2 (10.00 am)3 LADY SMITH: Good morning, and welcome to the last day in 4 Chapter 5 of Phase 8 of our case study hearings, and 5 this is a chapter, people will remember, in which we 6 looked into some of the provision by CrossReach; that's 7 the provision in relation to children in need of 8 residential accommodation and young offenders, and the 9 residential accommodation particularly involving some 10 secure care and accommodation for children in need of 11 care and protection, some of the children, of course, 12 being young offenders. 13 Now, we have come to the stage of closing 14 submissions. Mr MacAulay, I will invite you to introduce what we are doing today. 15 MR MACAULAY: Yes, my Lady, that is the position. This is 16 17 the last day of this particular chapter and I understand my learned friend, Mr Brodie, is ready to make a final 18 19 submission. LADY SMITH: Thank you very much. Mr Brodie, whenever you 20 21 are ready. Closing submissions by Mr Brodie 22 23 MR BRODIE: Thank you, my Lady. 24 Before I begin on behalf of CrossReach, may I say Viv Dickenson, Chief Executive Officer of CrossReach, 25

1

from whom we heard, obviously, on the last day of evidence, regrets that she is not here today. She has a funeral that she felt that she had to attend. She means no disrespect to the Inquiry and no disrespect to those who have given evidence. She has read the submissions that I am about to give and has approved what I am about to say.

8 LADY SMITH: Thank you. I am sorry to hear about that and 9 I am sure she would have been here if that had been 10 possible, but I understand why she can't. Thank you, 11 Mr Brodie.

MR BRODIE: I would add, however, that Claire Hay, who is
one of the senior management team, is in attendance.
LADY SMITH: Yes.

MR BRODIE: My Lady, the Church of Scotland and CrossReach, through which the Church operates the provision of social care services, are grateful to the Inquiry for this opportunity to reflect on the evidence heard from former residents and staff in respect of Ballikinrain, Geilsland, and Langlands Park.

As the Inquiry has heard, the Church of Scotland has been involved in the provision of residential care for children since 1868. When the state established the system of Approved Schools and then List D schools, under the Social Work (Scotland) Act 1968, the Church

1 was asked to provide and operate residential 2 accommodation, schooling, and training for children who had had contact with the criminal justice system or in 3 4 other ways were in need of care and protection. 5 LADY SMITH: That, of course, particularly reflects the 6 structure of the '68 Act provisions, children going 7 through the hearings, the children's hearings, who could 8 be children that had not been offending, but needed some 9 form of intervention taking them away from home, but 10 also children who, before 1968, might simply have ended 11 up in the court having a court sentence imposed on them. MR BRODIE: Yes, my Lady. That point will be relevant to 12 13 some observations I have to make about the system as 14 a whole and the extent to which it was or was not fit for purpose. Because one had this mix of children who 15 were in the schools for very different reasons and with 16 17 very different needs. 18 LADY SMITH: Yes, thank you. MR BRODIE: Langlands Park for Girls was opened in 1962 and 19 20 closed in 1986. Geilsland was opened for boys in 1964 21 and closed in 2015. Ballikinrain for boys was opened in

jointly funded by Local Authorities and the Scottish
Education Department until 1986. Thereafter, funding
became the responsibility of the relevant Local

22

3

1968 and closed in 2021. These establishments were

1 Authority.

2	To those who are former residents and have given
3	evidence to the Inquiry in person or through their
4	statements, it may be of interest to know that
5	Viv Dickenson, Chief Executive Officer of CrossReach,
6	together with Claire Hay, one of the senior management
7	team, have been in attendance on each day of the
8	evidence in order to learn of your experience of those
9	homes. They thank you for your evidence. They have
10	listened with care. They were moved by what they heard
11	and accept that your experience fell far short of the
12	care and protection you deserved. It makes clear that
13	you and others have suffered physical, emotional and, in
14	some instances, sexual abuse.

Viv, CrossReach, and the Church wish to renew the heartfelt apology made at the opening of this phase of the Inquiry. That apology is made to all who suffered abuse when in their care and, on this occasion, is made specifically to the pupils of Ballikinrain, Geilsland, and Langlands Park, who experienced harm, however it was caused.

22 The Church recognises that words of apology may have 23 limited worth. What steps have been taken to listen, 24 learn and help?

25 As part of the collective responsibility to

1 survivors of abuse when in care, the Church has made financial contribution to and is a member of Scotland's 2 redress scheme. The Inquiry will know, but, to anyone 3 listening who does not, this was established by 4 5 Parliament to provide some help and support to survivors of abuse suffered in care. It has the power to offer 6 7 a redress payment, to offer an apology and to provide 8 emotional support. CrossReach can assist survivors of 9 abuse in any of its residential services to make contact 10 with Redress Scotland should they wish. 11 LADY SMITH: An important point to note there, Mr Brodie, if I may interject, is Redress Scotland are an entirely 12 13 separate organisation from this Inquiry. I know there 14 has been, and still is, some confusion about that. That is explained on our website; it is explained on theirs. 15 There is no link between us in the form of collaborative 16 working or suchlike and, indeed, no formal or legal 17 18 link. If you want to apply for redress, you have to go to Redress Scotland, that can't be facilitated by us, or 19 20 through us, because of us each having different jobs to 21 do, if I can put it that way. It is not that we are trying to be difficult in not 22

23 also doing redress work. I just can't under my terms of 24 reference.

