1 2 (11.45 am) 3 LADY SMITH: Good morning, and welcome back to our oral hearings. We move now to Chapter 7 of Phase 8 of our 4 5 case studies, and this is a chapter in which we are 6 looking at the provision of residential care for 7 children at Bellfield, at Cardross Park, and 8 Calder House. Now, I begin with an apology, because we had hoped 9 10 to begin with a different witness earlier this morning 11 and, as matters turned out, we were unable to do that. 12 But the witness who was always going to be the second witness is here and ready, and those of you who keep 13 14 a close eye on what we are doing here will recognise 15 that she has become a regular visitor to this venue and has given us great assistance on previous occasions as 16 17 well. Would you like to introduce her, Ms Forbes? 18 MS FORBES: Good morning, my Lady. The next witness is 19 20 Susanne Millar on behalf of Glasgow City Council, and the Partnership, and she will be speaking to Cardross 21 22 Park. As your Ladyship has said, I think this will be 23 her fourth time giving evidence to the Inquiry. 24 LADY SMITH: I think it must be, yes. Thank you.

25

## SUSANNE MILLAR (sworn)

÷.	Sobiand Inflant (Sworn)
2	LADY SMITH: Susanne, good morning, welcome back. Do sit
3	down and make yourself comfortable.
4	Susanne, of course you have already given us a huge
5	amount of assistance in this Inquiry and I am really
6	grateful to you for coming back again today. You know
7	the routine, you know how we work, but that's not to say
8	that exactly the same messages aren't there for you as
9	well as before. If you need a break, let me know; if we
10	are not explaining things properly, just say; if we are
11	not going at the pace that's comfortable for you, tell
12	us, because the usual rule applies; I want to do
13	anything I can to make the evidence giving experience as
14	comfortable as possible for you, all right?
15	A. Thank you, my Lady.
16	LADY SMITH: If you are ready, I will hand over to Ms Forbes
17	and she will take it from there. Thank you. Ms Forbes.
18	Questions from MS FORBES
19	MS FORBES: Thank you, my Lady. Good morning, Susanne. As
20	her Ladyship has said already, you have been here a few
21	times before and, just for the record, I will set out
22	when that was, so we can link those dates up. I think
23	first of all it was 11 May 2022, which was Day 281,
24	during Foster Care. The reference for our purposes for
25	that, for the transcript, is TRN-10-000000007.

1 Then, again, after that it was 11 November 2022, 2 which was Day 342, and the transcript reference is 3 TRN-10-00000089. Then, latterly, it was earlier this 4 year during Chapter 4, in relation to Kerelaw, and that 5 was 18 March 2024, Day 424, and the reference for that 6 is TRN-12-00000056.

7 So, on those occasions, Susanne, questions were 8 asked about your professional background, so I won't rehearse that in great detail; we can look to that. But 9 I think you are now the Chief Officer with the Glasgow 10 11 City Health and Social Care Partnership; is that right? 12 A. No, I am actually the Chief Exec of Glasgow City Council now, although I was the Chief Officer of Glasgow City 13 14 Health and Social Care Partnership. I am now the Chief Executive of the Council. 15

16 Q. When did that change?

17 A. Early May, 3 May was when I took up office.

18 Q. Congratulations.

19 A. Thank you.

Q. So there is a slight change then to your CV. But I think you told us before that your background involved you starting off as a social worker, then moving into strategic planning, and then being appointed at various different positions in relation to social work, and then moving into this Glasgow City Health and Social Care

1 Partnership. I think we heard before that that's the 2 partnership between Glasgow City Council and Greater 3 Glasgow and Clyde Health Board to provide these Δ integrated health and social care services; is that 5 right? A. Yeah, that's correct. 6 7 Q. You have made your way through and, ultimately, now you 8 are the Chief Executive, as you have said. 9 LADY SMITH: So it was that previous role you were in at the 10 time the answers and responses to our Section 21 notices 11 for this chapter of the case study were prepared, 12 I think; would that be right? A. Yes, my Lady. Yes, it was a previous job. It was 13 14 the Chief Officer of the Health and Social Care 15 Partnership. And at one stage I was the Chief Social Work Officer, at very early stages of the Inquiry. 16 17 LADY SMITH: Yes, of course. Yes, thank you. A. As Chief Social Work Officer. 18 LADY SMITH: Thank you. 19 20 MS FORBES: So prior to this most recent position; was 21 it May 2019, that you became the Chief Officer for the 22 Partnership? Is that right? 23 A. Yes, that's correct. 24 Q. I think you told us before that you have been personally 25 involved in responding to the requests by the Inquiry

- 1 for information and assistance; is that right?
- 2 A. Yes, I have.
- 3 Q. And also you chair a group responsible for all
- 4 submissions to the Inquiry?
- 5 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 6 Q. Along with some other members, which include the Chief
- 7 Social Work Officer and Dr O'Brien, the Chief Archivist
- 8 in Glasgow?
- 9 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 10 Q. And I think, Susanne, the last time, earlier this year 11 when you gave evidence, you told us a little bit about 12 how the involvement of Local Authority and the provision 13 of residential care has developed over the last
- 14 40 years. I think you said that one of the biggest
- 15 changes was the shift away from these big residential
- 16 establishments to substitute family and fostering; is
- 17 that right?
- 18 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 19 Q. And there was also this move from bigger institutions to 20 smaller children's houses or group homes?
- 21 A. Yes, that's right.
- 22 Q. That's what you have seen in your time with social work
- 23 and with the Council?
- 24 A. Yes, I have.
- 25 Q. Now, Susanne, you are here today to help us with

1 Cardross Park, and I think you have been involved, or 2 you are aware of the A to D response that has been 3 provided in relation to Cardross Park. And I think the first part of that is the A to B. For our purposes, the 4 reference is GLA.001.002.6836. And this is a 34-page 5 document. 6 7 The first part of the response is -- I think we can 8 go to page 10, first of all. If you can go down to 1.5, which is 'Ethos', underneath it says '(a) Organisation', 9 and then 'Past'. And if we look at subsection 2, there 10 11 is some information there about who ran Cardross Park 12 and it says there: 'The establishment was run by the Dunbartonshire 13 14 County Council/Strathclyde Regional Council/Glasgow City Council.' 15 So that's how things progressed; is that right? 16 A. Yes. That's the history, yes. 17 Q. So, originally, Dunbartonshire were involved. And with 18 19 the reorganisation, did that come in 1975, to 20 Strathclyde Regional Council and then, later on, Glasgow City Council? 21 22 A. Yes it did. Q. Now, geographically -- now, it would come under Glasgow 23 24 City Council, but do you think that makes sense

25 geographically? Was there any thought about it being

1		passed back at any point to for West Dunbartonshire
2		or even Argyll and Bute?
3	Α.	We couldn't find anything in the records to suggest
4		that. My memory of that, and kind of part of what we
5		have always done as an authority is also to ask people
6		who have been involved in Glasgow City for a period of
7		time, and we did ask people who had previously been
8		employed by us and we couldn't really find a rational
9		reason for it coming to Glasgow City, geographically.
10	Q.	Okay. But that's where it lies?
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	I think, under subsection 3 it relates to the
13		establishment's function. And the answer there is:
14		'The establishment functions and mission changed,
15		from a Remand Home under the 1932 Act and then to
16		an Assessment Centre under the 1968 Act.'
17		Now, on the next page, we get some dates about when
18		it opened, but I think you say it opened in 1967. So,
19		initially, it seems Cardross Park operated as a Remand
20		Home for a short period of time, before quickly becoming
21		an Assessment Centre under the new provisions; is that
22		right?
23	Α.	Yes, that's our understanding.
24	Q.	Okay. If we go to page 11, the next page, I think we
25		can see, under subsection 4, that in relation to changes

1 it sets out there Cardross Park opened in 1967 and then 2 changed to an Assessment Centre, and that was under 3 subsection 5. It says: 'That was a result of the changing legislative and 4 regulatory responsibilities.' 5 Moving forward, then, to page 12, if we could look 6 7 at -- this is a section that deals with the 8 establishment, subsection (b), and the past. Then go down to subsection (b) (ii) and it states whether the 9 establishment cared for children of both sexes and it 10 11 says there: 12 'On its establishment in 1967, Cardross catered for boys only and then, 1972, provided assessment facilities 13 14 for girls under 16.' 15 Is that right? A. Yes, that's correct. 16 17 Q. We might come to some documents later that give us a bit 18 more information about that; but that was the understanding when this was completed? 19 20 A. Yes, it was. Q. And going down the page to subsection (iv), it states: 21 22 'The historical records show that the intention was 23 to appoint three female staff in light of the addition 24 of girls to the centre.' 25 Was that your understanding in relation to the staff

makeup after girls were admitted? 1 2 A. Yes, that was our understanding. 3 Q. Moving forward, then, to page 13, if you can go further down the page to 1.6, near the bottom, it talks about 4 5 numbers and then it goes over into page 14. At the top 6 of page 14, subsection (i), it says: 7 'How many children did the organisation accommodate 8 at a time and in how many establishments?' And the answer is that Cardross Park Remand Home was 9 under the aegis of Dunbartonshire County Council, which 10 11 is not a predecessor authority for this organisation. 12 And it is stated: 'The numbers available of children accommodated in 13 14 residential establishments for this organisation and its 15 predecessors are contained in Appendix B.' And that: 'the information is limited'. I think, 16 17 again, Susanne, we might come later on to some 18 inspection reports that give us some idea of what the 19 numbers were, at least initially. 20 A. Mm-hm. Q. But is it fair to say that there aren't a lot of records 21 22 available in relation to Cardross Park? 23 A. Yes, that was our experience when we were responding to 24 the Section 21; we struggled to find records. 25 Q. Okay.

