1	Tuesday, 30 November 2021
2	(10.00 am)
3	LADY SMITH: Good morning, and welcome. As you'll no doubt
4	all remember, today we return to evidence in relation to
5	the provision of residential care by Fettes College, and
6	I think Mr Brown is ready to update us as to what's
7	happening this week.
8	Mr Brown?
9	MR BROWN: My Lady, good morning. As Your Ladyship will
10	recall, my last words on Friday were we will have one
11	live witness and three read-ins. Within half an hour,
12	naturally, that had changed. The one live witness who
13	was due to appear today now cannot appear for a variety
14	of reasons, including the bad weather, because he lives
15	remotely.
16	The decision has been taken simply to read him in,
17	because all the witnesses today speak in relation to one
18	chapter, which is 'Edgar'.
19	LADY SMITH: Yes.
20	MR BROWN: And it would be, I think, neater to finish that
21	off today and to move on to pastures new.
22	If it becomes possible for the witness to come and
23	give live evidence, that will be actively considered and
24	I will update Your Ladyship as I can, because there is
25	scope to slot in, as necessary.

1	LADY SMITH: Thank you.
2	MR BROWN: But the impact of that will mean that today will
3	be a shorter day, which I imagine may please some,
4	because obviously the dynamics of a read-in as opposed
5	to a live witness are a great deal shorter.
6	LADY SMITH: Of course. Very well.
7	MR BROWN: I would now start to read the first read-in, my
8	learned junior Ms Bennie will read the next two and ther
9	I will read the last, but I think it should take most of
10	the morning.
11	LADY SMITH: Thank you very much.
12	'Rory' (read)
13	MR BROWN: The first read-in is from 'Rory', whose witness
14	statement has the reference number WIT-1-000000523.
15	'Rory' is 55 and starting from paragraph 2:
16	"I was always going to provide a statement about the
17	abuse I sustained at Fettes College after my mum and dad
18	had passed away. I know that they went through a lot to
19	send me to Fettes College, they sacrificed lots to pay
20	the fees, and I didn't want them feeling guilty because
21	they had done something wrong, because they didn't.
22	One of my mates sent me an article that was in
23	a newspaper, the Daily Record, I believe, which was
24	about the abuse at Fettes College. I thought if

somebody was going to have to go through this, then they

shouldn't stand alone, when I knew what had happened.

2 It's for that reason I'm providing my statement now, and

3 both my parents are, thankfully, alive.

My dad's job was very demanding and I didn't see very much of him as his job moved him about a lot as he got promoted. He wanted us to have a stable place to go to school if he had to keep moving, so my brother and I went to Fettes."

Moving on to paragraph 7:

"I liked rugby. I liked a lot of sport, and in those days academia was irrelevant. It really wasn't an issue, if you messed around in classes or didn't get results, if you could do sports.

I remember life was all right before I started at Fettes. I don't remember the decision being made, and it wouldn't have been a decision I was involved in anyway. The fact it was a boarding school, that was probably the biggest thing in its favour. My dad is one of those that makes decisions for you, there isn't really a consultation process. I don't recall exactly how I was told, I would have just been told I was going to Fettes College next week, or something like that.

I can't remember if there was any visit to Fettes before starting. I wouldn't have thought so.

Fettes didn't ask for an entrance exam. The view

was that if you went to Fettes you'd do all right because you're not really paying for an education.

I know that's what it says on the tin, but what you're really paying for is business contacts. Should you show an ability to do something, the Fettes community has a lot of people in it that will support you in all sorts of ways.

Even now, there's a group of lads I was at Fettes with that go on holiday every year and everyone has different contacts and if anyone needs help, it's there. You almost pay for ambition and that network. When you go to Fettes, it's misunderstood that it's a posh school, because it's not a posh school, it's about cash.

People who are in the school are people who have got cash at that moment in time. The amusement arcade owners' sons all went to Fettes, as did Armed Forces children and Nigerians and Chinese. There was a mixture of people who had nothing in common at all except the fact that their families had enough money to send them there."

Moving on to the description of Fettes College at paragraph 15:

"I remember Fettes Junior School had one main building, with two floors, which was connected to the main house, that was Malcolm House, the headmaster's house. The two floors were straight and parallel with wooden floors. There were boot rooms for kit and stuff like that at one end on both floors and a stone staircase.

As you walked into the building, to the left was where you went for morning registration and where all the masters sat on chairs on a little platform. We all sat on the floor in front of them. To the right was stairs that went up to the headmaster's study, a kitchen and classrooms. There were classrooms downstairs as well and another little kitchen place."

Moving on to paragraph 21:

"When I first started at Fettes, I was a junior day boy and then I moved to weekly boarding. I don't know when that was. I then stayed in the boarding house, one of the senior boys' houses at the senior school. The junior boarders would all stay with housemasters and their families, that was more or less how it worked.

There were dormitories in the houses for the senior boys. Malcolm House, for example, where I was as a senior, had a room set up with three sets of bunk beds. So, six senior boys were living in there.

You wore a tie which depicted which house you were in and you played against the other houses at football, rugby, tug of war, everything. Your house really became

your home and you looked after the boys who were in your house.

My was who was a fabulous individual. He was a really nice man, even though he once gave me the slipper. He was a helpful, positive, supportive man.

The SNR was an evil, nasty, horrible individual. He went on to become SNR at You couldn't engage with him. He talked at you and threatened you with almost everything he said. I remember at he said that anyone who used the word spastic would be suspended from the school from that point forward. It's not a term that's used now, but in 1979 it was still being used, but it was the way he said it and in such a threatening manner. He wasn't an abuser in any way, he was just a very unpleasant individual.

I would say the majority of the boys in the junior school were day boys and the flip of that is true of the senior school. Once you are in senior school, you have to be a day pupil or a full boarder. There were only about five day pupils in my time, the rest of the school was boarders.

Day pupils couldn't really integrate because they missed 50 per cent of what went on so it wasn't a good

thing to do.

When you became a senior and joined the senior school, you were called a new man, that's what you became. There was a list of things had you to have and one was 42 hankies. You also went from wearing shorts to wearing a suit every day. At the age of 12 or 13, that's a big difference, but the whole point was that you had become a new man, you were a man now.

You also became a fag then, which they don't do any more. That's actually a shame because that's what your mentoring was about. Whilst you are effectively a slave, it didn't really work like that. You became a fag to someone, a person in their last year, and they might get you to make their bed or clean their shoes, that sort of stuff, but they then looked after you as well, if you were ever in any trouble.

I was a fag for three heads of senior school on the trot in my three years in junior school, and I was head fag, so I was in a good place. They would cover for me in ways, or if I'd been caught smoking by another prefect, my head of school would go and have a word with them and tell them they wouldn't be taking it any further. Things along those lines.

Fettes school was run by the pupils, not by the teachers. The teachers were there to educate and

referee. You had a hierarchy with school prefects at the top across the whole school, house prefects beneath them, who were in charge of the people in their house, and beneath them it was just sixth form. I don't remember any kind of hierarchy in the junior school but there might have been."

Moving on to the routine at Fettes College:

"Fettes wasn't the sort of place you would get any kind of welcome or anything like that. I don't remember being shown around by staff or by older boys, there was nothing like that.

I think we were given a map and our timetable of our lessons and then we just had to work it out. I think we were teamed up with someone, but I can't remember."

Moving on to paragraph 40:

"In your first year of senior school you were put in a little dormitory with about six or seven beds. There was also a prefect staying in that dormitory with you. He would come round and give you a sort of friendly punch at bedtime, nothing bad, he was sort of looking after you, there was a bond.

After your first year, you went to the big upper and the little upper, where all the senior dormitories were. There was 15 beds down one side of a dorm and 15 down the other, with a couple at the end. They had pieces of

wood, which only came up to about waist height, between the beds. The windows were stuck open all year round. You would get snow on the floor at times. It was needed for ventilation with all the boys in there.

It was very noisy and there wasn't any privacy and of course the usual things would happen, like nicking your bed and dismantling it, so when you came to bed at night there was nothing there.

There were boys struggling with homesickness and that type of thing and I think it was dealt with better at senior school because it was dealt with by the boys as a unit. On one hand you didn't want to show a weakness, because it would be exploited, but at the same time, if it was a real weakness, other boys would help them. Initially boys would probably take the piss but once it was realised it was a proper problem, then the boys in your own year would be supportive.

I don't remember there being anyone you could go to in junior school if you were struggling with homesickness. There might have been, but because it was a day school, they might have expected boys to go home and speak to their mum."

Moving on to paragraph 57 and leisure time:

"We played rugby, cricket, hockey and swimming. We didn't play football at the school, but I did play it

with my mates most nights and in the senior school.

There was also a nine hole golf course within the grounds as well.

The only outlet of freedom I had other than my mates was sport, which I loved. The school were happy for boys to continue with everything because you did sport. It was more important to be in the First XV at rugby than get an A in English. I suppose there was a huge outlet through the sport to get rid of your aggression.

When we were about 15 we used to escape on a Saturday night, which was really dangerous because you had to jump the wall, which was quite high. We would get on a bus and head up town. When we were younger we would get chips, which was often a sanctioned trip, but as we got older, we would go for a pint in places where bar staff were a bit blind. There was no identity checks in those days anyway.

An easier way to get out was to put on your running gear, your tracksuit trousers and a rugby shirt and look as if you were out for a run. Then you could run straight out of the front gate and the teachers would think you were going out for a run.

We did used to get quite drunk and then to have to climb up a rope to get to the fire escape, which then got us back into the building. That was dangerous, and

when I was at Fettes, one of the lads died on the way in once. He had been trying to get into School House, which was much harder to get into, and a window came down on his neck.

I remember the staff read out the wrong name of the person who had died, because he had been wearing somebody else's jacket and it had a different name on it. I think the response was to nail the window shut.

Drugs were massive at Fettes. You could go for a fag at break time and boys would be smoking joints and taking speed. Many of the boys were streetwise, they weren't posh, so they knew where everything came from.

To be honest, everyone knew where to get drugs in Edinburgh in the late 1970s and early 1980s. I hated drugs because I wasn't able to control how my body felt.

I think FTG once caught a number of boys with drugs and there were some suspensions for some of the boys. The people that take drugs in that environment are the ones that are going to take drugs, they weren't coerced into taking them. Cash wasn't an issue either for most people, so they could get what they wanted."

Moving on to paragraph 76 and schooling:

"We didn't really get a choice when it came to the subjects we had to do in senior school, not like today. I did all right in the subjects where I liked the

teachers, so English, history and French, I really liked those.

The women teachers were generally much better, and that was because they were younger and much more in touch. Some of the male teachers were just so old, some were in their 70s, and just marking time.

The headmaster of the senior school was

Cameron Cochrane, who was absolutely hopeless. He

didn't know who any of the boys were, or their parents,

he had no idea. As far as I could see, all he did was

travel the world doing presentations to business people

about the school and what a great place it would be to

educate their children.

I also remember Chenevix-Trench, who was the headmaster of the senior school before Cameron Cochrane. he wasn't right in the head, he was old and slightly blind and he had been in a Japanese prisoner of war camp where he was tortured. However, he was viewed as a friendly person by the boys. He used to come to the swimming baths all the time and throw 50 pence pieces in for the boys to swim down and get."

Moving on to paragraph 83:

"The schooling was all right, other than the abuse from 'Edgar'. I don't remember anything else that was particularly bad. Some of the teachers would throw

1 wooden blackboard dusters at you, but that was just par for the course. That could hurt.

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There was a teacher who would get you to come out in front of the class and slap you on the tips of your fingers with a ruler. I can't remember who that was. It would be sore for a bit, but it wasn't anything bad.