25 MR BRODIE: Absolutely. And that is why I would underline

1 that for anyone who wishes to make contact with Redress 2 Scotland, CrossReach can provide assistance in how to go about that. But, and exactly as my Lady has said, 3 4 details of how to go about it are also available on the 5 website for CrossReach. LADY SMITH: Yes. 6 MR BRODIE: Sorry, for Redress Scotland. 7 8 LADY SMITH: Redress, yes. 9 MR BRODIE: CrossReach also encourages anyone who has 10 suffered abuse in Langlands, Ballikinrain or Geilsland 11 and who wish to discuss their time in these schools to do so. Guidelines have been put in place by CrossReach 12 13 to assist survivors making contact. Disclosures are 14 treated in strict confidence and with sensitivity. Survivors are invited to speak with trained personnel 15 and tell of their experience. 16 To anyone thinking of making contact, know that you 17 18 will be listened to with care and that you will be believed. We realise that everyone is seeking something 19 20 different and, therefore, we respond with 21 an individualised approach. This may be through an offer of counselling, through identifying relevant 22 23 external support or in making people aware of the 24 redress scheme. We also remain open to discussing with

6

individuals other forms of redress.

1 My Lady, one of the benefits of Viv Dickenson having 2 been here is that on the day 'Mo' gave evidence she, Viv, and 'Mo' were able to talk with each other, at the 3 end of 'Mo's' evidence. And my Lady will remember in 4 5 'Mo's' evidence the occasion of her playing the violin in the town hall, and of that being reported on in the 6 7 local newspaper. To date CrossReach have not been able 8 to find any records relating to 'Mo', but they continue 9 to look for that. And one of the things that Viv is 10 going to ask of 'Mo' -- because a meeting has been set 11 up after the General Assembly coming up, so end of May into June -- a meeting has been set up and one thing Viv 12 is going to attempt to do, with 'Mo's' permission, is to 13 14 see if that newspaper article might be located. LADY SMITH: That would be wonderful, thank you. 15 MR BRODIE: CrossReach supports an active Facebook group for 16 17 former residents of Ballikinrain, although the school is 18 of course now closed. This provides a mechanism for 19 former pupils to exchange memories, good and bad, and to 20 share experiences. The group is carefully moderated and 21 is supported by a group of senior managers within our care and education service. We recognise that this kind 22 23 of support needs to be developed for other accommodation 24 services that have been provided and our work towards that continues. 25

1 LADY SMITH: Thank you.

MR BRODIE: We are aware that former residents of 2 3 Ballikinrain are currently organising a reunion day and 4 this will be supported by CrossReach. 5 There are times when people who have been in our care in the past and who find themselves in difficulty 6 7 contact CrossReach. The response provided to them is 8 individualised to the person's needs at that time; they 9 may be looking for practical or emotional support and 10 this can be provided by the most appropriate staff 11 members. One example is that of one former resident who phones every few months and will speak with 12 13 an identified employee. A relationship of trust and of 14 support has been built through this example of help, tailored to the particular wishes and needs of the 15 individual. 16 Applicants have spoken of the importance of their 17 18 records and of gaining an understanding of their past. Recognising that importance, CrossReach appointed 19 20 an archivist in 2017, who has been cataloguing the 21 records held. This is to make them accessible for those who may wish to view records relating to their care. In 22 23 this way, CrossReach has responded to 56 requests for 24 access in the course of the last 12 months. 25 Sadly, not all requests can be met, as some records

are no longer available. Copies of the records, where still held, will always be provided. CrossReach can help people to complete the appropriate data access requests. Provision exists to view and touch the originals, where, for example, there is original artwork or there are original letters, these can be returned and copies will be kept by CrossReach.

8 Applicants ask as to what lessons have been learned 9 and how will children be protected in the future. The 10 safety and protection of all in its care is of paramount 11 importance to CrossReach. We have an extensive training programme and a number of policies and procedures in 12 13 place already designed to help us ensure that those 14 accessing our support feel safe. The work of the 15 Inquiry and the evidence of the past two weeks will help us to learn lessons and to improve practice for the 16 future. Some of the ways in which CrossReach aims to 17 18 protect those in its care include the following: the adult and child protection policies are updated annually 19 20 by a specialist safeguarding team; staff are trained in 21 best safeguarding practice in general and specifically on CrossReach's adult and child protection policies, and 22 23 emphasis is placed on actively identifying any potential 24 safeguarding issue and the need to report such 25 immediately; staff are supervised with a focus on

identifying any issues in approach to care that need to be discussed or remedied; external points of contact are provided, so that if a member of staff feels they cannot raise an issue with their own line of management, they have access to someone independent.

