1	Friday, 7 May 2021
2	(10.00 am)
3	LADY SMITH: Good morning, and welcome to the last day of
4	this week's evidence in the case study into boarding
5	schools provision in Scotland.
6	As intimated yesterday, I think we have two live
7	witnesses coming today. The first one is ready, I
8	understand, Mr Brown?
9	MR BROWN: That is correct, my Lady. The first witness is
LO	Dorothy Barbour. It may be that, once she has
11	concluded, there may be time to fit in a read-in from
L2	yesterday afternoon.
L3	LADY SMITH: Yes, thank you. (Pause).
14	Good morning, Ms Barbour. Please could we begin by
L5	you taking the oath.
16	MS DOROTHY BARBOUR (sworn)
L7	LADY SMITH: Please sit down and make yourself comfortable.
18	Can I begin with what I hope is an easy question, how
19	would you like me to address you? I am happy to use
20	Ms Barbour, if you are more comfortable with that, or
21	Dorothy.
22	A. Dorothy is fine.
23	LADY SMITH: If Dorothy works, that is what it will be.
24	There is a red folder in front of you and that has a
2.5	hard copy of your statement in it. It will also come un

1		on screen as you are referred to it, and if there is any
2		other document we want to refer you to, that should come
3		up on screen as well.
4		I don't think there is anything else I need to
5		explain to you at the moment. If you have any questions
6		at any time, please don't hesitate to let me know,
7		otherwise I will pass on to Mr Brown.
8		Thank you, Mr Brown.
9		Questions from MR BROWN
10	MR	BROWN: Good morning, Dorothy. You are Dorothy Barbour.
11		You were born in 1946, so you are now 74, is that
12		correct?
13	A.	Yes.
14	Q.	We have, as her Ladyship has said, your statement in
15		front of you in the red folder but, as you can see, it
16		does appear on the screen. Either way is easy.
17		If we go to the final page of your statement on
18		page 23, which again will appear. And the last numbered
19		paragraph, 106, it says:
20		"I have no objection to my witness statement being
21		published as part of the evidence to the Inquiry.
22		I believe the facts stated in this witness statement are
23		true."
24		And those statements are correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. And you have confirmed that by signing the document on
- 2 21 October 2020, last year?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. Thank you. As you will understand, the contents of your
- 5 statement are now in evidence, and we don't require to
- 6 go through every last detail. What I would rather do is
- 7 just talk more generally about your experience and one
- 8 or two specifics that we will come to in due course.
- 9 Okay?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Because you have a long -- or you had a long career in
- 12 teaching, is that fair?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And it is set out at paragraph 3 on the first page. You
- first started teaching in 1969?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. In Reading?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. You were an English teacher?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And that remained so throughout your career?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And as we see set out there, you then moved north 50
- 24 a number of schools in the West of Scotland?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Then you moved to the Borders, to Selkirk High School,
- 2 in 1980 for a year, and then I think we understand that
- 3 you take time off for family?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And in 1984 you began work at Loretto?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. At that stage you were still living in the Borders, but
- in due course you moved up to Edinburgh and lived at
- 9 Loretto itself, and we will come to that.
- 10 Your experience therefore is across perhaps the
- 11 whole range of schools: private day, state and then
- 12 Loretto boarding.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 O. Is that fair?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Apart from the boarding school aspect, are those three
- 17 different types of schools very different otherwise, or
- 18 are there common threads through all schools that you
- 19 would recognise?
- 20 A. The classroom is clearly a common thread. What I was
- 21 doing in the English classroom was the same in all
- 22 schools. The second -- the second school I was in in
- 23 Scotland, the school that was in Helensburgh called
- 24 St Bride's, actually had a boarding element, so I had
- 25 had some experience of boarding before I joined Loretto.

- The models for the boarding houses were very different.
- 2 St Bride's had a non-teaching pastoral head; when
- I moved to Loretto the head of each boarding house was
- 4 a teaching member of staff.
- 5 Q. Did you have any views about which approach worked
- 6 better?
- 7 A. No, they both have advantages and disadvantages, and
- 8 very much, as always, it depends on the person who is
- 9 filling the role, how children relate to that
- 10 individual.
- 11 Q. Indeed so. In relation to one aspect we have been
- 12 hearing about, which is bullying, is bullying constant
- in all schools to some degree?
- A. Yes, I suspect it is. It's part of human relationships,
- 15 with some people needing to feel their sense of
- self-worth through authority over others and so some
- 17 sense of power over others, so I suspect the potential
- 18 is always there. How much is actually realised will
- 19 differ, depending on the environment.
- 20 Q. Indeed. You talked then a moment ago about the house
- 21 system and how much would turn on the personality of the
- 22 individuals in charge of a given house. I think would
- 23 you agree that that is also true in the context, looking
- 24 particularly at Loretto, of the particular headmaster
- 25 who is in post? Because we understand from previous

- 1 evidence that a head can direct --
- 2 A. Oh yes.
- Q. -- the travel of a school for the period he is in
- 4 charge.
- 5 A. Yes, very much so at Loretto. There was no senior team
- of three or four people running it, it was the
- 7 headmaster alone, and while one is always aware in
- 8 a school that one person is the final authority, there
- 9 are usually a better delegation of responsibility. That
- 10 was not Loretto's characteristic at all.
- 11 Q. You were at Loretto from 1984, when you started
- 12 part-time I think, until 2007?
- 13 A. 2008, I think. I could have made a mistake.
- Q. No, no, I am sure the mistake is mine not yours, 2008.
- 15 And in that time I think you had experience of a number
- of headmasters?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Presumably all with their own character?
- 19 A. Absolutely.
- 20 Q. And approach?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Is it also fair to say that the appointment of
- 23 headmasters from your perspective -- if you disagree
- 24 with this, please say so -- was determined in part by
- 25 the business needs of the school. For example, if

- numbers are dropping they may get a headmaster in who
- will try and bring numbers up?
- 3 A. Yes, that would always be an advantage in the small
- 4 number selected for interview. Because of the situation
- 5 with the falling demographic in Scotland, it was very
- 6 difficult for private schools throughout the period that
- 7 I was working there.
- 8 Q. So it was always a concern, an underlying concern?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Would there be enough pupils to make the school
- 11 financially viable?
- 12 A. That is right.
- Q. Was that a concern the staff had as much perhaps as the
- 14 governors?
- 15 A. Indeed, yes, because if you were working there you
- didn't want to see the school close, and it mattered
- 17 very much to parents that they felt the headmaster was
- 18 someone they could trust. I remember a parent bringing
- her third former, she lived abroad, and she said to me
- "It's everything to us that we have left him in the care
- of someone that we feel we can rely on". So that is
- 22 what makes the headmaster effective at increasing
- 23 numbers, if parents can trust him.
- Q. Yes. I think you say in paragraph 8, page 3 of your
- 25 statement, you make reference to a number of

- 1 headmasters, but halfway down you make the point that:
- "Keith Budge stayed until around 2001/2 when he was
- 3 replaced by Michael Mavor. He was
- a former head at Gordonstoun and Rugby School.
- 5 When Michael was there, there was always the need to
- 6 improve school numbers, build better relationships with
- 7 parents and improve the facilities within the school."
- 8 So that is the sort of responsive approach that is
- 9 sometimes felt to be required?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. In relation to the relationship between staff room and
- 12 the headmaster, presumably that could be different from
- one headmaster to another?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Some presumably were more popular than others?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And we have heard -- we heard yesterday one of
- 18 the headmasters you mentioned, Norman Drummond, who
- 19 I think appointed you as head of English?
- 20 A. Yes, he did.
- 21 Q. Has been described as something of a Marmite character:
- 22 people either liked him or didn't?
- 23 A. Yes. Yes. Plus he either liked people or didn't.
- 24 Q. All right.
- 25 A. So it was a two-way thing.

- 1 Q. Yes, indeed. I think he was a very different headmaster
- 2 from perhaps previous and subsequent headmasters to the
- 3 extent that he was not someone with an educational
- 4 background?
- 5 A. Not at all, and that did make a huge difference.
- 6 O. Did that cause tensions within the staff room?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Why did that cause tensions? His lack of teacher
- 9 experience?
- 10 A. I suppose one of the factors that occurred most often is
- 11 that when he was addressing the school, he just would
- ignore the fact that the next lesson should have begun,
- and he would just keep them until he had finished saying
- 14 what he wanted to say. So when you are waiting to get
- a certain unit of lesson through, when they are quarter
- of an hour and still not with you, you get a bit cross,
- 17 probably. I think it started with things like that.
- 18 And he was tremendously aware of raising the
- school's profile, that was what he wanted to do, and so
- 20 he would tell us that he and Lady Elizabeth had been off
- 21 to Hong Kong and they had met ... and that was so
- 22 unusual for a headmaster that it raised eyebrows.
- 23 Q. So again, presumably his appointment may reflect what
- 24 you're talking about, the need to --
- 25 A. Absolutely, yes.

- 1 Q. And you would understand that?
- 2 A. Well, yes, you do.
- Q. I think you also said in your statement that he was
- 4 someone who expected good manners from the boys?
- 5 A. Oh, yes.
- 6 Q. And that was something that -- I think this is
- 7 paragraph 49 on page 11 of your statement:
- 8 "There was a significant emphasis ..."
- 9 This is talking about Norman Drummond's time.
- "... on pupils playing a role in entertaining
- 11 visitors and parents in school. Norman Drummond offered
- 12 hospitality to visitors and pupils regularly. He had a
- great memory for the names of all the pupils and their
- 14 parents. Often visitors were eminent in their field and
- 15 pupils were expected to participate fully. This was one
- of the features of his headmastership. Pupils had to be
- 17 dressed in their best school uniforms. Parents and
- 18 visitors really liked it. I felt this was good practice
- 19 and there was an emphasis on good manners."
- 20 A. Oh, absolutely, and very many of the pupils responded
- 21 very positively to that. And for boarders who don't
- 22 have experience of home during term time, the fact that
- 23 Norman and Lady Elizabeth opened their home, invited
- 24 children for meals, was a really good thing. And
- 25 I admired Norman hugely for his willingness, as dress

- became ever more casual, to say "No, you must have your
- shoes polished". And he did it himself, because
- 3 headmasters can say to their staff "You do that", so
- 4 that you are the one in the frame. But he never did, he
- 5 always did it himself.
- 6 Q. Thank you. You have just touched obviously on the fact
- 7 that pupils are away from home, and one of the aspects
- 8 of Loretto life is some teachers are purely educational
- 9 but others have a pastoral role on top in the sense they
- 10 are either an assistant housemistress, for example, or
- 11 a housemistress proper, depending on -- thinking of you,
- 12 for example. Because we would understand that you
- 13 became assistant housemistress, is that correct?
- 14 A. Yes, I did.
- 15 Q. That reflected I think a change in the school which then
- 16 developed throughout your career?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Girls were brought in, in the senior years initially?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 O. And then it became co-educational in due course?
- 21 A. Yes, girls were brought in, in 1981, in the lower sixth,
- and by 1982 there was obviously a lower sixth for girls
- and an upper sixth for girls. That continued until 1994
- 24 when the school took in girls in the third form, the
- youngest year, and it enlarged by allowing the girls

- 1 simply to go through the school.
- Q. I think, as you say at paragraph 11, as well as
- 3 appointing you first head of English, you became the
- 4 assistant housemistress in the girls' boarding house,
- 5 Trafalgar Lodge, from autumn 1985?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. Was that when you and your family moved into the school?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. At that stage the number of girls, I take it, was quite
- 10 small?
- 11 A. Yes, it was. Yes. There were probably about 24 girls
- in the house, and I had seven in the extension that my
- family and I were in, and the others, the other 16/17,
- 14 were in the main block. The idea was that the seven
- 15 were the senior girls who were going on to university
- and perhaps needed peace and quiet to work, so the lower
- 17 sixth year, the younger ones, weren't around, and they
- 18 had quiet space.
- 19 Q. How long did you stay in Trafalgar Lodge?
- 20 A. I stayed in Trafalgar Lodge until 1994.
- Q. And then?
- 22 A. And then I moved out. At that point Loretto staff were
- 23 expected to live on campus, because we had to work in
- the evenings and so on, so I moved out into a school
- 25 property but not attached to a boarding house.

Q. I think over the period, were those properties then
used, as the school grew in numbers, to enhance student
accommodation, and some teachers had to move out of the

campus?

- A. Yes. The philosophy from -- it is difficult to get

 specific dates, but if you say roughly 2000 onwards,

 whereas when I joined the school you had more or less to

 live on the campus to have a job there, from 2000

 onwards staff were being encouraged to move out, and so

 they could live in different parts of Edinburgh,

 East Lothian.
 - Q. I think on page 8, paragraph 35 and 36, you touch on the issue of access to dormitories which, when you first moved in, you thought was perhaps a little lax, but that changed over time?
 - A. Once we went co-educational everything was altered for 1994 and there were much stricter visiting times. The boys I think had been freely able to go between the boys' houses, which was probably a good thing, and I think that had simply been extended to the girls' house. They didn't during the mornings, because mornings were academic time, but during the afternoons, free time, they could do that. And I always felt it was unkind on girls who were coming out of shower rooms with dressing gowns on, and so on, to be meeting young men in

- the corridor. It was a bit casual really and not ideal.
- Q. But lessons were learned?
- 3 A. Lessons were learned, yes?
- 4 Q. And presumably swiftly?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Because it was a new experience for the school?
- 7 A. That is right.
- 8 Q. The reason I say that is you talk in the next paragraph
- 9 over the page, paragraph 37, under the general heading
- of "Culture within Loretto":
- "When I started teaching there was a measure of
- 12 directness and openness within the classroom which was
- different from what I previously experienced. I enjoyed
- 14 this and I really thrived on it."
- 15 Is that the sort of -- an extension of what you are
- saying in the classroom of the ability to move around
- 17 the school as between --
- 18 A. Yes --
- 19 Q. It was a small school, relatively?
- 20 A. Yes, it was. It was their home, they didn't have much
- 21 contact outside. So whereas day pupils often come in
- 22 and they really want to talk to each other about what
- 23 has been going on outside, whereas in Loretto that
- 24 actually wasn't an issue. They came in and you were
- 25 another human being, so they were actually quite happy

- 1 to talk to you, and it was a -- I thought it was an
- 2 excellent atmosphere.
- 3 Q. They were happy to talk to you about anything?
- A. Well, yes. They would come in and say "What do you
- 5 think about ... " and inevitably it was something you
- 6 didn't know the first thing about, so you heard their
- 7 version of it.
- 8 Q. In terms, though, of that openness with teachers, did
- 9 that extend, and this is also touching on your
- 10 experience on the more pastoral side in Trafalgar Lodge,
- 11 were pupils willing to talk about problems, in your
- 12 experience?
- 13 A. In some cases, absolutely not. There was a very strict
- 14 code of not telling staff what was going on between
- 15 pupils and they all observed that. You didn't even need
- 16 to have it explained to you. You gathered it just from
- 17 living there.
- 18 If it was one individual issue that something had
- gone wrong with the day, because they had got
- 20 punishments or something, they would tell you that and
- 21 they would say ... you know. But a problem in the
- dormitory, no. No, by no means.
- 23 Q. So using the Scottish word "cliping", no-one cliped?
- 24 A. Nobody did.
- 25 Q. Was that different from the other schools you worked in,

- or did that code of silence exist, for example, at
- Selkirk or on the west coast or in Reading?
- 3 A. I think it was pretty much common to schools. They
- 4 observe their pupil code. And if they want to thrive,
- 5 they have to respect it.
- 6 Q. You talk in the same paragraph, reading on from where
- 7 I stopped:
- 8 "One thing I discovered was the older boys had a lot
- 9 of power."
- 10 Would you understand that was the way Loretto
- 11 traditionally had operated --
- 12 A. Absolutely.
- 13 Q. -- (inaudible) a great deal of authority, a hierarchy
- 14 was put in place, a selection of school prefects, house
- 15 prefects, head of dorm and the like. We have heard
- 16 a great deal about this already.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. That was the system that Loretto --
- 19 A. Yes, that was --
- 20 Q. -- and always operated?
- 21 A. Yes, while other schools have heads of schools and
- 22 prefects, a lot of them do, Loretto's boast was that in
- 23 the 1900s the head of school ran the school, he lived
- 24 privately in a little cottage, and the headmaster lived
- up in Inveresk, and then these boys could be relied on

- so thoroughly that they kept the school going even --
- 2 and the staff just popped in and taught a lesson or two
- 3 and then popped out again. It was very different, very
- 4 distinctive in that ethos. No other school that I have
- 5 been in had claimed anything like that.
- 6 LADY SMITH: Dorothy, could I just take you back to
- 7 something you said few moments ago. You observed that
- 8 if pupils wanted to thrive, they had to respect the code
- 9 of silence. What did you mean by that?
- 10 A. That if they felt they were being victimised by another
- 11 person in their year group or somebody older, they would
- 12 not talk about it, and those who watched it being done
- 13 experienced only the sensation of "Thank goodness that
- is not me", and had no intention of making it "me" by
- 15 intervening. That was the general rule, and they all
- observed that. They would not complain, they would
- 17 not -- even when they went home, they would tell parents
- 18 that everything was fine even if it wasn't.
- 19 LADY SMITH: So you are really talking about a fear that
- 20 they would just make things worse for themselves.
- 21 A. Yes, yes, absolutely.
- 22 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 23 MR BROWN: Just to follow up on this, is this something that
- you perceive or that you know, having spoken with
- 25 pupils?

