2 (10.00 am)

1

- 3 LADY SMITH: Good morning. Today is the last day
- 4 I'm sitting before Christmas and we move to inviting
- 5 some submissions from Scottish Ministers, but first of
- 6 all I'll turn to Mr Peoples.
- 7 MR PEOPLES: Yes, my Lady.
- 8 As your Ladyship is aware, we did complete the
- 9 evidential part of this chapter on Friday and today is
- 10 an opportunity for the Scottish Ministers to make some
- 11 closing responses to the evidence to date. I can say
- 12 that they have produced a written statement --
- an interim statement -- which I think Ms O'Neill will at
- 14 least speak to or at least summarise in a moment or two.
- 15 Can I just say, I'll give the reference that we've
- 16 given to that document at the moment, it's
- 17 SGV-000102975. Can I just also say, because it does
- 18 make reference to the current suicide prevention
- 19 strategy, Talk to Me, and there has also been produced
- 20 along with the interim closing statement another
- 21 document, which is part of the guidance in relation to
- 22 that strategy. I'm not sure it needs to be referred to
- 23 today, but I'll just give the reference to show it has
- 24 been produced.
- 25 LADY SMITH: That would be helpful. It is a Scottish Prison

- 1 Service current document.
- 2 MR PEOPLES: Yes, it's part of the guidance that is applied
- 3 currently. That document is SGV-000102974, but as I say
- I don't think it will be necessary today to go through
- 5 that at this stage.
- 6 With that introduction, my Lady, I just really hand
- 7 over to Ms O'Neill to no doubt pick out some of the
- 8 submissions. I don't think she intends to go through it
- 9 paragraph by paragraph, but it's very much a matter for
- 10 her.
- 11 LADY SMITH: Yes.
- 12 Ms O'Neill, thank you for providing your interim
- 13 closing submissions -- as you've entitled them -- in
- 14 advance, which I have looked at. There are obviously
- a number of points that you wish to make, including some
- 16 in which you take issue with one or two things that have
- 17 been mentioned and a helpful section at the end when
- 18 you're beginning to look to the future, although we're
- 19 not quite sure whether it's going because that will
- 20 depend on legislation.
- 21 Please do, if you're ready, go ahead and address me
- 22 in relation to your submissions.
- 23 MS O'NEILL: My Lady, thank you.
- Just before I do that, just to mention the document
- 25 that was produced with the closing submissions.

- My Lady, the point here was that in preparing these
- 2 submissions it was identified that quite a lot of the
- 3 guidance in relation to Talk to Me had been produced to
- 4 the Inquiry but this particular document had not been
- 5 included in the bundle, so it was for completeness
- 6 rather than anything else, my Lady.
- 7 I've also had a discussion with Mr Peoples this
- 8 morning about an interim report which is referred to in
- 9 these submissions, which we can also make available to
- 10 the Inquiry, which we'll do immediately after this
- 11 hearing.
- 12 LADY SMITH: That would be helpful, thank you.
- 13 Closing submissions by Ms O'Neill
- 14 MS O'NEILL: My Lady, the Inquiry does have the written
- 15 statement that has been produced and I would adopt that
- and it prevails if in my summary I miss out anything
- 17 that's in the written submission.
- 18 As your Ladyship will understand, these are
- 19 submissions on behalf of the Scottish Ministers, which
- 20 in this context includes the Prison Service and
- 21 Education Scotland as agencies of the Scottish
- 22 Government.
- 23 The Inquiry and senior counsel to the Inquiry have
- 24 helpfully made clear that this does not represent the
- 25 last opportunity for core participants to make

submissions in relation to Phase 8 and we've therefore
taken the view we should address only those matters
which seem particularly distinct to the SPS elements of
the evidence already given. Scottish Ministers are
conscious that there's a very good deal of evidence
still to be heard in Phase 8 and that that will include
substantial further evidence from applicants on their
experiences of abuse in contexts such as secure

accommodation.

In those circumstances, the view has been taken that a number of the wider themes such as restraint, punishment, isolation and inadequate provision of education should be held over to the submissions at the end of Phase 8 so that they can accommodate all the evidence that is yet to be led.

We do anticipate revisiting those issues and indeed, my Lady, the question of legislative reform in the closing submissions to be delivered at the conclusion of Phase 8.

Part 2 of the written statement describes the

Scottish Ministers' interest in this part of the

Inquiry's work. I don't propose to read that out. It's

well known to the Inquiry.

