1 Friday, 15 December 2023 2 (10.00 am)3 LADY SMITH: Good morning. 4 We return today to oral evidence from two witnesses 5 who are going to give evidence sitting together, as they 6 did in November, and that's Teresa Medhurst and Neil Rennick. 7 8 I think they're both here and ready, is that right, 9 Mr Peoples? MR PEOPLES: Yes, I believe they are. 10 11 LADY SMITH: Are you ready? 12 MR PEOPLES: Yes, I am ready as well. If we can recall them 13 now. 14 LADY SMITH: Thank you. Teresa Medhurst (sworn) 15 16 Neil Rennick (sworn). 17 LADY SMITH: My thanks to both of you for coming back today, now that we've heard evidence in the Scottish Prison 18 19 Service section of Phase 8. You obviously gave very 20 helpful evidence in November, but it's good to have you 21 back here today to answer questions that we'd like to 22 put to you now the evidence that has been given since November. As you'll both be aware a lot of witnesses 23 have given evidence to us, either in person or through 24 25 their written statements.

1	You know what's in the red folders, you know we'll
2	use the screens as well. Please remember if either of
3	you have any questions or want a pause or have any
4	requests to make of us, please say. I don't
5	underestimate that we're asking you to do a lot here and
6	this is quite complex material that we are asking you to
7	engage in. We'll try to make it as straight forward as
8	we can for you.
9	If you're both ready I'll hand over to Mr Peoples
10	and he'll take it from there. Is that all right?
11	MS MEDHURST: Thank you.
12	LADY SMITH: Mr Peoples.
13	MR PEOPLES: My Lady.
14	Questions from Mr Peoples
15	MR PEOPLES: Good morning.
16	MR RENNICK: Morning.
17	MS MEDHURST: Good morning.
18	MR PEOPLES: Today, perhaps I can just tell you how
19	I propose to deal with matters that I'm going to say
20	a little bit about the breadth of evidence we've heard
21	since the start of this case study and then I'm going to
22	provide you with an opportunity to make a response,
23	which I understand you both wish to do.
24	Can I say, before I begin on that, that since you
25	gave evidence the Scottish Government and Scottish

Prison Service have provided two documents which seek to
 answer some questions that arose in the course of your
 evidence, oral evidence, and we have these.

Can I give you the good news that I don't propose 1 5 today to ask you questions about these. If anything arises I'm sure I can seek clarification in due course, 6 7 but I think the questions have been answered and we can 8 understand them. I'll simply say this for the benefit of the transcript, that we have two documents which 9 we've received since you gave evidence. The first being 10 11 SGV-000102966, which seeks to answer a series of 12 questions that arose in the course of the evidence and the answers can be read and I think they've been 13 14 circulated to those who have leave to appear. 15 LADY SMITH: Yes. MR PEOPLES: The other document is perhaps of some interest 16

18 a job description, going back in time. You have 19 produced at least one example. SGV-000102965, which 20 I understand it's thought was perhaps an advert for 21 prison officers that may have been issued in the 1970s 22 at some point by the Scottish Office. We can all look 23 at it for ourselves and compare it no doubt with how 24 these things are done now.

that it's -- we did ask to see, if you could find one,

17

25 Just to thank you for providing that information

1 since giving evidence.

2	Since the start of the Phase 8 case study hearings
3	on 20 September 2023, there has been a considerable body
4	of evidence about the SPS, as I think you are well
5	aware.
6	You both gave evidence over two days on 1 and
7	2 November 2023, at which time you gave evidence about
8	a substantial report concerning the SPS, which was
9	based, if I can deal with it shortly, on a major review
10	of a wide range of source material. I'll not go back to
11	that, we did cover that on the previous occasion.
12	However, perhaps just to remind you that there was
13	an acknowledgement in that report in Part B and in the
14	evidence that you gave of past abuse over many decades
15	of young people in the custody and care of the Scottish
16	Prison Service, an acknowledgement of systemic failing
17	during the period when that abuse was occurring and also
18	an unconditional and unreserved apology to all those who
19	as children in SPS establishments had experienced or
20	witnessed abuse.
21	There has been oral evidence in the case study
22	relevant to the Scottish Prison Service and I'll just
23	run through the type of evidence we have received and
24	heard . We have had evidence orally from

24 heard. We have had evidence orally from

25 Professor Norrie about the legal and regulatory

framework, including the framework relating to prison
 establishments and the prison system.