- 1 A. I think after a time it is not something you see
- 2 immediately. You could understand that that was the way
- 3 things worked.
- Q. Was this something that all the staff in Loretto were
- 5 aware of?
- A. I wouldn't know that really, would I? But I guess most
- of them would have done. They were mostly experienced
- 8 teachers, and whether you are a day school or a boarding
- 9 school it is something you are aware of, that some
- 10 children struggle and won't tell you that they are
- 11 having difficulties.
- 12 Q. Presumably in the common room there would be shared
- 13 discussion about such matters?
- 14 A. Yes. And Loretto did have common room meetings which,
- in my experience, hadn't happened in all schools. What
- 16 could be raised was that the common room had concerns
- 17 about the way the boys were treating the girls, and
- 18 I remember one specific conversation there that worked
- through to one member of staff, a man, saying "They only
- 20 treat the girls that way because that is the way they
- 21 treat each other", and I think that says it all. It was
- 22 not an automatically compassionate, caring school.
- 23 Q. If we go to page 13 and paragraph 54 to paragraph 61
- 24 over the page, you talk under the general heading of
- 25 "Abuse" about a number of practices that went on that

- were of concern, and one of them is about meal times.
- A. Yes.
- 3 Q. We have heard evidence of the way that at Loretto there
- 4 were mixed tables of different years and, put shortly,
- 5 two words have been used, "scabbing" or "fagging", that
- 6 younger pupils I think would be the last in the pecking
- 7 order and might get food or might not?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Or their food was, as you say I think, and has been
- said, might have been spoiled by having pepper poured
- 11 over it by older boys?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Was that a known problem in the school amongst the
- 14 teachers?
- 15 A. I knew it so, yes, I think a fair proportion of the
- 16 staff must have understood that. But we were
- 17 consistently told the school view was that this created
- 18 a family atmosphere, because it ensured the mixing of
- children of different age groups on every table. And
- 20 one can see theoretically in many cases that may well
- 21 have been the case, and the different year groups may
- 22 well have mixed, but it didn't always happen. Because
- 23 the governors were all Old Boys, and because
- Norman Drummond basically subscribed to that, in the
- 25 early years they simply didn't hear you when you said,

- 1 well, you know, some children might be finding it
- 2 difficult. The theory was that it was creating a family
- 3 atmosphere.
- If you spoke to the governors and said "Oh,
- 5 it's ..." they would just say "Oh, it has always been
- 6 like that. It didn't do me any harm". And so you could
- 7 not make any inroads to getting people to think of
- 8 change. By the time I left Loretto, it had a cafe and
- 9 buffet style arrangement, where you collected your food
- and you sat with whom you wanted to sit with, and that
- 11 was a good move.
- 12 Q. You may be interested to know we have seen minutes from
- 13 1995 and 1999 where this problem was recognised, and --
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. -- clearly --
- 16 A. Absolutely.
- 17 Q. -- we would understand generally, again from your
- 18 teaching experience, the mid-1990s is a time of
- 19 transformation in schools, because it's at that point,
- 20 thinking in terms of inspections but also legislation,
- 21 that pastoral and child safeguarding becomes much more
- 22 understood, is that fair?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. I think you also talk -- because what you have just said
- about why the idea of a family table was in place, and

- 1 you say that for many it did work but for others it
- didn't, you also talk about fagging existed when you
- joined, this is paragraph 57:
- 4 "... and was there for the first few years I was
- 5 there, which is younger boys being sent out by the older
- 6 boys."
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Again, a pecking order?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. A hierarchy. You are new, you do what I say?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. But was that something that you were aware changed?
- 13 A. That changed under the influence of staff disapproving
- of it, that was one thing. And I think the great help
- 15 there was that it was something that the boarding
- housemasters did not like either. They could see
- 17 themselves -- most of them were family men by that time,
- 18 they had their own children, and when they saw
- 19 youngsters having to run across to the dining room to
- 20 collect food, they knew they wouldn't like their own
- 21 children doing it, so I think it was a wholehearted
- 22 decision that it wasn't right.
- 23 LADY SMITH: Do you remember approximately what year the
- 24 system changed to the cafeteria system?
- 25 A. It finally made its change under Michael Mavor, so

- 1 I think you are talking about maybe 2004 it finally went 2 over. The dining room furnishings were long refectory tables, very heavy wooden things with benches, and so 3 what was involved was a complete revamp of dining room 4 furniture and so on, and I would guess that Keith Budge, 5 who had been both teacher and a housemaster before he 6 7 became headmaster, he might well have felt that the dining room could do with being changed, but I would 8 9 guess the money wasn't there, but by 2004 they were risking losing pupils because the eating arrangements 10
- Girls found it very difficult to get salads, and so 13 on, because the menu was designed for the rugby XV, so it was full of puddings and meat and gravy and potatoes, 15 but ultimately the female voice was heard and we got a selection of food.

were so unsatisfactory.

- 17 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 18 MR BROWN: You talked about housemasters being family men --
- 19 A. Yes.

11

12

14

16

- Q. -- by that stage and not being happy when they could 20 21 see --
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Should we understand broadly -- we know that 24 housemasters obviously were responsible for the conduct 25 of their houses, and we should understand they would be

- 1 concerned about the pastoral side, the welfare of the
- 2 children in their house?
- A. Yes.
- Q. To be clear, was there ever a housemaster you thought didn't care about his house in that way?
- A. Oh, no, I don't think so. I think they all had quite

 a high degree of commitment. It was such an exhausting
- 8 job they would have to have real motivation to do it.
- 9 But they were dealing with -- well, we have talked about
- 10 the school code, that you didn't go and complain to your
- 11 housemaster. The running of the houses expected the
- 12 housemasters to survive with relatively little support.
- There was a housemaster, there was a deputy housemaster
- and there was a matron, that was three people, and there
- 15 would be 50 to 60 growing boys in their houses. That
- is -- that proportion is not in favour of the house
- 17 staff really having it easy.
- 18 LADY SMITH: These housemasters also have full-time teaching
- 19 roles.
- 20 A. They do, absolutely. And they can have promoted posts
- in the school, so -- and they can be running games.
- Most of them would be running games. So they would be
- 23 responsible for team selections, for training Tuesdays
- 24 and Thursdays, team selections for Saturdays, and they
- 25 would be responsible for the exam submissions for their

- 1 pupils. It was a very demanding job.
- 2 LADY SMITH: And they had their own families to attend to as
- 3 well.
- A. And they had their own families, yes.
- 5 MR BROWN: To do that, you must have a vocation perhaps.
- A. Yes, I think they must have done.
- 7 Q. Obviously you talk about 50 or 60 boys. I suppose the
- 8 other side of that is you were trying to keep the boys
- 9 busy a lot of the time too --
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. -- given what you are saying about sports and prep and
- 12 so forth. But when it comes down to it, it is 50 or 60
- 13 adolescents which perhaps adds another element of
- 14 difficulty?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. You have just agreed with me that they were trying to
- 17 keep the pupils busy. Did you ever think pupils were
- 18 kept too busy? There was too much?
- 19 A. Yes, I did think that sometimes. Children are growing
- 20 and they need space and time, and there wasn't much time
- 21 for them to think, to read, to kick a football around,
- 22 whatever it was they might want to do. There wasn't
- 23 much of that, and that is quite important in a child's
- 24 life. But then we did find I think if you gave them
- 25 significant amounts of time they got up to naughtiness

- of various sorts. It's part of human nature. So
- I think probably we believed in keeping them busy
- 3 because it kept them out of trouble.
- Q. Thank you. On page 14, paragraph 58, you talk about one
- of the worst examples of abuse you were aware of which
- is shunning?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. And essentially is this, to be colloquial, sending
- 9 someone to Coventry?
- 10 A. Yes, yes. And I mean, I think it is very difficult for
- 11 an adult who is outside of it to understand quite how
- awful that would have been if you were one of the boys
- 13 who were shunned. You would know why you were shunned.
- 14 Whereas, you know, for someone like myself who was just
- 15 seeing it, you sometimes struggled to understand quite
- 16 why that pupil wasn't being generally accepted. And it
- 17 could if -- girls didn't do it, it just wasn't
- 18 characteristic of the behaviour you met in a girl's
- 19 boarding house so I don't have direct contact with it.
- 20 But the boys, when they felt it was appropriate,
- 21 they went for it, and they could be young boys. Almost
- invariably it didn't happen to you when you were older,
- it happened when you were young, and perhaps you were
- 24 inexperienced at social mixing and said something, did
- 25 something, or spoke out of turn. Who knows what started

- it. Mostly they were boys who weren't good at games.
- 2 It was essentially a games school for boys, that was one
- 3 of the reasons that men sent their sons there,
- 4 because -- and it was rugby really, that was the game.
- 5 We didn't play soccer or football at all.
- 6 Q. Did that primacy of rugby dilute over your career at
- 7 Loretto?
- 8 A. Very much, yes. Once we had girls in the school we
- 9 managed to establish that the girls did not have to go
- 10 and watch the XV play. In my first years from 1984
- 11 right to 1994, the whole school was expected to turn out
- 12 to watch the XV play, including all the girls. I was
- 13 astonished. I could see that it was camaraderie, and
- I went out myself and stood on the touchline and spoke
- 15 to parents and so I could see that there was a social
- 16 side that in some ways we shared something, but in
- 17 another way it exalted that particular group of boys to
- 18 be well above the importance of anyone else. Nobody
- 19 ever was compelled to watch a girls' hockey match, so
- that we were not seeing equality and that was not
- 21 correct.
- 22 Q. But it did change?
- 23 A. It did change, yes.
- Q. Did you ever become compelled to watch the hockey?
- 25 A. Well, they would encourage, let's say, after 1994, if

- there was a big match on with another school, that they
- 2 might think about going out, and some of them did.
- 3 Q. Turning to shunning, I think you make the point at
- 4 paragraph 59 that you had to be careful how you
- 5 intervened, because it could be seen that people had
- 6 broken the silence code, so you could make things worse
- 7 for them?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And things could be made worse for that pupil:
- "One recourse was to bring the behaviours to the
- 11 attention of the house staff and hope they could
- 12 overview and stop the practice."
- 13 So we are back to the fact there would be attempts
- 14 by the house staff if they were aware of it?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Obviously, you say, at the foot of paragraph 61:
- 17 "The staff did not approve of shunning but there was
- 18 no system in place that dealt effectively with it."
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Was the principal problem finding out about it in the
- 21 first place?
- 22 A. Yes. Whereas now bullying is spoken of publicly, and
- 23 people are encouraged to report it, that was not the
- ethos of the 1980s or the 1990s, so something like
- 25 shunning was just not spoken of.

- Q. Except it was clearly, from what you are saying, spoken 1
- of by the staff? 2
- A. Yes. 3
- 0. To each other? 4

one-to-one.

Yes. 5 A.

8

9

10

11

12

14

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Was there any move in the 1980s and 1990s, given the 6 7 concern you are expressing by the staff, to do something about it?
- A. In I think about 1989/1990 we spoke strongly in favour of bringing -- introducing a proper tutor system to the school, and I know that in 1991 we were discussing the implications of it. In most schools pastoral 13 support begins with the form teacher, who sees the children every morning and has the basic responsibility 15 for the wellbeing of those children, and it was thought that the boarding school could introduce a tutor system so that each academic member of staff would be attached 18 to a house and would have responsibility maybe for six or seven pupils, and that you would go into the house

It was hoped that you would build relationships -the idea was that once you were linked to your tutor, that would go right through the school so there would be continuity, and that that would give children someone to

weekly and make sure you spent time with them, talking

- talk to where they might feel more confident.
- Q. That leads -- obviously you recall that period for
- a number of reasons, and one of them is one of the two
- 4 individuals topic, and I will now come on that, this is
- 5 David Stock.
- David Stock, obviously we heard his statement
- 7 yesterday. He was an English teacher at the school,
- 8 presumably one of your staff when you became head. Am
- 9 I right in saying he was and is a friend of yours?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Is he someone you are still in touch with?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. You have known him presumably then for over 30 years?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. In terms of some of the evidence he himself talked
- about, would you agree that there were times where he
- 17 was fragile in terms of his state of mind?
- 18 A. Certainly in one instance he was.
- 19 Q. He was not a pastoral teacher, he was just an English
- 20 teacher.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. He didn't live --
- 23 A. He joined the school some time in the 1970s I think so
- 24 he belonged to the old model of school, where all he was
- 25 really expected to do was actually do his teaching, and

- if nobody brought a problem to him not necessarily to
- 2 involve himself. He cared about the wellbeing of the
- 3 boys because he was himself very intellectual, and he
- 4 felt that intellectual children weren't being given the
- 5 freedom to watch cultural television, was one of his
- 6 things in those days. He felt that certain programmes
- 7 should be available. And he went to a lot of trouble to
- 8 post every week for the youngsters a list of cultural
- 9 programmes that they might find interesting.
- 10 Q. So was he an example of the tension that sometimes arose
- 11 as between the rugby side of the school, if you like,
- 12 and the more artistic --
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. (Inaudible)
- 15 A. Yes, he had succeeded -- before my arrival at the school
- David had succeeded in persuading the headmaster -- the
- 17 then headmaster -- that boys who were more artistic and
- 18 who didn't want to spend three afternoons a week on the
- 19 rugby field should be given one afternoon off to pursue
- 20 some kind of intellectual pursuit. And the headmaster
- 21 then, I think it was David McMurray, but it might have
- been the man before McMurray, he had agreed to it, so
- 23 David felt that he had moved for the children's
- 24 wellbeing to get a fairer system.
- 25 Q. That tension I think was reflected in his views. From

- what you tell us with Norman Drummond's approach, they
- 2 didn't (inaudible) to each other, is that fair to say?
- 3 A. I think that is very fair to say, yes.
- Q. In relation to the tutor system, as you say at
- 5 paragraph 77, page 17, that was raised I think on
- 6 30 October and you kept notes I think at the time?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Noting this down for reasons we will come to. And it is
- 9 something that has been discussed along with the issue,
- as we see in the final sentence, about shunning?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. As you say:
- "The following day, David tasked his fifth form
- 14 class with completing a paper on bullying. Basically
- 15 he asked the class to write about their experiences."
- I don't think you have ever seen the documents, the
- 17 documents that were created, is that correct?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 Q. Right. And that clearly -- as we see over the page at
- 20 79, he read what had been written and was, to use your
- 21 word in the final paragraph, "dismayed"?
- 22 A. Oh, yes.
- Q. Was he very upset?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Again, we go on to paragraph 80, there is a meeting --

- or the school is -- you see him, rather, at 5 pm on
- 2 Monday 5 November and by that time he was very dismayed?
- A. Yes.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4 Q. Was his condition deteriorating as you saw it?

a bullying man.

I think what distressed him beyond description was the 5 A. fact that the boys were claiming that the headmaster 6 knew and had done nothing, and the boys understood that 7 the headmaster knowing was the same as the staff 8 9 knowing. So the boys were actually in a way accusing David of having permitted this to happen and having done 10 nothing when told about it. That was what distressed 11 12 him beyond anything, because he was not in any sense

He tutored hockey, so he would go out on the hockey pitch, he was a very good hockey player, but it was anathema to him, and the personal distress of thinking that his pupils believed he knew about it and didn't care was what made things so difficult for him.

- Q. I think the point to be taken there is that is what he feared the boys thought of him. But he didn't -- he was going on essays, and I think you point this out to him, he didn't know if they were accurate?
- A. One is always aware that when you get something -- for a whole class to do it, that was quite unusual. I was surprised by that. But you could have got Lorettonians

- 1 pranking with how bad bullying was, that was -- that
- 2 went without saying.
- 3 Q. Equally, presumably, whether or not the headmaster did
- 4 or didn't know, you didn't know?
- 5 A. I had no idea.
- 6 O. And neither did he?
- 7 A. No. No, he didn't.
- 8 Q. But would you agree that because of tension between him
- 9 and Drummond, he assumed that Drummond did know?
- 10 A. He was convinced by the boys. They named the individual
- 11 who had told the headmaster and they contextualised for
- 12 him why the individual had told the headmaster, and that
- just -- that gives you a snapshot of way things were
- 14 done.
- There was boy had been involved in a bullying
- incident, he was the bully, and it had been dealt with
- in-house, and he was sent to the headmaster, so there
- 18 was the headmaster dealing with bullying. But we didn't
- 19 know about that, it had all happened. And when the boy
- 20 was there, to exonerate himself a little he raised the
- 21 issue of what had happened to him when he was younger.
- 22 Q. So you understood?
- 23 A. Yes. And so that seemed likely to be a situation in
- 24 which the headmaster would have been told. We
- 25 couldn't -- you couldn't imagine a class of boys just

- going in and telling him. Things didn't happen that
- 2 way.
- 3 Q. I think the point is you didn't actually know what had
- 4 happened?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. As you say at the foot of paragraph 82 --
- 7 A. That's right --
- 8 Q. "-- gossip, staff didn't know --"
- 9 A. Yes, when you have an incident like that, while you can
- see the distress, your first business is to try and
- 11 verify exactly what has been happening.
- 12 Q. I think that is why you suggested -- and am I right in
- saying it would be understood there is a chain of
- 14 command, if you like. You would go to the -- I think
- 15 you went to the deputy head, who we understand from his
- evidence then went to the head of house which would be
- 17 ordinary line of inquiry?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. But that wasn't the line of inquiry that David Stock
- 20 followed, he just went to you. I am not criticising him
- 21 for that, but he was not following the usual --
- 22 A. The difficulty was that I was David's line manager as
- far as the essays were concerned, because they were
- 24 produced as part of an English lesson. But I was
- 25 a teacher for the classroom alongside David and we were

- not -- we had no pastoral responsibility. Obviously we
- 2 had a personal responsibility, but in fact I suggested
- 3 that David go to the chaplain, and it was the chaplain
- 4 John Anderson who was involved first. The deputy head
- 5 only came in the next step on. John Anderson was
- 6 chaplain in the school.
- 7 Q. Yes.
- 8 A. Hugely popular with the children. A very young man but
- 9 with a very strong spiritual orientation. He influenced
- 10 the religious beliefs of the boys very strongly, and
- I felt that because they trusted John they might find it
- more comfortable talking to John about what had been
- happening, and that that would give David a feeling that
- 14 things were moving on but that the children were also
- 15 being supported.
- 16 Q. Were you concerned about David's state of health at this
- 17 point?
- 18 A. Yes, I did, I was. I thought he was very distressed by
- 19 this. I think there may have been personal reasons why.
- 20 Q. The reason I ask is we have heard evidence that, whilst
- 21 we would understand lines of inquiry were started by
- 22 the housemaster of the house that was alleged to be
- 23 involved, and that was understood to be ongoing, that
- David then in the staff room made an announcement, and
- 25 has been described as incoherent and rambling and

- 1 appearing to be having a breakdown?
- 2 A. That is true, absolutely true, and most, most
- 3 unfortunate. David's distress was significant, and he
- 4 conducted the interviews with the chaplain. He then
- 5 contacted his union, and I'm not absolutely sure but he
- 6 may have contacted a body for children's welfare. We
- 7 would have to hear it directly from him. I heard things
- from him and it is trying to put it together. He was
- 9 told to make that announcement in the common room by one
- of the authorities, let's say it is AMMA.
- 11 Q. I think you should understand we have his statement --
- 12 A. Fine.
- 13 LADY SMITH: Can you remind me what AMMA stands for?
- 14 A. Assistant Masters' and Mistresses' Association.
- MR BROWN: Thank you, my Lady.
- 16 Again we know because it is in your statement and we
- 17 know from David's statement of what then happened and
- 18 that is not obviously of particular concern to us, other
- 19 than understanding the background.
- 20 So far as you were aware, was the concern that he
- 21 was so dismayed about investigated by the school?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. We heard yesterday from the housemaster that it was
- 24 investigated by him.
- 25 A. Oh, right. Well, I didn't know that.