We are also much more aware of the effects of trauma 6 7 on the emotional wellbeing of those we seek to support. 8 CrossReach is working towards being a trauma informed 9 organisation. The first step is to ensure that all 10 staff have undertaken introductory training on 11 trauma-informed practice. Many front line specialists have undertaken trauma specialist training and this will 12 be further rolled out in the next year. In addition, 13 14 CrossReach works with Who Cares? Who Cares? is an organisation that advocates for young people and 15 which can provide independent points of contact should 16 any of our young people wish to discuss any concerns 17 18 they may have.

19 If I may now, my Lady, turn to some reflections. 20 The evidence of applicants heard over the last two 21 weeks has prompted further reflection on the part of 22 CrossReach. As noted, Viv Dickenson and/or Claire Hay 23 have been present throughout. Those reflections go in 24 tandem with deeper reading and investigation into the 25 documents produced and disclosed to the Inquiry,

together with further conversations and investigation,
 as spoken of by Viv Dickenson.

Certain themes emerged that seem to inform 3 an understanding of how Langlands Park, Geilsland and 4 Ballikinrain were run, problems arose, and abuse 5 occurred. CrossReach offers a summary here of those 6 7 reflections. It does not do so in order to undermine 8 what witnesses have said, nor to excuse what has been 9 described, rather it offers those reflections as 10 an aspect of its thinking and in order to understand how 11 those schools were run and abuse occurred.

Of List D and residential schools in general, it 12 13 seems clear with hindsight that the system of List D and 14 residential schools was not fit for purpose and often traumatised those it was designed to support. Whilst 15 the state was the instigator of the system, we 16 acknowledge that we played a part in the abuse and in 17 18 the failings that occurred. Each of these schools was expected to house children admitted for care and 19 protection or under a court order, all of whom had 20 21 complex needs.

22 'Cathy', who was in Langlands, asked the pertinent 23 question: 'why put someone in a place like that, just 24 for not going to school?'

25 As we heard from applicants and staff, children

1 might be admitted because of parental neglect, family 2 breakdown, truanting, petty crime, serious offending, including sexual offending. Some efforts were made to 3 divide the younger and older children, but, in general, 4 5 it seems that children of all needs, backgrounds and ages would mix. The accounts of both applicants and 6 7 staff describe how this posed difficulties for 8 discipline and contributed to bullying. Even if staff 9 had been given training in underlying care needs, this 10 variety of needs would pose a challenge. The number of 11 children in each establishment added to those difficulties, as the individual support needed was just 12 13 not possible.

14 It is of note that since Langlands, Geilsland and Ballikinrain were closed and CrossReach has moved to 15 using smaller houses for residential purposes, the young 16 17 people are much more settled and report feeling safe, 18 happy, and that they feel their voice is heard. There are now fewer episodes of children causing damage and of 19 20 violence. CrossReach have found it much easier to 21 prevent bullying in these much more homely settings, although securing an adequate number of suitably 22 23 qualified staff can still be difficult. 24 LADY SMITH: That cannot be overstated. It is a constant challenge in all areas of provision for children in 25

1 care. As I have already commented, it ranges from 2 boarding schools to foster care, foster care is a particular difficulty where people are caring for 3 4 children in their own homes and the foster allowances 5 are not a lot of money and they are doing a very difficult job, in many circumstances. Then attracting 6 7 staff to effectively run a 24/7 system, where even 8 a three shift system I can see may at times be tight; you have to allow for staff leave; staff illness; times 9 10 to train staff, not just be there caring for the 11 children. It is an enormous challenge and all the time you have to find the right people. 12 13 MR BRODIE: Yes, yes. And as Viv said at the time of 14 evidence, agency staff sometimes have to be relied upon. That does not mean to say that those agency staff are 15 perhaps not suitably qualified, but they are brought in 16 17 on an ad-hoc basis --18 LADY SMITH: Yes. MR BRODIE: -- and do not have the same knowledge of the 19 20 establishment and do not have the same knowledge of the 21 children. LADY SMITH: No, and these are children who in many cases 22 23 are naturally suspicious of adults they don't know. 24 MR BRODIE: Yes. LADY SMITH: Yes. Thank you. A point that has to be made. 25