Part 3 deals with evidence given on behalf of the Scottish Ministers. The opening statement, which I gave

- for the Ministers, referred to the Inquiry's request for
- 2 information from the Scottish Prison Service and from
- 3 Education Scotland in relation to Phase 8 and
- 4 I explained what had been done to respond to those
- 5 requests for information.
- As anticipated, at that time, oral evidence was
- 7 of course then given to the Inquiry by the Chief
- 8 Executive of SPS, Teresa Medhurst, the Scottish
- 9 Government's Director General for Justice and Education,
- 10 Neil Rennick and Education Scotland's Strategic Director
- 11 for Scrutiny, Janie McManus.
- 12 In the course of their oral evidence various
- 13 questions were asked of these witnesses in relation to
- 14 which they undertook to provide further information in
- 15 writing to the Inquiry. That was provided by email to
- 16 the Inquiry on 7 December, to which was attached a table
- of answers to questions arising from the evidence of
- 18 Ms Medhurst and Mr Rennick. I think Mr Peoples made
- 19 reference to that table when he was revisiting their
- 20 evidence with them last Friday.
- 21 LADY SMITH: That's right.
- 22 MS O'NEILL: There was a further email or indeed an email
- 23 earlier on 6 December, with a letter from the Chief
- 24 Inspector of Education, dealing with some issues
- 25 relating to the Education Scotland evidence and the

1 Inquiry is invited to have regard to that evidence.

My Lady, part 4 of this submission deals with applicants' experiences. In the report prepared by SPS and submitted to the Inquiry in advance of the Phase 8 hearings, the Scottish Ministers and SPS unequivocally acknowledged that children had been abused while in their care.

The Scottish Ministers acknowledge that children were also subjected to practices, conditions and regimes that were either abusive or otherwise plainly unacceptable.

The report accepted and acknowledged that children committed to Longriggend Detention Centre, Glenochil,
Barlinnie and Polmont Young Offenders Institutions were subjected to physical abuse, including disproportionate or otherwise inappropriate use of control and restraint techniques by staff, sexual abuse, verbal abuse and psychological and emotional abuse, including bullying and neglect. That abuse took place between peers and by adult prisoners or staff.

The report contains substantial information from the historical records available to SPS, which evidenced the abuse that had been acknowledged and some of that information was spoken to by Teresa Medhurst and Neil Rennick when they gave oral evidence to the

1 Inquiry.

The evidence that has been given to the Inquiry so
far by applicants in writing and in person has conveyed
the nature, scale and impact of the abuse suffered by
children in these institutions in a way that the report
could not.

The Inquiry has heard evidence from applicants who were children in these institutions in the 1950s, 1960s 1970s, 1980s, 1990s and 2000s. It's not possible to do full justice to that evidence in these submissions.

It included appalling evidence of abuse perpetrated by prison staff as well as peer-on-peer abuse that should not have been allowed to happen. There was evidence of physical abuse in almost every conceivable form, that was as routine as it was serious, as well as sexual and emotional abuse.

There was evidence about failures to protect children from the mental health impacts of their prior adverse child experiences and of their incarceration during the time they spent within prison custody and from the impacts of mental health difficulties being experienced by those around them.

One striking example was the evidence of 'Bruce' that was read in on 17 November 2023, where he described his attempt to get help when his cellmate attempted

- 1 suicide, the response to which was advice that he should
- 2 ring the bell in his cell if anything else happened.
- 3 LADY SMITH: Of course the point he went on to make there
- 4 was that well if he did ring the bell experience told
- 5 him that the screws, as he called them, never came or
- 6 they took their time, so that wasn't exactly feeling
- 7 helpful as far as he was concerned.
- 8 MS O'NEILL: My Lady, there was nothing good about the
- 9 evidence but the advice in the first instance was
- 10 obviously wholly inadequate by way of response.
- 11 There was evidence of children and young people
- 12 being placed in cells with and being exposed in a range
- of contexts to adults who presented a serious risk to
- 14 them.
- 15 There was evidence of wholly unacceptable practices
- in relation to punishment and control, including
- 17 solitary confinement.
- 18 As powerful was the evidence given about practices
- 19 that at one level might be thought to be low level or
- 20 petty but which were acts of cruelty clearly designed to
- 21 inflict emotional pain. The evidence given by
- 22 Derek Allan that officers would rip up personal
- 23 photographs is only one example.
- 24 LADY SMITH: There was a particular poignancy about that if
- 25 I remember rightly, Ms O'Neill, because it wasn't just

- 1 photographs being destroyed, but prisoners would try to
- 2 save the foil from their tobacco and make little
- 3 photograph frames from it and those frames that they'd
- 4 painstakingly made from their smoothed-out foil from
- 5 saving it from their tobacco would also get ripped up.
- 6 MS O'NEILL: My Lady, it's why I in drafting these
- 7 submissions picked out this example because -- and
- 8 everyone will have a different reflection on different
- 9 parts of the evidence, for me this was one of the most
- 10 powerful pieces of evidence that was given.
- 11 LADY SMITH: There was no suggestion that there was anything
- 12 wrong in having personal photographs or making little
- 13 photograph frames out of your saved foil.
- 14 MS O'NEILL: No, my Lady, I think it speaks to what evidence
- 15 has been given all along about the power dynamics in the
- 16 prison environment and the abuse of that power.
- 17 LADY SMITH: Absolutely.
- 18 MS O'NEILL: The evidence also spoke to practices,
- 19 particularly the placing of children in cells and prison
- 20 environments alongside violent adult prisoners that
- 21 created significant risk for those children and which
- 22 risks were realised.
- 23 The evidence also makes plain the extent to which
- 24 the State failed children in these institutions by
- 25 failing to provide suitable and adequate education and

to create opportunities for development and rehabilitation.