Evidence from Professor Andrew Coyle, who before becoming an academic and writing extensively about prisons across the world had been a Governor within Scottish prisons.

7 We have had evidence from other former Governors,
8 Alec Spencer and Dan Gunn.

9 We have had evidence from Dr Derek Chiswick, who 10 chaired a working party which reported in 1985 following 11 a number of suicides at Glenochil Detention Centre and 12 Glenochil Young Offenders Institution.

We have also had evidence from Dr Alan Mitchell, who 13 14 spoke about the work of the Committee for the Prevention of Torture, the CPT for short, which is an international 15 16 treaty-monitoring body, which was set up pursuant to the 17 European Convention for the Prevention of Torture and 18 Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, which 19 I think is colloquially referred to as the European 20 Torture Convention, from 1987, the CPT being created around November 1989 or thereabouts. 21

We have also had evidence from Sue Brookes, who isthe current Director of Strategy at the SPS.

And from the current Chief Inspector of Prisons for
Scotland, Wendy Sinclair-Gieben, who has been in that

1 position since 2018 or thereabouts.

2	We have also had evidence from a number of retired
3	prison officers who had worked in various SPS
4	establishments.
5	Yesterday we had evidence from a current prison
6	officer within the SPS and I think it's correct to say
7	that all of these individuals had worked for the
8	Scottish Prison Service over a lengthy period of time.
9	We also had some evidence earlier this week from
10	Ian MacFadyen, who is someone who had first-hand
11	experience of life as a prison-based social worker in
12	the late 1980s and in the 1990s.
13	Also, since you gave evidence at the beginning of
14	November 2023, there has been oral evidence from
15	a number of individuals, I think 15 in total, persons
16	whom the Inquiry refer to as applicants, about their
17	experiences during the timeframe of the Inquiry in
18	various SPS establishments and they spoke about
19	experiencing and witnessing abuse. Their evidence about
20	abuse ranged over many decades.
21	In addition, since you gave evidence in early
22	November, there has been a total, I think of about 72
23	read-ins. That is evidence taken from signed witness
24	statements, given to the Inquiry by applicants who spent
25	part of their childhood in one or more than one SPS

establishment and often before then in other residential care settings, such as Approved Schools, List D schools and secure accommodation provided by providers other than the Scottish Prison Service. Indeed, we have heard evidence in these read-ins and in the oral evidence of abuse occurring in these other establishments as well as within the SPS establishments.

8 That evidence, covering a period from the 1950s 9 through to the 2000s, included evidence of being abused 10 and often of witnessing the abuse of other young 11 persons.

12 Today there is an opportunity for you to respond to the evidence to date concerning establishments run by 13 14 the SPS. My understanding is that both of you would wish to do so. Can I just say this. I think I'll just 15 16 let you decide who wants to go first and can you please 17 be aware that there's no pressure of time. I understand you have things to say without needing to be asked 18 19 questions about the matters and take as long as you 20 require to make the response that you wish to make 21 today. If I could make that clear.

22 LADY SMITH: Mr Peoples, could I ask you one thing to insert 23 for the transcript, and of course we know it, just spell 24 out which of the establishments we have been hearing 25 about since September were SPS establishments. It's the

1 four of them.

2	MR PEOPLES: We have heard about a number, but obviously
3	we've heard about Longriggend, Glenochil
4	Detention Centre and Young Offenders, Barlinnie,
5	Polmont, but we have also heard about a number of
6	establishments, other establishments, including open
7	borstals, Noranside, Castle Huntly. We have heard a bit
8	about Greenock Prison and Perth Prison, Dumfries,
9	Jessiefield. We have heard about Craiginches as well,
10	so there's quite a large range of establishments.
11	I'm conscious that we focused to a large extent on
12	four in particular, but we have heard evidence, to some
13	extent comparative evidence, about the different regimes
14	and treatments. Is that sufficient for present
15	purposes?
16	LADY SMITH: I think that is helpful, yes. But there is the
17	core four with others that have been involved as well.
18	MR PEOPLES: Yes. I may have missed some out, and
19	I apologise if I have, but I think I have most of them.
20	LADY SMITH: I don't think so. Not that have a
21	MR PEOPLES: Cornton Vale, sorry, and HMP Stirling.
22	I should have mentioned those as well, because again
23	they're not within the core four, but we have heard some
24	evidence and clearly they were places that housed female
25	prisoners, both young persons under 18 and those over

1 18.