- 1 Q. No.
- 2 A. But I thought it was a mark of Loretto, although one
- 3 would have thought that everybody in the common room
- 4 would have understood that barring David from the campus
- 5 was going to make a huge difference to my life because
- 6 all his classes had to be covered. Nobody actually said
- 7 anything. Nobody said "Are you managing all right?"
- Nobody asked if I need any more help. I think everybody
- 9 was in a state of shock that -- but if the housemaster
- 10 helped David, I didn't hear that from David even in the
- 11 interval --
- 12 Q. That's focusing on David. What I am suggesting is the
- 13 housemaster made investigations about the boys?
- 14 A. Ah, right, right. I didn't know that.
- 15 LADY SMITH: When you said a moment ago you thought "it" was
- a mark of Loretto. What was the "it" you had in mind?
- 17 A. This had been a major event.
- 18 LADY SMITH: Yes.
- 19 A. And nobody talked about it.
- 20 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 21 MR BROWN: Clearly there were tensions amongst the staff
- 22 about this?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Was it polarising staff, those who were perhaps
- 25 sympathetic to David and those who were not so

- 1 sympathetic?
- 2 A. Links to David were kept very private. I think it was
- 3 mostly heads down and keep teaching. Keep playing
- 4 rugby, hockey. There was -- one was always very busy.
- 5 Q. I suppose one concern might be that where there is
- 6 tension, and we have talked about this, as between some
- of the staff and some of -- for example, a headmaster,
- is there a danger, do you think, that the eye is taken
- 9 off the children because there is too much focus on what
- might be described loosely as office politics?
- 11 A. That is difficult to answer. I don't think I can
- 12 answer. This one instance that we were dealing with,
- our understanding was the headmaster knew all about it,
- 14 and that did not leave a role for any individual staff
- 15 really to intervene. If he was dealing with it, he
- 16 would be dealing with it. He was not a man upon whose
- 17 territory one moved without being invited.
- 18 Q. I think my question was: do you think it possible that
- because of what you have just spoken about, that there
- 20 was obviously tension between two camps, your focus was
- 21 too much on tension and perhaps not enough on the
- 22 pupils?
- 23 A. I wouldn't be sure about that, because obviously I had
- 24 my own classes to keep going, and so I was spending
- 25 certainly every morning with children. And it was

```
1
             important that one did that and kept going, and that
             would be true of all my colleagues. You do have to just
 2
             keep going. It wouldn't be a matter for discussion in
 3
             class, how could it be? That was not something that we
 4
             could deal with because matters did not concern the
 5
             children in the sense that the action that the governors
 6
 7
             had taken was not something to be discussed.
         Q. So you put your head down and --
 8
 9
         A. You do, yes, absolutely.
10
            Thank you. May I ask about one other matter which is in
             your
                                            Would I understand that
11
12
             that meant you were
13
14
         A. Yes.
15
         Q. And I think from
                                                   until your
16
             departure in 2008, there was a teacher --
17
         A. Yes.
         Q. -- who we are calling Martin?
18
19
         A.
            Yes.
            Do you remember Martin?
20
         Q.
            I do indeed.
21
         A.
         Q. He obviously joined the school when you were
22
23
```

Q. Did you ever have any concerns about him?

24

25

A. Yes.

- A. Yes, he ... he followed his own way of doing things, was
- 2 not readily amenable to systems, and he caused
- 3 controversy. He was quite popular with some of the
- 4 staff but for others there were concerns. Something
- 5 silly like there was a kind of unspoken code for Loretto
- 6 teaching staff about what you wore to do your teaching,
- 7 and Martin effected a rather more casual style from time
- 8 to time. One headmaster actually asked me to speak to
- 9 him about it
- 10 Q. Did it have any effect, speaking to him?
- 11 A. Actually I didn't do it. I said to the headmaster that
- if he felt that way he should do it, because it would
- have more power coming from him.
- 14 Q. In terms of -- we may hear there were issues with him
- not covering classes. Do you remember that?
- 16 A. Oh absolutely, yes. Yes.
- 17 Q. What does that mean in practical terms? What was he
- 18 doing?
- 19 A. That means -- in Loretto we had classrooms, so his
- 20 pupils would access his classroom and go in and close
- 21 the door, and there would be 15/20 children unsupervised
- in the classroom, and Martin never phoned in. If you
- are not going to be there for a lesson, in every school
- I have been in you have to give advance notice, you have
- 25 to get a phone message in, usually it's to a secretary,

So there

and then the message goes out to ensure that the lesson is covered, and that was not made possible. When I referred to Martin's not following codes the way most of us did, that was exactly the thing. He would never call in. Now, I felt that the responsibility for these unsupervised lessons fell on

were a lot of lessons that weren't picked up.

Once one realised what was happening, the children -- some of the children didn't like sitting in a classroom without a teacher, they had seen that this could happen with him, and so some of them would go off to the library, once we had a library that they could use, and they would work in there. They always had prep to get on with. Sometimes the librarian would speak to me and say "We had fourth form in this morning", but it did prove very difficult to keep a monitor on exactly how many lessons he missed. There were stories of him not turning up for first lesson and boys going and knocking on his door. It was a very difficult situation and I didn't understand why he didn't understand that it was unacceptable.

Q. Did you try and address that?

- A. Yes, I did, and he always had some sort of excuse for

 why he couldn't do it. Sometimes it would be an excuse,

 such as he had been quite

 late the night before and he felt he was due some time,

 once was given.
 - It was easy to do in Loretto. All our classrooms were separate, all our classrooms had doors to the campus, and so we are not in one building with a row of classrooms because you would have been aware of it then, it would have been attended to. But his class had to go down a flight of steps, round a corner, and across a bit of grass to get to his classroom. And I wasn't leaving my own, when the children came in you got started with your own lesson, which perhaps was wrong.
 - Q. You mentioned a word "casual". Presumably dealing with pupils, and particularly once they are getting more senior, was there anxiety broadly about the potential for students or pupils to I suppose have crushes on teachers in whatever direction?
- 20 A. Yes.

- Q. Was that something that, as a teacher, you were alive to, that potential?
- A. Yes. And he was young and he was attractive, and his classes were always very small. His classes

 less so. He is teaching classes which will be

1		20/24, and he is teaching classes which could be
2		six, seven, eight. So there is a very different dynamic
3		available, potentially, between
4	Q.	even goes to smaller numbers
5	A.	Oh, it can, yes. And if you are the way that
6		examination was run, an examiner was sent round
7		schools to
8		and if you were getting these were always done in
9		small numbers, two together or three together, very
10		often something that the pupils had and that
11		could mean that he was he could have been
12		and assisting them
13		with And he must have worked hard
14		there, because we always had excellent results.
15	Q.	So he could be working one-to-one
16	A.	Yes, he could be, very easily.
17	Q.	Where would that be?
18	A.	He might have been in his classroom, but whereas when
19		I had joined a school in 1984
20		because I wasn't running a major
21		hockey side, he also he did do some rugby, he did
22		some junior rugby, but he took over
23		and since the pupils would probably
24		he
25		might well be working in there, so that they were on

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. I see. In terms of I suppose the risk, particularly as girls mature, thinking of a male teacher, this is speaking generally, was that something that there was a process in the school to try and prevent or to report if issues of that nature surfaced?
- A. Yes. By 2000 I think we were all aware, and it was part of the school policy, we knew that we should not be in classrooms or rooms one-to-one, that that was something that might lead to complicated situations, and so we were told avoid it as much as possible. And if we were seeing a pupil in a room where there were only two of us, we were to be sure that the door was left open. And I do -- we talked together about, you know, how much -in Loretto, one of the great advantages of it was you could give pupils individual help, and they would come -- because you were both on campus, so it could be done. And I did a lot of it, and was very aware of the difficulties that arose as we came more aware of issues of abuse and so on. And there is no way that Martin would not have known that he was in a potentially difficult situation. He might feel "I won't be caught out, I know what I am doing, I keep the boundaries", because very much it is the member of staff who keeps the boundaries, but he would have known.

- I am sorry I can't be more precise in date. But if
- 2 you think -- I mean Childline, Esther Rantzen's 1991
- 3 thing, just exploded the whole business of abuse, and it
- developed, and so we all knew by 2000.
- 5 Q. I think we heard evidence that Childline was first
- 6 referred to in the school in the mid-1980s?
- 7 A. Right, I can't remember --
- 8 Q. It's --
- 9 A. Certainly posters were not up. I was aware of it. When
- 10 we were doing our tutor system, talking about it, our
- 11 tutor system was being done over a backdrop of the need
- 12 to try and give more support to children as they were
- 13 growing.
- 14 Q. Going back to the issue of, say, senior pupils, and
- 15 perhaps particularly girls, thinking of male teachers,
- 16 was it understood that some crushes might develop by
- 17 a senior pupil of a teacher?
- 18 A. I would think everyone would know that that was
- 19 possible.
- 20 Q. And do you remember that happening? It was obvious that
- 21 girls were --
- 22 A. No. Not at any point I did ever feel that I had
- 23 confident knowledge that any of the senior girls were
- 24 with crushes on him in any significant way, other than
- 25 from a distance.

- 1 Q. What do you mean, from a distance?
- 2 A. Well, you know, in the boarding houses the children did
- 3 sometimes -- the girls would chat about it, and when
- I say "chat about it", they would talk about their
- 5 they would talk about the what
- 6 It was my job to be interested in
- 7 what they were doing. But I never, through those
- 8 unofficial chats, was given any grounds for thinking
- 9 there was an issue. And I only had one issue raised by
- 10 parents, and it was raised through the headmaster,
- 11 because they wrote to him, but it was over the missed
- 12 lessons. So I dealt with the parents in that context.
- 13 Q. Thank you. Did you have any anxiety, though, about
- 14 the closeness --
- 15 A. Well, you relied on him being sensible. We all did. If
- 16 you are in teaching you know it is incumbent upon you to
- 17 look after the children's wellbeing. And we weren't the
- 18 first school he had taught in. I tried to encourage him
- 19 to get GTCS registration when he came to Scotland,
- 20 because I think it is another way of making sense that
- 21 you have a professional role to fulfil, but I don't
- think he did. He didn't see the need for it. Again, he
- 23 was a man who took his own path.
- 24 MR BROWN: Dorothy, thank you. I have no further questions.
- 25 LADY SMITH: Are there any outstanding questions that you

1	want me to consider, anybody? (Pause).
2	Dorothy, that completes the questions we have for
3	you today. Thank you so much for engaging with the
4	Inquiry as helpfully as you have done. I have your
5	written statement, which is part of your evidence before
6	me, and now I also have the oral explanations you have
7	added to that which really do add value. Thank you so
8	much, and thank you for coming here today. I am now
9	able to let you go.
10	A. Thank you.
11	(The witness withdrew)
12	LADY SMITH: Yes, Mr Brown.
13	MR BROWN: My Lady, I think, having just enquired of
14	Ms Bennie, the next statement might take us to just
15	after half past, so it might be worth doing it now and
16	then having a break.
17	LADY SMITH: Let's do that.
18	MS BENNIE: My Lady, the statement appears at reference
19	WIT-1-000000476. The witness wishes to remain anonymous
20	and has adopted the pseudonym of "Arthur".
21	Witness Statement of "ARTHUR" (read)
22	MS BENNIE: "My name is Arthur. My year of birth is 1948.
23	My contact details are known to the Inquiry.
24	"I was employed by Loretto School from 1970 until
25	1991 when I was appointed SNR of another prep

school. My wife and I returned to Scotland in 1994.

I retired in 2008.

"One of my employment and responsibilities at
Loretto School was in the junior school known as the
Nippers. I was initially appointed as an assistant
master. In 1975 I was appointed housemaster from the
beginning of the summer term. I did a full 15 years as
housemaster. At the beginning of the autumn term 1975
I was appointed senior master, a post I held until
leaving Loretto in 1991.

"I applied to the school in answer to a newspaper advertisement. I cannot remember who provided my references but I imagine my university professor and my former grammar school headmaster would have done so.

The appointment was conditional on my success in final exams and I was told by probationary period would be one year. My permanent appointment was confirmed after that time.

"My line manager, although he would never have understood the term, was the headmaster of the junior school, Mr Hamish Galbraith. I met him daily, usually

informally but privately, if either he or I had any concerns we wished to discuss.

"No formal training was given by the school. It was a small staff of six adults, supplemented by upper school teachers who taught part-time in the junior school. As the youngest member of the common room I was closer in age to all of the pupils than I was to any of the staff. There were always experienced colleagues to turn to for advice. I was fortunate to start my career alongside some very gifted teachers.

"When I was appointed housemaster, initially for one term and to be reviewed at the end of the term, I was advised by the headmaster to consider my pastoral responsibilities as if I was one of the boys' parents and to do or say what any parent would consider reasonable. I tried to follow that guidance throughout my time.

"Generally policy matters relating to the school were determined by the headmaster, usually after discussion with the common room. Once I became a housemaster I was given some flexibility for the routine within my house although it could not, of course, infringe the overall quality of the school.

"I was responsible to the headmaster for the pastoral care of boys in my house. With the headmaster

who made the ultimate decisions, I was involved in the selection of house matrons and choosing which of my colleagues would be a house tutor, that is my assistant who would cover for me on my evenings off or if I was away from the school.

"Policies relating to child protection, discipline of children and for dealing with complaints which certainly existed were never written down. That came much later starting in the late 1980s.

"Under the leadership of Hamish Galbraith, considerable mutual trust existed amongst the various sections of the school. The headmaster, common room, pupils and parents. Issues and complaints were dealt with as formally as necessary.

"Like many areas of life of the school the factors that would decide whether an issue needed to be dealt with formally relied on the judgment of individuals. A member of staff might for instance find two boys arguing, would deal with it immediately, and then ask himself: does the boys' housemaster or does the headmaster need to know about this? I would make a similar assessment if I received a report and asked the additional questions: do I need to take this further with the boys concerned? Is this the sort of incident that the boys' parents would want to be told about?

"As teachers we converse with the pupils every day, in class and out, and I was confident that I would learn very quickly from the colleagues and/or the boys themselves about any matters affecting the wellbeing of those for whom I was responsible. Most matters could be dealt with very effectively in the same way that a parent would assess and handle issues relating to the children.

"Corporal punishment was used very infrequently and although no written guidance existed, it was my personal policy when I did use it to make a note on the boy's file and give the headmaster a full report. I do not know if he kept a written record and I don't know whether others took a similar approach to the recording of their use of corporal punishment.

"As a housemaster and as a senior master I was regularly involved in discussions with the headmaster and the common room about policies relating to the pastoral care of the pupils and the development of the curriculum. Abuse was never really considered although relationships between boys, whether they be petty squabbles or potential bullying, were always considered when they arose.

"In the busy life of a school there is an inevitability about the development of petty

squabbles. With about 120 adults and children living and working together in class, on the various game fields, on the stage, in the choir and orchestra et cetera one cannot expect 100% harmony, and in a good school children are encouraged to compromise with those with whom they disagree.

"Squabbling is a natural part of a child's life and between boys particularly. Many would not at the time know how or why a disagreement had arisen and would have forgotten about it the following morning. Who dealt with such matters when they did arise would depend entirely on severity.

"There is always a risk of bullying in any school. Adults make mistakes, so we can't expect children who don't share the life experiences of adults to make the right decision all of the time. They get it wrong and usually they realise it immediately. It is the job of the school to help them make decisions effectively whilst always emphasising the unacceptability of bullying.

"In dealing with children there is no virtue in their doing right if they have never had the opportunity to do wrong. It is my opinion that at Loretto Junior School bullying was not common and when discovered would have been dealt with by either the headmaster or me.

"The strategic approach differed under different headmasters. I worked for three, Hamish Galbraith, Clifford Hughes and Charles Halliday.

any planning was done on the assumption that the members of the common room were reasonable people and mutual trust was vital to the smooth and successful running of the school.

Only under

Charles Halliday did policies become formalised to the point of being written down.

"The house matron and house domestic staff who looked after cleaning and laundry were responsible officially to the headmaster and bursar respectively, but in practice we worked as a team within the boarding house and routines and problems were managed internally.

"As housemaster I would discuss the recruitment of house matrons with the headmaster, but the final choice was his. However, it was never something we disagreed on. As senior master I would meet all candidates who had responded to recruitment advertisements and who were called for interview, although my meeting with them would be informal, talking to them whilst perhaps taking them on a tour of the school. As far as I am aware, references were always requested and always considered

although I did not always see them. Any I did see were of the standard form and from their past employers. To my knowledge, an applicant's current employer was always spoken to by telephone by the headmaster.

"There was no formal training of staff, but as a senior master I was often asked by the headmaster to comment on my observations of new members of staff.

Such reports would be based not only on what I had seen but on informal conversations with colleagues and pupils. In an evening boys would often discuss their day with me, with each other and with the matron, with reference to what they had been doing in class and the relationship with individual teachers. If concerns arose these would be addressed informally to the teacher concerned or to the headmaster.