1	If we could move forward, then, to page 22 of that A
2	to B, down to section, subsection 6, I think there was
3	a question asked about the gender balance of the
4	childcare workers, and the answer there is that in 1971
5	there were six men and two females; was that something
6	that was taken from an inspection report or some other
7	documents that were looked at?
8	A. I can't recall the precise where that came from,
9	precisely.
10	Q. Okay. Well, again, we might come later on to see some
11	more information about
12	A. Yes.
13	Q the staffing at different times. And then in the
14	subsection below that
15	LADY SMITH: Of course, in that year it was still boys only.
16	It was the following year that girls were admitted; yes?
17	MS FORBES: Yes, my Lady. Although there is a peculiarity
18	in the records that we might come to about girls being
19	there before that date, but I don't know if that was
20	maybe just a temporary measure.
21	LADY SMITH: Okay, thank you.
22	MS FORBES: The paragraph then, after that, talks about the
23	girls' unit being established in about 1971/72 and,
24	again, this proposal that three female staff should be
25	employed. Again, we might come to see that a little bit

l later.

2	Do you know, Susanne, if it was a dedicated wing or
3	unit that was established for girls at Cardross Park?
4	A. That was my understanding. And, again, it was from
5	documents that were available to us. There was
6	reference made to the girls' unit. So we have taken
7	that inference, but it is quite difficult to establish
8	it precisely. But the girls' unit was mentioned in
9	a couple of documents.
10	Q. Thank you. If we can move forward then to page 24,
11	I think this talks about the oversight accountability
12	and oversight regime. And the answer at subsection 4
13	there talks about the Children's and Social Work
14	Department for Dunbartonshire County Council receiving
15	regular reports about the establishments?
16	LADY SMITH: That's section 5.
17	MS FORBES: Sorry, my Lady, section 5, yes. I have read my
18	roman numerals incorrectly.
19	That was between 1967 and 1975. Now, does that
20	information come from documents that you have seen about
21	inspections at Cardross Park?
22	A. My understanding from our Chief Archivist, Dr O'Brien,
23	was that that was available to her through the archives.
24	Q. And in relation to that, do you have any personal
25	knowledge or recollection about what type of inspections

1 or visits would take place during that time? 2 A. I would be making an assumption. But there would be --3 the work that the Inquiry has already done, in terms of the specialist reports that you have available to us, 4 there is nothing to suggest that Cardross Park did 5 anything different in terms of the inspection regimes, 6 7 but we couldn't be certain about that. 8 Q. And I think the question is asked in subsection 6: what visits were made? 9 10 And there is reference to minutes of meetings of 11 these committees and recording visits to residential 12 schools and homes in general; but do you know if that relates particularly to Cardross Park or not? 13 14 A. Again, my understanding from Dr O'Brien was there was reference to Cardross Park in some of the minutes that 15 she had available to her, from the archives. Not 16 17 consistently, though. Q. I think if we go down to the bottom of the page, we can 18 19 see there, at subsection 11, that the minutes record 20 reports, but give no further detail. And: 'According to available historical records, reports 21 22 were discussed and minuted, but specific contents of 23 said visits are not available.' 24 A. Yes. So what Dr O'Brien was able to find was that there 25 was reference to the reports and reference to visits,

1 but nothing of substance, in terms of either the actual 2 reports themselves or any kind of inspection reports. 3 Or indeed at the meetings, any discussion of substance. LADY SMITH: So it was just the fact of them having taken 4 5 place --A. Yes. 6 7 LADY SMITH: -- that had been recorded. 8 A. Yes, my Lady. LADY SMITH: Thank you. 9 MS FORBES: Moving forward, then, Susanne, to page 26, and 10 11 I think this deals with the culture and moving on to 12 staffing. But, at page 26, at subsection 4, we can see that there is an answer about -- which relates to 13 14 Kerelaw and Larchgrove. This is the third paragraph 15 down, in subsection 4. It states: 'We have already reported on Kerelaw Secure Unit, 16 17 Downcraig, Larchgrove Remand Home and Eversley, and our 18 reporting on Cardross Park is part of the latest batch. 19 In the earlier reports, we highlighted changes in 20 Larchgrove Remand Home as the most informative and we report this here for your convenience.' 21 22 Then it sets out that, in 1969, the Social Work 23 Services Group made a full inspection of Larchgrove 24 Remand Home after a serious incident in February of that 25 year. In terms of their recommendations, the following

1 changes were made ...'

2		Then it sets out the changes. I think this is
3		something you talked about the last time you gave
4		evidence; is that right?
5	Α.	Yes, it is. So the group that you refer to that
6		I chaired, continue to chair, in terms of managing our
7		responses to the Inquiry, you mentioned the report on
8		Larchgrove Remand, because we made an assumption that
9		the recommendations from there, well, they do in fact
10		relate to all Remand Homes, so they would have had some
11		bearing on Cardross, which is why we referenced it again
12		in this response.
13	Q.	Yes. And I think you are aware of the Bennett and
14		Righton report, that after these recommendations were
15		made, that things did not improve at Larchgrove; is that
16		the position you are aware of?
17	Α.	Yes, yes.
18	Q.	Yes. So if we are considering that; can we be confident
19		at all that there were any changes occurring in places
20		like Cardross Park as a result of these recommendations,
21		in relation to Larchgrove?
22	Α.	It is really difficult, given the absence of records, to
23		make comment, either in terms of any issues that there
24		may have been at Cardross, and therefore any action that
25		needed to be taken after the inspection in Larchgrove.



1 to B, that was available; is that right?

2 A. Yes, that's correct.

3 Q. I think if we then go to page 32 of this, we can see that, in relation to paragraph 3, 'Retrospective 4 5 acknowledgement/ admission', 3.1, 'Acknowledgement of 6 abuse', subsection (i), the question is asked: 7 'Does the organisation/establishment accept that 8 between 1930 and 17 December 2014 some children cared for at the establishment were abused?' 9 10 It states there that it is the Chief Social Work 11 Officer that's answering that question, and what's 12 stated there is: 'The Council currently has claims relating to 13 14 allegations of abuse in Cardross, but there are no 15 available records of internal investigations of abuse, no available records of disciplinary action against 16 17 staff and no knowledge of police investigations or criminal convictions relating to abuse of children.' 18 19 Was that the position at the time, when this was 20 completed? A. Yes, and I was the Chief Social Work Officer, so that 21 22 refers to me, yes. Q. Again, as a result of that, there is no assessment then 23 24 of the extent and scale of such abuse or the basis of 25 that assessment, or acknowledgement of systemic

1 failures?

2 A. Yes.

3	Q.	Now, at the time of completing that A to B, that was the
4		position. Since then, Glasgow City Council will have
5		been provided with allegations of abuse from applicants
6		who have come forward to this Inquiry. Now, at this
7		stage, we haven't heard from those applicants in person,
8		but those statements are evidence already in the
9		Inquiry, and as such, Glasgow City Council have had the
10		opportunity to consider that.
11		In relation to that, then, taking that into account,
12		Susanne; does the answer to any of these questions
13		change as a result of that on behalf of Glasgow City
14		Council?
15	Α.	So, from our perspective, we do have the applicant
16		evidence. We also have claims, and we have some current
17		claims, so we are working with, or responding to, claims
18		where people have talked about abuse at Cardross. So
19		I have no reason to disbelieve that.
20		What we don't have is an assessment that we had for
21		some of the other, larger scale institutions. So we
22		don't have an assessment of extent and scale and we
23		don't have a sense of systemic, which we did have in
24		others, and in which I was really clear about our
25		acknowledgement of. But we do have evidence, not least

1 in the applicants' evidence that the Inquiry will hear,

2 but also in the claims against us, that there was abuse.

3 But the other elements of the question remain

4 challenging to answer.

5 Q. Because there's not as many allegations that you have6 been made aware of?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. But certainly Glasgow City Council accepts that there
9 may well be findings from this Inquiry in relation to
10 abuse taking place at Cardross Park?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. I think then if we could move on to the C to D part of the response, which is at GLA.001.003.0956. And I don't 13 want to take you to too many parts of this, Susanne, but 14 15 there are just a couple of things. I think at the first page there is the response that's given, really, in 16 17 relation to policy and practice, et cetera. Is this just really the standard response that's been given? If 18 19 we look down at the answer to subsection 3 of 4.1, we 20 can see:

'Glasgow Corporation predecessor authorities were
aware of many of national policy guidance across a large
range of the above named aspects of residential care for
children. Many of the policy guidelines are
specifically mentioned in the records.'