Those failures had as significant an impact on the life chances and adult experiences of applicants as the more direct abuse suffered by them.

The Scottish Government did not apply to counsel to the Inquiry to have questions asked of the applicants who gave evidence. The Scottish Government accepts and believes the evidence that has been given by applicants about the abuse that they experienced.

The written report submitted to the Inquiry by the Scottish Prison Service contains apologies for the abuse that was identified in the research that was done to prepare the report. In her oral evidence to the Inquiry on 2 November, Teresa Medhurst apologised on behalf of the Scottish Prison Service to the children who were abused when in the care of the SPS and its predecessors and to the families of those children.

She also recognised, accepted and apologised for the impact of that abuse on the physical, emotional and psychological well-being of the children who were abused, both during and long after their departure from prison custody.

When giving evidence on behalf of Education Scotland on 28 September Janie McManus apologised for failings in

inspection regimes that contributed to the creation of
environments that enabled the abuse of children to take
place.

Neil Rennick in his oral evidence endorsed on behalf of the Scottish Government the apologies given by

Ms Medhurst and Ms McManus. He acknowledged that the harm experienced by children in prison settings did not exist in isolation from the operation of the wider justice system and the decisions taken by Government and policies set by Ministers.

He apologised for the contribution that Government decisions and the action of officials made to the abuse experienced by children accommodated in prisons and YOI settings.

Each of the witnesses emphasised their commitment and that of the Scottish Government to learn from the experience of survivors and from the work of the Inquiry and to ensure that all possible steps are taken to care for and protect from harm the children and young people in the care of the State.

Those apologies were given before the Inquiry heard the evidence given by applicants and that evidence underlines the need for those apologies. When they gave further evidence on 15 December, Ms Medhurst and Mr Rennick reflected on the evidence that had been given

by applicants and made it clear that they wished to
emphasise that their apologies extended to the
individual survivors who gave evidence as well as to
survivors who for whatever reason have not or have not
yet given evidence to the Inquiry.

Ms McManus was not recalled, but would wish her apology to be understood in the same terms.

As in earlier stages of this Inquiry, the Scottish Government wishes to acknowledge the courage of all the survivors who gave evidence about their experiences and about the impact of childhood abuse on their future lives and to record its gratitude to them for contributing to the Inquiry.

My Lady, there then follows some sections of this submission dealing with particular issues dealt with in the evidence.

The first concerns the making of complaints and the raising of concerns.

The Inquiry has been concerned throughout its work, including in earlier phases, about the availability of mechanisms for children and their families to make complaints and raise concerns about abuse. That includes concerns about the disconnect in many circumstances between the mechanisms described in policies and procedures and the practical reality for

the children and families involved.

The Scottish Prison Service acknowledges and accepts that inadequate complaints and reporting procedures will have affected the response to abuse or allegations of abuse within prison environments.

Part D of the report provided by the SPS to the
Inquiry includes practical examples of historical
complaints processes in operation in relation to
allegations of abuse and highlights inadequacies in
those complaints processes.

SPS acknowledges and accepts that there are a range of factors that affect the willingness and ability of those in its care to make complaints or raise concerns. It agrees with the evidence given by Professor Coyle that raising a complaint in a prison context is made more difficult because of the inherent imbalance of power that exists within that context.

It also agrees with Professor Coyle that one cannot look at the complaint or grievance system in isolation, because that will be largely decided by the ethos of the establishment. As he put it:

"If there is a degree of openness in sharing and understanding and recognising where the boundaries are and not to cross boundaries then that will contribute I think or will make it easier when there is a genuine

- complaint to be dealt with openly and for the prisoner
  not to feel aggrieved."
- Equally, factors relating to the vulnerability of
  the individual being accommodated may also make it
  difficult for them to complain. This was addressed by
  Sue Brookes for the Scottish Prison Service, who gave
  evidence to the Inquiry on 29 September.
- In her written statement, she gave evidence that as
  a matter of fact young people do not complain as often
  as adults:
- "... whether or not they feel they have a reason to
  do so."
- 13 Her explanation for the difference was:

- "This is probably because they are more vulnerable and have less confidence and their relationship with authority hasn't been good so they don't believe action will be taken. Some also have learning difficulties so aren't able to fill in a complaint form. This means we have to work quite hard not just with the complaints process but provide opportunities for much more formal engagement around relationships. Most complaints come where they have a good relationship with a member of staff who helps them fill in the form or help them phone."
- 25 In addition to these factors, the SPS considers that

a key factor that continues to inhibit individuals in

its care from making complaints against others in

custody is the long-standing culture within prisons of

those in custody not complaining for fear of being

viewed as a grass.