I remember getting a report card that said I was a bit of a bully boy and I remember sitting down and talking about it with my dad. That was not fair and could only have been about me beating up a boy that had beaten up another boy, who was smaller than them.

We did get an end of term thing, which each teacher wrote a page on. I think the pages were all put together and sent to the parents. It was just a summary, I don't think there was anything covering discipline. The school just wrote me off as a bad egg. They only concentrated on people that were going to make their school look better.

The teaching was appalling and although I did do O-levels, I never finished my last year at school. Our year was the worst, academically, in the history of the school. There was actually a big shake up after that because the teaching was so bad and they got rid of a lot of teachers.

There was no educational support available. I think

the school just thought we were messing about and not working hard enough.

Some teachers were really good, but some were well past their sell-by date. There were even some that were pissed, actually drunk when teaching.

CBU taught and although he was a really good teacher, he was always reeking of alcohol. That was fairly normal for a public school of that period and it didn't actually make them a bad teacher.

Everyone knew who were good teachers and who weren't. You would know if you had any chance of passing something depending on which teacher you got.

Likewise, you knew which teachers were massive disciplinarians and which were extremely lax."

Moving on to paragraph 94 and healthcare:

"Mental health and welfare were not things that existed when I was at Fettes. You could always have gone to see the minister, but it wasn't something you did."

"Work", paragraph 97:

"I can't remember doing any work in junior school but we did in senior school. I was actually head fag after my first year so I was giving the work out. It was my responsibility, so if something wasn't clean or right, it would be me that got the grief.

I proportioned the cleaning tasks, like the toilets and the boot rooms. Gardening was a punishment that prefects could hand out and that was hell. I actually hate gardening now and I think that's because it was used as a punishment at school. We would pick up all the leaves from the main path, which could take eight hours, so all day on a Sunday. If cleaning jobs weren't done right you would just have to go back and redo them.

I can't remember actual cleaning staff but there must have been, for when we weren't there, and you couldn't have left it to just the boys as we weren't that good at it. As head fag I would supervise the cleaning jobs and then a prefect would oversee it and come and look at what we had done.

We also did CCF, Combined Cadet Forces, on a Wednesday afternoon, which was an army type of thing, and after two years of that you choose to do two years of community service. I really enjoyed that and it teaches you quite a lot, going to army camps and all that kind of stuff.

The community service work could be digging people's gardens in council estates or helping old ladies by doing washing up for them. We also did work at St Columba's Hospice, things like more gardening and just helping out in the hospice.

I did community service with a Franciscan Friary in Pilton giving heroin addicts food.

Personal possessions.

I had nothing personal. If you go to a place like that you own nothing and you learn very quickly that possessions are a weakness. If you have something that is precious to you, it will be exploited and used against you. Even your own clothes, you never wore your own clothes or rugby shirts, you just picked up one that was there.

I remember a boy in my room who used to fill one of my socks with shaving foam and leave it in my drawer. He did it every day and after about seven or eight days that kind of thing drives you mental. I didn't know it was him at the time and it went on and on and on. He thought it was funny but it really messed with me. So you really didn't want to own anything.

We had a tuck shop under the dining hall, which was open quite a lot of the day. It was effectively just a massive sweet shop full of everything that you shouldn't touch.

They also had a thing called the tuck box room where there were wooden boxes with padlocks on them. Inside the boxes were your sweets and that was generally for the lower years. They would have keys for their boxes

and they could go in there and get their sweets out.

I once went in there with a pal and he took a hammer to the top of one of the boxes and that was him in and away with the sweets from that box. So you did learn that having things like that was a waste of time and nobody kept anything that was precious to them.

Even now I haven't got anything I couldn't leave.

I could walk away from my house tomorrow and it wouldn't matter what was left behind. I have no attachments.

That definitely stems from my time at Fettes.

I didn't get any pocket money, but a lot of the boys did. It came from your parents but you could easily make money. You could sell fags and pornographic magazines, and make quite a lot of money."

"International students", paragraph 112:

"There were a large number of boys of different nationalities at Fettes. There were boys from South Africa, Australia, America, Nigeria, China and Hong Kong. Some of them couldn't even speak English properly. It would have been much harder for them and they would have had the mickey taken out of them for their nationality.

The biggest contingent were probably English and from Yorkshire, which is not only a big county but has a lot of wealthy people from the textile industry. They

1 would put their kids up to Scotland.

I remember the Chinese looked after themselves and nobody got into a fight with them. There weren't that many Chinese in Scotland around that time. We certainly had many nationalities and it must have been more difficult for some people."

"Family contact", paragraph 116:

"In junior school I would see my parents in the evening when I was a day boy, but I saw very little of them once I was boarding, I would just see them at weekends.

Contact with home was hopeless in senior school.

When I came out of Fettes, I just did not know my

parents. I do now, but I didn't know them at all then.

I didn't know them as people and that is a very sad

thing about boarding schools.

I don't think I ever wrote home. There was a call box in each house but I never really called home and my dad never called me. It wasn't really something that was done. My mum knew that if I phoned her, I wasn't having a good time. She would always ask me what was wrong, so I didn't phone her.

It's partially my fault, I guess, because once I was in the system, that was me, seeing friends on holidays and whatever. I then moved on to a job. I didn't

experience any time with my dad and all the things he did, I hated.

You have to make an enormous effort as a parent and you can only do that if you've got time. My kids all went to day school and I know my kids, whereas my mum and dad didn't know me from Adam."

"Discipline", paragraph 123:

"Prefects were responsible for some of the discipline. I believe the prefects even had a right to cane as well, although I never saw any of them do it.

One of the things they did do was a physical punishment, which you would get at 7 am. It was held by prefects and if you'd done something wrong, which you usually had, you had to do these exercises outside in your rugby kit until you were physically sick.

That was only in senior school, and it was all right actually, as it would get you fit. We did things like running up hills carrying stones and standing against the wall crouching up and down holding stones, always until you couldn't do any more. You would get that kind of punishment for mocking prefects, that type of thing.

I wouldn't have said it was unfair, you knew what you were getting into, so if you took the risk, you knew what could happen. It was all right.

I think most of the prefects handled their

disciplinary responsibilities fairly, but if they overstepped the mark, they would be dealt with. I can give you an example. I was in the prefects' pantry with another boy on one occasion, which we were not allowed to be in. My friend was heating a spoon on the gas ring when this prefect came in and started having a go at us. My pal threw the spoon at the prefect and he caught this white hot spoon with both hands, sticking his hands together. He had to go to hospital for that, but he didn't ever overstep the mark again after that.

There was a respect, though, and if you were caught for something, you would be quite happy to be penalised for doing so. However, if boys were going to bully you and do more than they should, then there would be some form of natural justice. It could be a brutal system, but it was relatively fair.

Houses did look after houses, though, and in senior school you fought the system together as a unit. We did everything together. At weekends we were together and we played football and rugby together. We would do things we're not meant to, like climb over the wall and go into town to meet girls. We did everything together and we looked after each other.

We were right next to Broughton High School and it was a very tough school. We were the exact opposite to

them in their minds, so we did have fights quite frequently, especially on the chippy run at night, and they could get quite nasty.

I only got the slipper once, from in junior school, as I said. He used a Dunlop slipper thing which was bloody bad. He used a cane in senior school but I was never ever caned by him.

I was named by a boy as having been involved in kicking him when he was on the ground in a fight with another boy. I didn't kick him, but at Fettes you didn't have a voice, so I got clobbered for that.

It was in FTG study and he gave me six whacks on the bottom with his Dunlop slipper. My shorts were up but it made one hell of an imprint. I didn't cry, I went back to class, and when I sat down that was it, it was bloody painful. What made it worse was that it was 'Edgar's' class that I went back to at the time.

If you did something that was a bit more serious, you could be given a gating card. You then carried it about with you and it had to be signed by a school prefect every 15 minutes. That was throughout the whole day. It was mainly a housemaster that would give you a gating card but I'm sure prefects could issue them as well.

I was only caned once in senior school, by my first

housemaster, who didn't like me.

I can't even remember what I got caned for, but he drew blood on my arse on the second stroke. I got two or three strikes and it was a nightmare. Again, that wasn't something that wasn't outlawed in the school, it was part of the agreement was made when your parents sent you to the school.

Caning happened, I wasn't the only one, and
I imagine it was fairly regular. Sometimes there was
a queue of boys waiting to get the cane. There were
a few housemasters who had a reputation as severe
disciplinarians.

I didn't feel robbed in that scenario because I knew that if you misbehaved and got caught, that was potentially going to happen to you. I wouldn't say it was wrong at the time, you do your crime and you pay your price. It was usually for something you never expected to get done for and then you would get away with bad things that were high risk. Things like going up town after lights out and trying to gain re-entry after experiencing the town, sometimes by climbing ropes.

Bullying.

The bullying was rife at Fettes, but really, it was nothing worse than you might get in a rugby club.

Usually the bullies would get sorted, a bit, anyway. If you were an academic and poor at sport, you were going to have a tough few years.

There were physical punishments from prefects every day at Fettes. Not just the exercise punishments. The prefects were 17 and the third and fourth formers were 13, 14, 15, so if there was a problem, a lot of the time the punishment would be physical.

It is just how it was, though. I don't think it was particularly bad. In today's window it would be seen as abusive, but it was all right then. I felt it was proportionate and I think most would agree it was fair.

If somebody bullied somebody nastily, which did happen, then retribution would happen to that person. So, if a fifth former beat up a third former, which happened, the retribution would come from the prefects on the fifth former.

The retribution would come in the form of a beating of some kind and that really was a daily occurrence.

People could get hurt during that, but, as I've said, it was no worse than anything you might get on the rugby pitch.

There were some nasty things went on. I remember one of the boys getting punched and his kidney burst and he ended up in hospital. There was proper fighting and

if you weren't strong physically it was much more difficult to have a pleasant time because you became a target for bullies.

At the same time there were enough boys around to recognise and understand, if that was happening, for them to fight the bullies. It wasn't run by the teachers, as I have said. I would say that the majority of the time the boys policed the place better than the teachers did. They actually knew what was going on, and if someone was being unfairly harmed, the person responsible wouldn't carry on getting away with it because of that.

Abuse at Fettes College.

Teachers would lose their temper and do things like throwing the dusters and smacking you with a ruler, which may sound bad but it wasn't unusual for the period. It just wasn't the same in 1977 as it is today.

'Edgar' was the worst, and he was an odd individual because he had a rage in him. His head would go very red and he would shake and shout and pull your hair, throw board dusters at you or bang your head on the table. He did that to me and to other boys in the class. It was like he flipped into a different person.

He used to always have his hands in his pockets when he was watching the kids at break time. He used to take

me into the boot room and talk dirty to me. I don't remember the first time but that happened frequently, during most maths lessons and during breaks. He would also encourage me to talk dirty back to him. The chat was in the boot room and sometimes in the playground, but I never saw him take anyone else into the boot room. That was fairly regular for me, right through my time in junior school.

At the age of 10 or 11, when a person in authority does that, it was unusual, it was exciting, because you didn't normally get that from a teacher. I didn't know then what I know now, of course, but you didn't think it was wrong, you thought it was good.

He would talk about body parts and ejaculation and be all smutty and dirty. He would get particularly excited about ejaculation, but I don't remember him actually touching me in the boot room, I only ever remember him doing that in the classroom, where there were lots of witnesses.

I know boys knew what he was doing. I know I wasn't the only one, as he did it to tons of boys. He would call you up to his desk and as you were getting your book signed he would put his hand up inside your shorts, inside your pants and play with your willie and stuff.