"Most of my time at Loretto staff evaluation was done constantly but informally. One was expected to work hard, conduct oneself in a professional manner without taking oneself too seriously, and achieve good results as teacher, sports coach, play producer, whatever. Formal appraisal of staff only began after Charles Halliday became headmaster. Reports were then written following interview, discussed between teacher and headmaster, and signed by both. I was appraised by Charles Halliday but was not involved in the formal

appraisal of other staff.

"Initially I lived in rooms in the main school building. On becoming housemaster I moved to a two-bedroom flat within Newfield House and on completion of 15 years as a housemaster my wife and I moved to another house in the town. Also in Newfield House the house matron had a one-bedroom flat. The house tutor lived with his wife and family in a nearby house. Almost all other staff if not connected to the other boarding house lived in accommodation owned by the school.

"In Newfield House my wife and I, the house matron, the house tutor and the non-residential staff all had access to the children's residential areas. Should any other colleague wish to speak to a child outwith normal school hours the practice and courtesy was to speak to one of the house staff first. In my experience that courtesy of speaking to a member of the house staff if wishing to speak with a child in the evening was always observed.

"I never had any occasion to question a colleague about why they were in the house and there were never occasions to my knowledge when this practice was not followed.

"Most of my time at Loretto there was a tremendous

sense of community and a sense of belonging to the school, to the Nippers and to the house. Whether one was a pupil, a member of the academic staff, pastoral staff or domestic and support staff one was encouraged and expected to be part of the team. Fagging was never part of the Loretto culture. In the Nippers, older boys were expected to show respect and care for younger ones and in my experience this might manifest itself in a twelve-year old helping a ten-year to tie his tie or to clean his shoes.

"Self-discipline was encouraged. If punishment was necessary it was usually a verbal reprimand although some kind of physical task, running around the playing fields for instance, might be imposed. Corporal punishment, cane or gym shoe on the buttocks whilst wearing serge shorts, was there as a last resort and used only occasionally. Punishment was never given by the boys.

"There was no formal written policy on discipline and punishment. Personally if I used corporal punishment I put a note in the boy's file and reported the matter and the underlying circumstances to the head. Senior boys in the junior school might be appointed a leader, the school's term for what might be called a prefect elsewhere. Their role was pastoral, not

disciplinary. They had a responsibility to look after younger boys, and were encouraged to take decisions which sometimes might impact on others. Their performance would be monitored by all staff and particularly by the housemaster. Everybody makes mistakes, children make lots of mistakes, but in a small caring community these were usually picked up very quickly and discussed with relevant parties.

The guiding principle for all leaders in Newfield
House was 'Kindness. Honesty. Loyalty to your friends.'
This framework for decision-making only ever applied to
my boarding house, although probably the headmaster, who
was responsible for the other boarding house, would
similarly speak to his boys. It was put in the context
of team work. I considered all of those in Newfield
House, the house tutor, the matron, the daily domestic
staff and the boys, to be part of the same team, and the
smooth running of the house depended on everybody doing
their bit. I would explain that although a boy had been
appointed a head of house or a leader, it did not in any
way make him superior to others, and that those who had
been their particular friends before should remain their
friends.

"No child wants to snitch, it's important to their sense of belonging to a group, but over the course of

21 years there were many occasions when a boy, senior or otherwise, would report the unacceptable behaviour of another boy, either because they were the victim or a witness. I accept though that no system is perfect, however I do believe any serious misdemeanours would have been reported directly or indirectly to me or I would have noticed them myself.

"All members of staff were involved in the day-to-day running of the school as each teacher was on duty one day per week. This meant that they could not leave the premises, and outside class time they would be about the school keeping a general eye on what was going on. Personally, as senior master, I was responsible to the headmaster for seeing that the day-to-day running of the school was as he would like it. I was also responsible for the school timetable.

"Loretto Junior School was always a small school,
less than one hundred boys, with a high staff/pupil
ratio. All members of staff knew all of the pupils, and
we usually knew how relationships and friendships
between members of the school community were developing.
We could and usually did intervene quickly if
disagreements and arguments between pupils were
affecting anyone's welfare.

"I was always confident that abuse would be spotted

or reported and there were numerous occasions when a senior boy or a colleague would tell me about a disagreement between two younger boys developing, or if one was being excluded from the activities of the rest. Confidence is a matter of personal belief, it cannot be rationally justified, but I do believe that anything serious would have been apparent to me and my colleagues. I knew all of the boys in the school and those in my own house particularly well.

"Guy, known as Tony, Ray-Hills had left Loretto
three years before I arrived. I became aware that he
had left under a cloud, but I was increasingly shocked
as more evidence of the extent and severity of his
conduct became known. Most of my colleagues in the
first years of my career had been colleagues of his, and
I think that the episode had been a wake-up call.

"As a member of the children's panel in Lothian region for twelve years I was trained to notice evidence of abuse. I resigned from the children's panel when I left Scotland and had served I think for twelve years. That would make my period of office from 1979 until 1991.

"I remember attending weekly training sessions before qualifying, each on different aspects of child behaviour and offending, legal procedures, decisions available to the panel et cetera. I also spent two days with a social worker reviewing her cases and joining her on her family visits, and we visited institutions such as List D schools and the secure accommodation in the regional assessment centre.

"As a panel member we would only see children for short periods of time and we would be unlikely to see changes in a child's mental wellbeing that would be spotted by a teacher, but as a teacher myself, as a result of this training, I think I would have been more likely to notice changes of mood and personality or, for instance, minor physical injuries unlikely to have been sustained on the rugby field.

"To my knowledge and memory, during my time at Loretto the school was not the subject of any concern. During my time at Loretto there was no formal reporting process in place. The complaint process was completely open. Staff could make complaints to the headmaster either privately or at the weekly staff meetings which the headmaster chaired. Pupils could and did make complaints if they were unhappy about something though to whom they made the complaint was often determined by personal relationships and with which adult they felt most comfortable. This could be a headmaster, a house matron or any member of the

teaching or domestic staff.

"There was no formal recording of complaints although parents were usually informed either by letter or phone if the complaint was substantial. As I have mentioned, pupils could and did make complaints to whichever adult they felt most comfortable,

"Policies and practices were developed around the assumptions that the staff were all reasonable, hard-working people, intent on doing the job to the best of their ability, and that the pupils were fundamentally good children trying to make the most of the many opportunities presented to them. It was a close knit community in which everybody looked out for everyone else and of which almost everybody was happy to be a part.

"There was no formal definition of abuse. During any discussion, for instance at a meeting of the common room, there was an understanding that everyone in the school community, children and adults, had the right to be treated with respect. If they had anything to say, again children and adults, they had the right to be heard, and parents had the right to know what their

children were doing, be it good or bad. I remember in my first year as a teacher being taken aside by the headmaster and reminded of the above when I had spoken to a boy too intolerantly without taking the time to consider the boy's point of view. It was something I did myself in later years when we had young inexperienced teachers new to the school.

"In my latter years at Loretto, that is in the late 1980s, there were the beginnings of the process of formalising definitions of abuse. Whilst advice was seldom given in writing, right from the beginning at interview it was made clear that high standards of behaviour were expected, and that inappropriate language or behaviour to children or indeed to other adults would not be tolerated. I assume similar information was given to all new staff.

"As senior master, any concerns I had about the conduct of a colleague would either be addressed by me if not serious and then reported to the headmaster or, if serious, discussed with the headmaster immediately. Inappropriate language or behaviour by one pupil to another would normally be dealt with immediately by the adult present or reported to his housemaster or to the headmaster.

"Considerable trust was given to the individual

members of staff, appropriate to their experience, to deal directly with matters when they arose and/or to report to the same. All the staff knew what was expected of them, and in a small school the headmaster and the senior members of the common room and indeed members of the domestic staff knew what was happening in the school. It is my opinion that the system inasmuch as there was a system worked. Incidents of unusual behaviour would be picked up by somebody and reported.

"There were two full inspections during my time at the school. These covered both the academic and the residential sides of the school. Inspectors were given and accepted every opportunity to speak to pupils when and wherever they wished. I did not feel the need to be present when the pupils were talking to inspectors.

I spoke to inspectors on both occasions, both in my class and whilst showing them the boarding accommodation. The school received a full report each time.

"As I have stated, very little was written down, although things began to change in my last few years at Loretto under Charles Halliday. Academic reports were produced every term with mid-term assessments and these were kept, but I suspect that very few other records of

a child's time at school were kept. For my own part as housemaster, I kept such records as were necessary to keep parents up-to-date with their son's progress. If a child had seriously misbehaved parents would be informed straightaway, but the petty day-to-day incidents of school life went unrecorded.

"As senior master I had frequent conversations with the headmaster about new or younger members of staff, and how they were performing their duties. I was twice involved in discussions about serious misconduct. The two occasions were with Mr CRN an assistant master whom I discussed with the headmaster, and Mr CRX , my , whose behaviour I reported to the governors and the headmaster of the whole school, Mr David McMurray. I was not involved in any investigation of any reports of abuse or civil claims against the school. I was never aware of any police investigations. I do not know if any person who worked at the school was convicted of any abuse of a child or children at the school or elsewhere.

"Mr CRN was employed by

Loretto Junior School in the late 1970s. He lived in

accommodation provided by the school but to my knowledge

was not involved in boarding house duties. He played no

role in my own boarding house. He was obviously an

intelligent man who was very committed to his subjects.

But his personality was such that boys quickly learned how to get under his skin. He took himself very seriously and could not accept even gentle ribbing from his pupils. Consequently, in the privacy of his classroom, boys would tease him knowing he would eventually reach breaking point and lose his temper.

Like children everywhere, they enjoyed the thrill of the chase. He liked to show off to the boys, for instance by hitting the ball very hard during cricket and hockey practice with little regard for the safety of the pupils.

"The headmaster and I discussed these concerns several times and I know the headmaster counselled Mr

CRN about his behaviour. I have a memory of one incident when Mr Pushed a boy's face against the blackboard. Others in the class reported this to the headmaster and the teacher was suspended. I believe the headmaster interviewed the teacher, the boy concerned and the rest of the class. Mr Port I left the school shortly afterwards. Although I do not remember the timescale, and although I do not know where he moved to, I know he thereafter continued to teach.

"Mr CRX was appointed SNR in 1991, in

I had met him before his appointment, liked him and was very happy with his appointment, however it was not long after his arrival that the staff, both teaching and domestic, began to realise that all was not well."

"As time went on, the trust on which the management

My Lady, I propose then to resume reading at paragraph 80:

of the school depended broke down completely. He did
not trust the teaching or domestic staff and they could
not trust him. Outbursts of rage often over very
trivial matters undermined the fundamental and necessary
trust which all school children should have

Typical of his behaviour was a verbal assault on
a boy at lunch in front of the school. His only
misdemeanour was to use a knife and fork to eat curry
when Mr CRX claimed the school had been told to use a
fork only. He was frequently absent from school without
telling me.

"Staff were finding it very difficult to understand what he really wanted, and particularly in the evenings, when the boys in my house were relaxed, I was hearing ever more stories of his outrageous behaviour and his temper. Boys would often be given conflicting information. Two nights before the rugby team was leaving for a four-day tour of Northumberland and

Durham, one senior member of the team told me he wasn't able to play because Mr CRX had arranged a concert with the choir in which the boy was to sing but Mr had never told me there was a clash. This sort of conflict was becoming increasingly common as, without any guiding authority, each and every member of staff was doing their own thing.

"My position as senior master was becoming increasingly difficult. The reports I was given by pupils and staff were more frequent and I was concerned that a potential crisis was possible. However, when I discussed my concerns with Mr CRX, for instance, over his absences, his explanations were always plausible. I was worried about the security of my own job if I reported him to the governors or to the headmaster of the whole school, so I began to record all of the incidents I witnessed or was told about.

"The boys were certainly frightened of Mr CRX temper but I had no real evidence his assaults were anything other than verbal, however irrational and unacceptable his haranguing could be.

"Two things persuaded me to act. Firstly, the growing number of parents who were asking questions, sometimes quite obliquely, about the

, and secondly, whilst waiting outside the

assembly hall one evening, hearing what sounded like a boy being slapped.

"Later that evening I spoke to a couple of senior boys and they confirmed Mr CRX had lost his temper with a boy at evening prayers and slapped him across the face. That evening I telephoned a governor whom I knew and he advised me to speak to David McMurray, headmaster. I did this and I gave him the written record I had been keeping. Mr McMurray retained the handwritten document, extending to several pages, and I've no idea whether it still exists.

"The timescale of this is difficult to remember, but

Mr CRX left Loretto at the end of the term

1986 to train as a

"I have no pleasure in recording these events now.

Mr CRX was out of his depth from almost the beginning of his tenure and I suspect was emotionally very fragile for much of his time. He presented a very good first impression but it was without substance. He could be very good company, but the pressures of managing sometimes incompatible needs and expectations of the pupils, staff, the governors and the parents were too much for him.

"Boys at Loretto School were encouraged to express their opinion and to do so courteously and with respect.

It was not unusual for a boy to speak to me saying,
'Sir, I think you have got that wrong', or 'I think you
have made a mistake', and we would discuss the matter to
reach a decision which could understood and accepted by
both parties. Such process would be interpreted as
disobedience by Mr CRX

"They were also encouraged to show initiative which meant sometimes they made the wrong decision. Mr CRX could not accept that either. In dealing with Mr CRX, it was safer for the boy to say and do nothing rather than to risk confrontation.

"I am aware that the Inquiry has received information from a former pupil who attended Loretto in the 1970s concerning a teacher who is alleged to have made pupils swim naked in the school pool. Boys at Loretto Junior School usually swam wearing swimming trunks. Some time during the mid to late 1970s, during the winter, the ancient boiler in Newfield House broke down. We were without hot water or central heating. A replacement part was ordered I think from the south of England, but before the ordering and the delivery there was a national strike of lorry drivers. The replacement part was trapped somewhere in transit. In discussion with the headmaster it was decided that electric heaters would be placed in several rooms in the house, and that

the boys would shower each evening in the sports pavilion and the swimming pool.

"The water tank in the pavilion was not large enough to provide showers for 45 boys, so each evening one-third would shower in the pavilion whilst the remaining two-thirds would walk to the swimming pool, the thirds rotated. A staff supervision rota was drawn up and by this process we continued as long as necessary. I cannot recall just how long the strike lasted but it probably lasted in excess of two weeks before the boiler was repaired.

"One evening I was asked by several boys if they could go for a swim whilst at the swimming pool. I had no objection to this and neither did the headmaster, and we both thought it would relieve some of the tedium that was developing with the arrangements. It was becoming a chore each evening to don overcoats to walk the 400 yards to the swimming pool. For the next few days most boys swam for a few minutes at the pool, they did so without trunks. There was no compulsion, it was entirely voluntary, though I imagine for some of the group peer pressure may have been a factor. I have never been the subject of any other complaint in relation to alleged abuse at the school.

"We now know that during my time at Loretto in the

and institutions, written formalisation of policies and 2 recording of events was inadequate. It was a very 3 different world. All institutions responsible for the 4 5 welfare of children need to have clearly defined policies that can be understood by adults and children 7 at a level appropriate to their age. The processes by which children and adults can report incidents or 8 situations with which they are uncomfortable need to be 9 clearly defined and encouraged, however this 10 formalisation needs to be in addition to and not instead 11 12 of the fundamental trust that should exist between 13 adults and children, adults and adults, and children and children, essential to the busy minute-by-minute world 14 15 in which children live. The default position for 16 a child must be one of trust rather than distrust, and 17 the teaching and learning environment must be built 18 around that. Children will not thrive in an environment 19 of suspicion. "In the generations since I left education, heads 20 21 and their deputies, as administrative load has 22

1970s and 1980s, probably in common with many schools

1

23

24

25

"In the generations since I left education, heads and their deputies, as administrative load has increased, have become ever more remote from the pupils.

I'm not sure that is a good thing. Children need to know personally the person who is ultimately responsible for the school.

1	"I have no objection to my witness statement being
2	published as part of the evidence to the Inquiry.
3	I believe the facts stated in the statement are true."
4	My Lady, the statement is signed by "Arthur" and is
5	dated 29th October 2020.
6	LADY SMITH: Thank you very much, Ms Bennie.
7	We will have the morning break just now, but before
8	I rise there is one thing I should mention. Two
9	teachers have just been mentioned in that statement,
LO	CRN and CRX Both of these are
11	covered by my General Restriction Order and their names
L2	can't be repeated outside the hearing room. Please do
L3	remember that. Thank you all.
14	We will rise now for the morning break.
15	(11.47 am)
L 6	(A short break)
L7	(12.06 pm)
18	LADY SMITH: Mr Brown.
L9	MR BROWN: My Lady, the second live witness today is Martin.
20	I think, as your Ladyship will recall, this witness
21	should be (inaudible). (Pause).
22	LADY SMITH: Good afternoon, Martin. Could we begin,
23	please, by you raising your right hand and taking the
24	oath.

1 "MARTIN" (affirmed)

LADY SMITH: Please sit down and make yourself comfortable,
Martin. I will pass you over to Mr Brown in a moment,
but one or two things before I do that. A practicality:
the red file in front of you has a hard copy of your
statement in it if you find it helpful to use that. You
will also see the document coming up on screen for any
references we want to make to it, or indeed to any other
documents. It is up to you which you use. Otherwise,
I am sure you know how to use a microphone, if you can
keep yourself in a good position for the microphone.

You know you are about to be asked questions and enter into giving evidence. A couple of things I should warn you, that you are not obliged to volunteer any information that would amount to self-incrimination, and you are not obliged to answer any questions in which you would incriminate yourself.

If you have any queries about that or doubts, don't hesitate to let me know. It is important that you are as comfortable giving your evidence as you can be. And indeed anything else that you want to ask, feel free to do so. If you want a break at any time I can do that. It's really for you to tell me what works for you. All right?