2 I think I've covered just about everything, I hope. LADY SMITH: Thank you. 3 MR PEOPLES: With that introduction, perhaps I can hand over 4 5 to you to make the response you wish to make today. 6 I don't know who wishes to go first, it's a matter 7 very much for you. MS MEDHURST: Thank you very much, Mr Peoples. I will go 8 9 before Neil Rennick. I appreciate the opportunity this morning to come 10 11 back to the Inquiry and to give a response to the 12 evidence that the Inquiry has heard over the last number 13 of weeks. 14 There are a number of issues that I would like to cover and I appreciate that you've said, Mr Peoples, 15 16 that there isn't any time bar on this, so I'll take the 17 time necessary and I'm happy to take any questions obviously on anything that I cover off. 18 I have followed carefully the evidence that has been 19 20 provided by applicants throughout November and December. 21 The evidence has been from very young and very 22 vulnerable children at the time that they were in custody and has been very harrowing and distressing and 23 I want to recognise the courage of the applicants who 24 25 have provided both written and oral evidence, as well as

to those who have not, for whatever reasons, been in a position to provide evidence, which is likely would have amplified the experience of those who have engaged with the Inquiry.

5 Within the evidence, I have been struck by the 6 amount of physical violence, often carried out by 7 multiple perpetrators and most often by staff, staff who 8 have been entrusted with the care of young people and 9 have clearly overstepped their roles and authority and 10 breached the trust that was placed in them.

11 This will have created a real fear for those who 12 were abused, but also for others who were clearly aware 13 of that abuse taking place.

14 In addition, there were obviously other forms of 15 abuse, which included sexual abuse, intimidation, staff 16 and peer-on-peer bullying were also shared in graphic 17 detail and that children have been subjected to that 18 both prior to and upon coming into prison custody.

19 There was also unacceptable treatment described in 20 the physical conditions experienced, including slopping 21 out, being kept locked up for long periods of time, with 22 no access to learning or to purposeful activities, poor 23 healthcare, poor food and much more.

24 Some applicants have been able to detail a number of 25 significant adverse impacts that have had a profound

1 effect on them, long after departing SPS establishments. 2 These include poor relationships with authority and a complete mistrust of those who were empowered to care 3 and protect those children and who had an utmost 4 5 requirement for that care and protection. The evidence sets out for me that the job of care 6 providers, and particularly that of prison officers, was 7 8 and continues to be critical to the protection, welfare and life prospects of those children in our care and in 9 10 particular those children who were abused. 11 In not achieving that care and protection of those 12 children they have been significantly impacted to the point of failure. 13 14 I cannot begin to comprehend the physical, the psychological and the emotional impact that these 15 16 experiences will have had on those who had to endure 17 such treatment and has reinforced for me the need for the apology that I provided to the Inquiry previously. 18 19 I would like to reiterate again that the apology was 20 not only to those who have provided evidence to the 21 Inquiry, but also to those who for whatever reason 22 haven't or have not yet provided evidence to the Inquiry 23 and to their families. 24 I also want to put on record my thanks to those who 25 have courageously participated and I do not

underestimate how difficult and vulnerable a process
 that must have been for them.

As Chief Executive of an organisation that has a desire to be better informed and to learn, I want to assure you that I have listened and I will give full consideration to the evidence and its implications for the organisation in how we care for children and young people going forward.

9 I also, though, would like to put on record that the 10 organisation has made improvements and whilst that is 11 not to either underestimate or undermine the evidence in 12 any way, conditions have changed and continue to change 13 and there are a number of specific areas that I would 14 want to cover.

15 The first one is in relation to the care for young 16 people and children in relation to being in a more 17 individualised care approach.

Today, we do try very hard to take the care of 18 children and young people as an individualised approach. 19 20 We look at the needs and risks associated with children 21 and young people and try to encourage them to develop 22 positive, meaningful relationships and participate in activities and interventions that enhance their sense of 23 24 agency and improve their life prospects of becoming 25 responsible members of our communities.

1 I firmly believe that this is the right approach and 2 we will continue to develop this further as we move towards becoming a truly trauma-informed organisation. 3 This will ensure we're giving ourselves the best chance 1 5 of providing services and opportunities for children and young people to support their growth and development. 6 7 The other area -- this is an area that I know has 8 come up on a number of occasions during the applicant evidence -- is around our approach to restraint and 9 10 non-pain-inducing restraint.