You would maybe be at his desk for about two minutes

or so, and then he would tell you to go back and sit down. I saw him do that all the time, with lots and lots of boys.

I don't know whether you were aware it was wrong, because at the time you didn't think it was a bad thing. It didn't feel wrong, but when you knew it was wrong, it was too late. Nobody ever discussed it but you could see him doing it to other boys.

I can't remember when it first started, but it went on for a long time. It definitely started when I was a day pupil and it went on right through my time at junior school, every time there was a maths lesson.

I think 'Edgar' left before I did and I think the staff knew. There was a boy who was a bit older than me started at Fettes, he was a real nutter, and 'Edgar' tried it on with him in class. I was there and the boy told him to fuck off. I think the boy disappeared fairly soon afterwards and then 'Edgar' left.

Most of my mates now all know about the abuse by 'Edgar' and some were probably in his class when it happened. My mates knew I was going to do something about it as well.

I'm not aware of anything else happening to me at Fettes that I would describe as abuse but it wouldn't surprise me if the Inquiry had a few of the girls that

went to Fettes come out of the woodwork about how they were treated early doors.

Some of the masters weren't used to girls and although I wasn't present and didn't witness anything,

I have heard a few things which weren't very pleasant or fair for the girls, who wouldn't have been used to the level of brutality that was the norm there.

I'm not saying who, or anything else, but I did hear that a girl who had done something bad was once told to do a handstand in front of her class. The girls wore kilts and that was unfair and humiliating.

Reporting of abuse at Fettes College.

I did ask about the ruling on the caning I got from my housemaster. I don't think it was an appropriate punishment. I was told at the time that my parents had signed up and agreed for me to go to the school and that part of the school rules were that teachers could cane you. As a result, that was it and I think the cane was outlawed, by law, fairly soon after that anyway.

I didn't tell my parents about any punishments

I received because that would mean having to admit that

I'd been done for something, and then having to explain

what it was I'd been done for, which I hadn't actually

done, and I doubt they would have believed me.

I didn't tell anyone about the abuse from 'Edgar'.

1 There was no point, as no one would have believed me. I say that now, but I don't know what I felt at the time. I feel that at the time I didn't feel it was 3 wrong. I didn't have any sexual experiences to bank on 5 what it was like, as it was one of my first. Now, and shortly after, I knew it was wrong and I was robbed.

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The only person I could have gone to, to tell, would have been my dad, and I certainly wouldn't have done that.

A minister who came in was Norman Drummond, who was an ex-paratrooper and the first rugby team coach. He was hard as nails but had a soft side to him as well. He was a lovely man and would have been a person you could have gone to, but you had to know that about him.

There was one occasion when I was in my first year of senior school, I was about 13 or 14, when I completely broke down and I was taken in to see the housemistress. I was inconsolable and she was very kind and looked afterwards me for two or three hours. I couldn't say anything to anyone and I didn't say anything to her, but I do think she told my parents there was something wrong but that I wouldn't talk about it.

I was being asked if I'd made somebody pregnant and all sorts of stuff, but I didn't say anything. I was

trying to be careful not to tell anybody because it would show a weakness. I didn't say anything to my parents.

Leaving Fettes College.

I wouldn't say I was provided with anything from Fettes College on the education front. I think they were completely judgemental and incorrect about the way they prepared boys for the world.

I think being co-ed it did prepare you for women quite well because up until that point we didn't have a knowledge of women, and then they were friends and classmates. Being in an all-boys' school throughout would have been a nightmare coming out of the school.

My dad decided not to waste the money on another year at Fettes and I was sent to college. That was the end of my academic career."

Moving on to paragraph 177:

"Fettes just cut you off completely. I went back with a mate of mine on Founders' Day about two or three years after we left. My housemaster came up to us and said hello to my pal and asked him how things were going. He then looked at me and just walked off.

I did go back with another friend last year for the first time ever since that. We were looking at their boarding houses, which you can do one day a year, and

they have all completely changed. They have nice little bedrooms with three beds and they are very nice.

Another event I was at was a drinks do at The Dome several years ago now. We met a guy called Mr Spens, who was the headmaster at that time, and as soon as we started talking about the abuse that happened, he walked away. It was just general chat, but as soon as the word abuse came up, he walked away.

Impact.

I would say that all of us that have come out of

Fettes are pretty dysfunctional in our own ways, but is

that a result of the Fettes machine or of the families

behind us that are creating the revenue flow to have

something like that exist?

I'm not a man who looks back. You are who you are because of what happened in the past but you put things in boxes or whatever and close the boxes. It never goes away though, it is something that defines the person you are now.

There are bits of me that make me angry because I hate injustice more so than the normal person and that's why, in a funny way, I remember the bullying incident in the senior school as well.

At the same time, I doubt I would have said the abuse I suffered at junior school impacted my education.

I would probably have said it's just who I am or whatever. When you get older and wiser and realise that you should have achieved and what should have happened even in the 70s, I realise I got a raw deal and that makes me angry.

My anger was put in a box ready for when my parents had died to be able to have my retribution, but that hand has now been forced a little bit, with me speaking to the Inquiry. My thing was not wanting my mum and dad to find out because I know the financial hardship that they went through to get me to Fettes and I wasn't what you would call a success.

I am one of those people who have a bar, that I want to do better than my dad. Unfortunately he set the bar really high and it's been really hard. I have mates who have done really well and we have talked about that. They say that if their kids have no chance of achieving better than them, then their kids will have a really tough struggle in life to find out what's their purpose. What will they do because they are always going to be worse than their dad and feel a failure as a result.

It is really important that they can treat their kids to show that it's not about failure and that wealth is only one measurement, and there are many other measurements of life that are far more valuable than

wealth. It's great saying that but very hard to understand it."

Moving on to 192:

"There were boys at Fettes who had no idea of the value of money and would swap a Rolex watch for 10 fags. The fags meant more to them at the time than the watch, which meant nothing. They generally weren't very nice people, so it was fine to exploit them.

I haven't actually had very good health in my life.

I don't really like women getting too close to me
either. I've been married for 26 years and my wife is
a fabulous women in so many ways, but she isn't
an affectionate woman. That's actually helpful to me,
well, I say that, because I feel I would like to have
an affectionate woman but I don't think I'd be very good
with one, I don't think I'd manage. Throughout my life
though, that's something I've craved but I haven't had
but it's because I have chosen not to have it.

I do find myself thinking about my experiences at Fettes. I relate them to my everyday life and I have a very vivid memory. It's not going to go away. What have I done about it, I've thrown myself into my work, I've tried to be with my family and I've tried not to be the things that I know are wrong."

Treatment and support, paragraph 198:

"I haven't had any kind of treatment or support for anything related to my abuse.

This is the first time I have reported anything, apart from talking about it with my mates. I haven't reported anything to the school. I wouldn't know who to speak to.

My mates understand, and although it didn't happen to them, they were there and they know the environment. I did break down once, on a trip, but they knew, so they were all right.

I would like the police to know about the abuse
I suffered, and if they wanted to pursue the person,
then that's up to them. I would progress things if they
contacted me. I know the person that did it, I know
what he did and how he did it. I have a photographic
memory and one thing that isn't helpful is that I can
picture everything like it was yesterday.

Lessons to be learned.

I obviously would have changed the junior school bit, but I don't think I would have changed the senior school bit. I enjoyed that, even though it could be a very unpleasant place to be a lot of the time.

It creates lows that you will never reach again and strips you of ego to a certain extent as a man. Ego is an awful thing for blokes and you couldn't have one in Fettes, it would have been beaten out of you.

It also stripped you of material things that are not necessary and created lifelong friends who knew what you knew, if you can understand what I mean by that. I have a group of friends and we still share all our ups and downs because they are my day-to-day family.

In relation to the junior school, I would say that when you are of that age in that period, you were not treated as a little human and I think that is something I would change. They actually have a lot more nuance and understanding.

I don't think that's helped me because I'm bad at understanding that about other people now. You sort of end up teaching how you were taught, in terms of a lack of respect sometimes. Although there was some respect in the senior school, between the boys and with some of the masters.

I'm not very balanced because of the section of society I was brought up in and I wanted my kids to understand people from all sections of society. I hope it never happens again and I hope that if he is still alive 'Edgar' gets his comeuppance. If he isn't, then his family should know who he was.

I have no objection to my witness statement being published as part of the evidence to the Inquiry.

1	I believe the facts stated in this witness statement are
2	true."
3	And 'Rory' signed it on 17 November last year.
4	LADY SMITH: Thank you very much, Mr Brown.
5	Ms Bennie.
6	Alistair Murray (read)
7	MS BENNIE: Thank you, my Lady. The next statement bears
8	the reference WIT-1-000000526 and, my Lady, this is
9	a statement of Mr Alastair Murray:
10	"My name is Alistair Fergus Horsburgh Murray. My
11	year of birth is 1942."
12	My Lady, in paragraphs 2 and 3 the witness tells us
13	about his professional qualifications and his work
14	history, including that he taught chemistry at
15	Fettes College from 1967 until 1973 and that he returned
16	to Fettes College junior school in 1975 where he
17	remained until 1995.
18	"Employment with Fettes College.
19	As well as teaching at Fettes, in 1967 I was asked
20	to do scouts for a year before joining the army
21	section of the Combined Cadet Force. I taught
22	chemistry, science and geography at the senior school.
23	From 1967 to 1968 I was also the residential tutor at
24	Carrington House. Between 1968 and 1973, I was
25	a visiting tutor as I lived in my own property.

When I returned to Fettes in 1975, I was employed at the junior school. I was involved in teaching science, geography and mathematics. I also supervised prep and lights out once a week. I organised various activities, including recreational camping, taking groups of mainly senior school pupils fishing. I was also involved in supervising rifle shooting on the school range as an after-school activity. For a few years in the 1970s, I organised a cross-country team for various races.

I was also very much involved in the Senior School Cadet Force.

My initial recruitment was carried out in London by

SNR

FNP

He had spoken to the

headmaster of my previous school where I did my teaching

practice. When I was employed in my post at the junior

school, I was interviewed by

FIG

who was SNR

junior school. He already knew me

from my earlier years at Fettes.

Between 1967 or 1973, my line master for Carrington
House was Michael Leslie. I have no adverse things to
say about him, but the house was really organised by the
head boy. For my chemistry teaching the head of
department was Roger Miles. He arranged the syllabus,
which I followed. Between 1975 and 1995, the science
course had no textbooks so I wrote the two-year course.

Eventually, books were published, which were purchased by the school. I did have plenty of opportunity to discuss the syllabus with senior school staff, whom I knew. I suppose appraisal was in the form of results. I had no adverse feedback.

As far as training is concerned, I was largely trusted to teach my subject well. I did spend one day at Malvern College for the science course. When it came to supervision of prep and lights out, the housemistress, FGA gave me guidance and I reported to her when I had completed my tasks.

My only responsibility for the residential care of the children was when it was a duty evening and I supervised prep and lights out. I can't remember any changes to the policies in that regard as things seemed to work well and happily. Teachers carried out the duties and also chatted to each other during the day. Basically, pupils were well-behaved. Punishment for poor homework was to do it again. There was no corporal punishment. CRS was SNR and there was regular communication

and there was regular communication about day-to-day events. I am not aware of any process for dealing with complaints and allegations against staff. I presume that they were attended to privately.

I didn't have any involvement in or responsibility

for strategic planning in relation to the school. I had no involvement in the management of staff employed at the school nor was I involved in the recruitment of staff to the school. I was not involved in the training of staff, but we were expected to keep up to date with our academic subjects.

I had no involvement in the supervision or appraisal of staff. There were no specific evaluations.

Presumably, if there were any deficiencies, they would have been brought to notice.