I think that's a sort of standard response, I think, 1 2 in relation to much of this throughout, which isn't specific to Cardross Park; is that right? 3 A. Yes, that's right. We did spend quite a bit of time 4 5 with Dr O'Brien to refresh, given that we weren't around in the timeframe. So we did spend quite a bit of time 6 7 as part of our responses to all of the Section 21 8 reports. But, yes, they are largely the same in terms 9 of the response. 10 Q. Yes. If we can go then to page 53, this is the section 11 that deals with records. If we look at the present 12 situation, the question's asked what the details are of any records that are currently held relating to the 13 14 establishment. 15 And I think, again, Cardross Park has closed, but there is this outline given about the new or current 16 17 record management system and I think that starts in a bit, just further down the page, which says -- it is 18 19 just under 'Children in its care', if we can go further 20 down. This talks about CareFirst, which is the client management system used by social work services. 21 22 A. Mm-hm. 23 Q. And that deals with things like care plans, assessments, 24 reports, observations, risk assessments, promoting 25 positive behaviour plans and weekly reports; is that

1 right?

2	Α.	Yes, that's correct.
3	Q.	And that's what's still being used to this day, Susanne;
4		is that right?
5	Α.	Yes, that's right. There have been some upgrades to
6		CareFirst, but it has just improved its functionality
7		and reporting, but it is the same system. And those are
8		the same headings, in terms of the information that we
9		keep.
10	Q.	You can see there that the following information is kept
11		
12		And there is a list of some things, daily logs, day
13		to day placement plan and things like that; that's still
14		the position, is it?
15	Α.	Yes, it is, yes.
16	Q.	Is all the information kept electronically or is
17		anything held in paper form now?
18	Α.	It's all kept electronically, including whether or
19		not for example, if we have correspondence that's
20		only in paper format, it is scanned and held
21		electronically within the young person's record. Some
22		young people will still have depending on when they
23		came into our system, will still have a paper file. But
24		everything is held, including the back scanning as we
25		called it, everything is held electronically.

1 Q. So, if a child started off with a paper file, that is 2 then scanned in and held electronically? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Now, if we could go forward to page 54, halfway down 5 that page it says part D, 'Abuse and response'. And 6 again, this is -- I think there are two parts under it. It says '1. Abuse', '2. Abuse', and then there is 7 8 an answer, which says: 'According to the records and information available 9 to the Local Authority there is no evidence of abuse to 10 11 children/residents at this establishment during this 12 period.' That period is the timeframe 1930 to 13 14 17 December 2014. I think that then is the consistent response going forward in the rest of this document. So 15 that was the position that was put forward at the time; 16 17 does that remain the position, Susanne? A. It is according to the records and information. 18 19 I suppose it relates to the answer I gave you to the 20 question in terms of the applicant evidence that you have for the Inquiry and the claims against Glasgow City 21 22 Council. That would provide evidence of abuse to children and residents. 23 24 What we don't have is anything beyond that, which we 25 do have in the other Section 21 responses. We had other

1		information, in terms of inspection reports, in terms of
2		investigations, in terms of complaints, for example. So
3		that was what differentiated Cardross from our other
4		Section 21 responses.
5	Q.	So that question has really been answered through the
6		vision of the records that are available at the time?
7	Α.	Yes.
8	Q.	But, again, like I asked before, since then you are
9		aware of claims against
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	the Council, you are aware of applicant evidence
12		that's been provided to the Inquiry?
13	Α.	Yes.
14	Q.	So would you accept that there may well be findings in
15		relation to this Inquiry that there was abuse of
16		children or residents at Cardross Park during the time
17		period that we are dealing with?
18	Α.	Yes. I think certainly in terms of the evidence, so the
19		answer would be something along the lines of: in
20		response to the evidence available to the Inquiry and
21		the claims made against the Council, that constitutes
22		evidence of abuse to some children and residents at the
23		establishment.
24	Q.	Okay. So that position, really, has moved on with that
25		information being available?

1 A. Yes. I wouldn't wish at all to sound pedantic. It is 2 the information and evidence that we have in terms of 3 that evidence from young people who now -- many are adults. The unfortunate thing is we don't have records, 4 5 but we have information in terms of the applicant evidence. 6 7 Q. Now that we have had a look at the parts A to D, I think 8 we can move on now, Susanne, to have a look at some of the records that we have been able to obtain. I think, 9 first of all, we can have a look at some inspection 10 11 information, albeit that does relate to the very early period of Cardross Park. 12 The first document I would like to take you to is 13 14 SGV-000103015. Now, I think page 1 of that -- these are 15 taken from a set of inspection reports and page 1 16 appears to be a letter from an inspector in charge, and 17 it is dated 9th of -- I think that says 9 May 1967 --A. Mm-hm. 18 Q. -- at the bottom. And in that, it relates to Cardross 19 20 Park Junior Remand Home, and it says: 'Mr Hull, accompanied by Mr McClure, Children's 21 22 Officer, on a visit to the above home, on 3 May 1967. 23 Mr Hull feels that the adaptations, redecoration, and 24 furnishing have resulted in a bright, congenial building. Mr and Mrs KCZ-SPO anticipate their new role 25

1 with much enthusiasm.'

2		Now, I think that prior to this Cardross Park was
3		used as a building for a different purpose, but perhaps
4		held quite young children as a nursery of some kind; are
5		you aware of that?
6	Α.	I wasn't aware of that, no.
7	Q.	It seems, in any event, that it has been there have
8		been some adaptations and redecoration made to make way
9		for this becoming the Remand Home for junior boys?
10	Α.	Mm-hm.
11	Q.	If we go to the next page, then, this is an inspection
12		report for Cardross Park, and this is dated and a few
13		pages down, on page 3, I think we get the date. We will
14		come to that in a minute, but it is 7 May 1967.
15		But, on page 2, we can see that this was in relation
16		to an inspection of the building on the 3 May 1967.
17		That's at paragraph 1(a). That relates to the earlier
18		letter that we just saw. At 1(b), the author of the
19		report says:
20		'I met Mr and Mrs KCZ-SPO, SNR and .'
21		And those are the two individuals that were referred
22		to earlier, in the A to D response; is that right?
23	Α.	Yes, it is. Yes.
24	Q.	Then, also, it goes on to say:
25		'Mr and Mrs , SNR , and Mr HGR , who

1 will be a supervisor after the home opens on 15 May 1967.' 2 So it seems that was the date for this Junior Remand 3 Home, as it seems to be referred to at that time, 4 5 opening and starting as a Remand Home? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Underneath that it says: and Mr HGR 8 'At the moment Mr are gardeners.' 9 But it seems that one was to be SNR 10 and one was 11 to be a supervisor, once the Remand Home opened. 12 It then goes on to say that there was a tour of the grounds and outbuildings and, at paragraph 2, it says: 13 14 'The adaptations, which were minimal, have been completed, internal decorations are finished, 15 furnishings and furniture in place, ready for the 16 17 opening.' 18 It goes on to outline, at paragraph 3, the accommodation and lists various different rooms. At 19 20 3(a)(i), there is a classroom mentioned, and then, in brackets, it says: 21 22 'The old playroom, when Cardross was a nursery.' So I think that's where -- the reference to it 23 24 having a previous life. 25 There are then various other rooms mentioned and we

see, at 3(b), it says, subsection (i):

2 'Two old storage cupboards have been adapted and now have two lavatories, two wall urinals and two wash hand 3 basins.' 4 There are various different cloakrooms referred to. 5 If we go to (b)(v), there is reference to a sewing room, 6 7 which had extra shelving installed and is to be 8 a clothing store as well as a sewing room. If we then go to subsection (c), talking about the 9 10 first floor, you can see bedrooms at subsection (c), 11 bedroom 1 for four; 2 for eight and 3 for eight. It 12 seems to be bedrooms on the first floor, anyway, that can accommodate 20. 13 14 There is then an interview room referred to, at 15 subsection (d), for use by probation officers, childcare officers, police, et cetera. Then, at (e), there is 16 17 a reference to a new addition of a hardboard partition part to make a storage cupboard for sweepers, brushes, 18 et cetera. 19 20 Going over to page 2, then, we get a little bit more information about the layout. At paragraph 5, on the 21 22 second paragraph there, it says: 'In the bedrooms each boy has a chair, a locker and 23 24 wardrobe provision is adequate.' 25 It finishes by saying:

1 'The work of adapting this building for its new role has been well done and Mr and Mrs KCZ-SPO are enthusiastic 2 3 and keenly anticipate the opening.' The author of this, Mr Hull, I think, says he feels 4 5 sure that the Remand Home will justify itself. If we can go forward then to page 5, again, we can 6 7 see that this is another inspection report and it 8 relates to a visit, we can see at 1(a), on 26 September 1967. Again, it is Mr Hull, accompanied by 9 10 Mr McClure again. 11 At paragraph 2 there, it states this is a Remand 12 Home for 20 boys who are under 13 years of age on admission. It then sets out the courts it serves, which 13 14 are in Dunbartonshire, Clydebank, Stirling County, 15 Paisley, Greenock, Renfrewshire and Port Glasgow. So it is quite a wide area that the Remand Home is to serve; 16 17 is that right? A. Yes, it is. Yes. 18 Q. And then, at paragraph 3, it says 'Numbers', (a): 19 20 'On the day of our visit, only one boy was resident. This was an 11-year-old boy from Stirling Burgh Court.' 21 22 And (b): 'Since opening on May 16 1967, this year, a total of 23 24 38 boys have been admitted and 37 discharged. The 25 highest total reached has been 12.'