25 A. Thank you.

- 1 LADY SMITH: Mr Brown, when you are ready.
- 2 Questions from MR BROWN
- 3 MR BROWN: My Lady, I am obliged.
- 4 Martin, hello. Can I just echo what has just been
- 5 said. If you don't understand anything I say, my
- 6 question doesn't make sense, and it does happen, please
- 7 say so. And if you are troubled and want advice, say
- 8 so, in terms of the warning you have just been given
- 9 about not having to answer any questions.
- 10 Reference has been made to the red folder and your
- 11 statement. As I say, it is now appearing in front of
- 12 you on the screen, take your pick. But I think the
- 13 crucial thing to begin with is the very last paragraph
- on page 13, paragraph 58:
- "I have no objection to my witness statement being
- published as part of the evidence to the Inquiry.
- I believe the facts stated in this witness statement are
- 18 true."
- And I think you have confirmed that by signing the
- 20 document on 28 March this year?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Thank you. By way of background, I think you were born
- 23 in 1969, so you are now 52?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. After university you have been a teacher until fairly

```
A. Yes.
 2
         Q. Obviously we are interested in your time at
 3
             Loretto School in Musselburgh. I think you started
 4
             there in
 5
         A. Yes.
 7
         Q. And continued until
         A. Yes.
 8
         Q. You were employed at that school within the
             department, is that correct?
10
         A. Yes.
11
         Q. Primarily as a
                                  teacher?
12
13
         A.
             As
         Q. Yes. We understand you did teach
14
             really were you it for much of --
15
16
         A. Yes, yes.
         Q. You were the
17
         A. Yes.
18
         Q. I think you were appointed, to be fair to you,
19
                  in I think 2002?
20
21
         A. Yes.
         Q. As a result of that, obviously, we probably all remember
22
23
             from our school careers,
                                                            and the
24
             responsibility for that would fall very much on you?
25
         A. Yes.
```

recently, is that correct?

- 1 Q. Is there a at Loretto?
- 2 A. Yes, there is.
- Q. In that sense, was there quite good provision for
- A. Yes, it was -- it was quite good, yes.
- 5 Q. We understand I think from your statement that you lived
- 6 at Loretto with your family?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And again, from evidence we have heard, we know that
- 9 some staff would be purely teaching, but others would
- 10 have teaching and pastoral roles --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. -- by being assistant housemasters or housemasters or
- 13 the like?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. You did act as a housemaster, is that correct, or
- 16 assistant housemaster?
- 17 A. I was a house tutor, which is beneath the assistant
- 18 housemaster, so --
- 19 Q. You lived on campus though?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. With your family?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. So we should understand you have the
- 24 housemaster/mistress who is the head of a particular
- 25 house, an assistant, and then there is house tutors?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Was that position in place when you arrived at Loretto
- A. It was in place. I think I started off, I did one night
- 5 a week in Schoolhouse, which was then a boarding house.
- It is not now. It's a day house now.
- 7 Q. We understand there is perhaps constant flux in terms of
- 8 accommodating pupils. Buildings being one thing
- 9 becoming another and vice versa?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. All right. When you arrived at school, obviously you
- 12 came with references. If we could look please at
- a document which will appear on screen, this is
- LOR-1000000032, and page 51, please. This is obviously
- one of your references from your previous school?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. If we go to the bottom paragraph, please, just reading
- 18 from that:
- "His teaching is also characterised by a freshness,
- 20 vigour and sometimes by the unorthodox. As a result, he
- is often able to reach those boys for whom
- a chore rather than a pleasure and those who find the
- 23 strictures of school life irritating. His approach has
- 24 sometimes excited comment from colleagues but has never
- 25 failed to produce examination results ..."

- Then it goes on to be complimentary about that.
- 2 Would it be fair to say, or would you agree with the
- 3 description of your teaching as "unorthodox"?
- 4 A. I think maybe, yes. I didn't train fully, I didn't do
- 5 a PGCE, so I suppose I learned by doing it. I did an
- qualification where I learned something about
- 7 teaching, I suppose, but I suppose I was learning a lot
- 8 just by doing it.
- 9 Q. All right. I think even from your time, in terms of
- 10 being a graduate of the late 20th century, now some sort
- of teaching qualification would be expected?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. But you got into teaching before that became
- 14 regularised, if you like?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Is that one of the reasons that you -- am I correct in
- 17 saying you did not join GTCS?
- 18 A. Yes, yes, I think that -- I don't think one could gain
- 19 registration if one didn't have the formal teaching
- 20 qualification.
- 21 Q. Okay. Am I right in saying you never registered when
- 22 a teacher with --
- 23 A. I think only very, very latterly, just right at the end,
- 24 I did gain registration at the very end just before
- 25 I left the school.

- 1 Q. Thank you. Okay. I think we would understand that your
- was a lady we heard from this
- 3 morning, Dorothy Barbour. You obviously remember her?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. She described you as a man who took his own path. Would
- 6 you agree with that in terms of how you behaved as
- 7 a teacher?
- 8 A. I don't know exactly what she meant by that.
- 9 Q. Let me help you. Perhaps I think, for example, we know
- in 2000 you were disciplined because you hadn't been
- 11 attending classes, is that fair?
- 12 A. It is, yes.
- 13 Q. She made reference to the fact that you, for example,
- 14 might not attend the first class of the day on occasion.
- Was there a reason for that?
- 16 A. I sometimes struggled to -- to get up on a Saturday.
- 17 Q. I think that became apparent because parents complained?
- 18 A. I think so.
- 19 Q. I think there was a disciplinary process in June of
- 20 2000, and you accepted that you had not done as you
- 21 should, and you were issued with a warning, formal
- 22 written warning.
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Should we understand that from the time you started at
- 25 Loretto, it became much more regulated in terms of

- pastoral care and child protection?
- 2 A. Yes, I think so. Yes.
- 3 Q. That is something you recognise?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. I think your wife obviously lived with you in the
- 6 school, and your family?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. If we look, please, at a document ending 32 at page 46.
- 9 LADY SMITH: Martin, just while we are waiting for that
- 10 document to come up. Going back to you being
- 11 disciplined for not turning up for classes, is it
- 12 possible that it was more than just Saturdays? I rather
- 13 had the impression from Dorothy Barbour that there would
- 14 have been weekdays involved?
- 15 A. I don't think that was the case, my Lady. My memory is
- 16 that it was Saturdays, but I could be wrong.
- 17 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 18 MR BROWN: Just to address that, my Lady, head on. If we go
- to document LOR-1000000032, page 55, this you will see
- 20 is a letter dated 24 June 2000 to you from the
- 21 headmaster.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Keith Budge, who was the headmaster at the time. And
- 24 I think in the fourth paragraph of that email you will
- 25 see in inverted commas:

1		"On the separate issue of my absence for periods 1
2		and 2, I plead guilty. I have a long list of things that
3		need to be done and bought for my own, i.e. the third
4		form and very little time in which to do this.
5		[Confirmed on page 55 of LOR-1000000032]. I went out
6		this morning to get some of these things done. I should
7		have not done this and apologise unreservedly."
8		I think that may be referring back to the second
9		paragraph:
LO		"I called the meeting in order to address with you
L1		your absence from lessons 1 and 2 on Friday 23rd of
L2		June."
L3	A.	Yes.
14	Q.	I think, to be fair to the headmaster, your excuse or
L5		explanation didn't particularly impress him because the
L 6		was I think some way off.
L7	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	And that led to him concluding by saying, five
L9		paragraphs from the bottom, setting out what happened at
20		the meeting:
21		"I then concluded by saying you should familiarise
22		yourself with the disciplinary procedures at appendix 5
23		in your contract, and now we to need to decide on the

25 Putting it simply, you were being reminded of your

most appropriate course of action."

- obligations as set out in your contract?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And presumably thereafter you would be very much alive
- 4 to the risk you put yourself in if you didn't comply
- 5 with those rules?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 LADY SMITH: Can you remind me of the date of that letter?
- 8 MR BROWN: 24 June 2000, my Lady.
- 9 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 10 MR BROWN: Again returning to one of the things I talked
- about a moment ago, as time passed, onwards, you
- 12 accepted there was greater regularisation of child
- 13 protection, for example. That would only increase the
- later time passed, so further up towards there
- 15 would be ever more regulation. It was a progressive
- 16 step.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. All right. I was taking you to the same document, to
- page 46. And if we go to the bottom, this turns on your
- 20 accommodation. I think this is a letter to QSF
- 21 who was the then headmaster, and this is January as
- 22 we see. The issue of where you live, was that
- a constantly evolving thing over your period, you'd
- 24 obviously stayed put for periods of time, but then there
- 25 would be a further shift?

- 1 A. Yes, yes. We moved several times.
- 2 Q. Yes. Obviously this is -- he is responding to you, it
- 3 would appear, because this is an email from you to him:
- 4 "Thank you for the response about accommodation ...
- 5 last week. One thought we had over the weekend was that
- 6 you might in the event of having boarders in
- 7 have need of someone to fulfil the role of house parents
- 8 and to be resident somewhere within the
- 9 complex. We would be keen to do this and would,
- 10 I think, bring useful experience to the role ..."
- 11 Reference is made to your wife:
- "... who is very pastorally minded and has always
- enjoyed being involved with the students here, and she
- is also highly trained in child protection ..."
- 15 Do we understand she had previous experience as a
- 16
- 17 A. Yes, yes, she did.
- 18 Q. So at that point, no doubt trying to be helpful, you are
- making the point she is aware of child protection. And
- 20 would be aware of child protection, the importance of
- 21 child protection?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. You couldn't miss it, I take it, by 2012 in a boarding
- 24 school?
- 25 A. No.

1	Q.	What	about	when	you	started,	was	it	clear	to	you
2		then	?								

- 3 A. I think it was clear, yes.
 - Q. Thank you.

Going back to your statement briefly, I think at page 8, paragraph 35, you talk in your statement about child protection arrangements, and you say, talking of Loretto:

"There were regular INSET sessions which dealt with pastoral care and issues of abuse or mistreatment. The guidance on reports of abuse from children was that we should listen to what the child was telling us, explain that we need to pass this on to someone equipped to investigate, we are not to investigate such a matter ourselves. The child protection co-ordinator would investigate. I don't believe there was any discretion in terms of how we were to deal with such matters.

"Child protection arrangements in place to reduce the likelihood of abuse, ill-treatment or inappropriate conduct by staff or other adults towards children at the school were INSET days, refreshed and revisited regularly, training in pastoral care, such as that run by the Scottish Social Services Council. I cannot say how successful they were but I was not aware of any abusive treatment of children."

```
1
                 I appreciate that these are comments covering
            years at Loretto, but is that reflective of the
 2
            approach certainly for the bulk of your time there, that
 3
            child protection and pastoral care were ever more
 4
 5
            prevalent?
        A. Yes.
 7
        Q. You couldn't miss it?
        A. Yes.
 8
            Is a
                  teacher a little different from mainstream
             education, by which I mean the sort of
10
                                                         ? Are they
             subjects,
11
12
            viewed somewhat differently within a school?
13
        A. I don't know if they are viewed differently, but I think
             the different discipline can lead to the role being
14
15
            quite different. I taught
                                                         and I was
16
             aware of some difference in those roles.
        Q. Is teaching
                              perhaps seen as a more
17
                   subject?
18
19
        A. I suppose in some ways, yes.
         Q. Were you as a teacher therefore, and this is
20
             reflecting other teachers, not just you, slightly
21
            more casual in your approach to
                                                 classes than you
22
            would be perhaps in an class?
23
        A. In some ways, yes. I would like to think I was quite
24
25
             rigorous as a teacher, but you are sometimes
```

- 1 teaching -- I think in a sense one is more relaxed as
- 2 well, it's a slightly more relaxed environment. I am
- just trying to formulate what I mean by relaxed ...
- 4 (Pause). I think you have a sense of fun, for instance,
- 5 sometimes, which I think is quite important. You are
- 6 sometimes teaching people who maybe don't want to do
- and so you are trying to make it more lively and
- 8 engaging.
- 9 Q. I think that may be reflected in the comment we saw in
- 10 the reference. You could excite pupils who might
- 11 not, at first blush, be that excited by So in
- 12 this sense you were a charismatic teacher, or is
- 13 that ...?
- 14 A. I don't think I would use that word of myself.
- 15 Q. But for example, would I be right in saying that in the
- environment obviously you would have classes, but
- 17 equally you might have much more intimate classes with
- only a few pupils, or even one, one-to-one teaching,
- 19 for example for
- 20 A. Yes, sometimes. I think the very first year that we --
- 21 that we did A level, there was only one candidate.
- 22 Q. And presumably would teaching take place in a
- 23 classroom or would it be in the or both?
- 24 A. It would be both.
- 25 Q. But there could be situations either in the classroom or

- 1 in the where you would be one-to-one with a pupil? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. In terms of child protection and safeguarding, was that something that you were alive to as an area that was 5 potentially fraught with danger? 7 A. I think I must have read documents and things that said that probably one-to-one was not really advised. But in 8 practice I would -- I mean, I wouldn't say I did a lot 9 of one-to-one, but certainly some, yes. 10 Q. I think we have heard that from 1995, 11 12 there was a child protection co-ordinator 13 in place at Loretto. I think that may have been a teacher, Duncan Wylie, when you first started, who was 14 15 then in due course replaced by, certainly for a period, 16 a lady Elaine Middlemass or Logan? A. Yes, or Selley. 17 18 Q. Or Selley, yes. LADY SMITH: Martin, can you help me understand the 19 circumstances in which you would be carrying out 20 one-to-one teaching. 21 A. In the very first year that we formally taught 22
- 22 A. In the very first year that we formally taught all
 23 the way to A level, so we started doing -- sorry, doing
 24 GCSE, and this was back in the days when it then went to
 25 AS and then A2 or A level, I can't remember --

- 1 LADY SMITH: (Inaudible) lower sixth, upper sixth.
- 2 A. So we did AS for, I can't remember, maybe a couple of
- 3 years, and then I think one of the pupils wanted to do
- 4 the full A level, and the headmaster then, Michael Mavor
- 5 I think, had said that this pupil could do that and that
- 6 we would do it. And so in that year I had just the one
- 7 student. In that class.
- 8 LADY SMITH: That would be that student's final year at
- 9 school to sit the A level?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 LADY SMITH: As a matter of interest, was that a male or
- 12 a female?
- 13 A. It was a female.
- 14 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 15 Mr Brown.
- 16 MR BROWN: Yes, sorry. Which year was that, did you think?
- 17 A. I am not certain but it could have been 2002 or ...
- 18 Q. All right.
- A. Or it could have been 2001, I can't remember.
- 20 LADY SMITH: Other than that, would there be occasions when
- you engaged in some one-to-one teaching? I wondered,
- for example, if you were working towards
- at the school, you would take
- individuals to help them with their
- 25 A. Yes, there would be at times. Generally it would be

- a group, generally it would be groups. But, yes, there
- 2 would be times when I might run a for single
- 3 people.
- 4 LADY SMITH: I suppose that could vary according to the
- 5 particular
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 LADY SMITH: And there may be some where that
- 8 was needed more than others.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 11 MR BROWN: I was asking about child protection
- 12 co-ordinators, and I think you mentioned or you agreed
- Duncan Wylie was the one who was first in place. Was he
- 14 then replaced by Elaine --
- 15 A. Elaine, yes.
- 16 Q. Middlemass, Logan, Selley --
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. -- as it, over time, changed. Would I be right in
- saying she was one of your good friends at the school?
- 20 A. Yes, she was a friend. Yes.
- 21 Q. Were you close?
- 22 A. I don't know if I would say we were close, but I would
- 23 certainly consider her a friend and I think she would
- 24 consider me a friend.
- Q. If we hear evidence that you were good friends, would

- 1 you disagree with that?
- 2 A. No, I wouldn't disagree.
- Q. Presumably in a small community like Loretto there will
- 4 be acquaintances, friends and good friends amongst the
- 5 staff, because that is your world?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. But she was one perhaps at the friendlier level?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. We would understand as a generality, particularly
- dealing with pupils who are 16, 17, 18, that there can
- 11 be crushes on teachers. You perhaps, from what you are
- saying, might stand out as slightly casual, slightly
- 13 more relaxed, in terms of the way you behaved and your
- 14 subject was taught. Were you conscious of being popular
- 15 with the senior girls?
- 16 A. No, I was ... I was maybe popular with my
- 17 students, for instance, but they liked the subject and
- 18 then -- and those who were as well. But no,
- 19 I wouldn't say I was conscious of being liked by senior
- 20 girls, no.
- 21 Q. Was that something you were aware of as a general
- 22 proposition, that that could happen, and might be
- 23 something, thinking of child protection and
- safeguarding, that you had to be alert to?
- 25 A. I suppose it is not something I thought about a lot.