Apart from the one year when I was a resident tutor at Carrington House from 1967 to 1968, I lived outwith the school. Some of the staff lived in college accommodation, such as the housemasters and tutors. The only people who had access to the children's residential areas were the residential staff and the visiting duty tutor, who allowed those living in to have time off.

Culture within Fettes.

The culture within Fettes from 1967 to 1973 seemed happy. Senior pupils had significant responsibility in the running of the school. Fagging did exist but I was not aware of any abuse of this. I had no involvement in it. The housemasters ran the house and the senior second year sixth played a significant part in how the house was run. Examples of fagging included the

cleaning of rugby boots and bringing cups of cocoa into the sixth year's study at night-time. When I was at the junior school from 1975 until 1995, it seemed like a very happy environment. Fagging did not exist within the junior school.

Discipline and punishment.

Between 1967 and 1973, staff and senior pupils were able to punish children. I'm not sure to what extent pupils could do this. I'm not aware of any formal policy in relation to discipline and punishment.

However, for written punishments, a log was kept in the house. Duty members of staff would also sign the logbook if they were covering for the housemaster.

I don't know how staff and children at the school were made aware of such formal policy. Senior pupils were able to exert discipline and I assume were supervised by the housemaster.

Between 1975 and 1995, only staff were able to discipline and punish children at the junior school.

I'm not aware of any formal policy in relation to discipline and punishment at the junior school.

I wasn't involved in the day-to-day running of the junior school. I therefore cannot comment further on whether any child being abused or ill-treated would have come to light.

I am not aware of the school being the subject of concern because of the way in which the children and young people in the school were treated. If there were any such concerns, these would have been attended to by the housemaster and senior management.

If any pupil at the junior school wished to make a complaint or report a concern, he would complain to any member of staff. I'm not aware of any formal route for complaints. I do not remember there being any complaints that were raised with the teaching staff. If there were complaints, I don't know where they would be recorded.

If a junior pupil had concerns or worries, I assume he could confide in the housemistress or the headmaster. I also hope that pupils would feel comfortable approaching any member of staff.

During my periods of employment at Fettes, the school did not have a definition of abuse that it applied in relation to the treatment of children at the school. Pupils had access to teachers and house staff at all times, but nothing was formally introduced up until I left in 1995.

I'm not aware of any specific guidance being given to staff on how children in their care should be treated, cared for and protected against abuse,

ill-treatment or inappropriate behaviour towards them. No guidance was given to staff on how to handle and respond to reports of abuse or ill-treatment. If anything had arisen, staff would approach the headmaster or housemistress. I'm not aware of there being any arrangements in place to reduce the likelihood of abuse, ill-treatment or inappropriate conduct by staff towards the children at the school. However, the junior school was a very open teaching location when it came to classrooms and the like. I assume that the children protection arrangements did work.

I think there were three visits by inspectors but

I'm unsure of the dates. One was during my initial term

of employment and the other two were during my second

term of employment at the school. I don't know whether

the inspectors spoke to pupils or whether staff were

present when they did so. I do remember one inspector

remarking on wildlife exhibits in the laboratory in

a complimentary fashion. Otherwise, I did not receive

any feedback from the inspectors.

I am not aware of any record-keeping at the junior school. If there were records, they would have been kept confidentiality. Personally, apart from classwork, I did not keep records as anything which arose would go to the headmaster or the housemistress.

Over the years I took nearly a thousand junior pupils camping. I also arranged fishing trips.

I completed logbooks for both the fishing and camping trips of those who attended. After each trip, I would ask a pupil to complete the logbook, recording their fond memories. I gave the logbooks to Andrew Murray, who was the head of history at the senior school.

Andrew Murray was also in charge of the archives at Fettes at one stage.

Specific alleged abusers.

I do recall Anthony Chenevix-Trench. He was the headmaster when I was at Fettes. My employment coincided with his tenure, but I can't remember which years. He was more than 60 years old. I remember him as being very pleasant and cheerful, but I didn't know him personally. Basically, I have no personal knowledge of his relationship with pupils and did not see him discipline children or abuse children.

I recall CBU I don't know when he arrived at

Fettes but he was still there when I retired. I would

guess that he was 30 to 40 years old. He taught

and I think he was a house tutor, along with other

duties. I knew him as an enthusiastic and good member

of staff. He came to the summer Cadet Force camp for

the catering and he did it well. I didn't know him

socially. I did see him with the children, but to no great extent. I didn't see anything adverse in that regard. I didn't see him discipline children, nor did I see him abuse children. I didn't hear about him abusing children.

DXM .

Was not at Fettes during my employment.

He was there in the two years that I was away from

Fettes and I believe he left soon after arriving.

I do recall 'Edgar'. I coincided with him during my years teaching at the junior school, but I'm not sure which years. He was about 40 years old. 'Edgar' was a teacher and also had various other roles, including games, rugby and cricket. He was a colleague of mine. He was a very cheerful and friendly member of staff. I knew him pretty well at school but not socially. I did see him with children and I have no criticism of him in that regard. I did not see him disciplining or abusing children, nor did I hear of him abusing children.

William Steen. I remember William Steen but not well. I would estimate he was between 40 and 50 years old and my employment coincided with him some time in the 1970s and 1980s. He was involved in the management of the swimming pool. I don't remember much about him

as I didn't meet him to any extent. He seemed pleasant
but I didn't know him well. I don't remember seeing him
with the children as I didn't visit his teaching. I did
not see him discipline children or abuse children.
I did hear vague rumours about him, but no details.
I cannot elaborate on that further as I have no

Additional questions about 'Edgar'.

knowledge to elaborate on.

I have been told by the Inquiry that a number of applicants and former pupils allege that 'Edgar' physically and sexually assaulted them. This has come as a complete shock to me. I have also been told by the Inquiry that an applicant and former pupil at Fettes recalls a specific occasion at a junior school assembly when 'Edgar' appeared to lose control of himself, screaming at and then violently manhandling a pupil by the name of 'Raymond'. He has stated that another teacher, Mr Mineyko, and myself had to intervene and wrestle 'Edgar' away from the pupil. I am surprised about this and I cannot remember the occasion at the assembly. I can only surmise that Andrew Mineyko was principally involved in this. That does not imply that the information provided to the Inquiry is incorrect.

Helping the Inquiry.

When it comes to lessons that can be learned to

1	protect children in boarding schools, now and in the
2	future, I would presume that much more formality has
3	been added to the child protection and to the care of
4	pupils since 1995.
5	I have no objection to my witness statement being
6	published as part of the evidence to the Inquiry.
7	I believe the facts stated in this witness statement are
8	true."
9	My Lady, the statement is signed and it's dated
10	16 November 2020.
11	LADY SMITH: Thank you.
12	Andrew Mineyko (read)
13	MS BENNIE: My Lady, the next statement bears the reference
14	WIT-1-000000613. This is a statement of
15	Mr Andrew Mineyko:
16	"My year of birth is 1950.
17	I graduated from Aberdeen University in Spanish
18	Studies in 1973. I then continued my education to study
19	a Postgraduate Certificate in Education and graduated in
20	1974. I was employed as a teacher of French by Fettes
21	Junior School from September 1975 to July 1980.
22	I occasionally also taught Latin and English. I also
23	did some coaching in sports, including rugby union,
24	hockey, cricket and athletics, and that was part of my
25	job.

In those days it was a day school so I had no boarding house duties, nor junior school house tutor responsibilities.

In 1976 I became a Lieutenant in the CCF of Fettes
College. This involved supervising pipe band practices
and instructing in small arms use, and also in assisting
at CCF camps.

I had one interview with the SNR the junior school, FTG and a very short meeting with him and the college's headmaster, Anthony Chenevix-Trench, whose reputation from his time at Eton always bothered me.

Knowing that he was trigger happy with the cane made me uncomfortable with his use of the cane. I knew of his reputation from reports in newspapers that I had read one or two years before I worked at Fettes. Also, I met one or two people later in life who were pupils at Eton at the same time as he was the head. That reinforced my view of him. I took the post only because it did not involve direct contact nor day-to-day rulings from him.

My only reference was from my former headteacher, which was a very kind one, being also my report on my probationary year as a teacher.

As far as I remember, Fettes College in toto required GTCS registration by the mid 1970s.

Fettes Junior School was small, to the extent that

FTG and for the year 1979 to 1980, SNR

the junior school, Mr CRS

There was no training at Fettes Junior School.

Other teachers were much more experienced than me and

I just fitted in. Where the CCF was concerned, I went
through the normal training for a Cadet Force Officer.

Culture within Fettes College.

My main impressions are that several houses were very lax in any sort of adherence to school rules and distinctly subversive towards some. This involved no abuse of pupils. I steered clear of senior school involvement, apart from CCF, as there were politics going on between the houses and the staff. I also taught in a separate building.

For example, I had to arrange rifle practice on an outdoor range and before the bus came to collect us, I met two of the boys I was taking with me walking out of the school gates. I asked them where they were going and they told me "up town". They told me that they had permission and this was despite their housemaster knowing they were going on a Cadet Force outing. It was actually live rifle firing practice and very difficult to get a booking. The housemaster had simply told them that that was not important. I don't recall which house

it was or the name of the housemaster. This answer applies to the college, obviously, as the junior school did not have houses. I heard from being in the staff common room of various attitudes of staff members to what went on within the school. I don't recall hearing anything that caused me great concern.

I do not know if fagging existed, but I do not think so.

Discipline and punishment.

Being a day school, the junior school, with pupils coming in from all over Edinburgh and even from Fife, and with physical discipline not allowed, I suppose that we had little means of punishment in the junior school. There were one or two junior pupils who boarded with teachers, including some with FTG and his wife.

I think some of these pupils had parents who lived overseas or too far away to be a day pupil. They were treated by the school as day pupils.

In the junior school, I think the policy was "no physical - refer to headmaster". I think I was told this on joining the staff.

The possibility of discipline being the responsibility of senior pupils did not apply to a two and a half year day school for pupils aged 10 who would be leaving at the age of 12 and a half years. There

were no senior pupils in the junior school.

If a child in the school had worries, I suppose they could have talked to the junior school staff or, of course, their parents, whom they saw nightly. Within the junior school, the practice did not change.

I do not know if children in practice raised concerns in this way.

Within the junior school, the school did not have a definition of abuse that it applied in relation to the treatment of children.

I do not remember any instruction to staff about how children in their care should be treated or cared for other than with respect.

I also do not remember any guidance and instruction for staff on how to handle reports of abuse or ill-treatment of children. Particularly given the small size of the junior school, it was up to you, that is total autonomy in relation to these matters.

I was not aware of any child protection arrangements in place at the junior school.

I was not involved in any investigation on behalf of the school into allegations of abuse of children at the school or into inappropriate behaviour by staff towards the children.

Specific alleged abusers.

Anthony Chenevix-Trench was to retire in 1979, so he was aged about 65 to 70. Anthony Chenevix-Trench was the headmaster of the whole school.

He was totally remote. I did not speak to him more than half a dozen times.

I remember Anthony Chenevix-Trench's reputation from his time at Eton. He was a weak headmaster. Some of the housemasters were allowed to be far too lax and did not expect pupils to stick by the school rules. The housemasters did not enforce school rules within the houses. No action was taken from above to prevent this happening. The housemasters ran their houses more or less as they wished and without interference from the headmaster.

Anthony Chenevix-Trench was not my cup of tea, even allowing for his World War II experiences. I did not know Anthony Chenevix-Trench at all well.

I did not see him with children.