1 I am glad you have, thank you, Mr Brodie. MR BRODIE: Perhaps underpinning all this is a question 2 3 posed by Deirdre MacDonald to her father and of her time 4 and placement at Langlands: 'what is the purpose of 5 a place like Langlands?' Peer on peer bullying was a continual problem. 6 7 Sadly, that has affected many of the institutions about 8 which the Inquiry has been hearing. There are regular 9 references to bullying and punishment books for each of 10 the schools. Inspection reports talk about 11 anti-bullying policies being introduced, but staff-pupil ratios, particularly at night, made this difficult to 12 suppress. The Scottish Office circulars talk about the 13 14 need for extra staff, although it was the Scottish Education Department that provided the budget to pay for 15 staff. 16 It seems from the applicants' evidence that staff 17 18 often left the boys to resolve disputes between themselves and would only intervene if things got out of 19 control. One method of staff intervention and of the 20

21 boys being left to sort it out for themselves was to 22 arrange boxing matches in some establishments. 23 Well-intentioned intervention -- not I am suggesting 24 that the boxing matches were -- but separately, 25 well-intentioned intervention did not always work and

1 contributed at times to a culture of fear. As mentioned further below, 'Mandy' suffered being described as 2 a grass when had intervened on her behalf. 3 4 LADY SMITH: Mm-hm. This business of fights and boxing 5 matches did not strike me from the evidence as 6 indicating these were occasions of proper instruction 7 and training of boys in the sport of boxing was going 8 on, but rather dealing with a problem between boys and deciding 'We will get them', as you put it, 'To sort it 9 10 out between themselves tonight. They can thump each 11 other somehow and see if that helps'. MR BRODIE: There was absolutely no evidence of it being 12 13 structured in the form of properly coached and refereed 14 boxing matches, by the rules of boxing, absolutely nothing to that regard. 15 LADY SMITH: No. 16 MR BRODIE: At best it might have been similar to a military 17 18 setting in the Second World War, where ranks were left to sort it out for themselves one Friday or Saturday 19 20 evening. I do not mean that in a flippant fashion or 21 way, but it may be indicative that a lot of staff at that time did have such military backgrounds and just 22 23 applied that approach to the care of children. Perhaps 24 true across the sector. LADY SMITH: Yes. 25

1	MR BRODIE: 'William', a former staff member at Geilsland,
2	spoke convincingly of his desire and that of colleagues,
3	that the boys should have the best experience and the
4	best level of care possible. Acknowledgement of the
5	harm suffered by residents at the hands of some staff,
6	some bad apples, as they were referred to by GRV in his
7	evidence about his time at Ballikinrain, should not
8	devalue the commitment and caring service in the
9	demanding and stressful environment given by many staff;
10	not all, but by many.
11	In relation to Langlands, for example, we said:
12	'It was great there.'.
13	And:
14	'There was nothing cruel there. It was a place that
15	tried to help you.'
16	'William' talked about still getting letters from
17	former residents at Geilsland, telling him that he had
18	changed their lives.
19	It will be for my Lady, where there are perhaps
20	differences of evidence on particular subjects, for my
21	Lady to consider and make such findings as are most
22	appropriate.
23	LADY SMITH: Mr Brodie, as you probably know, it has been
24	a feature of the evidence I have heard from the
25	beginning in this Inquiry that there are people who, as

1 children, had nothing but positive experiences in the 2 institution or system, if it was foster care, that they 3 were in, and that is all they wanted to tell us about in 4 evidence.

5 There are others who, very fairly, have said X per cent of the time it was great or 'My last 6 7 two years were nothing but good, but there was a lot of 8 bad stuff as well'. Neither actually contradicts the 9 other. It is the nature of -- I was going to use the 10 word the 'beast', but that's not appropriate. It was 11 the nature of these environments that that would happen. MR BRODIE: But it also illustrates -- and my Lady used the 12 13 word in the course of evidence -- unpredictability, and 14 in some ways that almost made things worse. LADY SMITH: Yes. And it can make it worse for a child who 15 is suffering to know that some people seem okay, 'How 16 can I -- ' how do they ever speak up and complain? But 17 18 it is another reason why not to complain, because other people seem to think 'This is all right' and they seem 19

20 fine.

21 MR BRODIE: Yes. Remaining reflections, and turning from 22 List D and residential schools in general, educational 23 standards and expectations.

24 Many of the applicants spoke of a lack of education 25 within the schools. Varying views as to the level of

1 education provided are to be found in inspection

2 reports, but it seems clear that the level of education 3 was poor at best.

4 LADY SMITH: Yes.

5 MR BRODIE: The lifetime impact of having received little or 6 no education has been powerfully described. 'Ross' is 7 but one example, and Viv Dickenson spoke of the stigma 8 and shame adults report when they are not able to read 9 or write.