1	Q.	All right. I just wondered whether it was particularly
2		focused either in your mind or by the schools, given the
3		rather different relationship as between you and say
4		an individual pupil studying for a qualification,
5		or smaller groups, where there is a greater intimacy
6		because of the nature of
7	A.	I don't think it was particularly in my mind. I can't
8		think of the school speaking to me about that
9		particularly, but no.
10	Q.	All right. I think we know you had some difficulties in
11		2014 with discipline again after a event?
12	A.	Yes.
13	Q.	I think if we look to document LOR-000000295 at page 5,
14		again this is a letter to you making reference to
15		a disciplinary hearing held on 18 December 2014 at
16		2 o'clock, and this is to inform you that:
17		" the outcome of the disciplinary hearing is that
18		you have been given a first written warning."
19		And:
20		"The circumstances giving rise to the issue of this
21		warning were that you were drunk
22		The panel discussed the matter fully at the hearing and,
23		having taken your explanations into account, have
24		concluded that your conduct justifies a first written
25		warning. This warning will remain active on your file

- for a period of 24 months from the date of this letter,
- 2 after which it will lapse. Please ensure that your
- 3 conduct at future events is beyond reproach and does not
- 4 place you in a difficult situation."
- 5 The explanations you gave I think in essence were
- 6 that you couldn't remember because you were drunk, is
- 7 that fair?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. But I think you would understand the allegations were
- 10 that you were tactile with two students, and the
- 11 accounts seem to vary from the documentation: patting on
- 12 the bottom, holding them, and also in relation to
- a child on the bus, a female child shouting things,
- including the suggestion that she might relieve you, do
- 15 you remember that?
- 16 A. I don't remember that.
- 17 Q. All right.
- 18 LADY SMITH: Is it that you don't remember being told that
- 19 that was what had been said, or you don't remember these
- 20 things happening?
- 21 A. Both. I must have read it because I was shown those
- 22 statements, but I don't -- I don't remember reading that
- 23 particular comment, and I don't remember any of what
- I did that night.
- 25 LADY SMITH: Thank you. Did you appeal the decision to

- issue you a written warning? You were told in the
- 2 letter you had a right to appeal.
- 3 A. No, I didn't, my Lady.
- 4 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 5 MR BROWN: That was 2014. By that stage, of course, as we
- 6 know because of your acceptance of events in
- 7 had been involved in a relationship with a girl who we
- 8 will call for today's purposes "Sarah", who was
- 9 a Loretto pupil, and that relationship had started in
- 10 2011. Had Sarah been one of your pupils with whom
- 11 you had spent time in small groups or one-to-one with
- 12 prior to her leaving school?
- 13 A. I think -- that class I think had maybe eight or nine
- 14 pupils. She didn't do but she did study so
- she had just done the A level.
- 16 LADY SMITH: Was she the single pupil doing it that year
- 17 that you told me about earlier?
- 18 A. No, my Lady.
- 19 LADY SMITH: It was a bigger group.
- 20 A. This is much later, but ... she was also in my tutor
- 21 group, so all staff at the school have a small group of
- 22 maybe ten or twelve who they see each morning and keep
- an eye on their progress academically. So she wasn't
- only in that class, I knew her as her tutor as
- 25 well.

- 1 MR BROWN: If we can look, please, at LOR-1000000032 and go
- 2 to page 8. This is the statement of Sarah, and you saw
- 3 this as part of the disciplinary process?
- 4 A. Yes, yes.
- 5 Q. I think, putting it short, when the disciplinary process
- 6 was heard, you accepted that you had had a relationship,
- 7 sexual relationship, with her which had in fact lasted
- 8 for some years?
- 9 A. Yes. I don't know -- I don't know how long it -- I know
- 10 this sounds ridiculous, but I'm not sure how long it
- 11 lasted. There was a long, long time when I didn't see
- 12 her at all. But yes, yes, I did have that relationship.
- 13 Q. I think you accepted that it had been sexual within the
- school, on the school premises?
- 15 A. On school premises, yes.
- 16 Q. At your accommodation as provided by the school?
- 17 A. No, I didn't accept that, in fact.
- 18 Q. But you had given I think pass codes so she could enter
- 19 the school premises and meet you? This is during the
- 20 summer holidays?
- 21 A. Yes, yes, I did.
- 22 Q. But your acceptance was limited on the basis that any
- 23 relationship started after she had left school?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Her account obviously is set out in the statement. I am

just interested to be clear what parts you do agree

with. She says that she was 18 in May 2011 and first

encountered you when she was in the third form but that

that interaction was insignificant. In lower sixth you

"... and from this point onwards I saw a lot of
[you] because I was a student taking various
subjects as well. Our classrooms were close together
and from this time, when I had free lessons, I would go
to [your] classroom rather than the common room and just
sit and chat."

You apparently were nice and friendly.

"I saw him every morning and we chatted daily when

I had free lessons."

15 Is that correct?

became her tutor:

- A. She certainly wasn't alone in visiting me in my classroom alone for all of those things. I believe she would often come with her friend, who was also in my tutor group. She had two friends for whom I was tutor as well, and they would often -- they would pop in during free lessons or get some extra help or something.
- Q. Did alarm bells sound in your head that this might be a case of someone who was attracted to you?
- A. No, they didn't.
- 25 Q. Why not?

- A. I didn't think carefully enough about it, I think.
- 2 Q. It then goes on:
- "In the spring term I saw even more of [you].
- I decided to re-sit as I had been awarded an A but
- 5 wanted an A star."
- 6 You helped apparently with the written and practical
- 7 exercises for her re-sit. Is that correct?
- 8 A. I can't remember which bit. I think the only bit of
- 9 the -- the only part of the course that she was going to
- 10 re-sit was the written paper, it must have been.
- 11 I don't think anybody resat their practical, ever. But,
- 12 yes, I would have helped.
- 13 LADY SMITH: So she had already secured a grade A for her
- 14 A level.
- 15 A. This was for AS, I think this was still the time when
- 16 there was AS and then A2.
- 17 LADY SMITH: If it was AS, she would be a year younger.
- 18 A. So that is ...
- 19 LADY SMITH: If she was 18 in --
- 20 A. Sorry, I am wrong --
- 21 LADY SMITH: -- 2011.
- 22 A. Sorry, I have to correct myself there. It's the -- that
- is spring 2011. So she must have been re-sitting one of
- the written papers, one of the A level written papers.
- 25 They could sit one in January, so I think they had --

- she must have got an A but wanted an A star, and so
- I was -- I would have done some teaching and marking
- 3 to --
- 4 LADY SMITH: Had you come across other students, having
- 5 secured an A grade, asking to have another go so that
- 6 they could try and secure an A star?
- 7 A. If they were keen to get an A star.
- 8 LADY SMITH: You had come across that before, had you?
- 9 A. I wouldn't be surprised. I don't think I could name
- anyone but I wouldn't be entirely surprised. I thought
- 11 with her there was a certain amount of pride about
- 12 getting an A star. She wanted to give herself the
- 13 chance ultimately, at the end of upper sixth, to get the
- 14 A star.
- 15 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 16 Mr Brown.
- 17 MR BROWN: Would this preparation for the re-sit be
- 18 one-to-one? It seems very particular to her.
- 19 A. There might have been some one-to-one. I can't remember
- 20 whether anybody else was in a similar position in that
- 21 they were going to re-sit that January written paper.
- There may have been. It was quite common, when they
- 23 could sit papers in the January and then re-sit them in
- 24 the June, it was quite common for students to do that
- 25 because -- essentially it was a good system for them

- because they could end up doing really well because they
- 2 had a really good run at it.
- 3 Q. It goes on. In the summer term, April to July 2011, you
- 4 and she started to email one another:
- The emails were sent from our school email accounts
- and also our personal email accounts."
- 7 Is that correct?
- 8 A. I don't remember using my personal email account but
- 9 we -- there certainly were emails between us, yes.
- 10 Q. Do you remember the emails from her, looking to
- 11 paragraph 7, from her to you:
- 12 "... contained acronyms such as YASH, which stands
- for 'you are so hot', and statements such as 'I like
- 14 you'. The emails were often sent late at night."
- 15 A. I don't remember them being sent late at night but I do
- 16 remember some of those emails -- I do remember some of
- 17 those emails, yes.
- 18 Q. So it was apparent she was keen on you?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. What did you do?
- 21 A. I didn't do what I should have done, which was to have
- gone to the headmaster or to the child protection
- 23 co-ordinator and declared it. I did speak to her and
- 24 say she shouldn't be sending such emails, but I didn't
- 25 do what I should have done.

- Q. Was that half-heartedly, given what followed?
- 2 A. I don't know. I did mean -- I did mean -- when I said
- 3 to her she should stop, I did mean that.
- Q. You didn't go and speak to the CPC, your friend Elaine?

"In terms of physical contact ... whilst I was

5 A. No, I didn't.

- 6 Q. She goes on in paragraph 8:
- a school pupil, I recall that he hugged me. [He] would
 have students to his home at the time. I recall
 that I went to his home once while I was a pupil. My
- 11 recollection is that he invited around 6 of us around
- for drinks after our exams. I was one of the last to
- leave with a fellow school pupil. The boarders had gone
- back to their house. We were waiting for my mum to pick
- us up ... and my friend was staying with me that night.
- 16 When I was walking down the stairs on my way out [you]
- 17 grabbed my sides as to tickle me and at that time
- 18 I thought this was his way of testing his boundaries."
- 19 Your boundaries. Do you accept that?
- 20 A. No, I don't accept that.
- Q. Is this something you may have forgotten?
- 22 A. That touching? I don't accept that touching. I had
- 23 that whole -- I think it was that whole class
- 24 round to our house and -- which I didn't do very often,
- 25 but I did sometimes. I can think of other classes that

- I had, if they had done particularly well in their

 practical A level exam, or sometimes tutees, but I --
- 3 I don't accept that allegation of touching.
- Q. All right. Do you accept, paragraph 9, that on one
- 5 occasion you asked her to tidy with
- 6 you?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 7 A. I don't think I asked her to tidy it. I think it was a fairly frequent thing that I would have to tidy it, 8 and over the years I tidied it with quite a number of 9 pupils, and I think she was quite often in my classroom 10 in that -- in that summer term, particularly in the 11 12 second half. She was just getting ready to do her final 13 papers and I was marking quite a lot of her practice work, and she may well have come along to 14 15 and helped to tidy. But certainly I had done that with 16 quite a number of pupils, both male and female, over the
 - Q. But on this occasion she seems to suggest that this is when you said you need to be careful as some would deem your email exchanges inappropriate. Did you say that?
 - A. I can't remember exactly when I had said that she should not be sending emails of that kind. I don't know if it was then or not.
- Q. It goes on:

years.

25 "We didn't really do much cleaning of

- we mostly mucked around, throwing things at
- 2 each other, and he squeezed my sides a couple of times."
- 3 A. That is not true.
- 4 Q. Over the page on page 10 you will see paragraph 12:
- 5 "During this period I liked [you] and I was aware
- 6 that a lot of girls fancied him."
- Were you not aware of that?
- 8 A. I was aware that she liked me from those emails, yes.
- 9 I wasn't aware of a lot of girls fancying me, no.
- 10 Q. Prior to this exchange had you never been aware of
- 11 pupils admiring you?
- 12 A. I think sometimes one becomes aware that maybe someone
- has taken a shine to you, yes.
- 14 Q. Is that an annual thing?
- 15 A. No. No, it wasn't.
- 16 Q. Had that happened before you met Sarah?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. So you are suggesting she was the first girl to take
- 19 a shine to you?
- 20 A. No, I am sorry. I had been aware that occasionally you
- 21 maybe sense somebody has taken a shine to you, but
- I wouldn't see that as an annual thing, sorry.
- 23 Q. But it is something you had experience of with Sarah and
- yet you didn't do anything about it?
- 25 A. Yes, that is right.

- 1 Q. The reason I think you didn't do anything about it,
- would it be fair to say, was that you were interested?
- 3 A. No, I'm not -- I am not saying that.
- 4 Q. All right. Because at the
- 5 looking to paragraph 15, after she
- 6 says:
- 7 "[You] hugged all his students, but
- I believe he hugged me longer than the others and
- 9 whispered 'I love you' in my ear. I sent a text message
- 10 to him to ask 'Did you just say what I thought you
- 11 said?' But [you] didn't reply."
- 12 Is that correct?
- 13 A. No, I don't accept that I said that.
- Q. She then talks about going on holiday with school
- 15 friends immediately afterwards and that you phoned her
- and left a message on the way as she was going to the
- 17 airport, is that correct?
- 18 A. I don't know. I don't remember making that call.
- 19 Q. On any view, she has now left school. Texting and
- 20 messaging continues, is that correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And the sexual relationship starts?
- 23 A. Yes, it did.
- Q. When? When did it start?
- 25 A. Not very long into that summer holiday.

- 1 Q. So very swiftly, presumably after she comes back from
- 2 her holiday abroad?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Again, were you not interested in that prior to her
- 5 departure from the school?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Okay. As I think we see in paragraph 20, there was
- a pregnancy scare in September 2011, do you remember
- 9 that?
- 10 A. Yes, I remember her sending me a message.
- 11 Q. And the relationship continued?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. I think if we go on to the following page, after she
- 14 acknowledges:
- "I recognised there were pauses in our relationship
- 16 but it would pick up again."
- Top of the next page, "Sexual Relations on School
- 18 Grounds":
- "We had sexual relations in [your] two
- 20 accommodations,
- 21 Do you accept that?
- 22 A. On the school grounds, yes, in my classroom and the
- office, but I don't accept it was in my home.
- Q. Did she visit you in your school accommodation?
- 25 A. Sorry?

```
1
         Q. Did she visit you in your school accommodation?
             No, I don't think so, no.
 2
         Q. Could we look, please, at page 26. This is obviously
 3
             I think messages between the two of you which you have
 4
 5
             seen. We see you giving the code for a back gate by
                         She is saying:
 6
 7
                 "I throw caution to the wind, reckless driver."
                 You reply:
 8
                 "Maniac."
 9
                 "Two minutes away", says she:
10
                                        my place.
11
                 "Come to
12
                 "Not quite sure where I am meant to be going."
13
                 I think there's a description about where, and you
             then say:
14
                 "My house, aye."
15
16
                 And the next one is:
17
                 "Ding dong."
18
                 Presumably because she was outside?
19
         A. Yes. We would sometimes meet in her car as well, so
             sometimes she would draw up on the road outside and
20
             I would -- so I would go out from
21
22
         Q. Going back to page 11, do we see in paragraph 27:
                 "I became aware that [you] had shared his number
23
24
             with another pupil in the year below. At this time
25
             I had left school. I have retained an email from me to
```

```
1
             [you] dated 4 April 2012, in which I asked him why he
             had given his number to someone else and explained I was
 2
             upset. This is because I felt that I was not the only
 3
             one."
 4
                 Was she right about that? Did you give your number
 5
             to another girl?
 6
 7
         A. She may well have had my number. It wasn't that unusual
             for some pupils to have my mobile number, not -- I mean,
 8
             I think with the pupil that she refers to there, I think
 9
             I had done a lot of work on her
                                                      course work,
10
             I think, and so there was a certain amount of texting
11
12
             about that.
13
         LADY SMITH: Did other teachers give pupils their mobile
             numbers?
14
         A. I think some, my Lady, yes.
15
16
         LADY SMITH: Who?
         A. I think for instance working in
17
             sometimes that involved lots of extra work, getting
18
19
                                   and things. I think -- I don't
             think I was the only person who gave a mobile number
20
             to --
21
22
         LADY SMITH: Do you know whether other teachers gave pupils
23
             their mobile numbers or are you just assuming it?
24
         A. No, I do know that, yes.
```

LADY SMITH: Do you know of anybody in particular who gave

- 1 a student their mobile number?
- A. I couldn't name anyone, no.
- 3 LADY SMITH: Mr Brown.
- 4 MR BROWN: Thank you.
- 5 As for her comment that she was not the only one,
- 6 presumably implying that you were involved with another
- 7 student, what is your comment on that?
- 8 A. I wasn't involved with another student.
- 9 Q. She says:
- "I understood that that former pupil met up with
- 11 [you] during the Easter holidays whilst she was still
- 12 a pupil at the school."
- 13 Is that --
- 14 A. It would have been to deal with her course work,
- 15 I think.
- 16 Q. All right.
- 17 A. Again, I would say that wasn't that unusual. I did --
- 18 particularly I think during -- the Easter holidays was
- often a time when course work was being prepared and
- 20 finalised for maybe the start of the summer term, so
- 21 sometimes I did meet pupils and exchange drafts of their
- 22 work.
- 23 LADY SMITH: Where would you meet them?
- A. I think generally in my classroom, I think.
- 25 LADY SMITH: Did the school know that you were meeting

- 1 students outwith term time in your classroom?
- 2 A. I would think not, no.
- 3 LADY SMITH: Did you think to tell the school?
- 4 A. I didn't, no.
- 5 LADY SMITH: Do you think they should have been aware?
- A. I think they probably should have been, yes.
- 7 LADY SMITH: Mr Brown.
- 8 MR BROWN: Can I suggest you must have known they should
- have been aware because, as you have told us, you were
- 10 very alive to the rules about child protection. You
- 11 pushed it about your wife being au fait with such
- 12 things. The image you present is someone who has no
- 13 difficulties with child protection, but you clearly did.
- 14 Was that because you were involved with this student and
- 15 others?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. As well as the emails about meetings and pass codes and
- 18 so forth, you will be aware there are texts, because
- 19 these were shared with you, about encouraging Sarah not
- 20 to say anything when enquiries were being made by the
- 21 police, is that correct?
- 22 A. Yes. She had said to me over I think quite a long
- 23 period, it may have been a year, she had messaged me to
- 24 say that police had phoned her and I think she said had
- left messages, voice messages, and that they wanted to

speak to her, and I -- I said to her that I thought she should just speak to the police, because I had no sense that there was any complaint to be made or that she thought she was going to make any complaint, or that any wrongdoing that would be of interest to the police had taken place, so I did say just speak to them. And she said -- I remember her saying things like "No, I can't be bothered, I just don't want to", and I said "Well, the police won't go away. You will need to speak to them".

So I wasn't discouraging her from speaking to them because I thought that was the best way to deal with it, actually. But I don't think the texts in which I said that or suggested that are in the -- that group of texts that have been produced.