11 The need on occasion to apply restraint procedures 12 should only ever be applied as a last resort and only 13 when the risk to the individual, either to themselves or 14 to others around them, necessitates it. It is a complex 15 event that does not come without its risks, however it 16 is essential to the safe and secure operation of prison 17 custody.

As part of this change to introducing 18 non-pain-inducing restraint, our staff are strongly 19 20 encouraged to utilise their interpersonal skills, 21 influence and their relationships to deescalate 22 conflict. Where this can't be achieved, methods of 23 non-pain-inducing restraint are applied in the first 24 instance and we are seeing already some extremely 25 encouraging results from the initial pilots we have

undertaken, both at Polmont and in the new women's
 estate.

This change has been implemented as a result of 3 becoming more informed and gaining a better 4 5 understanding of the specific needs of children and 6 young people and the significant trauma that can reemerge as a consequence of an episode of restraint 7 8 because of their prior life experiences. All of this has been and continues to be evolving and informed by 9 the voices of those who are affected, i.e. the voices of 10 11 children and young people in particular.

12 The other area I would want to focus on is about 13 becoming a trauma-informed organisation. You made 14 reference earlier, Mr Peoples, to the evidence of 15 Ms Brookes, who indicated in her evidence that SPS is in 16 the early stages of becoming a trauma-informed 17 organisation.

This allows us to implement ways of working and allows us to apply meaningful development for our staff that will move us towards truly understanding the specific needs of our prison populations and providing services in care in a way that recognises these.

23 We have recently implemented some changes that we 24 believe align to recognising trauma and the impact that 25 it has on those in custody. This includes changes to

our approach to suicide prevention and to the searching
 of young people within custody.

3 Our new mental health strategy, which will shortly 4 be published, will also align to the principles of 5 trauma-informed practice.

6 The final area I would want to cover would be around 7 recruitment, training and professionalisation of our 8 staff.

SPS remains committed to exploring opportunities to 9 professionalise our staff and the critical services and 10 11 care that they provide. Recruitment practising 12 standards have evolved and improved through behavioural 13 competencies that were applied to the now values-based 14 approach, which supports understanding more about how values determine actions and behaviours and has achieved 15 16 some success in supporting social mobility and improving 17 diversity, which is particularly evident in relation to gender balance within establishments. That is very 18 obvious now when you visit any prison. 19

I support -- Professor Andrew Coyle who set out that prison staff would gain much more confidence if they were given a qualification and ongoing support and recognised as professional body of men and women. We are taking steps towards professionalisation and upskilling our workforce, this includes cutting-edge

approaches to management and leadership for our most senior staff and providing practical, theoretical and academic learning for new and existing prison officers to give them both the practical skills they need to do their job but also exposure to the compelling academic evidence and research base that underpins their training and practice.

8 I am acutely aware of the insular nature of the 9 prison environment and the need to instill public 10 confidence in the job that our staff do. Therefore any 11 measure that would professionalise and define a bespoke 12 benchmark to hold us to account and provide recognition 13 for prison staff would be welcomed.

However, my perspective would be that we are still many years away from that type of arrangement, but we must continue to implement improvements that will help us achieve that in terms of the direction of travel.

Finally, what I would like to do is just reiterate the commitment to learning from the evidence, the applicant evidence, that has been provided to the Inquiry and we will do so in the coming weeks and months to help improve our practice and our service delivery to those in our care, particularly children and young people.

25 Thank you.

LADY SMITH: Teresa, could I just ask you something about 1 2 one of the observations you made towards the end there. You talked about the need to instill public 3 4 confidence in the job your staff do, which, as they may 5 say, is a no-brainer. The public need to trust the 6 Prison Service to be doing the right thing in all aspects of its responsibilities, including carrying out 7 8 a court sentence. 9 You went on: 10 "Therefore any measure that would professionalise 11 and define a bespoke benchmark to hold us to account and 12 provide recognition for prison staff would be welcomed." 13 I'm slightly confused there. I understand what you 14 were saying about supporting Professor Coyle's proposal that creating qualifications and ongoing support for 15 16 staff so that they're properly recognised as 17 a professional body of men and women is more than well worth considering, but then when you are talking about 18 a benchmark you seem to be talking about holding the 19 20 whole service to account. 21 What are you saying? 22 MS MEDHURST: That's a good point, my Lady. I suppose it's in respect of the role of the 23 24 Inspectorate and the organisation rightly being held to 25 account for our delivery by His Majesty's Chief

Inspector of Prisons and thereby any changes and that
 has changed over time, so the standards are much more
 human-rights based than they were previously and they
 will continue to evolve and change, so that kind of
 benchmarking I think is what I was referring to.
 LADY SMITH: Thank you.

