIB down to his parents'
- 9 house or not. I don't know about that.
- 10 Q. Was that something that the staff member would do, take
- 11 children to his parents' house?
- 12 A. Yes. And it was quite a distance away, so it was a long
- time in the van.
- Q. In the last page of your statement, at 5576, you tell us
- that abuse allegations you've heard of in recent times
- are said to have happened when a group of staff and
- 17 children went on a camping trip.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. That's not a trip that you went on yourself?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. You express the view that:
- "By their nature, sexual predators are underhand and
- they can go for years without detection."
- Then you say:
- 25 "I do feel though that concerns should have been

- 1 listened to."
- 2 A. Aye.
- 3 Q. What concerns are you referring to?
- A. Well, the emotional involvement with
- 5 that that was the most -- the only thing that I really
- 6 had concerns about at that time.
- 7 Q. You feel that the concerns should have been listened to.
- 8 Do I understand from that that your assessment of it was
- 9 that you weren't fully listened to?
- 10 A. I felt I was listened to at the time, but I think if
- I was making that same statement now, there would be
- more done about it, maybe. People are more aware or
- 13 whatever. As I say, I don't know the outcome of what
- 14 I'd said, whether there'd been a meeting with him or
- 15 whatever, I don't know.
- Q. Did the closeness in the relationship between the child
- 17 and the member of staff continue after you raised your
- 18 concerns?
- 19 A. Yes, I think so. And then left care and QFB
- 20 went to another job.
- 21 Q. So you didn't see any sign of things changing after you
- 22 raised your concerns with Mr Falconer?
- 23 A. Not obviously, no.
- 24 MS RATTRAY: My Lady, I have no further questions for this
- witness.

1	LADY SMITH: Thank you.
2	Are there any outstanding applications for
3	questions?
4	MR JACKSON: No, thank you.
5	LADY SMITH: Mary, those are all the questions we have for
6	you. Thank you very much for responding in the detail
7	that you have done to the request we made to you to
8	provide a statement and for coming along today to add
9	your oral evidence. It's very helpful to me to hear
10	what you have to say about your time working for
11	Barnardo's. I'm now able to let you go.
12	A. Thank you.
13	(The witness withdrew)
14	LADY SMITH: I think that completes the evidence for today,
15	does it?
16	MS RATTRAY: It does, my Lady. Tomorrow we will have three
17	further oral witnesses.
18	LADY SMITH: Thank you, starting at 10 o'clock as usual.
19	I will rise now until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning.
20	Before I do so, just reminding people who hadn't
21	noticed already, that two names were mentioned by that
22	witness which are covered by my general restriction
23	order. You have already been alerted to the name of
24	QFB The other one is the boy whose surname was
25	I think his first name was They are

1	covered by my GRO and cannot be repeated outside this
2	room. Thank you.
3	(3.32 pm)
4	(The inquiry adjourned until 10.00 am
5	on Thursday, 6 December 2018)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	I N D E X
2	
3	"ERIC" (sworn)1
4	
5	Questions from MR PEOPLES1
6	
7	Witness statement of QFB (read)59
8	
9	Witness statement of JASMINE BELL74
10	(read)
11	MARY ROEBUCK (sworn)98
12	Questions from MS RATTRAY98
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	