Q. If we can look at document 32, page 22. I think we can see -- it's not terribly clear, but this is from 19 August 2017. This would be around the time that, is it fair to say, you were beginning to worry because you might get found out? I think we would understand that Sarah's comments are on the right, yours are on the left:

"I just want things to go back to normal and put this to the back of my mind, and also if they had any sort of suspicion or evidence they would absolutely

- speak to you. I don't doubt it for a second so go head
- 2 and it will be okay.
- 3 "Yes, will message you in a bit. Lots going on
- 4 here."
- 5 Then under "19 August 2017":
- 6 "A clear statement from you that there is nothing to
- 7 answer is the best way forward. Late night texting is
- 8 the only evidence and that shows nothing but
- 9 foolishness."
- 10 Do you remember saying that?
- 11 A. I was ... I was worried about the whole thing coming out
- in terms of my wife and my children. That was my
- overriding fear. I didn't -- I wasn't trying to cover
- 14 up criminality but I was very anxious. And I think it
- 15 had gone on for quite a while, there had been quite
- a few mentions of the idea that the police had been in
- 17 touch, and I became increasingly anxious about -- just
- 18 about the whole idea that this was going to become
- public, that my family would know and then ...
- 20 Q. I think if we go back a page to page 21, which as we
- 21 will see is from the day before, 18 August. To put it
- in context, Bruce Forsyth has just died, as we see from
- 23 the --
- 24 A. Sorry?
- 25 Q. Bruce Forsyth has just died --

- 1 A. Oh, gosh, yes.
- 2 Q. He:
- "... pops his clogs RIP".
- 4 "Yes. Sad but a good innings. Same sort of age as
- 5 my dad. Any more police news?"
- You ask. Then she makes a comment, and you then
- 7 say:
- 8 "Yes I understand. And thank you. Admission to
- 9 anything, even after school would be as bad. There is
- 10 no evidence other than what someone might say. And if
- 11 you say nothing happened then it didn't."
- 12 Can you explain "Admission to anything even after
- 13 school"?
- 14 A. I was just trying to make the point that it didn't
- 15 matter that it started after she had left school. For
- me, in terms of losing my job and damaging my family,
- 17 that it wouldn't matter. I wasn't -- I'm not saying
- 18 there that it had started at school.
- 19 Q. Is that not a clear inference "Admission to --"
- 20 A. It is one inference, yes.
- 21 Q. Again, did things happen before she left school?
- 22 A. No, no.
- 23 LADY SMITH: It sounds rather, though, Martin, as if you are
- 24 encouraging her to lie, you are encouraging her to say
- 25 nothing?

- A. I didn't think she had any sort of complaint to make.
- 2 LADY SMITH: What did you mean when you said "nothing
- 3 happened":
- "... if you say nothing happened then it didn't."
- 5 A. No, I take your point.
- 6 LADY SMITH: Because things did happen between you.
- 7 A. Yes, they did.
- 8 LADY SMITH: You had a sexual relationship.
- 9 A. Yes. Yes.
- 10 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 11 Mr Brown.
- 12 MR BROWN: Thank you. Of course all of this came out in the
- 13 context of this being reported to the school and, in
- a disciplinary process --
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. -- starting and concluding with your sacking for gross
- 17 misconduct.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. I think you were asked obviously many of the same
- 20 questions that you were asked today --
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. -- under reference to the same documents. We have the
- 23 transcript of the various interviews you gave. I think
- 24 ultimately you put forward the position accepting some
- of what we have read?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. But the essence of it was nothing happened whilst she
- 3 was at school?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. But even on that account, it was felt that you had
- 6 acted --
- 7 A. Yes, it was.
- Q. -- in an entirely inappropriate way and you lost your
- 9 job?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. That of course and, as you will be
- 12 aware from questions that you were asked by the Inquiry
- in the preparation of your statement, and if we go
- 14 please to the second last page, paragraph 54. Page 12,
- 15 paragraph 54. In that paragraph -- or in the questions
- 16 you were sent, so that you would understand where we
- 17 were coming from, this position was summarised, is that
- 18 fair, of another allegation against you?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Could we look, please, at document LOR-000000036,
- 21 page 36. This is obviously
- 22 right, by which time you had been dismissed?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. If we go down to the letter that is now showing. This
- is a letter from a former pupil. Just to read it:

1	"I live in London but I would be very happy to meet
2	you if you are ever on business in the capital?
3	"I understand that an investigation is underway, and
4	I do not require the school to make any comment
5	regarding the accusations. The truth is that I felt
6	obligated to contact the school to inform you
7	that I know whatever accusations are made against you
8	I fear that these are only the tip of the iceberg. I am
9	genuinely shocked that he is still at the school or any
LO	school for that matter. During my tenure at Loretto
11	(1996-2001)"
12	In other words,
L3	
14	" his behaviour was unacceptable and 100% abusive
15	of his position. We have a close-knit year group who
16	are willing to write to school to make public what
L7	occurred, and I hope that this will encourage other year
18	groups to speak out."
19	Reading on:
20	"While I am not the girl in question, we did
21	study A level together [with you]. It was clear as the

"While I am not the girl in question, we did study A level together [with you]. It was clear as the year progressed that the girl in question had a teenage crush on [you] ..."

Reading on:

"Another girl had a similar 'crush' on the history

1	teacher but that remained as it should have been;
2	a childish moment in time. [You] took full advantage of
3	the situation benefiting only [you] and leaving the girl
4	in question with years of misplaced guilt and emotional

- Do you see that? Do you accept that first paragraph to any degree?
- 8 A. Sorry which ...

5

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. She is reporting that a girl in her year group had
 a crush on you and that you took advantage.
- A. No, I don't accept that.

distress."

- 12 Q. All right. Next paragraph:
 - "While at the age of 18 you can legally have sex,

 drink alcohol and smoke, Loretto is a bubble where such

 activities are forbidden and for that reason it helps to

 preserve children's innocence and I think that is a

 wonderful environment to have grown up in. However,

 there are students who push these boundaries and it

 should be a teacher's responsibility to report

 and discipline these pupils, as surely why else does

 Loretto have such rules?"
- Do you disagree with the last sentence, that it's the teacher's responsibility to stop such behaviour?
- A. Yes, I would agree that that is part of what we should do.

- 1 Q. Yes. You obviously didn't in relation to Sarah. Did
- 2 you fail to do the same with other students?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. No:
- 5 "Over the course of our final year stories of [your]
- 6 relaxed approach to apprehending and reporting students
- 7 grew; he caught a female pupil entering Schoolhouse to
- 8 visit her boyfriend and did nothing, he caught female
- 9 students drinking pre-Linkfield and did nothing and he
- 10 helped other female pupils who had been locked out of
- 11 Holm House to climb over the wall after the gate had
- 12 been locked. Such stories spread and, in hindsight, he
- 13 began to blend the line between the student and teacher,
- 14 endearing himself to senior girls as a 'cool teacher' or
- 15 'one of us'."
- 16 Is that description of your approach to discipline
- 17 correct?
- 18 A. No, I don't recognise those stories of me ignoring those
- 19 matters.
- 20 Q. Did you consider yourself a cool teacher in comparison
- 21 to your colleagues?
- 22 A. No, I didn't.
- Q. Presumably you were much younger?
- 24 A. I don't think I was much younger. I think there were
- other teachers of the same age and younger.

- 1 LADY SMITH: Did you ever, for example, help a girl who had
- been locked out of Holm House to climb over a wall?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 MR BROWN: Is it possible you have forgotten?
- 5 A. I think -- I don't think I would forget that.
- 6 LADY SMITH: Did you ever buy a girl a drink in a pub in
- 7 Musselburgh?
- 8 A. No, I have -- there was only really one pub I think
- 9 where staff drank and pupils would never go there.
- 10 MR BROWN: Would you agree you were hardly likely to go to
- 11 the pub where staff drank to have a pint if you were
- going to have a drink with a pupil? I think the
- 13 question was did you ever buy --
- 14 A. No, I didn't arrange to go to a pub with ...
- 15 Q. Going back to your statement at paragraph 54, and this
- is probably just the simplest way to summarise what was
- 17 covered up. It's page 12, please. The suggestion was
- 18 that you had, reading from five lines in:
- "... consistently targeted one girl per year."
- 20 The witness knew of three girls. She wasn't saying
- 21 she was someone who was involved with you. She knew of
- three girls aged 16 to 18 who you approached, it was
- 23 alleged, and who had rebuffed your advances, as well as
- one aged 18 who you had a relationship with which
- culminated in sexual intercourse, and that you had been

- 1 caught by pupils having sex at various places within the
- 2 school.
- 3 A. I don't accept that.
- 4 Q. You don't accept that?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Why --
- 7 A. Sorry. What those things add up to is a clear
- 8 suggestion of -- of clear criminality, and I -- I don't
- 9 accept that, and I want to exert my right not to
- incriminate myself by answering that question. I don't
- 11 accept it.
- 12 Q. You don't accept it. What they might suggest is that
- you were happy to have relationships with pupils, just
- as you did with Sarah, albeit with your caveat that it
- 15 happened as soon as she left school, essentially.
- 16 A. I can see that you make that connection but I don't
- 17 accept that.
- 18 Q. Why would someone make these allegations, do you think?
- 19 What explanation can --
- 20 A. I can't say.
- 21 Q. One answer might be of course that they are true.
- I take it you won't accept that?
- 23 A. I don't accept that.
- 24 MR BROWN: Thank you, my Lady, I think that is enough
- 25 questions.

1	LADY SMITH: Thank you. Are there any outstanding
2	applications for questions of Martin? (Pause).
3	Martin, that completes the questions we have for you
4	here. Before I let you go, I want to thank you for
5	engaging with us as you have done, for providing us both
6	with your written statement and coming here today to
7	give your evidence orally. Can I say I know it hasn't
8	been easy to do so, and we've had to ask you some
9	difficult questions, but I hope you appreciate the wider
10	purpose of this Inquiry, which is overall the very best
11	interests of yesterday's children, today's children and
12	tomorrow's children. Thank you for that and I am now
13	able to let you go.
14	A. Thank you, my Lady.
15	(The witness withdrew)
16	LADY SMITH: We will now break for the lunch break.
17	Mr Brown, if I sat again at let's say 2.15, would
18	that give us enough time to clear what we are intending
19	to do this afternoon?
20	MR BROWN: Yes, that would be more than adequate. Indeed it
21	might allow us a slightly early bath.
22	LADY SMITH: That would be very helpful on a Friday.
23	Thank you.
24	(1.21 pm)
25	(The short adjournment)

```
1
         (2.15 pm)
 2
         LADY SMITH: Mr Brown.
         MR BROWN: My Lady (inaudible).
 3
         LADY SMITH: Ms Bennie, when you are ready.
 4
         MS BENNIE: The document number is WIT-1-000.000.501.
 5
             My Lady, it's the witness statement of Charles Halliday.
 6
 7
                 Witness Statement of Charles Halliday (read)
         MS BENNIE: "My name is Charles FAT Halliday. My year of
 8
 9
             birth is 1943. My contact details are known to the
10
             Inquiry.
                 "I obtained a Masters degree at Trinity College in
11
12
             Dublin and a diploma in education from Oxford
13
             University. From 1967 to 1969 I was a classics teacher
             at Eton College. Thereafter I was schoolmaster at
14
15
             Temple Grove in Uckfield. I then went to Canada where
16
             I was a schoolmaster at Bishop's College. I returned to
17
             England and took employment at Eastbourne College in
18
             England as a schoolmaster.
                 "I was then headmaster at Loretto Junior School in
19
20
             Musselburgh for nearly five years. Thereafter I worked
21
             at Stoneyhurst St Mary's Hall in Lancashire, and lastly
22
             I worked part-time at Moor Park School in Ludlow,
23
             Shropshire, as a schoolmaster. In terms of teaching,
24
             I was a classicist by trade, so I taught classics
25
             subjects. I also taught English and history."
```

My Lady, I then propose to resume reading the statement at paragraph 10:

"I was headmaster at Loretto Junior School from 1987 to 1991, I was interviewed for the job by the school governors, both in Edinburgh and at Loretto. The headmaster of the senior school was the Reverend Norman Drummond, the headmaster of Loretto.

"At that time, jobs were advertised in the Educational Supplement of the Times and that was where I saw the post. I applied and I was invited for an interview. I suspect my references would already have been checked out. I then came to Edinburgh on the sleeper train and arrived early in the morning. I spent the morning travelling to Musselburgh to see where the school was. That afternoon I had my interview in a solicitor's office on Charlotte Square with the school governors. I succeeded Clifford Hughes as headmaster of the junior school at Loretto. Clifford Hughes had been become headmaster after Hamish Galbraith who had been at Loretto junior school for a long time and was much liked.

"Clifford Hughes left Loretto in the summer of 1986 and I was appointed to succeed him, but I didn't join Loretto until January 1987. Mr Armstrong was acting headmaster and in charge from September to December 1986

1	until I joined.
2	"I don't know why CRX
3	I know he was a very talented man and a talented
4	I know Mr CRW didn't get on with him but he never
5	expanded on why that was. I think CRX was
6	perhaps a man of moods, various people did not like him,
7	but that is no reason for a SNR to be dismissed.
8	That said, in a small school it helps if staff get on
9	with SNR because they see each other all of
10	the time.
11	Went on to train to be a
12	and I think he went to
13	Haddington for a time. He is no longer with us, and
14	I think he has been dead for some years now.
15	"Loretto is not a large school, with about 400 or so
16	pupils, so it was like a large family. The junior
17	school had about 80 boys in it, therefore it was
18	common sense that the junior school would regard itself
19	as part of the whole.
20	"There were two boarding houses in Loretto Junior
21	School. Just over the half of the 80 boarders were in
22	North Esk Lodge in the main part of the junior school
23	building, which was on the North High Street in

Musselburgh. The rest of the boarders, just under 40

boys, were in Newfield House which was about

24

25

a three-minute walk away.

"The junior school was at that time a member of the Incorporated Association of Preparatory Schools. To be a proper preparatory school, pupils went on to their senior school at 13-plus. Loretto changed its relationship with the junior school so that the junior school pupils went to the senior school at 12-plus. Therefore I don't believe the Loretto Nippers are part of the association anymore because it is not defined as a prep school anymore but as a junior school.

"I always felt that was what the governors of
Loretto wanted. In some ways I can understand why,
because the junior school had a smaller number of boys
compared to the senior school, so it made sense for it
all it be considered as a whole.

"I was headmaster of the junior school from 1987 to 1991. In practice, I was responsible for the wellbeing of pupils and staff. I was a housemaster of the main boarding house. As well as being headmaster and housemaster, I also taught English and history whilst I was there. No training was provided to me for the role as headmaster, it was a continuous liaison between myself and the headmaster of Loretto during my employment. I referred to the senior school in matters out of manners and to keep the lines of communication

open. I had no say at all in the decisions to do with the senior school.

"I don't have much recollection of the strategic planning. My memory is hazy after 30 years-plus. The major event that took place in my time was that Loretto Nippers celebrated its centenary in 1991. There was a great deal of discussion that went on between all of us in the four months leading up to the event that took place in June 1991.

"I do recall that a new classroom block was built for the junior school and when it came to the time to discuss the finance, I wasn't asked to attend the meeting of the finance committee who met in Edinburgh, and I thought I should have been because I was the one having to implement whatever decisions were made. The bursar went along to the meeting. Strategic planning was never undertaken, other than in conjunction with the headmaster and the bursar.

"I met the headmaster of the senior school,
Norman Drummond, and the bursar, Major General Lyon,
every week. It was mostly tactical planning rather than
strategic planning. We met to discuss the events of the
week and what we would be doing the following week. We
were constantly discussing how things worked on the
ground. Strategy was less important than tactics.

"It was difficult to be the headmaster of the junior school in a sense because you weren't entirely a free agent. In one way, the pressure was off because the bursar took the decisions to do with finance and as headmaster I just offered an advisory role. It did mean I wasn't entirely independent but that was inevitable in a school like Loretto. In many ways it was the strength of the school as everybody knew what was going on and what was required of them.

"During my time at Loretto I had responsibility for half of the boarders at Loretto Junior School. I was assisted by a live-in member of teaching staff and his wife. We were all assisted by my own wife, Juliet. She and I lived in North Esk Lodge. Also living in the building when I arrived there was a man called Bill Jones and his wife Hilary, as well as a live-in matron called Maureen Woodward.

"Bill Jones moved on to do other things, and we were then joined by Dennis Dickinson who I had recruited. He was joined by his wife. Maureen Woodward left and was succeeded by a Mrs Patricia Baigrie, who had a very powerful Edinburgh accent. She was a breath of fresh air.

"Mr CRW was the housemaster of Newfield House and just under 40 of the boarders there. He had

1	a degree of autonomy within his own building, assisted
2	by his matron. All of the staff in the junior school
3	were responsible to me. Recruitment of staff at
4	Loretto School was primarily my responsibility, subject
5	to the approval of upper school"
6	LADY SMITH: Recruitment at the junior school.
7	MS BENNIE: Sorry, my Lady:

"Recruitment of staff at Loretto Junior School was

primarily my responsibility, subject to the approval of

upper school authorities, who was the Reverend

Norman Drummond, the headmaster of Loretto. At that

time, jobs were advertised in the Times Educational

Supplement and people applied by post with details of

their references.

"When somebody gave me a reference, the first thing
I would do would be to get on to the telephone to the
fellow headmaster who employed them and in the course of
the conversation learn what the candidate was really
like. The referee would be consulted before deciding
whether to invite the candidate for an interview. All
appointments were backed up by a reference to supporting
referees. These commented on the candidate's academic
suitability and also on their suitability to work in
a boarding school.

"Appointing good staff is even more important in

a boarding school than in a day school because there is a social requirement to fit in as well as having the academic skills to teach. The headmaster of Loretto in the 1960s was reported to have said 'A school is like a club, and when someone wants to join the club you find out what the rules are and abide by the rules. If those rules don't suit you, then you find another club'. In a way, life in boarding schools is like that. The staff who join need to feel socially comfortable in the environment.

"After checking references, a potential candidate would be invited to Loretto. I collected several people from Edinburgh Airport and then the person would join us at the school for the whole day. It was not just a talk between me and the candidate, it was a full day for them to meet the staff and the pupils.

"When I appointed someone in the junior school it was solely my decision. I informed the headmaster,

Norman Drummond, as a matter of good manners rather than anything else. I don't think he would have vetoed any appointment but he would have been puzzled if he hadn't been told.

"In the case of Dennis Dickinson, who I appointed to Loretto Nippers in 1987, I struck gold. He had a very good reference from his previous school in Durham. He

was one of those people who pupils could chat to but wouldn't misbehave in front of. He made a success of his time at Loretto to the extent that he is still employed in the upper school there even now.

"Some staff are inspired and some are inspiring, but the most important thing about staff at schools is that they should be reliable and turn up. I came across one or two members of staff who were hypochondriacs. One of the members of staff who I appointed was a gentleman who I felt suffered from a bad back a little too often and wouldn't be able to go into work. It is always a nuisance in schools when staff are absent.

"I didn't drive any change in the appointment of new staff while at Loretto. I followed the accepted practice, which was to look for somebody even better than the person who was being replaced.

