

A23331296

TRANSFER MANIFEST

ROYAL OVER-SEAS LEAGUE

CASE STUDY – CHILD MIGRANTS

RESPONSE TO SECTION 21 A22210745

Documents received 30/01/2019

Response letter 2 pages dated 29 January 2019

10 page response



The Rt Hon Lady Smith
 Scottish Child Abuse Inquiry
 PO Box 24085
 Edinburgh
 EH7 9EA

29 January 2019

Dear Lady Smith,

**The Scottish Child Abuse Inquiry
 Child Migrant Case Study**

In response to your letter dated 24th September 2018, please find attached the response by the Royal Over-Seas League (ROSL) to the Notice under s.21(2)(b) issued to us on 24 September 2018 relating to the migration of children whose primary care originated in Scotland. A methodology is attached which sets out the approach taken to formulating the response.

ROSL (established in 1910 as a private members' organisation) did not itself have any institutions for the care of children and although SCAI's questions are possibly more directed towards those organisations and institutions that did, we have done our best to answer the questions and provide documentation where this exists.

ROSL does not have any minutes of the Migration Committee which ROSL established in 1926, originally to support adult migration. It appears that ROSL and its Central Council delegated the practicalities of support for government policies regarding child migration after 1928 to this Committee and its Honorary Secretary Mr Cyril Bavin.

Mr Bavin was an Australian, born in New Zealand, who was a ROSL Central Council member between 1920-1940. In 1940 the British government appointed Mr Bavin as Liaison Officer between the Home Government and the governments of Australia and New Zealand to safeguard the welfare of evacuee children sent there under the Children's Overseas Reception Board Scheme.

When the War ended, Mr Bavin resumed his role as ROSL Honorary Migration Secretary and continued in it until his death in 1955. From research, it appears that he was mainly resident in the UK and that Mr Bavin made occasional visits to the ROSL Edinburgh clubhouse at 100 Princes Street.

The Migration Committee contributed brief updates of its work to ROSL's Annual Reports, ROSL Central Council meetings and ROSL's 'Overseas' magazine, copies of which are in the British Library. ROSL branch and group reports in Annual Reports also refer to support for migration activities in general. We have recently discovered a photo album which has pictures of named child migrants to New Zealand between 1949-1953 and to Australia during 1954.

Royal Over-Seas League	Tel: +44 (0)20 7408 0214
Over-Seas House	Fax: +44 (0)20 7499 6738
Park Place	Email: info@rosl.org.uk
St James's Street	Web: www.rosl.org.uk
London SW1A 1LR	
United Kingdom	

Patron: HM The Queen Vice Patron: HRH Princess Alexandra, KG, GCVO
 President: The Rt Hon the Lord Luce, KG, GCVO, DL

The photos show the children – sometimes with their parents - with the chaperones who accompanied the children on their sea voyages to New Zealand, the New Zealand High Commissioner, Mr Cyril Bavin, and others at Farewell Tea Parties held at ROSL between 1949 – 1954

before sailings. It appears that there were 30 sailings to New Zealand on named ships and liners during this time and four sailings to Australia in 1954.

From the photos it also appears that the children were given honorary ROSL membership if in their teens and junior membership and a badge if younger.

Some of the children pictured who were sailing to New Zealand did indeed have primary care in Scotland as captions give their names, ages and home areas but we have few details as to why they were chosen or where in New Zealand they went. It is possible that they were initially proposed by institutions or individuals in their home areas and applications sent to the ROSL Migration Bureau. It appears that applications were then passed on to New Zealand House for verification and that final selection was made by a Committee on which was represented the British Government, the New Zealand Government and the Over-Seas League.

To the best of our knowledge ROSL has received no complaints of sexual or other abuse from any former child migrants.

I mention all of this as our researchers, principally former Director-General Major General Roddy Porter and the present Director of Education Projects Margaret Adrian-Vallance, consider that it is only by including the general overview of ROSL's migration activity since 1928 that light can be shed on possible Scotland-specific activity.