1 So we can get an idea then of the numbers at the 2 beginning. I think then this visit was about just over 3 four months since the opening? A. Yes. 4 5 And paragraph 3(c) says: Q. 6 'The usage has not reached the anticipated level, 7 but the children's officer feels this period has enabled 8 the staff to become accustomed to Remand Home routine.' It then talks, at paragraph 4, about a committee and 9 10 it says: 11 'The home is administered by the County Children's 12 Committee and already the convenor and several members have paid visits to the home.' 13 14 So it seems that there are some people from the 15 Committee that are overseeing the home at that time. Paragraph 5, in relation to premises, it says: 16 17 'The adaptations to the home have been well planned and there is good provision for sleeping, educational 18 and leisure time activities. On our visit, the premises 19 20 were clean and tidy and despite the continued low numbers there was a pleasant atmosphere among the 21 22 staff.' 23 It then goes on to staff at paragraph 6. It says: , Mr KCZ 'Staff. SNR 24 and , who is 54, and his wife, Mrs , who is 53, were 25

1 SNR and on 15 November 1966. Previously, they were SNR 2 and of 3 Bloomhill Children's Home from 1952. Although without basic qualifications, their years of experience will be 4 invaluable in a Remand Home of this type.' 5 It says they are both enjoying their new roles. We 6 7 can see there, Susanne, that apparently the couple that were SNR 8 the home had some experience of a children's home, but no -- it says 'basic 9 qualifications', but no qualifications per se in 10 11 relation to this type of work. 12 LADY SMITH: And, I noted from earlier, the two men that were joining the staff were gardeners. 13 14 MS FORBES: Yes, my Lady. LADY SMITH: With no apparent prior training or experience 15 in this sort of work. 16 17 A. Yes, my Lady. Yes. MS FORBES: I think we see more of them in the next 18 paragraph, 6(b): it talks about SNR 19 SNR and , Mr and Mrs . They were 20 appointed on the 15 May 1967. It says: 21 22 'Previously Mr was Head Gardener in Cardross Park when it was a reception home and Mrs 23 was 24 a member of the domestic staff at Bloomhill.' 25 So she had been at the children's home with Mr and

Mrs KCZ-SPO 1 And they seemed to be settling into their new roles well, and Mr KCZ spoke highly of them. 2 3 Then, going forward to the supervisors, at 6(c), we 4 have Mr HGR , 35, who was appointed on 15 May 1967, 5 and previously was Assistant Gardener at Cardross. He is settling in well and is to attend the extramural 6 7 class at Glasgow University on Thursday afternoons. It says there Mr HGR is a non-resident, at the end of 8 that paragraph. 9 Then we have Mr HGQ, aged 26, who was appointed 10 11 on 15 May 1967, and was previously on the staff of 12 Edinburgh Remand Home, and it talks about the fact that he had married a House Mother of a family group home. 13 14 And he was on the staff of Ponton House, Edinburgh, and 15 had attended the Langside course over, it says, a year, 1963 to 1964, and has the residential child care 16 17 certificate. LADY SMITH: So he did have relevant prior experience, and 18 19 a qualification. 20 A. Yes. MS FORBES: Yes. 21 22 LADY SMITH: Does that stand out as the only person who had that? I think it does, doesn't it? 23 24 MS FORBES: This appears to be the only entry referring to 25 anyone with a qualification.

1 Now, I am not sure, my Lady, what the extramural class at Glasgow University for Mr HGR 2 involved, but certainly that was something that has been recorded. 3 Then it goes on to talk about some domestic staff. 4 At (d), there is a cook. Over the page, on to page 6, 5 there is reference to another domestic, a laundry maid, 6 7 another domestic, and a part-time domestic. So we get 8 an idea of the number of staff that were at Cardross Park at that time, and their backgrounds and roles. 9 Then, at paragraph 7, it talks about routine and 10 duties. It says that Mr KCZ -- this is at (b): 11 'Mr KCZ has worked out a routine which gives 12 variety and which also ensures adequate educational and 13 14 leisure time activities for the boys.' 15 However, at (c), in relation to education, it says: 'No member of staff is a qualified teacher and the 16 17 problem of education has worried Mr McClure for some time.' 18 19 And then it goes on to say: 'I pointed out the regulations imposed this duty on 20 a Remand Home and, whilst the low numbers have not made 21 22 this a serious matter as yet, if the home were to start being used to the full, the problem could become acute. 23 24 Certainly the present staff are managing so far to do 25 a little arithmetic, reading, art and so forth, and the

1		boys passing through will not have suffered unduly.'
2		It then says they were hoping to appoint a retired
3		school master, but that this had fallen through.
4		So we can see, Susanne, that there didn't seem to be
5		any formal type of education being provided at that
6		time, despite the fact that that was something that was
7		required in relation to the regulations.
8	Α.	Mm-hm.
9	Q.	There is some reference then to some simple metalwork,
10		woodwork, and some other craft activities, and boys
11		being encouraged to take an interest in gardening, and
12		that leisure time activities included table tennis/
13		indoor bowls, as well as card games.
14		There is some reference to religion there and it
15		seems that this was non-denominational, so Catholic and
16		Protestant children attended at Cardross Park and there
17		was some provision made for that.
18		There is then some talk about the meals and the fact
19		that this was there is a family atmosphere at meal
20		times, with Mr and Mrs KCZ-SPO eating with the boys.
21		That's at paragraph 8.
22		Going forward to paragraph 10, there is reference to
23		records, and some records are outlined there at
24		paragraph 10. At 10(a), there's admission discharge
25		register; (c) there is a medical book; (d) personal

1 history cards, which are described as: 2 'A card for each individual boy with details of 3 background, personal history, reason for admission, court and section under which admitted, and information 4 on behaviour, absconding, punishments and so forth.' 5 And then (e), a logbook, a record of any outstanding 6 events day by day, and it is commented: 7 8 'All of these records are up to date and are being meticulously maintained.' 9 We see there are a number of records that were 10 11 apparently being kept at that time --12 A. Mm-hm. Q. -- but certainly that is not something we have been able 13 14 to obtain from the inquiries that have been made by the 15 Council; is that right? A. Yes, that's correct. 16 Q. At paragraph 11, it says: 17 18 'Discipline. Corporal punishment is not used in this home. Encouragement and understanding are used to 19 20 get the best out of the boys. If there is misbehaviour, it is reported to the Superintendent who deals with each 21 22 case on its merits and may take away some privilege for 23 a short time or merely warn.' 24 There is a separate sentence that says: 25 'Meals are never interfered with.'

1		So it seems, in relation to what was taking place at
2		that time in Cardross Park, the approach was that
3		corporal punishment was not being used. That was the
4		plan, anyway; is that right?
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	At paragraph 12, there is some talk about staff
7		meetings, in that there is a get-together each week with
8		staff to discuss the boys and problems.
9		There is a reference there, at paragraph 12, to
10		Mr KCZ being keen on training for staff and
11		encouraging their attendance at refresher courses, but
12		it is not clear as to what that might be.
13		However, there is a reference to Mr and Mrs KCZ-SPO
14		attending the Annual Conference of Remand Home
15		, down in England,
16		in November of the year before, and them finding the
17		experience rewarding and informative.
18		Going on, then, to paragraph 13, there was a
19		discussion with the Children's Officer recorded and it
20		states that there was the problem of continuing low
21		numbers. Mr McClure had assured Mr Hull that the
22		opening date had been circulated to all the authorities
23		and courts involved. There is some suggestion of what
24		could be done further. And that seems to be because of
25		the low take-up at that time of admissions to Cardross

1 Park; is that right?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Yes. And if we go to the conclusion -- there is 4 a summary that goes through what we have kind of gone --5 already gone over, but -- at 14. Then, at paragraph 15, 6 the conclusion is: 'Despite the disappointing level of usage, this is 7 8 a worthwhile project typical of this forward thinking department. So far it is going well and the 9 Superintendent and Matron and staff are showing 10 11 enthusiasm and keenness, which augurs well for the 12 future.' Then there is no official action recommended at 13 14 paragraph 16. 15 Going to the next inspection report, then, at page 8, this relates to a visit on 26 June 1968, again 16 17 by Mr Hull. In relation to numbers, at paragraph 2, on 18 that day there were seven boys on the roll and he sets 19 out their ages, which were three aged 12, one each aged 20 11, 10, 9 and 8; three of the boys were on detention; two on place of safety orders; one was awaiting 21 22 a vacancy; and a boy in hospital was on remand. It says there are further details of the boys given in an 23 24 appendix. 25 We can get a snapshot as at that time, in June 1968,