"No formal training was undertaken by the staff but I was in personal contact with the staff every day. In a small school which had about 80 boys I was constantly monitoring staff. That was my involvement in the supervision and evaluation of the staff. Staff appraisals were in their infancy in the 1980s.

"My wife and I lived in the same building as the 40 boarders in our care. Supporting staff, male and female, also lived in the same building. All

responsible staff had access to children's residential areas. This was a strength of the school and a requirement of the school governors.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"There was a personal touch at Loretto and that was regarded as humane, rather than having distance from the boys just for distance's sake. When I arrived, I discovered that the youngest boys' dormitory for the 8-years olds was only accessible through my living quarters. This meant that boys and their parents had to walk from the school part of the house into my flat to get into the dormitory. The governors regarded that as a strength because the youngest boys were literally in the care of the headmaster and his wife. I did go on to challenge this arrangement as time went on because once or twice I was caught out with a parent standing in my living quarter as I was coming out of the bathroom with a towel wrapped around me. In about 1989 I persuaded the governors to let a separate entrance be created in the boys' dormitory that didn't go through my flat and this was done.

"The boys at Loretto were encouraged to voice their opinions on all matters. Loretto was not strong on written rules, but the headmaster of the upper and junior school met with pupils every morning in what many would call assembly but at Loretto we called it double.

I attended these meetings in the main hall with all 80 of the junior school boys every morning, just me and them, except once a week when the other staff would be present. At these meetings I talked about all sorts of things with them, including current affairs, what happened in class or in games. There was a general feeling about what was considered to be good and what was less good. I didn't always manufacture something to say, and if the boys didn't have a lot to say we would play music. I would often play recorded classical music to them.

"The upper school had a similar occasion. When the headmaster met the upper school pupils in the school dining room, he walked around the room and spoke to the pupils.

"I abolished corporal punishment on arrival at Loretto in 1987. When I arrived, I discovered that independent members of the staff were sometimes smacking boys in the classroom with a tawse or gym shoe.

I abolished it by saying, in my very first staff meeting in January 1987, that if any teacher felt strongly that a child should be beaten then they should send the child to me. I had no intention of beating any child myself so the practice simply stopped. I don't remember any member of staff objecting to it or even questioning it.

Pupils were punished largely by curtailment of their free time. This was a recognised policy.

"In a boarding school, boys had a lot of free time at the weekend which they valued very highly because they could go out. Keeping them in at the weekend and making them sit in a classroom as a punishment meant they felt they were losing valuable free time and was a big deal for them.

"In such a small school as Loretto Junior School, all the staff and children knew what sort of behaviour was required and desirable. It was a very small school. All of the pupils and the staff were in contact all of the time. All meals were eaten together, so there was just a school feeling about what was desirable and what was unacceptable.

"There was a new school minibus that somebody had scratched initials onto with a compass. Everybody recognised that this was unacceptable, and it was also recognised that to punish everybody for it was not fair or desirable, but it is very difficult to avoid doing that at times. I kept the whole school in for about 45 minutes after school in the hope that the person who did it would own up but I never got a result. Sometimes people just don't own up, and the person who did it got away with it, but it did make them all realise this kind

of behaviour just wasn't acceptable.

"Senior boys had no power to punish the junior boys in Loretto Junior School. I remember at other schools, like Eton, boys would beat other boys right up until the 1980s. I always thought it was unacceptable, even at that time. I always thought anybody turning their bottom towards another person to be beaten was humiliating.

"No fagging took place in Loretto. In such
a school, I would hope that any form of ill-treatment
would have speedily come to my ears as I had daily
communications with the boys. Children were free to
talk to me at any time, or indeed to any member of
staff, male or female, if they wished to make
a complaint or report a concern. I am not aware of any
complaints having been received. To my knowledge, the
school and its pupils were never the subject of concern.
I was not aware of any concern. If there were concerns
it would have been my responsibility, in conjunction
with the headmaster of Loretto, to inform parents of
them.

"Children could speak to me or my wife at any time if they had a concern. They could do this at the morning meetings or approach us in private, if they wished. I was at the school for just under five years,

and I was not aware of any concerns that pupils had.

I felt I had a good relationship with boys of all ages
and I am quite confident that if any one of them were
truly concerned about anything, they would have come to
see me or Mr CRW. who was the housemaster for half
the boarders.

"It is quite possible, I suppose, that the boys wouldn't have come to speak me or see me if they had a concern but I think they would have done. There was no barrier between myself and the pupils.

"Abuse really depends on what people mean by it.

Some people may define beating a pupil on the bottom as abuse in the currency of nowadays, but it was quite common practice about a century ago. Matters of abuse were being raised for the first time towards the end of the 1980s when Esther Rantzen was around and also Childline had come in. Before that, schools were extraordinarily private places, especially boarding schools.

"At the time I understood from hearsay that, if
a staff member anywhere misbehaved in a criminal manner,
they would just be given a note and told to leave
without any reference to the police, and thus be free to
be employed in another school. I don't know of any
specific examples of this having happened.

"In my time, abuse meant the personality clashes inevitable in any group, where day-to-day tensions arose, where one pupil perhaps struck another, that would be dealt with by getting to the bottom of the matter. Frequent staff meetings gave an opportunity for any concerns of any individual pupils to be aired.

I thought physical abuse was quite unacceptable and was aware of absolutely no physical or sexual abuse taking place at Loretto. I don't have anything more to say about that.

"I was not aware of or part of any investigation into abuse at Loretto School. I was not aware of any police investigations either. I also do not know of any person working at the school who was convicted of abuse.

"I worked in schools from the age of about 20 and onwards and was never aware of any abuse taking place in any of the schools I worked at.

"Guidance to the staff on child protection measures took the form of constant discussion about pupils in our care and the airing of any concerns about personality clashes. I am confident that staff with major concerns would have approached me about them. It was always the case that the housemaster had a degree of autonomy in their house. It was their home as well as their place of work. In most boarding houses the headmaster would

still seek permission to go into somebody's house or at least give notice they intended to visit because it was the person's home. This was the situation when I arrived and it didn't change during my time. There were no formal child protection measures in place.

"During the period that I was at Loretto there was no outside monitoring of the school. On arrival at the school, I was not aware of any record-keeping apart from on academic matters. During my time there, record-keeping was confined to academic matters and copies of all records were kept. I could not say, with accuracy, what records from my time there would still exist.

"I left Loretto in the summer of 1991. It wasn't an easy job because there was a tension between the need to feel independent and the need to feel part of the whole of Loretto. I think a number of people found that difficult to sustain. I think Loretto has got what it wanted in a way, and the junior school is now a junior house and not an independent school in its own right. It is now part of the whole of Loretto School so it doesn't have a headmaster.

"I have been asked if I knew a man called CRX

CRX during my time at Loretto. I am aware that

CRX was SNR of the junior school but

that was before my time there. Our periods of employment at the school did not coincide.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"I have been asked about a CRW and I can confirm that I did work with him for almost five years from 1987 until 1991. I believe he was in his 40s when I worked with him. was the housemaster of Newfield House which had just under 40 boys in it. He was the SNR and also a teacher. He taught at middle school level to the boys aged 9 to 10 years old. We liaised with each other on everyday matters and the of the school and we got on extremely well. We also had weekly meetings between myself, my wife and Mr CRW This was to keep channels of communication open. There wasn't a great deal to talk about because we knew our business. We took a diary to these meetings and looked at what events were coming up and decided on the best way forward.

"I met with Mr CRW every day at school and also saw him with the children every day. Children behaved well in his presence. I believe this discipline was achieved by the children being aware of where the lines were drawn. I recall him being a very caring and hardworking housemaster. He was a very effective teacher. He was also an excellent, caring and effective

coach of for 12 and 13-year olds.

"I have been told that the minute of the board of governors of 1989 to 1991 perhaps suggests that the school were keen for Mr CRW to leave Loretto and asked why that may be. I don't know the answer to that. I think a good school needs to have a number of people who are in staff for a long time to provide stability, but also needs younger people to come in for a short period with new ideas.

"I think in Mr CRW years when I arrived and people felt it was maybe time for him to move on and spread his wings. Beyond that general feeling that he had exhausted his usefulness at the junior school and was a bit stuck in his ways, I don't know why it would be suggested that he leave beyond that. I was not aware of any sinister connotation. I always thought he behaved correctly with pupils and other members of staff.

"Mr CRW left Loretto because he got a job as

SNR of another school which was a promotion for him. I departed from the school in the same shortly after him.

"I understand that corporal punishment was occasionally carried out by individual members of staff until I arrived in 1987. I abolished the practice,

1	believing that other methods of punishing were called
2	for. The key to protecting children in boarding schools
3	is appointing good staff who are reliable and can fit in
4	socially as well as academically and then to keep the
5	lines of communication continuously open. I believe
6	that was the case in Loretto School between 1987 and
7	1991. People are far more probing in their searches
8	when appointing staff than they used to be.
9	"I have no objection to my statement being published
10	as part of the evidence to the Inquiry. I believe the
11	facts stated in this statement are true."
12	My Lady, this statement is signed by Mr Halliday on
13	9 November 2020.
14	LADY SMITH: Thank you.
15	MS BENNIE: My Lady, the final read-in for today bears the
16	document reference WIT-1-000000487.
17	Witness Statement of JOHN STUART (read)
18	MS BENNIE: My Lady, this witness wishes to remain anonymous
19	and has adopted the pseudonym of "John Stuart":
20	"My name is John Stuart. My year of birth is 1948.
21	My contact details are known to the Inquiry."
22	My Lady, I would propose to summarise paragraphs 2
23	to 16 of the statement as follows: in paragraphs 2 to
24	16, the witness tells us that he was a teacher at
25	Loretto School from 1988 until the year 2000, that he

was GTCS-registered, that he was interviewed with his references being provided to the school, and that he completed a probationary period at the school. That he had no involvement in the forming of policy within the school, that he had no involvement in the strategic planning within Loretto, that he had no involvement with recruitment policy or recruitment practices, and that he had no involvement in the training or personal development of staff.

My Lady, I propose to resume reading the statement at paragraph 17:

"The school had to be progressive to appeal to a diminishing market of traditional, often military, parents. The demand for boarding places diminished and offering places for girls, previously only in the sixth form, was extended. This helped to create a more balanced social perspective and challenged the boys academically. They still regarded the school as male-orientated, maybe due to a strong rugby tradition, and a considerable loss of former pupils in Scottish regiments in the Second World War. More overseas applicants were sought. I never heard of fagging existing at the school.

"I think housemasters and housemistresses were responsible for discipline, though I have no knowledge

of disciplinary protocols or procedure, probably just letters to parents. There was no formal policy in relation to discipline and punishment that I was aware of.

"If I had any complaint regarding the work or behaviour of a pupil, I would bring it to the attention of their housemaster to follow up. I don't know if records were kept though I imagine housemasters kept a file for each of their residents.

"I don't think senior pupils had any specific entitlements to discipline more junior students other than to earn the respect of younger pupils and set an example. I was not aware of them having any disciplinary responsibilities.

"I was not at any time involved in the day-to-day running of the school. If any child was being abused or ill-treated, I would have thought it would have come to light at or about the time it was occurring. The role of tutors and housemasters was not confined to academic and behavioural aspects but also very much pastoral. Understandably, some may have been more approachable than others, but pupils would almost certainly have taken any concerns they had for their friends to appropriate adults.

"I never picked up any hint from the student body or

elsewhere that there was anything of an abusive nature occurring during my period of employment at the school. The school, to my knowledge, was never the subject of concern in school or to any external body or agency or any other person because of the way in which the children and young people in the school were treated. As such, I am unable to provide any detail of the nature of any concerns, any individuals who were the subject of concern or the school's responses to such.

"Responsibility for communicating concerns would have been entirely at the discretion of the headmaster. There was never in my time any discussion amongst the staff, covert or otherwise, that there were protection issues.

"If any child in the school or other person on their behalf wished to make a complaint or report a concern, housemasters and mistresses, along with tutors, had a primary role as listening ears. There was no official reporting process in place that I was aware of. Towards the end of my time at Loretto a member of staff was given a role of protection, primarily I think to comply with Ofsted expectations. I was not aware if complaints were nevertheless received or if such complaints were recorded or where they would be recorded.

"The head of geography, Duncan Wylie, was the

nominated member of staff responsible for protection policies. The school always had a chaplain, whose name I don't now recall, who may have been a more obvious choice for pupils who were unhappy to go to. I didn't notice any changes in practice that happened over time, though I imagine there was confidentiality surrounding what was or was not confided to this person. If children in practice raised concerns in this way, then I was not aware of it.

"Abuse. During my period of employment I was not aware of the school having a definition of abuse that it applied in relation to the treatment of children at the school. The school had a high reputation and anything that threatened that would involve a meeting with the headmaster.

"Beyond shouting at a child or not recognising genuine effort and achievement, I know of no more serious anecdotal examples. We were simply expected to behave as balanced, trustworthy adults with a close teacher-parent relationship. Parents paid high fees and rightly had high expectations. Many had our telephone numbers, especially overseas parents, and we spoke with them much more frequently than teachers in state schools. Many came to chapel on Sundays for which there was a three-line whip for all staff. The centrality of

the chapel and the tradition of whole school singing weakened with the change of headmaster. I felt something rather unique and important was lost.

"The establishment of a protection officer was a new initiative whilst I was there and may, to a certain extent, have displaced the chaplain's role. I don't recall staff being given any specific guidance, other than to refer any concerns we may hear of to the protection officer.

"The guidance and instruction, if any, given to staff on how to handle and respond to reports of abuse or ill-treatment of children by staff, other adults or fellow pupils was simply that there was now a designated member of staff.

"As far as how much autonomy, including discretion, was given to staff in relation to child protection arrangements were concerned, I think we were all ready to listen to pupils' worries if they wanted to share them, though house staff would be the conduits for any further action beyond a sympathetic ear.

"I can't think of any child protection arrangements that were in place as such to reduce the likelihood of abuse, ill-treatment or inappropriate conduct by staff or other adults towards children at the school.

Visiting house staff, as distinct from the housemaster

or the assistant housemaster who lived on the premises, didn't ever venture into the dormitories. Girls' boarding houses only had female staff tutors. It seemed a sensible and workable premise.

"There was no obvious policy on record-keeping though, as computers began to be introduced, this may have changed. I have no knowledge of nor did I have access to any central bank of information. I cannot comment on the historical position as regards record-keeping as I was not aware of any. I was never involved in any investigation into allegations of abuse or ill-treatment of children at the school or any inappropriate behaviour by staff or others towards children, and I have never heard of any such allegations or investigations.

"Leaving Loretto. The headmaster solicited signed statements from a couple of parents, a former colleague and several members of staff. They contained no accusation of abuse against me, though there was one which referred to the feelings of a female member of staff who alleged I had made an embarrassing comment. There was no reference made to any pattern of my behaviour regarding pupils of either gender. The statements, as one might expect, were anecdotal and some entirely refutable, if not derisory. Nevertheless, they

were designed to provide sufficient gravitas to suspend
me. The common room was instructed not to have contact
or speak to me. Some weeks later following a hearing
comprising the headmaster and chairman of the governors,
at which I was represented by an ATL (Association of
Teachers and Lecturers) representative (I was not in the
meeting) a notice was issued to the staff saying that my
departure from Loretto was not for any impropriety
involving any of the student body. The length of my
suspension had made it difficult for me to be
reinstated, and a financial package was negotiated.

"In addition, I was furnished with a verifiable and complimentary reference, which sadly I have not kept.

Thereafter I continued to work as a supply teacher for Local Authority councils until my retirement."

"The reason my contract at Loretto was terminated was posited as professional misconduct but, beyond insinuations, there was nothing of an unprofessional nature in the headmaster's efforts to discredit me. One statement for example thought I was 'slimy' whilst another reckoned I had searched their waste paper basket."

My Lady, I now propose to resume reading the statement at paragraph 56:

"I would not recognise Martin and I think our time

1	at Loretto overlapped with the end of my tenure at the
2	school. I don't know what age he was but he wasn't old.
3	He was a teacher. To me he was just another member of
4	staff and our roles didn't overlap.
5	"Maybe teacher training or staff induction
6	provisions should be given more emphasis to child
7	protection. Nowadays social media platforms are forums
8	for sharing of both true and, regrettably, disingenuous
9	information. I think schools should include programmes
10	of learning which provide unequivocal guidance regarding
11	the responsibilities of and behaviour towards all
12	parties, whether teachers, pupils or support staff.
13	"There could be more thought given to the role of
14	parents. Peer-to-peer bullying probably constitutes the
15	bigger threat to children's welfare at present, though
16	it must be remembered that boarding schools are now very
17	often rather more day schools with a boarding element.
18	"I have no objection to my witness statement being
19	published as part of the evidence to this Inquiry.
20	I believe the facts stated in this witness statement are
21	true."
22	My Lady, the statement was signed by John Stuart and
23	is dated 3 November 2020.
24	LADY SMITH: Thank you very much. Mr Brown.

MR BROWN: My Lady, that concludes the evidence in its

1	various forms to the Inquiry this week. We recommence
2	on Tuesday with the evidence of a former clerk to the
3	school board, which I think will take us back to the
4	first witness's experience and others, and thereafter
5	the headmaster we have heard much about over the last
6	few days, Mr Drummond.
7	LADY SMITH: Thank you very much indeed.
8	We will finish there for, not just today, but this
9	week. Thank you all for your attendance and attention
10	over the last four days and I look forward to those of
11	you who are intending to come to the evidence next week
12	doing so. But, meanwhile, have a good weekend.
13	Thank you.
14	(2.56 am)
15	(The Inquiry adjourned until 10.00 am on Tuesday,
16	11 May 2021)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX
2	
3	MS DOROTHY BARBOUR (sworn)1
4	
5	Questions from MR BROWN2
6	
7	Witness Statement of "ARTHUR" (read)47
8	
9	"MARTIN" (affirmed)
10	
11	Questions from MR BROWN74
12	
13	Witness Statement of Charles119
14	Halliday (read)
15	Witness Statement of JOHN STUART137
16	(read)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	