Prisoner culture appears to have had and continues to have a significant impact on a person in custody's appetite to complain or raise concerns relating to others also accommodated there.

This remains a substantial barrier to the detection and management of instances of abuse among those within custody.

The Inquiry has heard some evidence about the current complaints processes which is not accepted by SPS. In particular, the evidence of the Chief Inspector of Prisons for Scotland was that all complaints must be in writing and any which are not will be rejected.

In the submission of SPS, this evidence does not accurately reflect the current complaints system.

Information about historical and current complaints processes is contained in Part C of the report prepared by SPS for this phase of the Inquiry's work and current processes were spoken to by Teresa Medhurst in her evidence on 1 and 2 November.

SPS has over time increased the routes for complaint

- to ensure the complaints system is accessible and fit
  for purpose. The current formal complaints process
  requires complaints to be made in writing to the
  Residential or appropriate First-line Manager or
  directly and confidentially to the Governor in charge.
- However, outwith the formal prescribed avenues for raising complaints, complaints can be and often are made in writing or orally via legal representatives, with Independent Prison Monitors, with counsellors and with MSPs or with the police, as spoken to by Teresa Medhurst in her evidence.

- Even where no complaint has been received from

  a person in custody, instances of assault or physical

  violence that are witnessed or established by prison

  staff to have taken place or likely to have taken place

  are referred to the police.
- SPS is of the view that the way in which complaints are made by those in custody and handled by the organisation must be considered in the context of how a prison operates in practice and the role of -
  LADY SMITH: Can I just take you back for one moment, I have
- 21 LADY SMITH: Can I just take you back for one moment, I have
  22 something noted here.
- When you are talking in 5.12 about any instances of
  something happening that's violent, having to be
  reported to the police or if they're likely to have

- taken place they're to be reported to the police. Is
- 2 that getting close to a form of mandatory reporting
- 3 that's operating within the Prison Service or not?
- 4 MS O'NEILL: My Lady, I think it is a matter of policy
- 5 within the Prison Service and therefore it's not
- 6 a matter for the individual discretion of individual
- 7 prison officers or the prison Governor and the
- 8 submission deliberately refers to assault or physical
- 9 violence established to have taken place or likely to
- 10 have taken place. For absolute clarity, my Lady, as
- I understand it, there is a degree of screening and
- 12 I don't want to overemphasise that. There may be
- 13 complaints which prison staff can immediately discount
- 14 as being vexatious or being a reference to something
- 15 that has not taken place, but if there is any suggestion
- 16 that this may have taken place then it is referred to
- 17 the police. That's my understanding.
- 18 LADY SMITH: You may not know the answer to this, but let me
- 19 ask it anyway. Do you know whether a failure to make
- 20 such a report would be treated as a type of misconduct?
- 21 A. I don't know the answer to that, my Lady, but it's
- 22 something that I can find out.
- 23 LADY SMITH: I think I would be quite interested and where
- 24 is it that it's built into training or induction when
- 25 somebody starts working for the service.

- 1 MS O'NEILL: My Lady, we'll follow that up and provide that
- 2 information to the Inquiry.
- 3 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 4 MS O'NEILL: My Lady, I was looking at paragraph 5.13 and
- 5 then to say that staff are directed and expected to
- 6 establish positive, supportive relationships which
- 7 positively impact on a person's experience in custody.
- 8 It is often the case that concerns are raised verbally
- 9 on a more informal basis and staff are expected to make
- 10 efforts to resolve issues and concerns at the lowest
- 11 possible level.
- 12 LADY SMITH: When you say "lowest possible level", what do
- 13 you have in mind?
- 14 MS O'NEILL: I have in mind, my Lady, as part of the
- 15 day-to-day operation of the prison without there being
- 16 a necessity of a formal complaints process, so if the
- 17 officer can through some form of day-to-day intervention
- 18 resolve the concern, that's what would be expected of
- 19 them.
- 20 LADY SMITH: I'm just wondering what types of things might
- 21 happen. The simplest is just to fix an inmate's
- 22 complaint about something that is a daily irritation
- 23 that he thinks is unreasonable.
- 24 MS O'NEILL: My Lady, I have in mind certainly complaints
- 25 about for example physical environment or conditions

- that might be capable of being resolved relatively
- 2 easily and without the need, for example, for a written
- 3 complaint and a process to be followed. The fixing of
- 4 it, as your Ladyship describes it.
- 5 LADY SMITH: The sort of thing at the time that I'm in the
- 6 queue for the showers in the morning I'm early, I'm one
- 7 of the early batch and the water's never hot enough.
- 8 That sort of thing.
- 9 MS O'NEILL: I'm not sure I've seen precisely that example,
- 10 my Lady, but that would strike me as something that
- 11 ought to be resolved without formal complaint.
- 12 LADY SMITH: But if you had say a complaint about bullying,
- are you saying that would still be something that would
- 14 be hopefully capable of resolution on a lowest possible
- 15 level and if so how?
- 16 MS O'NEILL: My Lady, what I'm not going to do is, to use
- 17 the phrase, busk an answer to that question without
- 18 taking instructions.
- 19 I would anticipate the response to that being that
- 20 it would depend on the nature and severity of the
- 21 allegation and that there might be behaviour that would
- 22 fall within the scope of bullying that might be
- 23 relatively low level and that with intervention by
- 24 someone with relationships of trust with those concerned
- 25 could be resolved.