1		of on that day who was there. There is a little bit of
2		a table at (c). But if we go to (b) first, it says:
3		'This Remand Home completed its first year of
4		existence on 15 May 1968, opened as a home for boys
5		under 13 years of age on admission. It has not proved
6		an outstanding success. Numbers have not been as
7		anticipated and usage has been approximately
8		40 per cent, although the figures below indicate
9		an increasing usage as the year has progressed.'
10		Then there's some monthly figures given. And we can
11		see at (c), then, underneath that table, it says:
12		'The daily average during June to the date of the
13		visit was 13.2 children with a maximum of 20 and
14		a minimum of 6.'
15		And then it says, at (d):
16		'A total of 170 boys and three girls have been
17		admitted since opening.'
18		So I think this is the reference we see to girls for
19		the first time and we are not given any further
20		information about how that came to be.
21	Α.	Mm-hm.
22	Q.	But certainly that date would be before the girls' unit
23		was opened.
24	Α.	Yes.
25	Q.	If we can go then to 'Staffing', at paragraph 3, there
1	were no changes. It says, at paragraph 3(b), there was	
----	--	
2	an uncertified teacher who was to join the staff on	
3	1 July 1968 for a period of two months, and that he was	
4	to be resident, and a bed-sitting room had been prepared	
5	for him. But that seems to be the first reference so	
6	far in that first year to any formal teacher, albeit	
7	this is an uncertified teacher, to be joining the staff.	
8	Going forward to page 9, at (b), it says:	
9	'Education: the attempt to obtain the services of	
10	a teacher failed'	
11	However, the reference is made to the teacher who	
12	will be coming for the summer months of July and August	
13	to organise a school programme.	
14	At paragraph (c), in relation to assessment, it	
15	says:	
16	'Assessment is minimal and consists essentially of	
17	the summing up based on observations made by each member	
18	of staff and the Superintendent. Boys are not given any	
19	intelligence or other tests because, as Mr KCZ states,	
20	no one is qualified to do these. There are daily	
21	informal discussions among the staff about boys and	
22	Mr KCZ records these on the boys' case history sheets	
23	as a continuing progress report. I studied these and	
24	found them to be informative and detailed: one referred	
25	to a suspicion which Mr KCZ had had about a boy's	

apparent disobedience and dilatory attitude might be due 1 2 to a hearing defect. The boy was seen by the medical 3 officer, but no such defect was diagnosed. Observation then is close and final reports are useful and 4 informative.' 5 It then sets out the process if a psychiatric 6 7 opinion is requested by the court and there was 8 a doctor, a Consultant Psychiatrist from the Vale of Leven Hospital, who would be able to see the boys. And, 9 thereafter, the next paragraph sets out the following: 10 'Mr KCZ commented on the dearth of background 11 12 information which he receives. He receives no written background report on any boy sent from Dumbarton, 13 14 Paisley or Greenock courts -- Stirling always provide a 15 full background report -- either from the Children's or Probation Department. This lack of background 16 17 information is a common feature of the Remand Home service in Scotland and prevents a logical 18 19 recommendation from being made.' 20 We can see there doesn't seem to be any proper assessment of the boys being undertaken at that time, at 21 22 Cardross Park. 23 A. Yes.

Q. Going further forward, we can see, at paragraph 5, that there is a medical section that says all the boys are

1 seen by a GP within 24 hours after admission and before 2 discharge. And again, the records that we looked -referred to earlier, are outlined at paragraph 7. If we 3 go over to page 10, the top of page 10, there is 4 a reference to those records being up to date and well 5 maintained. 6 7 At paragraph 8, again we see a reference to corporal 8 punishment in relation to discipline, saying it has not been used and that Mr KCZ 9 by building up a good staff and staff-boy relationships, 10 11 and, by mutual understanding, punishment consists of 12 deprivation of some privilege for a stated time. At paragraph 10, the conclusion is that Mr KCZ 13 14 continues this Remand Home on sound and enlightened lines. There are good staff and staff-boy 15 relationships and a liberal, permissive regime is 16 17 pursued. The comment is made: 'The level of usage remains disappointing.' 18 So, again, we see the fact that there aren't as many 19 boys being admitted as was perhaps hoped. 20 At paragraph 11, in relation to recommendations, 21 22 there is a reference to the possibility of raising the 23 age to include 13-year olds, and I think previously it 24 had only been up to the age of 12. 25 LADY SMITH: Susanne, when you see these repeated remarks

1 about the level of usage being disappointing, there is 2 a need to get more children, et cetera; what thoughts do 3 you have? A. My thinking is -- because there is a level of 4 5 disappointment expressed, so it suggests to me that they 6 had an issue that they were trying to resolve and the 7 disappointment seems to come. But it is hard to tell if 8 courts are not taking decisions for young people to be placed in the Remand Home, because it sounds as if they 9 had actually spent some time planning, so thought they 10 11 were going to have the numbers. 12 LADY SMITH: Mm-hm. A. I am kind of left wondering: what made them think that? 13 14 Where you would go in modern times is, you would 15 have access to information that would tell you how many young people had been going through the system and, 16 17 therefore, you would have a sound basis on which to make 18 that kind of judgment. 19 Without any evidence, it is hard to say. Had they

just assumed that or was it anecdote, rather than data? It does feel that they were trying to do -- that it was coming from a child-centred approach. It sounds like there were potentially children who were being disposed of custodially through courts that they were trying to find a more child-centred response to, but it is not

1 clear.

25

2	LADY SMITH: I can see it is difficult. One explanation
3	might be that they thought they were doing a good job
4	A. Yes.
5	LADY SMITH: and it grieved them to think that more
6	children weren't coming into their care. I wondered,
7	also, whether they were worried that it would be brought
8	to an end if they couldn't prove their worth in terms of
9	the number of children they were providing for.
10	A. Yes, my Lady. And the other thing that occurred to
11	me again expressing a professional/personal
12	opinion is the regime as it is described in the
13	inspection reports would be quite different with other
14	contemporaries, if you think about the Bennett Righton
15	report. So that's no use of corporal punishment, not
16	using any deprivation in terms of meals.
17	It is quite interesting. Although there are no
18	qualifications, for SNR to think that
19	presenting behaviour was connected potentially to
20	a hearing issue, routine now
21	LADY SMITH: Yes.
22	A but, in the 1960s, that wouldn't necessarily what
23	we have seen in other reports and what the Inquiry,
24	I think, have seen in other reports is there is

41

an assumption made about young people and children, and

1 an assumption made about them being bad or --2 LADY SMITH: Yes. 3 A. So this feels and looks quite different, that the inspector himself makes that conclusion in that second 4 5 report. So I am also left wondering whether or not not 6 everybody saw it as an appropriate alternative disposal. 7 LADY SMITH: Yes, thank you. That's very helpful. 8 Ms Forbes. MS FORBES: My Lady. That last report we looked at, 9 Susanne, from Mr Hull, was dated 11 July 1968. If we go 10 11 forward to page 11, I think we can see that this is from 12 Mr Heggie, who that last report was sent to. It is to Miss Hunter in relation to Cardross Park. In it, 13 14 Mr Heggie says: 'I have read with particular interest Mr Hull's 15 report of 11 July on his visit to Cardross Park Home. 16 17 When we were in the throes of the press reports about 18 the overcrowding at Larchgrove Remand Home, Glasgow, it 19 was somewhat embarrassing, having got a return of 20 children in residence at all the remand homes in Scotland, to find that while Larchgrove had closed its 21 22 doors, Cardross Park was only half full. I appreciated 23 that Cardross Park only took junior boys and it did not 24 necessarily follow that they could take all the boys 25 which Glasgow had to turn away, but that exercise did

1 seem to support very strongly the need for 2 Dunbartonshire to reconsider the age range of the children using this home and I am glad that Mr Hull 3 raised it, with the Children's Officer, the possibility 4 of 13-year-olds being included.' 5 So it appears from what Mr Heggie's saying -- that's 6 7 dated 16 July 1968 -- that the low age limit may be one 8 of the reasons why the take-up had not been as expected. If we go to the next inspection report, then, on 9 page 12, that relates to a visit on 19 November 1968, 10 11 and again, paragraph 2, there were ten boys on roll, two 12 of whom were at court, and it says their ages, two were 13 years old, one 11 years old, one 10, one 9, and five 13 14 were 8 years old. So there were quite a few younger boys at that time. But this is where we see the age 15 seems to have been increased to take 13-year-olds, and 16 17 2(b) says: 'In appendix (b) I gave details of admissions and 18 19 discharges and the daily averages for 1968. It is

20 obvious that this home is being greatly under used. The 21 Children's Officer has made the maximum age elastic and 22 boys are now admitted who are 13.'