Anthony Chenevix-Trench was always fond of the youngest. This does not imply that I had any suspicions concerning his behaviour. I did not see him disciplining or abusing children. I heard of him abusing children when he was at Eton. I never heard this being discussed amongst the staff. He had been at the school for some time before I arrived and they had

become used to him.

2 'Edgar'.

'Edgar' could have been at any age from 35 to 40 when I started, but I may well be out in my guess.

'Edgar' taught and coached sports within the junior school. I knew 'Edgar' as a colleague.

I remember 'Edgar' was good to talk to, once one got beneath the hard shell. He was always firm, even hard, or at least brittle. He lived on a short fuse. He took no nonsense from pupils and the telling off he gave was always quite severe. His tone was severe, but in my experience not abusive. He was good at sports.

'Edgar' was pleasant, but not a kindred spirit.

I did not know him outside of school hours.

I saw him with children at or travelling to school sporting fixtures. With children he was always firm, but he did not usually go too far. He did give one or two of our youngsters rather too much of a telling off for their age, but I should never have recalled the incident below had the Inquiry not raised it. I did not see him disciplining or abusing children. I recall very little of my time at the school generally and cannot recall any other incidents involving 'Edgar'. I do not recall any responses from either Mr Chenevix-Trench or

been FTG SNR the junior school.

I do not remember hearing allegations that 'Edgar' was sexually abusing pupils. A former pupil's allegation of a physical assault that I intervened to stop stirs a faint memory. I'm not sure that Fergus Murray and I had to wrestle 'Edgar' off the victim, but that in general the incident did happen. I cannot believe that the matter was so physical that we had to wrestle 'Edgar' off the victim. I think it's more likely that we caused 'Edgar' to calm down and stop shouting at the pupil. If there had been a physical incident like that, I would have recalled it.

Helping the Inquiry.

The only suggestions I can think of as lessons to be learned would financially ruin all education authorities and all private schools, both day and boarding.

I suppose the psychological testing of teachers might help, and more detailed medical reports, physical ones.

Teachers would require a paid-for course in psychology and training in treating all pupils equally, a teacher training assessment or an apprentice-style training scheme. To run that for all teacher applicants would be expensive to pay for the training before they qualify as teachers. Then the trainee teachers could decide whether they could manage dealing with such issues as

1	teachers, some will drop out, but better the initial
2	expense than future court cases.
3	I have no objection to my witness statement being
4	published as part of the evidence to the Inquiry.
5	I believe the facts in this statement are true."
6	My Lady, this statement is signed and it's dated
7	23 January 2021.
8	LADY SMITH: Thank you very much, Ms Bennie.
9	MR BROWN: My Lady, that might be an appropriate stage to
10	break.
11	LADY SMITH: We could take a break just now because that's
12	been quite a solid run for the stenographers to take on.
13	So we'll have a break and then return to is it just
14	one more read-in we're down then?
15	MR BROWN: Yes, we're down to FTG read-in, and as
16	Your Ladyship is aware, there's been reference to
17	a number of documents through the course of this chapter
18	of the evidence and what I would propose to do, because
19	there's reference in his statement to a lot of
20	correspondence, to read the statement and then
21	sequentially as best I can in date order read
22	correspondence and the board minutes from 1978 to 1979
23	so that there is a continuous record of them.
24	LADY SMITH: That sounds like a good idea. That would be
25	helpful, Mr Brown. Very well.

1	(11.12 am)
2	(A short break)
3	(11.39 am)
4	LADY SMITH: Mr Brown.
5	MR BROWN: My Lady, thank you.
6	FTG (read)
7	Beginning with the statement of FTG , which has
8	the reference number WIT-1-000000427:
9	"My name is FTG . My date of birth is in 1939.
10	I have provided the Inquiry with my CV, which
11	provides a brief resume of my qualifications and
12	employment history.
13	I was employed at Fettes College from 1970 until
14	1983. Between 1970 and 1972, I was employed as
15	teacher and house tutor. I then became head
16	of the department in 1972. Between 1973 and
17	1979, I was SNR of Fettes Junior School.
18	I was head of in the senior school from
19	1974 until 1976. Between 1979 and 1983, I was the
20	housemaster of Glencorse House and taught A-level
21	
22	I left Fettes in 1983 and moved to a school in
23	as headmaster. It became co-educational that
24	year. I became headmaster of a school in in
25	1991. In 1993, I employed a full-time trained school

1 counsellor there. We were one of the first schools to 2 employ such a person. It was of huge benefit to housemasters and mistresses, to me and of course to the 3 pupils. This counsellor reported directly to me and to 5 the boarding staff responsible for the welfare of the pupils. The chaplain also reported to me.

Fettes College.

Recruitment.

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I was interviewed for my role at Fettes in London by SNR , FNP An offer of post then followed along with an invitation to stay at the Lodge, Fettes, A house in Comely Bank was provided as a future residence for us.

Initially my line manager was ECD who was department. FNP the head of the the SNR and he was very approachable.

In 1971, Tony Chenevix-Trench was appointed as headmaster. I was invited to a new junior school to open in September 1973. At that time, I had close liaison with the headmaster, who was my line manager. All potential members of staff were introduced to Mr Chenevix-Trench before their appointment was confirmed.

As a housemaster of Glencorse in 1979, I was answerable to Cameron Cochrane, who became headmaster in September 1979. Cameron Cochrane was also approachable, but we did differ in our vision of the future of Fettes.

In terms of training and support, I received much help from ECD when I became head of the department. I also received considerable support and help from Tony Chenevix-Trench in Fettes Junior School

Cameron Cochrane was always helpful. However, he did not share my vision that Fettes should become fully co-educational. I wanted the school to accept girls at third form level when they were 13 years old.

I believed that co-education was a natural and beneficial preparation for life in a world occupied by both men and women.

The governors asked me to present a paper on the issue. I visited several co-educational schools in the UK and America. The governors then promoted the acceptance of girls at 13 years old and the senior school became fully co-educational under Mr Cochrane.

My wife and I lived in Comely Bank from 1970 until 1972. We then moved to Malcolm House, which adjoined the new junior school, remaining there until 1979. When

I was appointed housemaster of Glencorse House in 1979, we moved there until I left Fettes in 1983. Our accommodation in Glencorse House adjoined the boarding house with entry on all floors.

The house matron and house tutor lived in apartments within the boarding house which was situated within the school grounds. Only myself, my wife and the house matron and house tutor had access to the children's residential areas.

We worked hard to instill kindness and respect for others. Co-education assisted in this. There was more kindness and mutual respect after the school became co-educational. Art, drama and museum were strong and balanced a tendency in the school to place too much emphasis on sport. I ran the

Discipline and punishment.

Staff managed discipline within the school along with school prefects and house prefects. There were only eight school prefects, who were mostly the heads of houses. There were approximately 40 house prefects.

The prefects' duties included the supervision of dormitories and homework and the distribution of light domestic tasks around the boarding house that fell to pupils, for example putting out the bins and collecting

milk and break for the communal kitchen.

School and house prefects could issue punishments such as changing into and out of games clothes four times or rising an hour early at 6.30 am. They did not administer any corporal punishment. Caning was only administered by housemasters or the headmaster. It was very rarely used in Glencorse House and only by the housemaster. This was a rare event for serious misdemeanours such as theft or bullying. It was on the backside, over trousers in private for a maximum of four strokes. I beat approximately four boys over a period of four years. It was not intended to be to the point of bruising.

The boys were 13 years or older. There was no corporal punishment in the junior school, so the cane or belt was not used there by me or other staff.

I encountered some bullying but believed it had ceased in Glencorse. I forbade the administration by the prefects of some of the more draconian traditional punishments, such as forcing juniors to run up and down the stone stairs carrying a heavy log.

There was very little formal written policy in relation to discipline and punishment when I took over as housemaster of Glencorse in 1979. However, I believe the boundaries were clear.

Records of canings and minor punishments were kept.

Senior school records were kept in my study.

Boys who were problematic were referred to me. The main sanctions were gating, which meant being confined to the boarding house except for lessons or games, or suspension, which meant being sent home to their parents for a short period. I was not aware of members of staff using corporal punishment too much or over-rigorously. Beating was very seldom used.

Records were kept of all pupils in the house and detailed reports written by subject masters and housemasters at the end of every term. The records were kept in a filing cabinet in the housemaster's study.

Similarly, records were kept at the junior school and a detailed report was written for parents at the end of every term. All pupils had a tutor and the report contained a specific report by the pupil's tutor, form master and the headmaster. All reports were handwritten and carefully considered. I have no idea for how long records were retained or whether they made their way to a central archive.

Policy.

I was responsible for the care of pupils in the junior school, which is now called Fettes Preparatory

School. Latterly, I was responsible for the care of

pupils in Glencorse House. All policies change over time and they were written up to be available for all to see. I believe that they became more exacting. School inspections made such requirements crystal clear.

Training and child protection were given much more prominence to the benefit of all.

Whilst at Fettes, I was responsible for the

well-being of junior school pupils and then in

1979 for all the members of Glencorse House. The

chaplain, house tutor and matron reported to me as the

housemaster. At the junior school, I was responsible

for appointing but I consulted SNR

over each appointment. We would

over each appointment. We would advertise in The Times Educational Supplement, normally with success. We would take up references given by the candidate and also often telephone the candidate's present school. References were expected to cover teaching ability, integrity and aptitude for the advertised post.

As head of the senior school department,

I was involved in the personal development of staff in our department.

SNR the junior school, I was also involved in the personal and pastoral development of staff. Termly and annual interviews were carried out. I was closely involved in the development of

staff, appraisal and evaluation. This occurred in my role as SNR and head of department. It also involved attending lessons of members of staff and providing commentary on their performance.

Child protection.

I believe that all staff understood that children in the care of the school should be protected from abuse of any sort, physical or sexual. However, in 1970 or even 1980, specific instruction in identifying this was not given. Nor was guidance given on how to handle and respond to reports of abuse or ill-treatment of children. All staff knew they should inform their direct senior staff member if they suspected any child was being abused by anyone, another child or a member of staff. We were all aware of the need for child protection, but around 1980 it was not underlined and magnified in the manner it is today. That being said, we did our utmost to protect children from abuse by other pupils or members of staff.

There was no specific definition of abuse when I was at Fettes, but all staff understood it to be bullying or inappropriate handling of any sort. I do not believe that a clear definition of abuse was communicated and explained to staff in the manner it now is under the banner of child protection. By 1985 child protection

and awareness of child abuse had become a very important aspect of teaching and caring for children.

Day-to-day running of the school.

After the commencement of the junior school in September 1973 I organised the timetable, lessons and recreation and class sizes and groups. I was also closely involved in the day-to-day running of the school. I am confident that if any child was being abused it would come to light at around the time it was happening. It is very likely it would also have been brought to our attention by the parents of the abused child. The junior school was essentially a day school and parents were in constant touch with their children and contact with staff was encouraged.

Complaints.

I do not remember a formal complaints procedure being in place in 1973. If complaints were received, they were treated very seriously, but I do not remember a scheme of officially recording such complaints. I do not recall there being a specific procedure for managing and recording complaints by the time I left Fettes in 1983.

was very involved with the pupils at the junior school. Pupils would confide in her and she would pass on their problems or difficulties to me or

an appropriate member of staff. I am sure a complaints procedure now exists and has done for some time at Fettes, but I cannot say how much this is now used.

Abuse at Fettes College.

I never directly witnessed the abuse of children at the junior or senior school. Abuse or ill-treatment was very rare and I would expect it to reach me via the parents of a pupil. This is what occurred in the case of 'Frank'. His mother spoke to me in the Lent term of 1975. She informed me that 'Edgar' had inappropriately touched her son when 'Frank' was standing by his desk having his work checked. Other than the complaints against 'Edgar', I did not receive any complaint of any abuse by a teacher on a pupil during my time at Fettes.