With no comprehensive or specific records relating to selection, parental approval, monitoring and other aspects for those whose primary care originated in Scotland, the best we can do is piece together what slender information we have. We are pleased to help in any way further way if you require additional clarification.

I should particularly like to thank you for granting us an extension until the end of January 2019. We are a small team here and being relatively new myself to the post of Director-General, this extra time was much appreciated.

Yours sincerely



Dr Diana Owen OBE
Director-General

Cc Ewan McGillivray, Morton Fraser LLP
Ewan.McGillivray@morton-fraser.com

METHODOLOGY STATEMENT

Main Researcher

Margaret Adrian-Vallance, ROSL's present Director of Education Projects and former Director of PR and Development was the main researcher.

Margaret joined ROSL in 1993 and had previously assisted the former Director-General, Roddy Porter and others with research into ROSL's involvement with child migration. When he retired in 2017, Margaret was delegated by the ROSL Central Council to be the main point of contact on such matters and in September 2018, the present Director-General, Diana Owen, asked her to continue this research and provide answers as far as possible to SCAI questions.

Documental – General Overview to Shed Light on Branch Activity

ROSL appears to have delegated child migration activities to its Migration Committee, established in 1926 and based in London, originally to support adult migration. However, ROSL has not been able to locate any minutes or correspondence from this Migration Committee, or its successor Migration Bureau.

Research at ROSL HQ, Park Place, London SW1A 1LR, has therefore been largely reliant on the brief reports from the Migration Committee to be found in ROSL Annual Reports, equally brief reports in the Central Council Minutes of the time and back editions of 'Overseas' magazine (est 1917; British Library has all editions).

Other documents researched at ROSL HQ for a general overview of ROSL child migration activity include those presented to the House of Commons Health Committee's Inquiry into the Welfare of former British Child Migrants whose third report was published in July 1998, a small amount of correspondence, press cuttings and documents prepared for the Independent Inquiry into Child Sex Abuse (IICSA) re Child Migration during 2016 – 2018.

Documental – Scottish Branch Specific Activity

Scottish Branch reports in ROSL Annual Reports (held at the London clubhouse) have been the main source of information.

However, in early November 2018, a photo album containing over 90 press photos of children attending tea parties at ROSL between 1949-54 before sailing was discovered after the closure of ROSL's Edinburgh clubhouse at 100 Princes Street. The album was amongst items sent to the London clubhouse (Park Place, London SW1A 1LR). This was the first time that ROSL had in its possession any details relating to name, age and home town of a child about to migrate.

In mid-November 2018, further boxes of archives from the Edinburgh clubhouse were searched (in the empty clubhouse with Stuart Lynch, then ROSL Branch Deputy Chairman) and nothing further of relevance to this Inquiry was found.

RESPONSE FROM ROSL TO APPENDIX 1 OF THE NOTICE ISSUED IN TERMS OF SECTION 21(2)(b) OF THE INQUIRIES ACT 2005, DATED 24 SEPTEMBER 2018

(Note: Inquiry's questions in bold, ROSL's responses in standard text but those relevant to Scotland in bold italics)

1. **A report addressing the following in relation to the Royal Over-Seas League ("the Organisation")'s policies and procedures in respect of the migration of children whose care originated in Scotland, for the period 1 January 1900 to 17 December 2014:**

ROSL RESPONSE

The Royal Over-Seas League (ROSL - a not for profit membership organisation founded in 1910 as the Over-Seas Club) possesses few records of migration activities and did not itself have any establishments for the care of children.

- i. The Annual Report of the ROSL for 1926 records that a Migration Committee was established in the autumn of 1926, with Mr Cyril Bavin as its Honorary Secretary.
- ii. The ROSL Annual Report for 1927 indicates that migration issues are still primarily concerned with "the emigration of ex-officers and civil servants but that at the present moment it is considering the question of extending its activities."

The Report further records that "The Liverpool Branch started the idea of adopting a child under the 'godparent' scheme of the Child Emigration Society. The cost is £30 a year and the child, who can be selected by the members, is sent to the Fairbridge Farm Schools, Western Australia. Half year reports will keep the members in touch with their 'protégé'".