Two other things.

7

25

8 One, have you given thought to the need to protect not just individual children and young people from being 9 abused but also those who are fortunate enough, one 10 11 might say, not to personally be the target of abuse, but 12 if it is happening anywhere in the prison or the 13 institution are having to live in an environment where 14 they see it happening and it is an environment where 15 abuse goes on?

16 Do you recognise that they need to be protected as 17 much as those who are actually targeted? MS MEDHURST: Yes, yes. I think that, my Lady, was what 18 19 I was trying to allude to at the very start about the --20 not just those who have been abused but obviously others 21 as well and within any prison environment it is a very 22 closed community and therefore people do know and 23 understand what is happening. LADY SMITH: Yes. Of course, we have heard from people who 24

18

may have said, "I kept a low profile. I learnt how to

stay away from the trouble", but they were still very conscious of living in a dreadful place, where others were suffering.

4 MS MEDHURST: Exactly, yes.

5 LADY SMITH: Finally, this point, if I may, Teresa: as you 6 look through what we have learnt just since September by 7 listening to all the evidence of over 80 people that has 8 been presented, have you had any thought about what it was about the systems that were in place that was 9 10 failing children and young people or what was absent 11 from the systems that needed to be there? 12 MS MEDHURST: Probably two things I would reflect on that 13 relate to the point that you've made there, my Lady. 14 One would be, and it's come up through other evidence that you've received about the imbalance in 15 16 control and power within prisons, and that the 17 organisation has been very much in a transition --18 although we still have uniformed staff that authority 19 and power and control has shifted. Although we are 20 still a uniformed service, I would suggest very strongly 21 that the military-style approach that existed previously 22 has definitely eroded and it's something that we want to 23 move away from and we have shifted considerably in that sense, and knowing and understanding the imbalance and 24 25 the power and control and people's responsibilities is

something which we do instill as part of our training
 for staff.

3 That would be one element of the issue about how
4 that system issue has probably occurred.

5 The other one I would suggest is around our training and the difference now, both in terms of recruitment and 6 7 training, so working more on that values based, making 8 sure that people do have the right values we're looking for. It's not about people's background or coming from 9 10 certain sectors and actually we have diversified the 11 recruitment practice to such an extent that we have 12 people coming in with degrees, we have people coming in 13 from the care sector. So we are drawing on a much more 14 diverse background and experience base than we ever have 15 previously. Then the training people are exposed to 16 when they come in now is much more focused on the 17 theory, evidence and research that underpins the journeys that people experience prior to coming into 18 19 custody.

It's not just about the criminal act itself that results in the individual coming in, but we look at the whole person, at their whole life journey and try and get staff to understand how that then has led them to where they are today. Therefore in looking at how someone then manages their way through their sentence,

1 we need to use that to inform how we can support that 2 individual best to make most of their time in custody. 3 LADY SMITH: When you talk about values, are you including 4 the need to instill in people, if they don't already 5 have it, the right attitude towards children and young 6 people who are in any form of custody? They might not 7 be very nice to the people that are in charge. It may 8 at times be very unpleasant dealing with them and they may be offensive to the people who are in charge. 9 In the teeth of which I can see maintaining the 10 11 right attitudes must be difficult. Do I have that 12 right? MS MEDHURST: Both in my experience and in the training that 13 14 we do, when staff are aware of why people are behaving in that way, they are far more understanding. So less 15 16 likely to respond in a negative way and also helping 17 staff to understand how their behaviour can then impact on somebody. Therefore, their approach needs to change. 18 19 Helping them to reflect on that, that does mean that 20 that engagement remains positive and there is much more understanding. 21 22 LADY SMITH: Thank you. 23 Mr Peoples.