'Edgar'.

We advertised the post filled by 'Edgar' in The
Times Educational Supplement and he applied to us. The
headmaster of the Edinburgh Academy Preparatory School,
Jim Brittain, gave 'Edgar' an excellent reference.
There was no mention of him being dismissed from
a school in South Africa, nor of his inappropriate
touching or fondling of pupils at Edinburgh Academy. If
there had been mention of those issues, we would
certainly not have employed him. I may well have
telephoned Jim Brittain to discuss the application as

1 I knew him well.

2 'Edgar' was introduced to Anthony Chenevix-Trench
3 and we were delighted to have found an accomplished
4 maths teacher and games coach.

Allegations by 'Frank' against 'Edgar'.

I do recall 'Frank'. He was a pleasant boy, tall for his age. I remember meeting him with his mother in the Lent term of 1975. They disclosed that 'Edgar' had inappropriately touched 'Frank'.

Information that I received from the Inquiry provides details of the behaviour alleged by 'Frank' in his evidence to the Inquiry. I understand that 'Frank' has alleged that 'Edgar' would stroke and slap his thigh, insert his hand up into his shorts and under his pants, whereupon he would fondle his genitals and insert his finger into his anus.

On one occasion, 'Frank' has alleged that 'Edgar' had kept him back after class, grabbed his hair, shouted at him, put his hand down the back of his trousers and inserted a finger into his anus. The details 'Frank' has provided to the Inquiry are of much more serious and intrusive behaviours than were conveyed to me at the time.

The information I have received from the Inquiry also indicates that 'Frank' has stated on one occasion

'Edgar' pulled a pupil named 'Raymond' up by his hair until his hair tore from his scalp. I am horrified to hear of 'Edgar's' assault on 'Raymond' and I should have been made aware of this.

I remember 'Raymond', but my memory fails me over the details of his removal from the school. I cannot remember the detail of 'Raymond's' complaint. I was certainly not aware of 'Edgar's' hair pulling.

I believe that 'Raymond' had boarded with 'Edgar' and his wife. Had we known at that time anything of 'Edgar's' appalling behaviour, we would never have allocated a pupil to board with them. 'Edgar' and his wife had also adopted a child.

Allegations by 'Ben' against 'Edgar'.

The Inquiry has provided me with details from a statement provided by another pupil named 'Ben', who attended the school between 1974 and 1976. I was not aware of 'Ben' or his father making any complaint to the school at the time. I understand that 'Ben' recounts that 'Edgar' had regular outbursts of anger and rage, involving screaming at boys, grabbing them by the hair and banging their heads on desks. This happened to him. He remembers 'Raymond' having a bald patch where his hair had been. I believe that my study was directly above 'Edgar's' classroom, so not far away. I was not

aware of him having outbursts of anger and rage.

I believe that these complaints arose from the time

before 'Edgar's' intensive treatment from

Professor Walton. We knew he was tense, but after his

summer of treatment in 1975, he became a much calmer

person.

'Ben' has also stated to the Inquiry that on one occasion, at a school assembly, 'Raymond' was seized by 'Edgar', dragged about and thrown against walls, whilst 'Edgar' screamed and shouted. He recalls that three other teachers were present, Mrs Orchard, Mr Mineyko and Mr Murray, and that the two male teachers wrestled 'Edgar' out of the room. Mr Mineyko, Mr Murray and Mrs Orchard were indeed working at the junior school in the period 1974 to 1976. I do not remember an incident in assembly involving the above three teaches and 'Edgar'. They should have told me about it. However, it is extremely distressing to hear of it now.

'Ben' has stated that teachers drank alcohol at lunchtime. I wish to make the point that the teachers did not drink alcohol with lunch. I do not recall staff ever taking alcohol into a meal with pupils. Neither staff from the junior or senior school took alcohol into the school dining room during school meals.

Involvement in investigation of abuse by 'Edgar'.

From my memory, 'Frank's' mother approached me at school one day to advise that her son had made her aware of the abuse by 'Edgar'. After meeting with 'Frank' and his mother, I informed them that 'Edgar' would leave the school.

I'm sure that I spoke to 'Edgar' about the allegation once I had received the information from 'Frank's' mother in 1975. I'm sure that 'Edgar' did not deny that he had inappropriately touched 'Frank'. There was no discussion of the specifics of this, and certainly not in the explicit terms now alleged. He did not say that it had never happened.

In my view, he had admitted it and he knew that he was leaving. 'Edgar' admitted his offence. I was answerable to Mr Chenevix-Trench, the headmaster of the senior school at the time. He was closely involved when it came to dealing with 'Edgar'. Both

Mr Chenevix-Trench and I decided that 'Edgar's' employment at the school should be terminated. In the end, we did not terminate 'Edgar's' employment at that time following the intervention of Professor Walton.

We were approached by a senior psychiatrist,

Professor Henry Walton of the Royal Infirmary. 'Edgar'
had told him that he was going to be sacked.

Professor Walton wrote to us, pleading with us that

'Edgar' should be allowed one term's medical leave
whilst he dealt with his abusive behaviour. He assured
us that he would cure 'Edgar' of his inclinations and
behaviour.

I was firm that I did not want to keep 'Edgar' at the school. Mr Chenevix-Trench's view was that, having been approached by such a senior psychiatrist, we must respect his opinion and give him the chance to cure 'Edgar'. I was answerable to Tony Chenevix-Trench and therefore persuaded that we should put our trust in Professor Walton. 'Edgar' therefore remained at Fettes Junior School.

After the intervention of Professor Walton,

I informed 'Frank's' mother of the new plan, explaining
that 'Edgar' would be treated by Professor Walton.

I believe I had a second meeting with her. I apologised
again, having already apologised for 'Edgar's' abuse of
'Frank'. 'Frank's' mother was happy we were dealing
with the situation, but she was sceptical of 'Edgar's'
ability to reform. She advised that in her own medical
opinion, 'Edgar' would not change.

'Edgar' did not continue to work at the school after
'Frank's' mother's disclosure. Instead, he began his
intensive treatment at the Royal Infirmary under
Professor Walton. All his classes and games were

covered by other teachers. No external report was made in respect of the abuse, but Tony Chenevix-Trench was involved in all decisions. He had the final say as my superior.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

'Edgar' returned a much calmer and gentler person. For three and a half years he was an excellent schoolmaster. But then one more complaint came at the beginning of the Lent term in 1979, stating that he had fondled a boy. I do not recall the name of the parent or boy concerned. It was an isolated complaint, but a very serious one, which resulted in the dismissal of 'Edgar'. I cannot recall any more about this particular instance of fondling. 'Edgar' did continue to teach lessons and supervise sports until his employment was terminated at the end of the Michaelmas term in December 1979. He was under careful watch and threat of immediate dismissal and I do not believe that he offended again. The senior school headmasters, Mr Cochrane and Mr Chenevix-Trench, were closely involved in the treatment and supervision of him.

After the incident with 'Frank', we had considerable concern about 'Edgar's' treatment of children, but

I must reiterate that he had excellent references from

Edinburgh Academy Preparatory School. We did not receive any other complaint about 'Edgar' prior to

hearing from 'Frank's' mother. His abuse of 'Frank'
would have been more than sufficient for us to terminate
his employment, had it not been for the intervention of
Professor Walton.

I did not report 'Edgar' to the police. I am not aware that 'Frank's' mother, Professor Walton,

Mr Cochrane or Mr Chenevix-Trench did either. I do not believe that we were obliged to inform the police about the complaints of abuse in 1979.

Correspondence relating to 'Edgar'.

The Inquiry has provided me with copies of correspondence relating to 'Edgar'. Firstly, I have been provided with a letter dated 18 January 1979 addressed, 'Dear Tony'. I do recall writing this letter, which was to Tony Chenevix-Trench. When I referred to 'Edgar's' 'misdemeanour', I was referring to him fondling a pupil, something he had also done in 1975. Although mention is made of giving him 'one more chance', in fact we did not give him one more chance and we terminated his employment at the end of Michaelmas term in 1979, mid-December. All of this was discussed with Tony Chenevix-Trench, who had the final say when it came to the management of 'Edgar'.

I have also been provided with a letter dated

8 February 1979 addressed, 'Dear Cameron'. I know that

I did write Cameron Cochrane about this time, but I have no recollection of writing this letter. Cameron Cochrane became headmaster of Fettes in September 1979. It is not signed by me and, unlike the letter dated 18 January 1979, is not typed on my office headed paper. It also refers to matters of which I had no recollection prior to being shown these letters referred to by the Inquiry in 2020.

The letter includes the words, 'As 'Edgar's' curriculum vitae states, he was at a school in South Africa from 1966 to 1967 and was dismissed for indecent practices'. At the time of 'Edgar's' appointment, we relied on an excellent reference from his former employer Jim Brittain, headmaster of the Edinburgh Academy Preparatory School. We were unaware of 'Edgar's' alleged indecent practices in South Africa or of alleged fondling at the Edinburgh Academy at this time. According to the letter of 8 February 1979, of which I have no recollection, 'Edgar's' history of offending only came to light some time after his indecent behaviour in 1975 when a parent reported his behaviour to me, and before 8 February 1979, being the date of the letter.

I have no memory of having been told of 'Edgar' being dismissed from the South African school, though

this letter suggests that by 1979 I had become aware of this. However, the letter does document the steps I took to manage the situation, share information and seek advice from my superiors. I have no recollection of having written this letter or of the information about 'Edgar's' previous misdemeanours.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The letter seems to suggest that the CV referred to contained details of his previous employers, including the school in South Africa. It also suggests that the information about the circumstances surrounding his departure from that school emerged much later in 1975, some time after he had offended at Fettes. I therefore wonder if this further information may have become available through Professor Walton. 'Edgar' certainly did not disclose the fact that he had been dismissed by the South African school on the CV he provided at the time of his appointment in 1973. At that time, we were totally unaware of this fact. I have no recollection of having known about any previous misdemeanours committed by 'Edgar' prior to being shown this letter referred to by the Inquiry in 2020. According to this letter, it wasn't until after 1975 when he offended at Fettes Junior School that we became aware of any previous misdemeanours.

If the letter of 8 February 1979 is to be believed,

'all of this emerged in 1975'. I believe that it must have been through Professor Walton that we were made aware of 'Edgar's' previous misdemeanours. If we had known this information in 1973, then we could never have appointed him. Equally, we were not aware of any problem at Edinburgh Academy, either loss of temper or inappropriate touching. He was given an excellent reference by the Edinburgh Academy. We were absolutely unaware of any background of prior issues either of indecency or temper outbursts. I have no recollection of having been aware of any previous misdemeanours at Edinburgh Academy prior to being shown the letter referred to by the Inquiry.

We witnessed a transformation in 'Edgar's'
personality brought about by his treatment with
Professor Walton. In 1975, 'Edgar' was sent on
sabbatical for treatment. We were assured by
Professor Walton that, with treatment, he would be able
to manage his behaviour. For that reason, he was
allowed to continue to work with children again.
Indeed, his treatment seemed to be successful until
1979, when he re-offended and his employment was
terminated.

'Edgar' was watched very carefully in the period from 1975 until 1979. He had regular follow-up with

Professor Walton. We were shocked and disappointed when we heard from a parent at the start of Lent term in January 1979 that he had relapsed and was guilty of fondling a boy. His employment was terminated at the end of the year. I shared information about 'Edgar's' misdemeanours with the senior school headmaster,

Tony Chenevix-Trench, the board of governors through Mr Robin Salvesen and Professor Walton. They were all aware of the situation.