23 Paragraph 3 says there are no adverse comments to
24 make about the home.

25 Paragraph 4 states there is still no teacher on the

1 staff, but that some educational books had been 2 provided. If we go down to paragraph 9, further down that 3 page, it says: 4 'Corporal punishment has not been resorted to. 5 Control is not rigid and strict and there is always 6 7 a happy atmosphere and good rapport between staff and 8 boys in the home.' The conclusion, at paragraph 11, is that the home 9 continues to function satisfactorily and there is 10 11 a happy atmosphere, which springs from the enlightened 12 and liberal regime which prevails.' That's dated 22 November 1968. Going forward, then, 13 14 to the next report, page 13, this is from a visit on 15 11 April 1969, and, again, there were no changes to staff. At that time there were 13 boys in residence; 16 17 that's at paragraph 3. The youngest being 8 and the oldest being 13 and 5/12ths. 18 LADY SMITH: Five months. 19 20 MS FORBES: Yes. And four boys being over 13 years. Now, at paragraph 3(c), it says: 21 22 'The usage of the Remand Home shows a pleasing upward trend. A much better defined policy of transfer 23 24 between Bellfield, Larchgrove and Cardross is now 25 working smoothly.'

1 It does make reference then, at paragraph 4, to some 2 absconsions, but that was for a short period, and there 3 were only three. Paragraph 5, in relation to punishments, this seems 4 to be the first reference we have to some punishment 5 being used, and it says: 6 7 'On 18 March, three boys, two aged 11 and one aged 8 10, threw a fire grate through a playroom window and each was given two strokes on the buttocks for causing 9 10 malicious damage.' 11 It is remarked there: 12 'This is the first instance of the use of corporal punishment since the Remand Home opened in July 1967.' 13 14 So it appears that because of this incident that 15 took place involving those three boys, there was some corporal punishment, although it seems to be quite 16 17 minimal. At paragraph 6, there is a reference to the fire 18 provision and it sets out the situation which is 19 20 described as 'disturbing', and we see that, the second 21 paragraph, it says: 22 'The water meter and bypass valve for the Remand 23 Home are sited near the main Dumbarton Helensburgh Road, 24 some 400 to 500 yards from the home and the bypass valve 25 must be opened to fee the hydrant situated at the rear

1 of the home.'

2 LADY SMITH: That must be free not fee, I think. So the 3 hydrant will work.

MS FORBES: Yes. It goes on to describe that there had been a small housing scheme built and that resulted in the lid of the bypass valve being partially covered with tarmac, so there was an issue about the distance of the water meter and bypass valve, and also the fact that it was covered with tarmac, partially.

10 But then it goes on to say that, since there were four similar lids and Mr KCZ hadn't been told yet by 11 12 the water company which was the particular Remand Home valve, albeit he had successfully opened one lid, he 13 14 found the valve was at such a depth he couldn't reach it 15 and, even worse, had no key to open it were he able to reach it. So there seem to be quite a few issues about 16 17 that being raised by the inspector at that time.

Going over to the next page, page 14, go down to the second paragraph on page 14, further up the page on the screen. So, on page 14, but further up, yes, second

21 paragraph, says:

22 'This is an invidious position since, in the case of 23 fire, the hydrant would not be usable.'

- 24 And it is commented thereafter that:
- 25 'Mr Hull cannot understand the reasons for siting

1		the meter and bypass valve at such a distance.'
2		And there is a recommendation made that concern be
3		expressed to the appropriate people.
4		Going forward, then, to page 15, there is a further
5		inspection then on the 24 August 1969, and there were at
6		that time 12 boys in residence, and there were 8 boys at
7		that time awaiting vacancies in Approved Schools, and at
8		paragraph 3 the comment is is made:
9		' one of whom had been waiting for 121 days.'
10		So that seems to be quite a significant period of
11		time, given that Cardross Park was supposed to be being
12		used as a short term placement, is that right?
13	Α.	Yes.
14	Q.	Some of the daily averages of boys is given there: it is
15		between 13.5 in July and 15.5 in August. They were
		between fore in our, and fore in ingube. Ind, were
16		obviously higher than the months of the previous year at
16 17		
		obviously higher than the months of the previous year at
17		obviously higher than the months of the previous year at the same time. The staff remained the same, and since
17 18		obviously higher than the months of the previous year at the same time. The staff remained the same, and since the last visit there was no absconding and no instances
17 18 19		obviously higher than the months of the previous year at the same time. The staff remained the same, and since the last visit there was no absconding and no instances of corporal punishment.
17 18 19 20		obviously higher than the months of the previous year at the same time. The staff remained the same, and since the last visit there was no absconding and no instances of corporal punishment. There is then some detail about the fire precautions
17 18 19 20 21		obviously higher than the months of the previous year at the same time. The staff remained the same, and since the last visit there was no absconding and no instances of corporal punishment. There is then some detail about the fire precautions at paragraph 7, and it is said that the position of the
17 18 19 20 21 22		obviously higher than the months of the previous year at the same time. The staff remained the same, and since the last visit there was no absconding and no instances of corporal punishment. There is then some detail about the fire precautions at paragraph 7, and it is said that the position of the bypass valve remains the same as before. However, it

1 But the point is still made that that key and lever kept 2 in the Remand Home in case of fire would have to be carried by a member of staff up to the valve, and there 3 is a combined weight in the region of 7 or 8 pounds, 4 which is commented would be quite a burden. So the 5 suggestion is -- well, there is no suggestion that it 6 7 can be re-sited nearer to the house. And going over to 8 the next page, it is commented that the present arrangements meet with the approval of the fire brigade. 9 10 However, it is suggested that some points be raised with 11 the Children's Committee to paint the lid of the bypass 12 valve or to, and/or to, fix a lockable cupboard near the bypass valve so the key and lever can be stored there 13 14 when not in use. So it seems to be sensible to try and prevent any issues in the event of a fire. And that is 15 dated 27 August, 1969. 16

Going forward, then, to page 17, this seems to be another inspection report, albeit in a different format, and I think, if I can go to the second page, it seems to be written by a different inspector, and the terms of this report seem to be much less positive than the ones that we have looked at before.

23 Going back, then, to page 17, it starts off saying 24 Cardross Park is a very beautiful old house, well over 25 100 years old, and, in contrast to Bellfield, it is in

1 excellent condition. There is reference to the long 2 sweeping drive leading up to the house and the extensive 3 grounds. Underneath the heading 'Ground floor', it says: 4 'There is a kitchen and dining room which is a 5 recent extension to the building, and a girls' 6 7 recreation room and a boys' recreation room.' 8 In relation to the first floor, then, it is detailed that there are two girls' dormitories which are 9 self-contained with their own toilet accommodation and 10 11 two boys' dormitories, plus the usual bathroom 12 facilities. There is reference to the Superintendent having a flat on the attic floor above, and two rooms 13 for non-resident staff, who sleep in turn when required. 14 15 It states that all the staff are non-resident, apart from the Superintendent and his wife, as there is no 16 accommodation for them. 17 LADY SMITH: So which year have we got to now, Ms Forbes? 18 MS FORBES: My Lady, the date of this is 22 March, 1973, but 19 20 in the report itself it doesn't say, I don't think, what date the visit was. 21 22 LADY SMITH: Right, thank you. 23 MS FORBES: So this is forward a few years from the last 24 report that we saw. So by this time it appears that

49

there is obviously provision for girls' dormitories



1 female supervisors -- and I think the reference in the A 2 to D is 1971, but this is obviously dated 1973, so it is not clear for how long they have been in position, but 3 certainly at this time there were three female 4 5 supervisors. Thereafter it says staff meet together each day over 6 7 coffee and tea and discuss any events, the children, 8 et cetera. Assessment reports are written when requested, as at Bellfield, and the reports are 9 10 discussed by all the staff. 11 It then goes on to discuss the children and it says: 12 'The director has recently rearranged the usage of this establishment and it now takes as follows: eight 13 14 girls for assessment; eight boys (junior age group) for 15 assessment; and eight boys who go out to school, who are categorised as intermediate length of stay.' 16 17 It goes on to say: 'This establishment is not able to get qualified 18 teachers to deal with the children during school time 19 20 and, even if they could, the greenhouse accommodation is really quite unsuitable. Staff therefore arrange 21 22 a varied pattern of activities which do not fall into 23 any particular set routine. They have tried a variety 24 of things like rug making, metalwork, painting, 25 et cetera, and say they do not find any of them very

1 successful with the children. Obviously their main 2 problem is with the girls. I suspect that Mr KCZ may 3 not be very comfortable with them, although, generally speaking, they appear to be coping with difficulties 4 quite well. The girls are allocated to the house. For 5 example, one girl works in the kitchen, one girl in the 6 7 laundry, another does domestic work upstairs in the 8 bedroom, et cetera. Otherwise, I suspect there must be quite an amount of time which is passed on aimless 9 activity.' 10 11 So it seems there, particularly in relation to the 12 duties for the girls who are being placed there, not much useful activity has been undertaken. 13 14 It then goes on to say: 15 'When the new Assessment Centre is built on the site, the director plans to turn Cardross Park into 16 17 an ordinary long stay children's home, with all the children going out to the local schools, and this would 18 19 seem to be a much better arrangement.' 20 It then goes on to final comments and states that : 'The following were the main problems in these two 21 centres ...' 22 Now, Susanne, it might be that when it says 'two 23 centres' it is referring to the girls' and the boys' 24 25 part, but it is not clear.