- But I say that without instructions on the specifics
- 2 and whether bullying would be always dealt with in
- 3 a formal way is something I would need to check.
- 4 LADY SMITH: I'm not trying to catch you out, Ms O'Neill,
- 5 but it does strike me it's very easy to say, "Oh, but
- 6 complaints can be made informally, and the practice is
- 7 to try to resolve them at the lowest level possible" and
- 8 you can get a nice picture of maybe something akin to
- 9 mediation, something such as my example of instructing
- 10 a plumber to sort the water, but it may not be that easy
- 11 and I'm wondering whether the system then does get to
- 12 the stage of assisting the inmate to say, "Look, this is
- a matter that needs to go into the formal process. Are
- 14 you prepared to do that? And somebody will help you do
- 15 it".
- 16 MS O'NEILL: My Lady, that is what I understand to be the
- 17 position, but it's clearly something that can be
- 18 followed up by way of example to the Inquiry of the
- 19 kinds of incidents that would be dealt with at that low
- 20 level.
- 21 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 22 MS O'NEILL: My Lady, the importance and impact of positive
- 23 relationships between prison staff and those in custody
- 24 was spoken to by Sue Brookes and SPS and the Scottish
- 25 Ministers endorse her evidence.

They consider that the current complaints system is more accessible than earlier complaints mechanisms, but they also accept that further improvements can be made and welcome the Chief Inspector's aspiration to undertake a thematic review of the complaints procedure. The SPS remains committed to considering what further improvements could be made to the system and recognises and values the role that the Chief Inspector has played and will continue to play in identifying and bringing about improvements to prison custody. On one specific issue raised by Professor Coyle, Ministers do not consider there is currently a case for the creation of a Prisons Ombudsman for Scotland. They consider that the Scottish Public Services Ombudsman, who absorbed prison complaints within her remit following the abolition of the Scottish Prison Complaints Commission in 2010, provides a fit-for-purpose service and the size of the prison estate allows that ombudsman to perform her role effectively and Ministers are not aware of any significant concerns suggesting the contrary. My Lady, the next section concerns the use of safer

1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My Lady, the next section concerns the use of safer cells. The Scottish Ministers have given careful consideration to the evidence of Professor Linda Allan, whose written statement was read into evidence on

22 September 2023. That statement concerns the death of
2 Professor Allan's daughter Katie in custody.

As with any death in custody, Katie Allan's death is a matter of profound regret to the SPS, as is the pain and suffering that her death has caused to her family and friends.

As Professor Allan noted in her evidence, a Fatal Accident Inquiry into Katie's death will take place early next year. In the circumstances, the Scottish Ministers do not consider it would be appropriate to attempt to give evidence in this Inquiry at this time about the circumstances surrounding Katie's death or to express agreement or disagreement with the evidence contained in Professor Allan's statement.

That general position is subject to exception only in relation to what are known as safer cells, which are more commonly -- at least in women's prisons and in relation to young people -- referred to as "safe rooms" and the description attributed to those rooms by Professor Allan as being torture cells.

The Scottish Prison Service does not accept this description. The SPS very clearly recognises the impact of isolation in a suicide prevention context. For that reason, safer cells are used only where deemed absolutely necessary for the preservation of a life of

1 a person in custody.

Enhanced governance arrangements are implemented when a safer cell requires to be used and every effort is made to ensure the safer cell environment is as comfortable and therapeutic as it can be and that reflects the Scottish Prison Service's Talk to Me strategy and guidance.

As noted earlier, the Inquiry heard evidence on 29 September from Sue Brookes, Interim Director of Strategy and Stakeholder Engagement for the SPS. She gave evidence about the evolution of policy and practice within the SPS in relation to the protection of individuals at risk of self-harm, particularly in response to the Chiswick report.

In her evidence she discussed with senior counsel to the Inquiry the purpose and use of safer cells, which she also referred to as safe rooms. She explained the need within the prison estate to have resource which is ligature free, where we can be more confident that people are not going to hurt themselves, that a person being accommodated in a safer cell would be receiving pretty intensive support and that when they're used they're used for as short a time as absolutely possible.

Ms Brookes's evidence was that the Act2Care process, of which safer cells were a part, significantly reduced

the number of deaths that were occurring in custody.