I have been shown a letter dated 9 January 1979 by
the Inquiry, which appears to be from Tony
Chenevix-Trench to a preparatory school in South Africa.
It was written by him literally days before he heard
from me that 'Edgar' had re-offended, as evidenced by my
letter to him dated 18 January 1979. My letter of
18 January was most likely written immediately upon my
receipt of the information regarding the offence. It
would be helpful to find out from the school archives
the date of the first day of the Lent term 1979 at
Fettes, which would be the date on which I heard the
news from the victim's parent.

When Mr Chenevix-Trench wrote the letter of 9 January 1979, it is unlikely that he knew of the recent offence. He most definitely knew of the inappropriate behaviour towards 'Frank' in 1975 and, if the letter of 8 February 1979 is to be believed, of the extent of 'Edgar's' offending history. I have never seen the letter before. I would not have supported Edgar in any application for a teaching post in South Africa. I cannot explain why no mention is made by Mr Chenevix-Trench of 'Edgar's' prior conduct.

The Inquiry has provided me with copies of letters dated 12 July 1979 and 20 June 1979, which seem to relate to 'Edgar's' applications for positions at Gordonstoun School and in South Africa. The letter of 20 June 1979 does appear to be from Mr Chenevix-Trench. I was not aware of the job application to Gordonstoun School. I would not have supported either his application to Gordonstoun or the school in South Africa. I recommend in my letter to 'Edgar' dated 18 January 1979 and in the open reference that I wrote for him that he work in commerce, industry or educational administration and definitely not near pupils of junior school age. I was not asked to support him in any application for a position at Gordonstoun.

The Inquiry had provided me with an undated letter of reference. From the description under my name, it does appear that this was prepared by me after July 1979. I do recall writing this reference for 'Edgar'. It was intended to support him finding work outside of

teaching. I had discussed 'Edgar's' future with him and we had agreed that he would look for work in commerce or industry. He knew that I would not support a role in a junior school. He promised that he would not apply for another teaching role. That is the reason for my failure to mention his history of offences against boys at the junior school. I certainly would have disclosed the history of abuse had he applied to a school but he solemnly promised not to do so. Hence, my emphasis on his suitability for a job in commerce or industry. I remember unease about my reference at the time as I was relying on an omission in my open reference to prompt a future school to contact me should he apply rather than writing an explicit warning.

I did not mention the abuse of children in 'Edgar's' care in the reference because I believed and believe that anyone in education reading my reference would have contacted me. I believe they would want to understand why I had only recommended him for a role in commerce and industry and stated that he would be a valuable member of a company with no mention of a school.

Nowadays we would have stated explicitly that he should not be allowed to work near children. He would be on List 99, now called the Children's Barred List Check. At that time, I was not aware of any specific

Fettes policy relating to the provision of references where there were allegations of abuse. There was a clear lack of framework. The tragic consequence is that it is likely that the South African school did not warn Edinburgh Academy, who in turn did not warn Fettes College before 'Edgar' took these positions.

I sent a copy of my reference to the new headmaster, Cameron Cochrane, for approval. He was fully aware of the situation and 'Edgar's' abusive behaviour. This was the only reference that I wrote for 'Edgar'. No school ever approached me in relation to this reference. Now that I have seen documents provided to me by the Inquiry, I realise that Mr Chenevix-Trench was, unbeknownst to me, corresponding with schools and supporting 'Edgar's' teaching career.

Anthony Chenevix-Trench.

As already stated, I do recall

Anthony Chenevix-Trench. My employment coincide with
his from 1971 until 1979 when he was aged 54 to 61. He
was headmaster of the school whilst I was the head of
Fettes department. I was then invited to

Fettes Junior School, now known as Fettes Preparatory
School, so I had a lot of contact with

Mr Chenevix-Trench. I remember him as being charming.
He always had time to listen and help. I knew him well

and he was very experienced. I very rarely saw him with children, but he seemed to be at ease when I did.

I never saw Mr Chenevix-Trench discipline children, but I heard that he used the cane frequently. It was a generally known fact that he used the cane but not excessively. This would have happened if the housemaster had passed a pupil on to the headmaster for a very serious offence. I never saw

Mr Chenevix-Trench abuse children or heard of him abusing children.

CBU

I do recall

He was at Fettes throughout my time there, 1970 to 1983. He was approximately 25 to 38 years old at that time. He was employed as a teacher. He had no direct link to my role, but he was a cheerful companion. I think we may have joined Fettes at the same time. I remember him as being cheerful and hard working. I knew him quite well, but I wouldn't describe him as a close friend.

I did see CBU interact with children. He seemed to be happy in their company. I never saw him discipline the children and I never saw him abuse any children. I never heard about him abusing children.

DXM .

I never met but I heard that he had been

dismissed from Fettes. I have no knowledge of the

details of 'dismissal beyond hearing that he

had been dismissed for misconduct. I know nothing more

about any allegations against him and therefore cannot

comment any further about him.

Final thoughts on the case of 'Edgar'.

I would like to comment further that we, the school, should have dismissed 'Edgar' immediately after 'Frank's' mother's complaint in 1975. That was what I wished to do. I think that Mr Chenevix-Trench was too compliant with Professor Walton's response. When it came to my own response, I was overruled by the headmaster of Fettes. I think that Mr Chenevix-Trench was too lenient with 'Edgar' in 1975, allowing him the opportunity to return to Fettes after treatment. In hindsight, I think we should have made him redundant immediately in 1975 but offered to continue to pay him whilst he received treatment from Professor Walton.

I have no objection to my witness statement being published as part of the evidence to the Inquiry.

I believe the facts stated in this witness statement are true."

23 And FTG signed the statement on 3 September 24 2020.

25 LADY SMITH: Thank you.

1	MR BROWN: As indicated, my Lady, it would be helpful,
2	perhaps, just as a timeline of events, to read
3	through
4	LADY SMITH: For the documents to be read.
5	MR BROWN: I'm afraid this involves darting from one page to
6	another, the documents not being in particular order,
7	but hopefully it will make sense.
8	Documents relating to 'Edgar' (read)
9	MR BROWN: The first document and the document we'll rely on
10	particularly is FET000000048, which is the file provided
11	by Fettes for 'Edgar'.
12	The first page is, if Your Ladyship would bear with
13	me, page 22, and is a letter from the preparatory school
14	in South Africa to Anthony Chenevix-Trench, the
15	headmaster of Gordonstoun, and the heading is:
16	"Application for the post of headmaster."
17	And the South African school.
18	"January 1980.
19	Edgar has confidentially submitted an application
20	for the above post and has given your name as one of his
21	referees. It will, therefore, be very much appreciated
22	if you will assist the chairman of the committee
23	responsible for this appointment by giving him your
24	opinion about the suitability of this applicant.
25	"[The school in South Africa] has an enrolment of

1	300 plus boys from Class 1 to Standard 6 between the
2	ages of 6 and 13 years. The headmaster is responsible
3	for the administration, curriculum, activities and
4	discipline of the school. He will also have some
5	responsibility for the financial administration of both
6	the school as the association's registered office is
7	situated in the school in [South Africa]."
8	And then details of who the responses are to be sent
9	to are provided.
10	The response from Mr Chenevix-Trench can be found on
11	pages 20 and 21 and are dated 9 January 1979. It's not
12	perhaps the easiest to read, as Your Ladyship can see,
13	but I think it reads as follows.
14	LADY SMITH: We can probably manage. Just take your time.
15	MR BROWN: "Dear Mr Moreton.
16	Thank you very much for your letter of 28th December
17	enquiring about 'Edgar'.
18	'Edgar' came to us some five years ago to teach in
19	our new venture, which was just launched then, the
20	Fettes Junior School. This school takes boys from 10.5
21	up to about 13. There is no doubt that he is
22	an extremely competent teacher."
23	I'm not sure the next line is particularly legible.

" ... boys in his house when necessary, though the

It carries on to:

24

1 school is ... mostly in Edinburgh. He is an extremely good games player as well in almost every field of 2 activity and has [something something] the 3 children." 5 LADY SMITH: "... wife and children", maybe? MR BROWN: Possibly. 7 LADY SMITH: "... has his own wife and children"? Or 8 something like that. 9 MR BROWN: Yes. 10 "His wife would ..." 11 I think there's a reference to --LADY SMITH: "... make an admirable matron ..." 12 MR BROWN: "... matron and mother to a preparatory school." 13 14 I think it actually may be easier to read on the 15 screen than my efforts to read the document itself. "When he first came here, 'Edgar' was rather 16 unsettled and I was a bit worried about him for a time, 17 but since then he has done extraordinarily well and is 18 thoroughly settled here, and the only reason for his 19 20 wishing to leave is that he feels he should now move on to tackle bigger problems. I think he himself is 21 22 perfectly capable of being an excellent headmaster of 23 a junior school. He was brought up in South Africa and 24 is no stranger to the climate out there. There can be no doubt at all that his wife would be a perfectly

excellent wife to a headmaster.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Before he came here he taught at the Edinburgh Academy, a very great and good school, and it might just be worth your writing to the rector of the Academy for a further opinion. He came out of a good stable and is certainly a thoroughbred. Of his devotion to duty there can be no question and my worries which I originally had about his temper have since been completely allayed for in the last three years he has won the affection and the confidence not only of the boys in the junior school at Fettes but also of all the staff. I should say that the Junior School is placed in the middle of the main school and uses all the main school facilities, eg science labs, playing fields and so on. All masters at our junior school are on the staff of the senior school as well and paid exactly the same. He is a very acceptable member of the common room.

I hope these remarks will help you in your selection. He is certainly a man I think you should take very seriously, for although he is comparatively young and he looks younger than he is, he is both fit, able and conscientious and a man of total integrity.

With best wishes.

Yours sincerely."

LADY SMITH: Just to keep track of the timeline, by the time

1	this letter was written, 'Edgar' had left the employment
2	of Fettes?
3	MR BROWN: No.
4	LADY SMITH: No?
5	MR BROWN: This is January 1979.
6	LADY SMITH: Oh, this letter is January 1979.
7	MR BROWN: So shortly before this is the letter that
8	says was written clearly in ignorance of the
9	second complaint.
10	LADY SMITH: Of course. It was halfway through December in
11	1979 that 'Edgar' left.
12	MR BROWN: Yes, that's right.
13	If we can then go back, my Lady, to page 1 of the
14	same document and this is the letter from FTG to
15	the headmaster, to Tony Chenevix-Trench, and it's dated
16	18 January 1979, nine days after the last letter:
17	"Dear Tony.
18	'Edgar'.
19	I have seen 'Edgar'. He admits to his misdemeanour
20	and promises never to repeat it. I stressed the
21	seriousness of even such a 'minor' irregularity. He
22	seems to have absorbed this. I explained that one more

such offence and he would be asked to leave; and that it

was because of his excellent teaching, coaching and his

general approach over the last 18 months that you and

23

24

I had decided to give him one more chance. I explained that my successor would have to be made aware of the situation as would your successor. I explained that I could not, in the light of this incident, recommend him at present to be a headmaster of a prep school. He said he would withdraw his application for the headship of [the South African school] and of the junior school. I suggested, as a friend, that he look for a job out of teaching, or at least away from boys of junior school age. He said he was sure his father in South Africa had contacts; and he also suggested the Lothian Region education offices. Do you want to see him?"

There's then, over the page on page 2, the letter dated 8 February 1979 to Cameron, which was referred to in FTG statement:

"Dear Cameron.

I am sorry to have rather suddenly sprung on you the problem of 'Edgar' just before the meeting last Monday.

I do not know how much Tony added to that brief version of events, but I feel I should fill in the details.