- iii. The Annual Report of 1928 adds that funding, "...is being spent to send 1000 boys and young men to Canada." No information is provided as to ages, home areas, selection procedures or exact destination in Canada.
- iiii. The Annual Report for 1929 speaks of the newly established protégé scheme, "...whereby Members (of ROSL) ... can now become "godparents" to children who wish to go overseas and are thus enabled to follow the subsequent careers of their protégés in the new world. The children (boys and girls) are selected by the League and placed, often in conjunction with some other Society, in Canada or Australia."
It is not known how this selection process functioned or who the children thus selected were or to which locations they emigrated. The Report states that 88 protégés of the League were established overseas in 1929 and that similar opportunities were to be offered to "the Public-School boy."
- v. In 1930, the Annual Report for that year records that immigration was difficult because the depression had forced the Dominions to place strict limits on this. It also records that 92 protégés had been successfully settled in "good positions" (presumably work opportunities), mostly in Canada and that 241 others had been assisted with letters of introduction. It is unclear whether ROSL had assisted their move overseas more directly. Again, there is no information as to where these young people came from.

- vi. The 1931 Annual Report states that the Migration Bureau - as the Migration Committee was then known - closed down because of "...the practical cessation of all emigration from Great Britain to the Dominions." which seems to have focused on adults, rather than children The Bureau had helped 375 men and women to migrate and had given advice to 5,213 others. However, the protégé scheme continued. The Report states that, "190 boys" (their ages are not recorded) "faced with the dull monotony of blind-alley jobs in this country, or with the alternative of taking their place in the queues outside the Labour Exchange to receive the dole, have been placed in positions overseas, where they are now happy and hard-working citizens of the Dominions." The Report also mentions that the Ulster Branch had two godchildren at the Kingsley Fairbridge Farm School in Western Australia.
- vii. The 1933 Annual Report highlights an initiative called the 'Back to the Land Scheme', in cooperation with the YMCA British Boys for British Farms Scheme, which seemed to seek to place unemployed British boys on farms in England. ROSL had sponsored 100 boys in this collaboration. The Report also mentions that the Perth (Australia) Branch had collected sufficient money to have its own godchild at the Fairbridge Farm School.
- viii. ***The 1934 Annual Report states that "eight children at Kingsley Fairbridge Farm Schools were now being supported by Scottish Members of the League". This is the first reference in the documents examined to the involvement of Scottish members in the migration of children. It is not clear whether this support was given to children from throughout the UK or Scotland only. Some of these children could well have migrated from Scotland.***
- ix. The 1935 and 1936 Annual Reports indicate that the work of the Migration Bureau was temporarily suspended. Instead, members focused on giving financial support to the Fairbridge Farm Schools. The reasons for this suspension are not stated but might be attributable to increased unemployment overseas.
- x. ***The 1937 Annual Report records that "...the Kingsley Fairbridge godchildren adopted by Scottish branches now number eight and there is every indication that this number will be increased in 1938". Again, there is no indication as to from where in the UK these 'godchildren' migrated from, but some could well have migrated from Scotland.***
- xi. The Report for 1938 indicates that migration work was to resume with an appeal for 'godfathers' to sponsor boys through training in agriculture in Britain and then meet the costs of their migration and settlement overseas. Sponsorship of 100 boys was sought. The Report also records that ROSL members were being asked to adopt Jewish and other male child refugees from Germany not classed as Aryan refugee boys from Germany and sponsor them through training in farm work in Britain. ROSL sought to ensure that these boys became British subjects.
- xii. The 1939 Annual Report refers to 'the cost of becoming a godfather to a boy and paying for his training on the land in Great Britain and all transport expenses to his destination overseas is in the case of Australia £25'. ***It also reports that the Scottish Members continued their 'godparenting' of children at the Fairbridge Kingsley Farm Schools and a number of***

centres adopted 2 Godchildren. The Report gives no details of names, ages or home areas of the children or indeed which Fairbridge Schools they attended.