1 A. Yes.

2	Q. '1. The appalling absence of education provision at the
3	moment to which there appears to be no answer.
4	'2. The blockage of children who stay in these
5	centres interminably, either waiting for a List D
6	placement or some other special placement which does not
7	exist.
8	'3. This tends to be aggravated by the fact that
9	a number of Local Authorities are all users of these
10	establishments, for example Clackmannan, Stirling County
11	and Burgh, Paisley, Renfrewshire, et cetera. I suspect
12	that children tend to be forgotten. It is very much
13	a question of out of sight, out of mind, particularly
14	when the child's onward placement is very difficult to
15	arrange.
16	'4. The fact that really there is very little
17	knowledge or experience available about assessment
18	procedure in residential establishments, and how to set
19	it up. I felt that Dunbartonshire being responsible for
20	these centres'
21	I will maybe pause there, Susanne. It might be that
22	the reference to centres is Bellfield and Cardross Park,
23	because they would have both been at that time under the
24	same
25	LADY SMITH: I wondered about that, because they had

1 amalgamated by then, hadn't they?

2 MS FORBES: Yes.

3 So these were the Assessment Centres that were 4 available because of the new legislation, and so I think 5 the author of this is commenting that because of that 6 they felt that Dunbartonshire being responsible for 7 these centres:

8 '... must take the initiative in setting standards to which other social work departments must comply. 9 10 This would involve the whole process of providing 11 reports for the Assessment Centres, developing team 12 discussions in the centres and getting in the outside specialists who need to see the children, et cetera. If 13 14 an assessment procedure was firmly laid down, then 15 I think this might help to process the children through more effectively. I suspect that, at the moment, 16 17 unrealistic recommendations are made for placements which do not exist. This wastes time and the children 18 19 are delayed in the Assessment Centres. This would 20 involve a much more formal coordination of the arrangements than appears to go on at the moment.' 21 22 And then it says at 5: 'Everyone spoke of the massive need for training for 23

24 essential staff and the fact that many of the present 25 problems stem from the quality of the staff and their

under privileged status.'

2 And that is from, it seems to be a Mr Read, 3 22 March, 1973. So by the time we get to 1973, Susanne, we see that 4 there are problems that are continuing at Cardross Park 5 in relation to its effectiveness, and the lack of 6 7 education or activity for the children that are being 8 placed there, would you agree? A. I am not -- so yes, but I am not sure if the reference 9 in relation to training relates only to Cardross Park. 10 11 So I am reading that the children would have case 12 officers or case workers, allocated social workers we would call it today, who would be making recommendations 13 14 to the court. It wouldn't be, it wouldn't have been the staff at Cardross Park. 15 Q. Yes. 16 17 A. So I think that this report is referencing the ongoing 18 challenges within Cardross Park, but also some systemic 19 challenges across the whole system, because it wouldn't 20 be my understanding that it would be Cardross Park's staff who would make recommendations on onward 21 placements. It would be the children's case worker or, 22

in today's terms, allocated social worker. So it seems
to me that that particular inspection report is
highlighting the ongoing challenges within

1	Cardross Park, but also some systemic issues about how
2	children are placed there, and their journey after, or
3	their journey from, Cardross.
4	Q. Because it is quite early in the days of the Assessment
5	Centres, and it seems the author of this report is
6	suggesting that because these two centres are in
7	Dunbartonshire, or being dealt with by Dunbartonshire,
8	that they should try and take this initiative to figure
9	out what standards should be being applied, but at that
10	time, 1973, it hadn't happened yet, anyway.
11	A. Mm-hm.
12	MS FORBES: My Lady, I wonder if that's
13	LADY SMITH: I think we should break at that point. And
14	continue at 2 o'clock, if that's all right with you,
15	Susanne. Thank you.
16	(1.02 pm)
17	(The luncheon adjournment)
18	(2.00 pm)
19	LADY SMITH: Welcome back, Susanne. Are you ready for us to
20	carry on?
21	A. Yes, my Lady.
22	LADY SMITH: Thank you.
23	Ms Forbes.
24	MS FORBES: Thank you, my Lady.
25	Susanne, before we broke for lunch we were just

1 looking at inspection reports from 1973 and, as we 2 discussed earlier, when it was investigated there were limited records, is that right, for Cardross Park that 3 were uncovered? 4 5 A. Yes. Q. Yes. I just want to take you to a few later records 6 7 that have been made available and found. And the first of those is GLA-000003385. 8 Now, this jumps forward in time to 1995 and it is 9 an extract from a logbook for Endrick, which I think was 10 11 one of the units or houses within Cardross Park, and the 12 time period is between 28 June 1995 and 24 July 1995. Now, there are two columns on the page, a left-hand 13 14 column and a right-hand column with writing. If you 15 look at the right-hand column and just the first proper sort of entry on that right-hand side, there is 16 17 a blacked out redacted part which is the name of a girl and, underneath, it says: 18 'Received a letter this PM from a ... [it names 19 a person], an inmate, Longriggend.' 20 And it references the letter and the hall. It says: 21 22 'The contents of this letter are alarming ... [it 23 names the person again who wrote the letter] claiming he 24 is going to hang himself on Monday after sentencing at 25 court.'

1 Then it names a girl. It says:

2 'She became extremely upset reading this letter, to 3 the extent of becoming hysterical. Escorted to her bedroom by AM Barnes, writer in attendance. AM Barnes 4 tried to console ... [and names the girl] who began to 5 hyperventilate. AM Barnes placed her head between her 6 7 legs in an attempt to encourage her to breathe normally. 8 This had little effect. Writer took hold of ... [names the girl again] and slapped her cheek to initiate 9 breathing. This had the desired effect. After 10 11 a settling period, with her consent, I informed the 12 authorities at HMP Longriggend of this letter.' Then, if we go forward to the next page, just at the 13 14 top on the left-hand side, that entry continues. Then we see that the author of that is redacted out, but it 15 is actually GUF . So he seems to be the 16 17 author. So the person that's referred to as having slapped the girl's cheek is GUF 18 So this is a reference within that logbook to a slap 19

20 of a girl, and obviously the circumstances are set out 21 there.

If you then go to page 8 of that document, we can see, again, there are two columns. If we look halfway down the left-hand side column, there is again a main entry with a boy's full name, and it says:

1 'Escorted to CH by writer on arrival at SW office. 2 Boy complaining to his mum about being restrained, 3 saying he had nearly been done in. I explained situation to mother and also told her [he] had been 4 given opportunity to complain officially. Mum then 5 berated him for his behaviour, which calmed him down 6 7 considerably.' 8 Then, if you can go down to page 9, and again two columns, on the right-hand side at the top -- which is 9 redacted out -- is the same boy's name and then there is 10 11 a list of things that are put down the right-hand 12 column, 1, 2, 3. If we go to 3, it says: '[boy] advised he hit member of staff, a guy, with 13 14 a bottle, because they were annoying him. He states that his body is all bruises caused by being 15 restrained.' 16 17 Then further down that page it relates to the same boy, and it says: 18 'I spoke with ... [him].' 19 20 And again there is a list and it says 1 -- and then 3 -- and it says: 21 22 'He showed me the top half of his body and there are 23 no bruises or marks. I took this opportunity to again 24 speak with him about the restraint and he clearly says 25 that he does not feel he was treated unfairly or too

severely. He acknowledges that this incident is now done and over with.'

So this seems to be initially an allegation of the 3 boy being restrained and having bruises on his body, but 4 then it seems later, when he is asked more about that, 5 and looked at, he retracts that. And the author of that 6 7 entry says he didn't see any bruises on the boy. 8 Just, then, on to the next page, page 10, there is an entry on the right-hand side, and this relates to 9 a different boy. It is continued from the left-hand 10 11 column, but I think it is about the sixth line down, he 12 says:

'He began making statements, such as "Nobody cares 13 14 about me" and "I may as well die", et cetera.' And they say that they then began to talk the 15 situation over with him, but he became agitated, 16 17 threatening staff, to the extent he had to be restrained. Whilst being restrained, and it names him, 18 he admitted to taking, and then it is blacked out, but 19 20 it says . And it was at this point, talking about running away, and he names somebody else, 21 22 with somebody else who is a resident, and stealing cars. But rather than do so, he said he wanted to kill 23 24 himself. And then they say he was then taken to 25 hospital.