As 'Edgar's' curriculum vitae states, he was at [a school in South Africa] in 1976-77, and was dismissed for indecent practices. He came to Moray House and took his primary teacher's certificate, and no mention of what had occurred [in South Africa] was made. In

1968-73 when at the Academy, he apparently -- all this I have learnt since he came here -- had problems of loss of temper and occasional fondling of boys. The Academy were apparently unaware of it and he had an excellent reference from Jim Brittain. All this emerged in 1975 when a parent of a boy at Fettes Junior School informed me that her son had been fondled by 'Edgar', touched -no more. We decided that 'Edgar' must leave. He had been seeing a Professor Walton from the Royal who pleaded with us to keep him on but released him for the summer term so that he could have intensive treatment as an in-patient. 'Edgar' also had a mild drinking problem, which the hospital believed was closely connected with the other problem. Professor Walton advised us that 'Edgar' would be quite cured. I suppose we were foolish to listen but we final agreed to cooperate. Walton insisted that if 'Edgar' were to leave, he would collapse as an individual. (Professor Walton, incidentally, is on study leave at present after being convicted of shoplifting.)

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Anyway, on 'Edgar's' return there were a few temper problems but none of the other. He then fairly quickly improved and became a much gentler and more effective schoolmaster -- much respected by the boys and getting good results with them in CE. I believed that

Professor Walton was, after all, right and last term asked Robin Salvesen if he would officially appoint 'Edgar' as senior master here. He organises the games fixtures, coaches 1st XI hockey and cricket, squash and swimming, and is a most efficient organiser and administrator in addition to his maths teaching.

When I got a telephone call on the first day of this term from a parent in Bermuda telling me that 'Edgar' had been fondling boys -- in however small a way -- I was very sad. It is the one blot on a copybook otherwise clean since the original disaster. Tony's and my present position is that we have strongly advised 'Edgar' to find another job as soon as possible. We have not set a deadline on this, or even said that he must leave, although I believe he must. I am confident he will not repeat his fondling in the immediate future, but expect that in 3 or 4 years' time the problem will reoccur.

The two possible areas for 'Edgar' to find a job would seem to be in educational administration or through his father in South Africa -- a successful businessman with many contacts. 'Edgar' has written to him and I hope will find an opening there. Is there anything you can do with your knowledge of the Department of Education? I am sorry to ask. I believe

one can certainly trust 'Edgar' so far as Christmas,
which would give my successor his help as senior
master -- he is seen as such by the rest of the staff,
who [presumably the missing word is 'know'] nothing of
this all this -- for one term. Other than this sad
business, 'Edgar' has been an enormous help to me in
many, many ways.

Robin Salvesen suggests it might be better to hand over a clean slate to my successor, but feels it is your decision. For 'Edgar' to leave earlier than December 1979 would put pressure on us to replace him, especially with the present advertising problems. We would have to wait until 24th February to know the job specifications, as it may depend on what subjects SNR offers.

The shortlist looks fairly promising, if a bit short.

With very best wishes and I am sorry to burden you with this."

If we could then go to page 23, my Lady, of the same document. This is a letter dated 2 February, just for completeness, from the South African school to Mr Chenevix-Trench thanking him for his letter about 'Edgar':

" ... which gave us a very clear idea of his

potential suitability for the post of head of our [preparatory] school.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We have since received a letter from 'Edgar' withdrawing his application for personal reasons."

One then moves, my Lady, to a document we've already seen, but again it makes sense with all the matters, it's worth reading again, which is FET0000000004 and is the board minute of the governors for the meeting of 19 March 1979 held at the school at 5 pm. Going onto page 2, second line:

"FTG reported that it had been necessary for 'Edgar' to be asked to leave because of a complaint from a parent concerning an indiscretion with her son. The headmaster also spoke to this matter. There had, unfortunately, been a history of such behaviour. Since 'Edgar' had come to Fettes, it had been discovered that there had been an incident in South Africa, which is believed to have been of a serious nature. There had been one previous very minor incident at Fettes following which 'Edgar' had undergone psychiatric treatment from Professor Walton in whose opinion he had been cured. There had then followed three exemplary years during which 'Edgar' had proved himself to be an excellent teacher and a very good man in every other way.

The latest incident complained of was of a very minor nature and had not been sufficient to cause any disturbance to the boy concerned. 'Edgar' is at present under medical supervision, and in the view of both the headmaster and FTG, there is no real risk of any further incident occurring. The fact that 'Edgar' was under medical care, and the opinions expressed by the headmaster and FTG as to the improbability of future repetitions, satisfied Dr Muir that there was no present necessity to ask 'Edgar' to leave.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The main question was when 'Edgar' should leave. The headmaster and FTG stated that the administrative problem of replacing 'Edgar' in the middle of a year would be less if he were to leave at the end of the winter term 1979 than if he were to leave at the end of the summer term. In all the circumstances, but not without some hesitation, the governors decided that 'Edgar' should be allowed to stay until the end of the winter term 1979. In reaching that decision, the governors made it clear that he would be dismissed instantly if there was a further complaint of however minor a nature. The mother of the boy concerned had told FTG that she was happy to leave the matter entirely in the hands of the governors, in the knowledge that 'Edgar' was again under medical

1	supervision."	

If we can return, my Lady, to FET0000000048 and

page 8, this is a letter from Gordonstoun School, the

then headmaster's secretary, who writes to Anthony

Chenevix-Trench on 15 June 1979 saying:

"We have received an enquiry from 'Edgar' regarding a position becoming vacant in our mathematics department. I am enclosing a full job description and Mr Mavor wonders if you would be kind enough to let him have your opinion of 'Edgar' as a candidate. There are in fact two positions, and 'Edgar' has expressed interest especially in the teaching of mathematics to the less able.

I am also enclosing a stamped, addressed envelope for your reply."

If we could then go to page 24 of the same document, I appreciate that the date on this handwritten letter from 'Edgar' to -- when he says "headmaster", I would take it to mean Chenevix-Trench for reasons that will become clear.

21 LADY SMITH: Okay.

MR BROWN: "Just to say that, although my primary aim is to go out of teaching initially, I have applied for a job at Gordonstoun teaching maths to the less able up to O-level. This type of post in a co-educational set up

1	took my fancy.
2	Whilst on the subject of jobs I was wondering
3	whether you would be prepared to write an open
4	reference. South African employers still use this type
5	of reference quite a lot.
6	Yours sincerely.
7	'Edgar'."
8	The reply to that letter appears to be dated 20 June
9	and is on page 25 of the same document.
10	LADY SMITH: But it was dated July?
11	MR BROWN: Yes.
12	LADY SMITH: Somebody's got their month wrong.
13	MR BROWN: Yes. The content of the letter, which is, with
14	the reference "ACT", clearly from Chenevix-Trench:
15	"Dear 'Edgar'.
16	Thank you for your letter which I should have
17	replied to some time ago, although it is actually dated
18	12 July, which I don't think we have quite hit yet,
19	though it feels to me rather as though we have."
20	Or "had".
21	"Of course I will write you an open reference for
22	South African employers and do what I can to help about
23	Gordonstoun, if they get in touch with me."
24	We then go back to page 7 and a letter to or reply

from the acting headmaster, Cole-Hamilton, to Mavor, the

and the second s	1.0	*			
	haar	dmaster	OT	Cordon	etoun.

2 "Dear Mavor.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You will have heard by now of our sad loss. We are all very much shocked and trying to carry on as best we can until the end of term. I have been invited to stand in in Tony's place, which is why I am answering your letter about 'Edgar'.

If Tony had had time to answer your letter before he was taken ill, I am sure he would have given you a very full report on 'Edgar'. I know 'Edgar' well, socially, but my information about him as a schoolmaster is all, I'm afraid, second-hand. I know that you have asked to write about him as well. I have been in touch with FTG and he has told me what he is going to say and how he is going to say it. I have no reason to disagree with anything that he will say or really anything to add to it. In fact, it would be presumptuous for me to do so. I am very fond of 'Edgar' and [his wife] and they are both very acceptable members of the Fettes community. They will, in fact, fit in anywhere. I very much hope he will get fixed up in a job that suits him.

I am sure you will forgive me ducking out like this.

Best wishes."

If we then go to page 10 of the document, this is

1	the undated open reference provided by
2	would appear. Your Ladyship will see that under
3	name at the bottom, he's described as
4	SNR Fettes Junior School until 1979",
5	hence the certainty that it's after that period. Headed
6	just:
7	"'Edgar'.
8	'Edgar' has taught maths at the Fettes Junior School
9	since its foundation six years ago. A senior master in
10	the school, he has proved himself to be an excellent
11	organiser, an efficient teacher and an able coach of all
12	games, especially squash and hockey.
13	He is perceptive, sensitive, quiet and reasonable,
14	and I thoroughly recommend him for a job in the world of
15	commerce and industry, where his efficiency and
16	excellent administrative abilities allied to great
17	common sense, will make him an extremely valuable member
18	of a company.
19	I shall be very pleased to speak further on his
20	behalf."
21	Finally, so far as this document is concerned, if we
22	could go to pages 5 and 6, and this is a letter,
23	I think, from the notepaper of the SNR

1979, so shortly before his departure:

24

25

the junior school, but from 'Edgar' dated 21 November

1	"Dear SNR
2	I have just realised that time is running out for me
3	as I will be in the Southern Hemisphere in less than
4	three weeks from now.
5	I wonder if I could ask you to write an open
6	reference about me as South African employers seem to
7	ask for this kind of reference in the first place.
8	FTG suggested that I show you the reference he
9	wrote for Gordonstoun, but unfortunately Jean Porter and
10	crs have been unable to find it in the files.
11	Nothing came of [and then there's reference to
12	a school] job as a high-powered mathematician able to
13	teach the top classes was required.
14	I have enjoyed my time here and wish you and Fettes
15	well in the difficult times that lie ahead.
16	Yours sincerely.
17	'Edgar'."
18	To close this chapter of the evidence, my Lady,
19	obviously the Inquiry is well aware of the sensitivities
20	around ongoing proceedings, no matter that has caused
21	concern to a number of our applicants.
22	LADY SMITH: Indeed.
23	MR BROWN: The Crown have obviously been liaising with the
24	Inquiry and they've been as helpful as they can be in

the circumstances.

What I can say to Your Ladyship is that as of last
week, we obviously know how many people have applied to
the Inquiry, and Your Ladyship has heard from them in
one form or another.

There are currently 20 complainants engaged with the Crown. Thinking of the evidential requirements of the Inquiry, one could take into account named individuals in applicant statements, but perhaps, rather than engaging in an exercise which may or may not be accurate, one can perhaps remember that on the evidence of a number of applicants, half of a class of 20 would be subject to either violence or sexual behaviour or both.

14 LADY SMITH: Very troubling.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15 MR BROWN: My Lady, that concludes what might be described 16 as the 'Edgar' chapter. Tomorrow we will start with 17 something a little different.

18 LADY SMITH: Thank you.

MR BROWN: It is, I'm afraid, and I don't know why this is,

whether it is winter, whether it is anxieties about

Covid or whatever, this week I think there have been -
we're down at least five oral witnesses who will have to

be read in, so I apologise in advance to everyone that

it will be somewhat patchy, but that is of necessity.

LADY SMITH: We'll do the best we can. Thank you very much

1	for that update, Mr Brown.
2	I'll rise now until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning.
3	Thank you all for coming today so far. Thank you.
4	(12.41 pm)
5	(The Inquiry adjourned until 10.00 am
6	on Wednesday, 1 December 2021)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX
2	
3	'Rory' (read)2
4	
5	Andrew Mineyko (read)45
6	FTG (read)54
7	Documents relating to 'Edgar' (read)80
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	