- xiii. The reports of 1940 and 1941 make brief mention of ongoing support by ROSL members in general for children at the Fairbridge Farm Schools.
- xiii. In the Annual Reports until 1945, there are no references to specific child migrants whose primary care was in Scotland.
- xv. In 1947, Cyril Bavin resumed his post as the League's Honorary Migration Secretary. The League's Annual Report for that year refers to assistance made by the League to enable wartime evacuees to Australia, who had returned to Britain after the War, as agreed by the British Government, to return to Australia to make it their permanent home. It is also clear that the League began to provide a service in the late 1940s to assist families and individuals inquiring about migration to find out what was involved and how they could make arrangements.
- xvi. The ROSL Annual Report for 1949 records that "Early in 1949 it was agreed:
 - 1) That the New Zealand Government Child Welfare Department should appeal for homes in New Zealand in which the children would be regarded as members of the family; 2) that the Over-Seas League should be the sole recruiting agency in the UK to recruit children from the ages of 5 to 17 whose parents were willing to allow them to emigrate to New Zealand where they would have a better chance of a fuller, happier and healthier life; 3) that after a trial period of six months, the friends who took charge of these children if the arrangement proved satisfactory on both sides should be given legal guardianship of the child until he or she attained the age of 21 years. The parents' consent to this is given in a sworn declaration before a magistrate".**
- xvii. **Between 1949 – 1953, it was also League policy to host at the London clubhouse, farewell parties for migrating children sailing to New Zealand and to give them ROSL membership. The photo album discovered in November 2018 shows that these parties were attended by the children to be migrated, some of their parents, the mainly female escorts who would accompany the children on their sea voyage and various New Zealand dignitaries such as the High Commissioner, the New Zealand Speaker and several Government Ministers. Some of the children pictured in the photo album captions came from Scotland. They are named and the ages and home areas of some of them are also provided.**
- xviii. **The Annual Report for 1950 refers to the first meeting in London of a Council of Voluntary Organisations for Child Migration, the details and provenance of which are unknown, and that several thousand letters of inquiry had been received that year by the League's Honorary Migration Secretary, Mr Bavin. There is no breakdown of areas from which requests came but due to the evidence within the photo album it is clear that some did come from Scotland.**
- xix. The Annual Report for 1951 provides updates on developments and numbers of children migrating to New Zealand but no breakdown by area.

- xx. In 1953 the Annual Report makes mention of the intent to maintain an Honorary Migration Secretary, "...as on account of the well-established place which the League holds among the Emigration Societies in this country (Britain), it continues to receive many requests for advice re emigration...". Focus appears to have switched to Australia during that year and the League became a recruiting agency for organisations involved in migration to that country. It is also clear from this Annual Report that League branches were involved in raising donations for the Fairbridge Society.
- xxi. There is also evidence of Australian branches which had a commitment to individual children, for example, the Sydney Branch referring to, "two Godchildren at the Fairbridge Farm School, Molong'. There are no further details as to where these children came from, how they were selected or their ages.
- xxii. In the 1954 Annual Report, there is a short mention of recruitment to the Dhurringlie Farm Training School in Victoria of twenty boys and further evidence of "god parenting" by UK branches.
- xxiii. In 1955 the Annual Report records the death of Mr Bavin, Hon Migration Secretary.
- xxiii. In 1956, a strategic change is highlighted in the Annual Report as numbers applying to migrate had fallen and the League decided to switch its focus to family migration. Plans appear to have been made with the Fairbridge Society for this to occur at some stage after the end of the year.
- xxv. From the 1957 Annual Report, it is clear that the Fairbridge Society had become the focus of most of the migration-related funding activity of the League and the Society placed fund raising advertisements in the 'Overseas' magazine. In this year there appears to have been family migration to Australia and there is also the first mention of ROSL supporting the YMCA "British Lads for Canada" Scheme, which sought to settle older teenage boys in Canadian farms in conjunction with the United Church of Canada.
- xxvi. From the 1958 Annual Report, there is evidence that these boys were specifically sponsored by the League, given membership and put in touch with the branch closest to them. Sponsorship continued in 1960 and 1961.
- xxvii. ***The Edinburgh Branch report for the 1958 ROSL Annual Report includes the fact that 'the ladies of the handicraft centre continued their excellent work; the funds raised by them during the year going to support children in the leper colonies and young British emigrants to the Kingsley Fairbridge Farm Schools in Australia; they also provided funds to send two Scottish boys to Canada under the YMCA British Lads to Canada Scheme.' In 1963 the Annual report records that the League was grateful to the Ladies Handicraft Centre at Edinburgh for providing sponsorships for four of the twelve young men going to Australia under the Big Brother Movement. There is no indication as to whether these four were from Scotland or elsewhere. However, the 1964 Annual report does record the names of two 'boys' from Ladybank who went to Australia, also via the Big Brother Scheme.***