24 MR PEOPLES: My Lady, I think my Lady's covered it.

25 One thing perhaps I can say, just on the last point

1 about understanding. The officer who gave evidence 2 yesterday, 'Peter', who is still serving, did say that whatever training he had I think he said at one point 3 that when he started to get it, was when he received 4 5 training about disability and learning difficulties and 6 that he -- it was really like a lightbulb moment that he could then understand some of the behaviours that were 7 8 being encountered. That, at least for him, meant that he could respond perhaps in a more effective and 9 10 positive way rather than a negative way that would 11 simply reinforce the behaviour that he was responding 12 to. We heard that yesterday from him. He had been 13

14 invited to a programme, a more recent programme on 15 learning difficulties and disability and he felt he had 16 benefited from that. Before that the policies, albeit 17 well intentioned, did not register in the same way with 18 him.

19 It was the understanding --

20 MS MEDHURST: That's right, it is the understanding and 21 those lightbulb moments for staff often come once they 22 have experience and they know and understand how then to 23 deploy their knowledge in the most effective way. So 24 it's very welcome to hear that that has proven 25 beneficial and a positive experience.

1 Thank you.

2 MR PEOPLES: I think perhaps -- unless Teresa has anything 3 further she wishes to add -- is it Neil's turn to make his response? 4 5 MR RENNICK: Thank you, Mr Peoples. 6 Thank you, my Lady. Like Teresa I'm really grateful for the opportunity 7 8 to come back and give further evidence to the Inquiry, responding to the individual evidence that you have 9 10 heard from applicants. 11 In considering this, absolutely nothing has altered 12 the apology that I provided last time on behalf of Scottish Ministers. I think the evidence that you've 13 14 received has reaffirmed and reemphasised the apology and the acknowledgement that I gave and I'll say a little 15 more about why that is the case. 16 17 Obviously, as a person reacting to very distressing evidence, there are a number of impressions that you 18 feel from that and one of those is that these are all 19 20 individuals, although it's presented as a set of 21 information from a range of individuals over an extended period of time, they are all individuals and in many 22 cases, in fact in all cases, they were young, in some 23 cases exceptionally young. I was really struck by the 24 25 example of, if I have the evidence right, a 12-year-old

1 who was describing his experience of being accommodated 2 alongside adults and again picturing what that must have felt like for a young person of 12, to be incarcerated 3 at all and to be in that environment with adults, 4 5 including sharing showers, et cetera. The other thing that struck me was that range of 6 7 experiences. In some cases the young people were 8 accommodated for relatively short periods of time, three weeks. In other cases for longer periods of time, but 9 10 clearly however long or however short that period was, 11 it has had a life-changing impact and that they are 12 still recalling the memories from that experience and the trauma that that has caused to them. 13 14 Obviously some of the individual examples, as Teresa says, are hugely distressing and that includes examples 15 16 of very serious physical violence and abuse and also 17 incredibly distressing sexual abuse as well. 18 Again, what struck me from the evidence was the full 19 range of difference experiences, including psychological 20 abuse, that people experienced, not only from what 21 happened to them but also witnessing what happened to 22 their peers as well. I am really conscious that we should not underestimate that psychological impact 23 alongside some of those other incidents that clearly 24

24

have spread into more criminal behaviour and resulted in

1 hospitalisation.

25

2	That included examples of people who recalled
3	receiving discipline for relatively minor infringements
4	of the regimes in which they were operating, and it's
5	a helpful reminder and indicator of what the experience
6	was like and what those regimes were like.
7	Like Teresa I was also struck by the proportion of
8	the evidence that related to staff violence and
9	incidents involving staff. Clearly, the evidence that
10	the SPS provided, the statistical evidence, indicated
11	that it was more peer-on-peer violence was what was
12	recorded, but I was really struck by the evidence from
13	one of the applicants I'm sorry, I can't remember
14	which one it was specifically was which was that it
15	was the incidents involving staff had a bigger impact,
16	that that was what they recalled, that is what had
17	a bigger impact than the peer-on-peer incidents,
18	inherently because of the power imbalance that existed
19	within people being held in custody between staff and
20	those being cared for.
21	I think it is really important and you've mentioned
22	the evidence that's been provided by both a current and
23	previous prison officers and prison staff, it's really
24	important for me to acknowledge that that power

imbalance didn't just exist between the staff and the

young people, it existed between the regimes and the
 Government and the structures that we had and how we
 accommodated young people who came into contact with the
 justice system.

5 It is evident from the evidence that was provided that the structures that we put in place around the 6 7 regime and crucially the structures that were put in 8 place to try and ensure that issues and concerns that were raised were dealt with, did not operate 9 10 effectively. That includes, for example, the evidence 11 that was given of prison visiting committees, of issues 12 either not being dealt with or the structures not allowing prison visitors to become aware of incidents 13 14 that happened.