She acknowledged that there may still be individuals who

are not open about their vulnerability because they do

not want to be managed in a way that involves safer

cells, but she said:

"It is significantly better than the situation we were in and Talk to Me has evolved from Act2Care and it will evolve further as we conduct the next review."

The Scottish Ministers endorse the evidence given by Ms Brookes and invite the Inquiry to have regard to the Talk to Me strategy and associated guidance and the Scottish Ministers invite the Inquiry to have regard to this evidence and to find that the use of cells for children may be appropriate in certain circumstances.

My Lady, the next section deals with recruitment, training and employee culture. The Inquiry has heard substantial evidence of abuse perpetrated by prison staff, more than 100 applicants recollect a range of forms of abuse, including physical, sexual, psychological and emotional abuse.

The report submitted by the SPS to the Inquiry discloses evidence of unsuitable individuals serving as prison officers. One example being a staff member being found in 1990 to be serving with undisclosed convictions.

1 The SPS recognises that historic recruitment 2 practices were inadequate and any practices which allowed for the appointment of unsuitable staff are likely to have been a contributory factor to the abuse of children.

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Inquiry has also heard evidence about the current approach to the recruitment of staff and the evidence of Professor Coyle that there were no formal qualification requirements for prison officers was raised with Teresa Medhurst on 2 November, when she gave her oral evidence.

The absence of a specific regulator akin to the Scottish Social Services Council for prison staff was also commented upon by senior counsel to the Inquiry during the evidence of Professor Coyle and that of Sue Brookes.

The report provided to the Inquiry by SPS provides detailed information about historical and current processes. There are some variations to processes depending on role but generally recruitment currently involves a number of steps, including situational judgment tests, cognitive ability tests, group exercises and a values-based interview as well as medical assessment and an enhanced disclosure check.

Teresa Medhurst explained that the decision to

remove a requirement for specific qualifications for prison officers was motivated by a desire to improve diversity within the Scottish Prison Service and encourage social mobility. My Lady, she also spoke to that I think on Friday as to the range of people she now sees applying to join the Prison Service.

She gave evidence about the cognitive tests used by the SPS and the requirement for candidates to achieve four Scottish vocational qualifications during their probationary period and she noted that some candidates do not achieve those requirements and do not proceed further.

Professor Coyle explained that he felt that prison officers would get much more confidence if they were given a qualification and ongoing support and recognised as a professional body of men and women. The Scottish Prison Service agrees with the view of Professor Coyle in this respect and Teresa Medhurst said so on 15 December.

The SPS remains committed to exploring options for professionalisation and current plans are to explore that for new Residential Officers in the first instance.

In relation to training, the SPS does not accept that the evidence of Professor Coyle on 6 October accurately reflects the current arrangements for

training prison officers, insofar as he stated that
training provisions for prison officers were generic in
nature.

Under the current framework, all new prison officers must complete a compulsory six-week block of induction training, which includes core training requirements on appointment and certain courses require refresher training to be completed on an annual, bi-annual or tri-annual basis.

Individual staff training and development needs are identified through an appraisal process and are implemented through a personal development learning plan.

All staff must undergo training on suicide prevention and in 2009 training was introduced for front-line staff on meeting the needs of survivors of childhood sexual abuse within adult male establishments.

There is specific training for officers working with young people, both in their initial training and in the core ongoing training programme.

New officer recruits who will be working in establishments which accommodate young people undertake a five-day caring for young people in custody course and that course covers the laws, policies and legislation that affect young people in more depth.

The training programme is specifically designed to meet the needs of children and young people. After the intake training there is ongoing core training which is specific to each individual employee depending on their role and training needs.

Officers who are working with children and young people will continue to undertake relevant training and officers working with females will undertake the five-day caring for women in custody course. Managers working with the new women's estate have also completed training in gender-responsive and trauma-informed leadership.

The SPS endorses the evidence of Sue Brookes given on 29 September as to the various forms of training received by staff and which represents an accurate summary of the current position.

In relation to prison culture the Inquiry has heard evidence from applicants of wholly unacceptable staff attitudes and behaviours to young people and children. Applicants generally describe the brutal and intimidating nature of staff, including assaults by staff and physical violence used as a means of maintaining control and of reinforcing the unequal power relationship between officers and those in their custody.

The SPS acknowledges and accepts that the culture that is created and maintained within its establishments is crucial to its mission and to the care of those within its custody. It also acknowledges and accepts significant failures in the past in relation to culture.

The SPS has taken and continues to take various steps to assess and improve its overall organisational culture. These include significant leadership and management development opportunities for more senior staff, moving towards becoming a more trauma-informed organisation and the scoping of evaluation work to deliver an assessment of the organisation's culture.

The importance of prison officers being better informed about the needs of children and young people was spoken to by Teresa Medhurst on 15 December, including the importance of prison officers understanding how they can use their interpersonal skills, influence and relationships to deescalate conflict.