xxviii. Reporting is scant in the years 1965-67 and the Annual Report for 1968 demonstrates that the level of support had not increased since 1964. Finally, the Annual Reports for 1972, 1975 and 1978 continue to report on funding and other support for the Big Brother Scheme and the Fairbridge Society for which the 'Overseas' magazine carried fund raising advertisements.

(a) **What policies and/or procedures did the Organisation and its establishment(s) have in place in relation to child migration work**

The material referred to above indicates that the "godparenting/protégé" scheme was the ROSL's key policy relating to child migration. The "Back to the Land schemes" mentioned earlier was also a relevant policy. As was the policy regarding the migrating of children to New Zealand as outlined in the 1949 Annual Report. ***The photo album discovered in November 2018 demonstrates there was a policy of holding farewell parties in London at which honorary ROSL membership was given to the children.***

The records examined also indicate both an intent and practice from early on in the migration scheme to ensure that many children migrating to New Zealand and Australia went to individual homes and that, where possible, these children were linked with Branches of the League in the countries to which they travelled and that they should be made Junior Members of the League.

(b) **Was there a particular policy and/or procedural aim/intention?**

The general policy aim/intention was to support UK Government policy and organisations like the Fairbridge Society whose aim was to enable children from very poor families to obtain what was thought at the time to be a better life in Australia, Canada or New Zealand.

The procedural aim and intention therefore varied in pursuit of the general policy. An example would be that the 'back to the land schemes' were aimed mainly at young men and older boys whereas the 'godparenting' option enabled some sort of personal contact and support between the 'godparent' and the 'godchild' via letters and reports. A letter dated 3 July 1998 sent by the New Zealand Government's Social Service Policy Group to their Secretary for Foreign Affairs regarding the UK Health Committee Inquiry into the Welfare of Former Child Migrants sets out its understanding of the ROSL's role based on New Zealand files. It describes how Mr Bavin proposed the scheme to the New Zealand High Commissioner in 1947 and that final selection was made by a Committee composed of British and New Zealand Government representatives and ROSL.

(c) **Where were such policies and/or procedures recorded?**

ROSL recorded a short summary of the work of the Migration Committee/Bureau in its Annual Reports each year as outlined above. The minutes of the Migration Committee/Bureau cannot be located in spite of searches which began as far back as 1990.

(d) **What did the policies and/or procedures set out in terms of the following?**

***Identification and checking the suitability of the places where children were sent**

ROSL supported other organisations and governments which had already identified the suitability – as perceived at the time – of where children were to be sent. We have seen no evidence that ROSL itself had a policy of double checking this suitability.

***Selection of children to migrate including age, gender and Background**

ROSL has been unable to locate any files on how individual children were selected including those who came from Scotland.

***Provision of information to the child and/or his/her parents before Migration**

ROSL has been unable to locate any records as to this information. However, the photo album referred to shows parents being present. This indicates that parents had some awareness.

***Provision of information and records to children and/or their parents once child had been migrated**

The only record ROSL has been able to find about this is contained in the 1949 Annual Report relating to New Zealand at (xvi). This refers to parents swearing a declaration consenting to legal guardianship being given to the guardians in New Zealand after 6 months if the arrangement there proves to be satisfactory.