10 A number of factors would have contributed to this 11 failing, some relate to the List D and residential school system in general. The evidence indicates that 12 the level of aspiration for education was low on the 13 14 part of the system. Related to that, it seems budget provision and staffing levels were low. Many children 15 arrived unable to read or write. Their experiences in 16 life had turned them away from education or left them 17 18 with no interest in learning. Handover records from schools or social work were absent or poor. There was 19 20 little or no understanding of learning difficulties or 21 behavioural problems. Staff lacked training in such issues. 22

23 LADY SMITH: What struck me as a powerful factor -- and it 24 was illustrated in the Ballikinrain video -- was that 25 the schools were receiving children who in many cases

1 were way behind in their education. They may not be literate, although they are 10/11/12 years old. They 2 not only didn't know beforehand that was what they were 3 going to be getting in terms of children, but they 4 5 didn't have the training, the special skills you need to take a child at that age and get them up to the stage 6 7 they should be at, at the same time they were having to 8 try to teach children who were in a better place educationally. It's a disaster. 9 10 MR BRODIE: Impossible. 11 LADY SMITH: I hesitate to say, but it must have felt like 12 a disaster. 13 We saw the child in the video who was getting 14 one-to-one attention from a teacher, but he couldn't cope, because it was all too much, all too difficult, so 15 he had to run away and just cry on his bed that he 16 couldn't do it. You can well understand that. 17 18 I wondered whether the teacher, left downstairs, likewise had her head in her hands, wondering what more 19 20 she could do. 21 MR BRODIE: I conjecture that she probably did feel that way, because without specialist training in remedial 22 23 skills, someone who was a sound enough teacher for 24 children who were literate would feel the frustration, 'I am doing my best and yet this child will not 25

1 cooperate', and the good intentions may have been turned into a frustration that then actually ended up in 2 3 a completely unhelpful position. 4 LADY SMITH: Yes. If one looks at the system as a whole, 5 from where the child first ends up in the children's 6 hearing for running away from school too often, right 7 through to them being in the approved school, I don't 8 think the children's hearings systems were being 9 provided with any educational assessment of where the 10 child was at in their learning at that stage. They 11 probably couldn't have got it, because the child wasn't going to school, so the school couldn't provide them 12 13 with anything. Did they have a specialist system for 14 getting every child educationally assessed before the 15 decision was made in the hearing about them? I don't think so. Well, I am pretty sure they didn't. 16 I certainly don't remember it, and I am old enough to 17 18 remember the relatively early days of the operation of

20 Some of the witnesses have said: how was it a good 21 idea to then send the child to the approved school on 22 the assumption that a magic wand would be waved and it 23 wouldn't be difficult for them just to make up what 24 hadn't been done with them for years in terms of 25 educational provision and development? It is crazy. It

the children's hearings system.

19

1 was never going to work, was it? 2 MR BRODIE: My Lady is making reference to the absence of 3 records. Viv Dickenson has spoken of her understanding 4 that one of the problems was that there would be few, if 5 any, records from schools coming up with the child. That could also apply in respect of social work 6 7 records --8 LADY SMITH: Yes. MR BRODIE: -- Viv said. So my Lady is developing that same 9 10 point that Viv had made reference to in evidence; you 11 start with no information about the child, no information about the child's needs. Properly qualified 12 teachers were provided at the school, but it would seem 13 14 budgets meant not very many of them and there is no reference to any of them having specialist remedial 15 skills. 16 LADY SMITH: No. 17 MR BRODIE: All dependent, of course, on budgetary provision 18 from central government or the local authority. 19 20 LADY SMITH: Indeed. 21 MR BRODIE: Teachers of academic subjects were, however, supplied, I've said that. They would have held a degree 22 23 level of education, they would have been registered with 24 the General Teaching Council. The evidence of staff indicates that efforts were 25

1 made to provide some level of education, but that these 2 efforts were often met with disinterest or resistance on 3 the part of the children, unsurprisingly, given 4 backgrounds.

5 It seems instruction in trades was somewhat more 6 successful, with some, such as 'Mandy', speaking 7 positively of teaching in practical skills. That said, 8 the accounts are mixed. 'Thomas' said that he was left 9 to sit about during woodwork, others described practical 10 classes as a form of child labour, some spoke of some 11 fulfilment in practising a trade.

Still under reflections, I turn to abuse by staff. 12 13 There are some appalling instances of abuse by 14 staff. As the Inquiry has heard, Gregor Dougall was convicted in September 2023 of four charges of assault, 15 including one of indecent assault on various occasions, 16 whilst at Ballikinrain over the period 1985 to 2001. It 17 18 is a matter of deep regret that his behaviour was not 19 identified and stopped.

As Viv Dickenson recounted, CrossReach had no knowledge of any offending until contacted by the press in respect of a story concerning his time at St Ninian's. The then chief executive officer immediately redeployed Greg Dougal from a child care role at Ballikinrain to an administrative role at head

1 office in Edinburgh.