My Lady, the next section relates to reform of the law relating to children in custody. It describes the legislative progress of the Bill that is before Parliament. I don't propose, my Lady, to read that section because I think it's well known to the Inquiry and Neil Rennick mentioned on Friday the updates to the

- 1 legislative programme and the anticipated conclusion of
- 2 stage 2 of that Bill's passage.
- 3 LADY SMITH: Help me with this, Ms O'Neill, if you were
- 4 asked, well at the moment what do you see in your mind's
- 5 eye as being the vision that Scottish Government seem to
- 6 be working towards, what is it?
- 7 MS O'NEILL: It's that no child is in the prison estate and
- 8 that care is provided in alternative forms and that that
- 9 form will be secure accommodation where the needs of the
- 10 child require it for their own care and protection and
- 11 for the care and protection of others.
- 12 LADY SMITH: That's very easy to say, but of course one has
- 13 to recognise that in the broad spectrum of children,
- 14 under-18-year olds, who will have to be provided for,
- 15 there is a variety between those who are there because
- of some minor trouble they got into, as you say others
- 17 who can't safely be cared for in the family setting at
- 18 all and they actually need a secure setting for various
- 19 reasons, possibly at the Children's Hearing can
- 20 articulate. You have those who may have committed
- 21 a very serious offence. That's quite challenging,
- 22 isn't it?
- 23 MS O'NEILL: My Lady, I think those behind me are already
- 24 horrified that I would take it upon myself to announce
- 25 a vision. They'll be even more horrified if I say much

1 more on this topic at this stage.

What I would say is that Mr Peoples and I had a conversation this morning about precisely this topic and about the range of care environments which might be encompassed within the overall umbrella of the concept of secure accommodation and the nature of the different needs that may need to be prepared for and accommodated within that broad church of secure accommodation.

We have talked about the value to the Inquiry of providing information to the Inquiry about the range of different secure care environments that currently exist and may exist and how an individual child comes to be in one of those different kinds of environments, depending on, for example, the nature of any offence that they've committed, the degree of risk that they're assessed as presenting in the future. That's certainly, my Lady, something that I will be taking away with those instructing me to try to provide for information to the Inquiry about how that has operated in the past, how it operates now and how it's expected to operate in the future.

LADY SMITH: Is an essential element of Scottish Government moving forward on this that they become well aware of the risks involved? I'm interested obviously in the risks of it going wrong in the sense of another way of

- 1 creating an environment where children are at risk of
- 2 abuse occurs?
- 3 It's a risk assessment challenge, isn't it?
- 4 MS O'NEILL: It is, my Lady. What I would say to that --
- 5 I think this reflects the evidence that has been given
- 6 by Neil Rennick -- is that in many respects that
- 7 challenge is not new and it's not going to be radically
- 8 newer as a result of this Bill, because as we have seen
- 9 from the declining numbers of children being
- 10 accommodated in the prison estate, there is already in
- 11 practice a move to accommodate children who might
- 12 otherwise have been in custodial environments to
- 13 accommodate those in secure care and so that risk
- 14 assessment and that work is already being done in
- 15 respect of those children.
- 16 Again, I certainly don't want to trivialise the
- importance of the proposed legislative change, but
- 18 I think the position of Scottish Government would be
- 19 that that is to reflect what has been the policy
- 20 direction for some time and to prevent any risk of
- 21 children being moved to the prison estate.
- 22 LADY SMITH: I would hope that work is also being done to
- 23 assess genuinely how well is this working for the
- 24 children in this new regime.
- 25 You don't need to comment if you don't know the

- 1 answer to that.
- 2 MS O'NEILL: My Lady, part 8 of the submission refers to the
- 3 work that is being done by the Children and Young
- 4 People's Centre for Justice in the wider context of
- 5 secure accommodation. That's the report to which I made
- 6 reference at the beginning that we will share with the
- 7 Inquiry, it's publicly available but happy to direct the
- 8 Inquiry to where it's publicly available and that is
- 9 an interim report published this month. A final report
- 10 is anticipated in the spring.
- 11 I'm conscious, my Lady, that it is likely to be
- 12 something that will be looked at by the Inquiry and
- indeed by me and by the Scottish Government when the
- 14 Inquiry comes to look in more depth at secure care,
- 15 which I understand to be a little bit further down the
- 16 line, by which time the final report may be available.
- 17 LADY SMITH: I do recall Professor Coyle, when he was being
- 18 asked questions about Polmont and about Polmont in the
- 19 1970s, which by then was accommodating not only those
- 20 who hadn't committed any offences, it may be for example
- 21 they were there because of an unruly certificate, but
- 22 also those who had.
- 23 He accepted that that was a departure from what
- 24 borstals were supposed to be all about. It was
- 25 a departure from the vision that the creators of the