Obtaining consent of child

ROSL does not have any records relating to this.

***Obtaining consent of parents of child**

ROSL does not have any records relating to this other than the reference in the 1949 Annual Report to parents swearing a declaration consenting to legal guardianship being given to the guardians of children in New Zealand after 6 months if the arrangement there proves to be satisfactory.

***Obtaining of consent of others e.g. Secretary of State**

This is not mentioned in ROSL's surviving records – apart from the 3 July 1998 letter from the New Zealand Government's Social Service Policy Group sent to their Secretary for Foreign affairs referred to in the response to 1(b) above which refers to a document from 1949 prepared by the NZ Head of the League and sent to the NZ Director of Employment describing how the migration scheme to New Zealand worked with a final selection of applications made by a Committee composed of representatives of "...the British Government, the New Zealand Government and the Over-Seas League."

***Responding to requests for information from former child migrants**

Since at least 1991, when Robert Newell became ROSL Director-General, ROSL has had a policy of responding as helpfully as possible to the few former child migrants who have contacted ROSL requesting information (ROSL has no evidence of the policy before then). This included personal responses by Robert Newell by letter or telephone and also making it known that the UK's legal deposit libraries which includes the British Library retained copies of the League's 'Overseas' magazine. ROSL's Child Protection policy prepared in 2016 sets out the present procedure for dealing with such requests.

***Other Issues**

No additional issues have been identified

(e) Who compiled the policies and/or procedures

This is not known. As stated, the Annual Reports indicate that the Central Council delegated the job of finding practical ways of supporting government policy to the Migration Bureau (established in 1926 and initially called the Migration Committee to assist with adult migration) and its Hon Sec, Cyril Bavin. In the absence of any Migration Committee or Bureau minutes, or exact and detailed material as to who compiled policies and procedures, it is thought that the Migration Committee/Bureau would have sought advice from the home government and the governmental agencies of the countries to which the children migrated.

(f) When were the policies and/or procedures put in place?

Support for government policies was first put in place in 1926 with the establishment of the Migration Committee.

(g) Were such policies and/or practices reviewed?

The Annual Reports indicate that the Migration Committee/Bureau reviewed matters over the years.

In relation to children, there was an initial emphasis on "Back to the land schemes" for boys and this changed to the god parenting and protégé schemes.

The destination countries also changed in prominence from Canada to New Zealand and Australia. The war years saw a cessation.

After the war, there seems to have been a particular focus on migrating children from all parts of Great Britain and Northern Ireland to New Zealand.

The Migration Bureau was disbanded in 1956 following the death of Cyril Bavin, who was then the League's Hon Migration Secretary.

Support for the Fairbridge Society continued through the placing of fund-raising advertisements in the 'Overseas' magazine.

(h) If so, what was the reason for review?

Adverse economic circumstances and the Second World War appear to have led to changes. It may be that Mr Bavin's personal knowledge of New Zealand where he was born and later, after the Second World War, appears to have maintained contact with government officials, was influential here.

(i) What substantive changes, if any, were made to the policies and/or procedures over time?

The response to 1(g) is referred to here. ROSL has no minutes or paperwork from branches in Scotland covering any changes in policies of procedures over the period in question.

(j) Why were changes made?

The response to 1(h) is referred to here.

(k) Were changes documented?

Brief accounts in ROSL Annual Reports record some changes which are attributable to the great Depression and the Second World War. Regrettably ROSL has not been able to locate any additional records that might assist such as Migration Committee/Bureau Minutes or any personal notes made by Mr Bavin.

(l) Was there an audit trail?

Other than brief reports from the Migration Committee/Bureau in ROSL's Annual Reports, no further evidence has been located which could show this.

RESPONSE FROM ROSL TO APPENDIX 1 OF THE NOTICE ISSUED IN TERMS OF SECTION 21(2)(b) OF THE INQUIRIES ACT 2005, DATED 24 SEPTEMBER 2018

2. A report addressing the following in relation to Organisation's practice in respect of the migration of children whose care originated in Scotland, for the period 1 January 1900 to 17 December 2014:

(a) Did the Organisation and its establishment(s) adhere in practice to its policy/procedures in terms of child migrants relating to the following?