2	Brutal acts by tat Langlands were powerfully
3	described by 'Mo' and by Deirdre MacDonald. 'Mo' spoke
4	of being thrown down the stairs while pregnant and on
5	other occasions having a table tennis table brought down
6	on her head.
7	Deirdre MacDonald spoke of the beating administered
8	by ^{MSH} to two girls who had simply run away. The
9	violence may have been directly experienced by those two
10	girls, but also had a long lasting and traumatic effect
11	on those, such as Deirdre McDonald, who were close to
12	it. The vicarious effect to those that witnessed
13	violence within the homes is a recurring theme in
14	witness evidence.
15	'Katie', described multiple occasions of being
15 16	'Katie', described multiple occasions of being physically assaulted and racially abused by MSH .
16	physically assaulted and racially abused by MSH .
16 17	physically assaulted and racially abused by . Evidence concerning provides an illustration of the
16 17 18	physically assaulted and racially abused by . Evidence concerning provides an illustration of the complexities running through the evidence as a whole.
16 17 18 19	physically assaulted and racially abused by . Evidence concerning provides an illustration of the complexities running through the evidence as a whole. 'Mandy' said that she liked him. She said she had
16 17 18 19 20	physically assaulted and racially abused by . Evidence concerning provides an illustration of the complexities running through the evidence as a whole. 'Mandy' said that she liked him. She said she had received a few 'bawlings' from him, but liked him
16 17 18 19 20 21	physically assaulted and racially abused by . Evidence concerning . provides an illustration of the complexities running through the evidence as a whole. 'Mandy' said that she liked him. She said she had received a few 'bawlings' from him, but liked him because he was firm but fair. He never used the belt.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	physically assaulted and racially abused by . Evidence concerning . provides an illustration of the complexities running through the evidence as a whole. 'Mandy' said that she liked him. She said she had received a few 'bawlings' from him, but liked him because he was firm but fair. He never used the belt. He had gone ballistic at a girl that bullied her,

1 It is difficult to understand such contrasts in one 2 person. It may illustrate the need, as your Ladyship 3 observed, of recruiting people with the right instincts, 4 then providing proper training and, finally, ensuring 5 a proper culture and supervision. 6 LADY SMITH: Yes, you rightly make reference, Mr Brodie, to

7 the need to recognise there are children who are not the 8 recipients of abuse themselves, but they witness other 9 children being abused.

10 There are two aspects to the risk that arises there. 11 One is the risk that they are simply distressed and upset at seeing another child abused. But also, 12 13 secondly, that instills in them or reinforces 14 a pre-existing fear that that's what could happen to them. I have heard children -- not just in this case 15 study, but in others -- talk about living with this 16 17 sense of all pervading fear, because although they 18 didn't get abused themselves, they knew that was what happened in this environment in which they were trapped. 19 20 MR BRODIE: Now, my Lady, the Inquiry will be seeing, 21 hearing, of the effect of vicarious trauma again and again. It is to be observed that that is a theme that 22 23 is emerging in High Court trials and it is to be 24 observed that with an increasing amount of litigation related to historical child abuse in the civil side of 25

1 the Court of Session, that same theme, vicarious trauma, 2 is emerging. 3 LADY SMITH: It may be overdue, but I am glad to hear that 4 both the High Court and the Court of Session are 5 catching up. 6 MR BRODIE: More generally, applicants have spoken of being 7 hit, struck, or punched as a form of discipline. This 8 seems to be attributed to a small number of particular 9 staff members. 10 Staff from whom evidence has been heard have said 11 that they did not use such forms of discipline, nor did they see such. It will be a matter for the Inquiry to 12 13 decide on such differences as may appear in the 14 evidence. However, restraints were regularly used. The way in 15 which they were used and the force with which they were 16 used varied, and it is also clear that there was overuse 17 of the belt at Geilsland. 18 Geilsland was the only setting in which a policy of 19 20 corporal punishment was applied. There has been 21 significant evidence, provided both by former residents and through inspection reports, that this was overused, 22 23 even in relation to other List D schools of the time. 24 Perhaps better to say: even in comparison to other 25 List D schools at the time.

1 This speaks to a failure of control at Geilsland, 2 despite significant steps being taken by the Church to address this at the time. 3 What factors may have contributed to such evidence 4 5 of physical abuse and/or overuse of physical punishment? The culture set by leadership. HDX was SNR 6 7 at Geilsland from 1965 until 1982. This period was 8 discussed in some detail by counsel for the Inquiry, my 9 learned friend Mr MacAulay, and Viv Dickenson when giving evidence. 10 11 It is clear that he enforced a brutal regime of physical punishment. Applicants have described serious 12 physical abuse and instances of sexual abuse. 13 'Jacob' described wing the belt on a child's 14 bare bottom until it was blistered and bleeding. He 15 described HDX forcing boys to take cold showers and 16 watching them in the shower. 'Scott' described being 17 18 made to run round a table naked. John described being belted until he could not sit for days. 'Ross' spoke of 19 20 being hit by a piece of wood. 21 Two things emerge from the discussion concerning during Viv Dickenson's evidence. 22 23 Firstly, from 1965 onwards there had been occasions 24 of the Church and of the Scottish Education Department,