- 1 borstals and places like Polmont had, but then he
- 2 observed, with the benefit of the wealth and length of
- 3 his experience, that what had happened over 50 years was
- 4 aspirations had been tempered by experience.
- 5 I suppose I would like to hear that the risk of that
- 6 is going to be recognised by Government as they move
- 7 forward with this new project.
- 8 MS O'NEILL: I think, my Lady, your Ladyship's comments will
- 9 have been well heard.
- 10 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 11 I'm sorry, I took you way down a rabbit warren. We
- 12 can come back above ground now.
- 13 MS O'NEILL: As I mentioned, I don't think I will read the
- 14 remainder of section 8. It's there for the Inquiry and
- 15 to the extent that it deals with secure accommodation,
- 16 clearly that may be something that is revisited at
- 17 a later stage.
- 18 The last section is in relation to reform of the law
- 19 relating to inspection of education providers, which is
- 20 again a topic which has been of concern to the Inquiry.
- 21 At paragraph 9.2 I note that on 7 November the
- 22 Cabinet Secretary for Education and Skills made
- 23 a statement to Parliament on the subject of education
- 24 and skills reform. Among other things, that statement
- 25 dealt with the consultation on the proposed Education

1 Reform Bill.

The consultation records that the Scottish

Government accepted in principle the recommendations of

Professor Kenneth Muir in his 2022 report, that a new

inspectorate body should be established, with its

independence enshrined in legislation and with

governance that reflected that independence.

The consultation exercise seeks views on two options

for reform.

The first would remove the inspection function from Education Scotland to create a distinct and separate executive agency and that type of education inspectorate would be separate from Education Scotland but would remain directly accountable to Scotlish Ministers and would have a specific and separately defined remit.

The second option would involve establishing the role of HM Chief Inspector of Education for Scotland as an independent office holder and that Inspector would in that capacity have primary responsibility for setting the schedule, frequency and focus for inspections as opposed to that remaining under the legislative control of Scottish Ministers.

The consultation sought responses by yesterday and I'm afraid, my Lady, I'm not in a position to give any further update on the responses to the consultation or

- 1 the Government response to those responses.
- 2 LADY SMITH: Oh my goodness, are you telling me that
- 3 an analysis of the responses to the consultation hasn't
- 4 yet been completed?
- 5 MS O'NEILL: It may well have been, my Lady, but the results
- 6 have not been communicated to me.
- 7 LADY SMITH: I'm sure. We can watch for the publication of
- 8 responses to the consultation in due course, which will
- 9 no doubt be after the festive period.
- 10 MS O'NEILL: I imagine so, my Lady.
- 11 My Lady, unless I can assist your Ladyship further
- 12 those are the interim submissions for the Scottish
- 13 Ministers.
- 14 LADY SMITH: I'm very grateful to you, Ms O'Neill. I have
- 15 no further questions, thank you.
- 16 Mr Peoples, is there anything you have to say?
- 17 MR PEOPLES: Not at this stage. I may have in due course,
- 18 but I think that's all for today and that concludes this
- 19 chapter.
- We will resume with a new chapter in the new year.
- 21 LADY SMITH: On 9 January?
- 22 MR PEOPLES: Yes. We'll deal with establishments with which
- 23 the De La Salle Order were involved.
- 24 LADY SMITH: Thank you very much.
- I wish you all a very happy Christmas and I hope

| 1  | everybody gets a break over Christmas and new year.      |
|----|--|
| 2  | I look forward to seeing those of you and hearing from   |
| 3  | those of you that are going to be involved in the next   |
| 4  | part of Phase 8, the De La Salle Order's provision, that |
| 5  | starts on 9 January.                                     |
| 6  | Thank you.   |
| 7  | (10.49 am)   |
| 8  | (The Inquiry adjourned until 10.00 am on                 |
| 9  | Tuesday, 9 January 2024)                                 |
| 10 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |

| 1  |         |             |      | INDE     | EΧ |       |
|----|---------|-------------|------|----------|----|-------|
| 2  |         |             |      |          |    | PAGE  |
| 3  | Closing | submissions | by M | s O'Neil | 11 | <br>3 |
| 4  |         |             |      |          |    |       |
| 5  |         |             |      |          |    |       |
| 6  |         |             |      |          |    |       |
| 7  |         |             |      |          |    |       |
| 8  |         |             |      |          |    |       |
| 9  |         |             |      |          |    |       |
| 10 |         |             |      |          |    |       |
| 11 |         |             |      |          |    |       |
| 12 |         |             |      |          |    |       |
| 13 |         |             |      |          |    |       |
| 14 |         |             |      |          |    |       |
| 15 |         |             |      |          |    |       |
| 16 |         |             |      |          |    |       |
| 17 |         |             |      |          |    |       |
| 18 |         |             |      |          |    |       |
| 19 |         |             |      |          |    |       |
| 20 |         |             |      |          |    |       |
| 21 |         |             |      |          |    |       |
| 22 |         |             |      |          |    |       |
| 23 |         |             |      |          |    |       |
| 24 |         |             |      |          |    |       |