Regrettably, ROSL has been unable to locate any records which enable this question to be satisfactorily addressed. The absence of any surviving records or minutes from the Migration Committee/Bureau impedes this task and means that ROSL is not in a position to answer (a) - (f).

(g) How many children were sent as child migrants from the Organisation's establishments, and where were they sent?

ROSL does not and never has had establishments for the care of children but rather was involved in assisting with migration.

(h) What was their age and gender?

We would refer to the answer to (g).

(i) Over what time period were children migrated from the Organisation's Establishments?

We would refer to the answer to (g).

However, it would appear from research that the ROSL and many of its members and branches did support financially the migration of children from other organisations which did have such establishments or went to establishments such as Fairbridge Society schools in Canada and Australia.

(j) Who funded the child migration?

The ROSL Migration Committee/Bureau, ROSL members and branches helped fund the travel of individual young people overseas as part of 'god parenting' or protégé schemes as mentioned earlier.

(k) Who received the funding in relation to migrant children?

ROSL HQ and branches. With regard to branches, the Annual Reports referred to in the response to question 1 lead us to surmise that it is probable funding came from the branches own, local fund-raising efforts, as in the case of the Edinburgh ladies craft centre referred to at (xxvii) of the response to question 1.

(l) In general terms, how much was this funding?

With regard to Scotland, there are no records as to how much this funding was. However, as narrated in the response to question 1, sums of £25 to £30 per person funded overseas travel.

(m) How did the Organisation/establishment respond to requests for information from former child migrants?

The policy has been to offer as much information as was possible to give to the few former child migrants who have contacted ROSL for information and also to make it known that the Copyright libraries, including the British Library, had copies of 'Overseas' magazine which included references to child migration and pictures of pre sailing tea parties at ROSL (but without the names of the children in the captions).

3. All records, correspondence, policies, procedures, reports, file notes, minutes, and other documents of a similar nature in whatever form, in the possession or otherwise within the control of the Organisation, or anyone acting on their behalf, showing or tending to show any information relating to the migration abroad of children whose care originated in Scotland who had resided in any establishment operated by the Organisation, (i) generally and (ii) in respect of specific children, during the period 1 January 1900 to 17 December 2014 including but not restricted to (a) - (p):

As stated, ROSL has never operated establishments for the care of children. Although ROSL does not consider that any of the documentation referred to in the course of this response falls within the scope of the documentation which the Inquiry seeks in the Notice, it would of course be pleased to provide the Inquiry with copies of any of the documentation referred to in this response in the event this would assist the Inquiry with its endeavours.

4. Correspondence and other documents in the possession or otherwise within the control of the Organisation or its establishment(s) in respect of the period 17 December 2014 to the date hereof in relation to past migration abroad of children whose care originated in Scotland

ROSL possesses no documents of this kind.

5. An inventory of all documents falling within paragraph 3 and 4 above

Not required.

6. Any record or document indicating, showing or describing the whereabouts of any record or document falling within paragraph 3 and 4 above where such record or document is outwith the possession and/or control of the Organisation

ROSL is not aware of any such document.

7. Failing principals, copies, electronic copies, digital or other medium copies, drafts or duplicates of the above or any of them

Not applicable.

8. A list of all the children whose care originated in Scotland and who had resided in any establishment operated by the Organisation who were migrated abroad during the period 1 January 1900 to 17 December 2014 including their names, dates of birth, where they were from, their parental situation, the establishment they resided in prior to migration, where they were migrated to (including name of institution or other carer) and when, whether or not they had siblings in care and whether or not any such siblings were also migrated.

Although ROSL never had any establishments for the care of children, the photo album discovered in November 2018 disclosed the names of a number of children from Scotland who were subsequently migrated along with the ages, areas of residence and date of departure from the UK for many of them. Although not falling within the scope of the information sought, ROSL would be pleased to provide this information in the event that would assist the Inquiry.