26

25

together with social work departments, identifying that

1 was using unauthorised punishments. The term in the report is 'irregular punishments'. These included 2 striking and hitting boys, overuse of the belt and the 3 use of handcuffs. Attempts were made to reprimand him 4 and serious consideration was given to dismissing him. 5 At one point, the Church of Scotland managers had got to 6 7 the point of enforcing resignation, however those 8 attempts were frustrated by, amongst other things, union 9 involvement and some voices of support, including support from many of the boys in the school. 10 The second thing to emerge is 's belief that firm 11

physical discipline was required to maintain order. It seems that he may have had a somewhat charismatic effect on some, persuading them that removal of physical discipline would leave Geilsland without an essential management tool. Others may have been persuaded by promises that he would reform.

18 CrossReach have seen inspection reports from 1976 in which opinions are split as to the methods used by 19 20 It may be that some evidence of efficacy in his methods 21 blinded people to the fact that such methods were wrong. Reference has already been made to MSH . 22 He was and then SNR at Langlands. 23 24 This is another example of how abusive behaviour by management is liable to filter down to staff and to mean 25

that other improper use of discipline is permitted. It
 leaves staff without proper guidance.

Of staff training and qualifications, it is clear 3 that residents presented a wide variety of complex 4 needs. Viv Dickenson noted, by way of example, 5 an inspection report for Langlands in 1964, after there 6 7 had been a disturbance at the school. It was said that the disturbance was not the fault of the school staff, 8 9 who did all they could to restore order, but was a direct result of the traumatic backgrounds from which 10 11 the children came and of a failure to address that with the appropriate psychological support. It was noted by 12 13 the educational department that staff had no relevant 14 training, nor did they have the time to address these emotional troubles. 15

'Jim's' evidence is an example. He said that he had
no experience of working with children when he started,
no qualifications and no education. He said he received
no training and had to learn discipline and control from
watching others.

21 Viv Dickenson noted that as late as 1989 a paper by 22 Abrahams and Fleming reported on the lack of training 23 for child care workers. It is also noted that this area 24 of work was given a low status in comparison to other 25 sectors of care. During the period the schools were in

operation, the lack of staff training was an issue. The lack of training would affect how to look after the children, how to affect discipline and how staff were able to deal with the challenge of an often violent environment and the trauma they witnessed.

It does seem that some training was provided and 6 7 that it did develop. Viv Dickenson made reference in 8 evidence to policies and protocols that were in place, 9 to on-site briefings occurring, and to the use of films 10 and discussion groups. Some of this may simply have 11 arisen in the course of the working week, as opposed to being designated training events, so there may have been 12 an element of training, but that it could pass unnoticed 13 14 as such.

The Inquiry has heard quite a lot of evidence 15 relating to the presence of and misuse of cigarettes, 16 alcohol, solvents and drugs. It is clear that 17 18 cigarettes were widely permitted and smoking allowed within the schools. The associated harms of such are 19 20 now better understood than at the time. We have some 21 evidence to suggest that parental consent was sought before a child could smoke, but recognise that this 22 23 would not have been consistently applied. One reference 24 was made to it being better that this was allowed as it prevented secret smoking and a consequent fire risk. 25

1 CrossReach's investigations indicate that the policy 2 was that staff should not fund the purchase of 3 cigarettes, although there has been evidence from 4 applicants that staff did so, otherwise there was no 5 real restriction on their purchase.

A large number of children would go home at the 6 7 weekend. This allowed an ease of access to alcohol, 8 solvents and drugs. It seems residents would bring 9 these back on to the premises. There has been evidence 10 of visitors, including family members, bringing illicit 11 substances into the schools. The size and nature of the grounds aided in their concealment. Some residents have 12 said that members of staff sometimes facilitated illicit 13 14 substances being brought in. Staff dispute this.

In discussion with some former members of staff, the 15 point has been made to Viv Dickenson that staff had no 16 incentive to allow, let alone provide, the use of 17 illicit substances. It tended to make residents less 18 predictable and more volatile. Monday mornings were 19 20 described as chaotic, as a result of children coming 21 back from weekend leave and still under the influence. Nonetheless, it cannot be guaranteed that no members of 22 23 staff allowed access to prohibited substances. 24 Conclusions. Reflecting on the evidence of the last

25 two weeks is a process that will continue for

1 CrossReach. It was a deeply moving experience to listen 2 to witnesses and Viv Dickenson, Chief Executive Officer 3 of CrossReach, was grateful for an opportunity to speak 4 with one of the witnesses directly and to offer the 5 opportunity to speak further and I spoke of 'Mo' at the 6 beginning.

7 During Viv's evidence she made reference to the 8 aspects of the written answers which CrossReach wishes 9 to expand. That will be done. It is accepted that the 10 most recent evidence demonstrates more by way of 11 systemic failures in the way in which schools were run 12 than had been appreciated.