It's important to say that is not to denigrate the 15 16 people who over an extended period of time have been 17 involved in those roles, either within the inspection process or in visiting, but to recognise that from 18 19 a Government point of view those systems did not do the 20 job that they were expected to do in terms of ensuring 21 that we appropriately protected young people who are in 22 our care.

23 That clearly reflected in part the culture that we
24 had within Government as well and how we reacted to
25 those incidents.

1 I'll conclude obviously by acknowledging that those 2 issues around maintaining the effectiveness of inspection and prison monitoring are clearly issues that 3 are not things that are done and dusted and don't 4 5 require constant supervision and constant monitoring and 6 updating. Clearly, my view would be that those have 7 strengthened and improved over the recent decades, 8 including thanks to international intervention, but there is clearly constant work that we need to continue 9 10 doing on that. 11 The other thing that I would acknowledge is --12 obviously in the evidence that I provided when I was here before was around the significant change in terms 13 14 of our overall approach to young people within the 15 justice system. 16 The most recent statistics which I have from 17 8 December are that there was only one young person aged under 18 in a young offenders institution in Scotland 18 and that is really welcome. But, as the Inspector of 19 20 Prisons said in her report from Polmont this week, one 21 person is still one young person too many to be in 22 a YOI. The Children (Care and Justice) Bill is still 23 24 progressing through the Parliament and I can talk more 25 about that process, but even then I acknowledge that

1 there are lessons that don't just apply to under 18s and 2 even if that Bill is passed by Parliament and enacted and implemented, there are still lessons in terms of our 3 care for other young people up to 25 and adults that we 4 5 care for within our prisons as well, that we in 6 Government and Teresa will need to draw on in terms of 7 looking to the future. 8 But I just conclude by repeating the apology that I gave previously both on behalf of Scottish Ministers 9 and officials as well. 10 11 MR PEOPLES: Can I just raise two points out of the last 12 part of your evidence? 13 The evidence we had had recently was there were five 14 people under 18 in young offenders, four in Polmont and one in Stirling. Are you telling us it's now down to 15 16 one? 17 MR RENNICK: It was in the most recent figures, although it 18 changes every week. I think during November we had a range between five and two or six and two, so it 19 20 changes every week. 21 MR PEOPLES: It does change. 22 The other matter, the update on the Care and Justice 23 Bill, am I right in thinking it's still the intention of 24 Scottish Government to take under 18s completely out of 25 the SPS young offenders regime without exception?

1 MR RENNICK: Yes, that's right.

2	MR PEOPLES: I don't really have anything at this stage
3	beyond these questions, unless your Ladyship has
4	anything?
5	LADY SMITH: Can you just remind me what stage the Bill is
6	at?
7	MR RENNICK: Yes, it's at Stage Two in the Parliamentary
8	process, so that's the first stage in which amendments
9	are possible to the Bill. The Ministers already
10	indicated that there will be a number of Scottish
11	Government amendments relating to particularly issues
12	around information for victims, et cetera.
13	We anticipate, although they're not due until the
14	new year, opposition amendments as well. Those have to
15	be provided by the second part of January and the
16	Parliament has set 9 February as the date on which
17	Stage Two must be complete, but there's been nothing in
18	the discussions that the Minister, Ms Don, has had with
19	the Parliament that indicates any change to the approach
20	in terms of 16 and 17-year-olds no longer being
21	accommodated in YOI if the Bill is passed.
22	LADY SMITH: Thank you very much.
23	I've no other questions.
24	MR PEOPLES: Can I just reiterate my thanks for coming back
25	today and responding to the evidence you've heard.

Other than that, I would just wish you a merry Christmas. LADY SMITH: My thanks as well. I'm really grateful to you both for coming back today and also for having obviously thought very carefully about what's been presented in evidence since September and what you have learned so far from it. I'm glad to hear that both of you are still in learning mode. Good luck with that. I wish you well. Also, can I add my wishes for a very happy Christmas and a good 2024 to you both. Thank you. MS MEDHURST: Thank you. (10.47 am) (The Inquiry adjourned until 10.00 on Tuesday, 19 December 2023)

1	INDEX
2	PAGE
3	Teresa Medhurst (sworn)1
4	Neil Rennick (sworn)1
5	Questions from Mr Peoples2
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	