13 The Inquiry has bought an understanding to 14 CrossReach and the Church of the abuse that occurred and 15 the long term effects that has had on the lives of those 16 affected. Reference will be made at the

17 General Assembly within the report of the Social

18 Responsibility --

19 LADY SMITH: Thank you.

20 MR BRODIE: -- Committee to the work of the Inquiry and to

21 this present phase of the Inquiry.

22 LADY SMITH: Can you remind me, Mr Brodie, how soon after

23 the presentation of such a report to the assembly is it

24 publicly available?

25 MR BRODIE: I will just get a precise answer from

1 Ms MacLeod, the solicitor to the Church.

2 LADY SMITH: Yes.

3 MR BRODIE: The reports to the General Assembly of each of

4 the committees are now available online.

5 LADY SMITH: Already?

6 MR BRODIE: Yes.

7 LADY SMITH: So they are ready before the Assembly?

8 MR BRODIE: Yes, they are.

9 LADY SMITH: So we can find them online, can we?

10 MR BRODIE: Yes.

11 LADY SMITH: Maybe you could send us a link. That would be 12 helpful.

13 MR BRODIE: I can send a link. What I do have to make clear 14 is that it is a shortish reference to the work of the 15 Inquiry and to this phase. It is not as if it is a detailed consideration of the issues, but there is 16 a short reference within the written report that goes to 17 18 the Assembly and that link can be sent. The format is each committee produces a summary of the work that it 19 20 has been doing in the course of the year and reports on 21 such recommendations as it is bringing to the Assembly. That is the report that comes from an individual 22 23 committee to the General Assembly. Individual items 24 will be put to the Assembly for their approval or otherwise. Within the Social Work Committee's report 25

1 there is a reference to the appearance in this section 2 at the Child Abuse Inquiry. LADY SMITH: I appreciate it wouldn't be anything lengthy 3 4 that's in the report, but I think we should have a note 5 of that anyway and perhaps of the date on which it is 6 going to be discussed at the Assembly. 7 MR BRODIE: I think the date on which the report is 8 delivered to the Assembly is Tuesday, the 21st, if 9 I have my calendar right. The other thing that will be 10 made available to the Inquiry is the report of the 11 Committee's convenor. LADY SMITH: Thank you. 12 13 MR BRODIE: That is not available until it is delivered. 14 LADY SMITH: I can understand that, yes. MR BRODIE: Yes, until it is delivered. 15 The Inquiry has brought an understanding to 16 CrossReach and the Church of the abuse that occurred and 17 18 the long term effects that has had on the lives of those affected. We realise that the lessons we have learned 19 20 have come at an emotional cost to those who have given 21 evidence at the Inquiry, both in person and through the provision of statements which have been read-in to the 22 23 evidence. We thank those who have come forward and 24 undertake to provide whatever further assistance we can. We look forward to the next part of Phase 8 and the 25

1

subsequent reports of the Inquiry.

2 Thank you, my Lady. 3 LADY SMITH: Mr Brodie, thank you very much for that very 4 helpful closing submission, covering essentially those 5 three areas of where CrossReach are now in terms of 6 their recognition of the needs of those abused in the 7 past and what they are trying to do to help with 8 support. Secondly, regarding what they have done so far 9 and will be doing regarding improvements in their 10 safeguarding policies and practices. 11 I note the appropriate reflections to which you have referred today -- thank you for that -- and the 12 reassurance that CrossReach have learned, but will keep 13 14 learning. That's good to hear. So I have nothing else to trouble you with at the 15 moment and I will revert to Mr MacAulay. 16 MR MACAULAY: That, my Lady, concludes the oral part of this 17 18 particular chapter. LADY SMITH: Yes. 19 20 MR MACAULAY: The next chapter is due to start on 28 May, 21 Tuesday, 28 May, and that has a focus on Dr Guthrie's Boys and Dr Guthrie's Girls, and Loaningdale. 22 23 LADY SMITH: Yes. 24 MR MACAULAY: Mr Sheldon KC and Ms Forbes will be managing 25 that chapter.

```
1
    LADY SMITH: And we are expecting that to run for a couple
 2
        of weeks?
 3
    MR MACAULAY: About ten days.
 4
     LADY SMITH: About ten days. As usual, witness lists will
 5
         go on the website at the end of the previous week for
 6
         each of those weeks.
    MR MACAULAY: Correct.
 7
     LADY SMITH: So people can keep in touch with that if they
 8
         are interested in following Dr Guthrie's, and indeed
 9
         anything else we need to tell you about, the plans for
10
11
         those hearings will be there. Thank you all very much.
12
         I will now rise and, as I say, we won't sit again until
         the last Tuesday in May.
13
14
     (10.50 am)
15
     (The Inquiry adjourned until 10 am on Tuesday 28 May, 2024)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1				INDE	х		
2							
3	Closing	submissions	by M	Ar Brodie	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • •	1
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							