1 So there is a reference then to a potential 2 attempted suicide there by that boy. 3 Just going then to another document, which is 4 CFS-000014690. Page 1 of this seems to be a letter from -- if you go down the page, from a Children's 5 Rights Officer, who's named as Anne McGaughrin, and it 6 7 is to Hugh Clarke, the District Manager, Social Work 8 Manager, and it relates -- it is headed -- sorry, at the top there is a reference that says 2 March 1998, so it 9 seems to be the date. And the letter's headed: 10 11 'Complaints from young people in Kerelaw School.' 12 However, when we look at the first paragraph of the letter there is reference to Kerelaw School and 13 14 complaints from young people, but then also a reference to a member of care staff from Cardross. In paragraph 15 2, it says: 16 17 'I met with the four young people initially on 20 February 1998, with two of them on 24 February 1998 18 and, finally, with all the four again on 27 February 19 20 1998.' And if you go to the third paragraph, it says: 21 22 'Three of the young people are from north and east 23 district, and one young person is from the north and 24 west, and I understand they wish to complain as a group 25 concerning the conduct of a member of staff in Cardross

1 and that two of the young people wish to complain 2 together concerning the conduct of members of staff in Kerelaw.' 3 The author of this, the Children's Rights Officer, 4 is asking which district should carry out the 5 investigation. 6 7 Now, Children's Rights Officer; is that a position 8 that came into being later on in the history of the residential care settings? 9 10 A. So, apologies, because I won't get the timeline right on 11 this. But, no, it was earlier than 1998. So it was the 12 Home and Away Report, that was referred to in our evidence a number of times, and referred in general. 13 14 There was a recommendation from there that was to 15 establish children's rights. Different authorities called that service different -- so it was the 16 17 Children's Advocacy, it could be Children's Complaints, or Children's Rights. 18 So, apologies, I can't quite remember when we 19 20 established the Children's Rights Service. We also called it Children's Advocacy, and we commissioned 21 22 a service from Who Cares?, which predated 1998. But 23 yeah, you would still recognise today that terminology 24 about Children's Rights Officers. 25 So it is a function that still exists now? 0.

1 A. Yes.

2	Q.	And one of the roles is to speak to children who are in
3		care and ask them about whether they have any issues or
4		difficulties, and help them if they need to make any
5		complaints?
6	Α.	Yes, certainly in Glasgow. Over the years, Children's
7		Rights Officer and the Children's Rights Service, as
8		I say, part of it is provided by the authority, but part
9		is also commissioned from Who Cares? by Glasgow. That's
10		not dissimilar to other authorities across Scotland.
11		They'll all have work plans and, at various points, they
12		would maybe prioritise certainly post-Kerelaw, our
13		service prioritised children who were in residential
14		outwith Glasgow placements, because they are much less
15		likely to come into contact with social workers than
16		others within Glasgow City, on a regular basis.
17		So the Children's Rights Service will have a work
18		plan that at points will have priorities, but the
19		fundamental function of it is to offer a service to all
20		children who are looked after, and looked after and
21		accommodated.
22	Q.	And in relation to the issues that are raised, if we go
23		to page 3 of that document, this seems to be that
24		Children's Rights Officer's report about the issues and
25		complaints that were raised by the young people that she

1 spoke to, and she talks about the fact, in relation to 2 the background, that she met with them - and she names them. It is four boys. 3 A. Mm-hm. 4 And she gives the dates that we have already gone over 5 Q. 6 about when she saw them together, and -- in the two. 7 And we can go down the page further, you see there are 8 issues raised and complaints made, and there is reference there to frequency of contact with social 9 worker; two of the young people saying they didn't see 10 11 their social workers very often and one of them saying 12 he wasn't sure if he still had a social worker, hadn't seen one in three months. 13 14 And then, going down, it says, the issue of 15 restraint, young people wanted to know what was okay and what was not okay for staff to do when restraining them. 16 17 And then there are two complaints set out. Complaint 1 relates to Kerelaw and, complaint 2, it 18 19 says: 20 'Unnecessary and inappropriate restraint, threats of violence and actual violence by a member of care staff 21 22 in Cardross Park Assessment Centre.' 23 If we go over to the next page, page 4, if we go to 'focus of complaint 2', part way down the page, that 24 25 sets out the issue in relation to Cardross. It says:

'Unnecessary and inappropriate restraint, threats of
violence and actual violence by a member of care staff
in Cardross Park Assessment Centre. All four young
people had been placed in Cardross Park Assessment
Centre in the past and all named one particular member
of staff ...'

That's redacted out, but it is GUF 7 8 '... as threatening violent behaviour, being violent when restraining young people and of using restraint 9 [I think that should say "restraint" instead of 10 11 "restrain"] sometimes unnecessarily. Examples were given by the young people of their own experience of 12 either threats of violence or of violent behaviour by 13 14 this member of staff, and also violence that they had witnessed. They wished to raise this as a formal 15 complaint. Two of the young people [and I will just 16 17 refer to them as and ] referred to a complaint made about this member of staff by another young person [and 18 that person's named there: ] from Cardross at the end 19 20 of the summer last year.

'The complaint was made about an incident that
happened in the gym between and GUF
witnessed by and and by staff members, Diane and
Following the incident, said that had asked
him to be a witness, but he, himself, was terrified to

say anything as he was in Fruin Unit at the time, where
 the member of staff being complained about was Assistant
 Unit Manager. Both and said that young people in
 Cardross were terrified of GUF and were
 frightened to say anything when they were still in
 Cardross.

7 'was interviewed in the fact-finding investigation
8 following 's complaint. left Cardross shortly after.
9 put in the complaint and was therefore not involved.
10 Both young people asked me to find out what happened to
11 's complaint.

'Note: as children and young people have little
confidence in the complaints procedure and these
particular young people are concerned that very little,
if anything, was done as a result of 's complaint,
which they consider to have been a serious one, I agreed
to include this concern and query in the report.

18 'The young people said that Diane, one of the 19 members of staff who witnessed the incident with in 20 the gym, would back them up on how this member of staff 21 had used restraint. They said they thought Diane had 22 been suspended following the incident because she was 23 sticking up for , and that she didn't come back to work 24 for eight weeks.'

So it seems there that, this is in 1998, that

25

1 concerns were being made about restraint, and in 2 particular one member of staff. That's the same member 3 of staff that we saw earlier had been named. It was the author of the entry relating to slapping the girl's face 4 5 to calm her down. So whilst we don't have a lot of records, the few 6 7 that we do have -- there are some issues going into the 8 1990s, shortly before Cardross Park closed; would you 9 agree? 10 A. In relation to that member of staff? 11 Q. Yes. 12 A. Yes. Q. Indeed, there was an issue raised at the beginning in 13 14 the first -- in the letter that I took you to, whereby 15 young people wanted to know, in relation to restraint, what was okay and what was not okay for staff to do when 16 restraining them. It seems that they were unclear about 17 18 that. A. Yes. And I think that related to both Kerelaw and 19 20 Cardross, that was the way I had read that. Q. There is one more page in relation to this that's 21 22 relevant. It is page 2 of this document. This seems to 23 be a response from the District Manager to the 24 Children's Rights Officer about this issue, and it is dated 13 March 1998. At paragraph 2, it says: 25

1 'Further to our telephone conversation of 12 March, 2 I now understand that the issues relating to restraint by members of the teaching staff refer not only to 3 historic events, but also to children's perceptions that 4 there are current issues ...' 5 And if we go to the next paragraph: 6 7 'In relation to the complaint regarding GUF 8 , I can advise you that the incident referred to in the gym was the subject of a full 9 fact-finding investigation undertaken by two officers of 10 11 the department. The matter has yet to be concluded as 12 the officer involved in the incident is currently on sick leave. I am limited in what I can advise you in 13 14 relation to this matter, since the matter has not yet been concluded with the staff member involved. But 15 I can assure you that the incident was viewed extremely 16 17 seriously by the investigating officers and by external 18 management. 19 'You can certainly assure the young people that you 20 interviewed of this and that appropriate action will be taken.' 21 22 However, I don't think we have any record to show what action was taken in relation to that incident; is 23

24 that something that you are aware of?

25 A. It is not something that I am aware of. It is not

something that the Inquiry have asked me to specifically
 look for.

3 Q. Okay. But, at this stage anyway, the allegations in relation to GUF 4 seem to be still under 5 investigation, and no decision having been taken but the 6 assurance being given that it would be taken seriously. 7 A. Yes, because -- on my reading of it, that was one of the 8 particular asks from the Children's Rights Officer. It can be quite obscure to young people. Fact finding and 9 10 internal HR processes can be quite obscure to young 11 people, so it's entirely understandable. It could look 12 to them as -- like nothing was happening. So I am reading that that they are asking the Children's Rights 13 14 Officer to reassure them that we have investigated.

15 Q. Thank you.

Thank you, Susanne, for looking at those brief 16 17 entries with me. I think there are some other logbooks that record some punishments. I am not going to take 18 19 you to them, but things like boys being kept in pyjamas 20 for two days for absconding, a girl's room being stripped down and possessions being put in black bags 21 for misbehaviour, and complaints about children being 22 23 restrained for what might be seen as trivial behaviour. 24 So it seems, even from these brief records, there 25 are issues being recorded that might give cause for

1 concern about what was happening at Cardross Park at 2 that time in the 1990s? A. So, unfortunately, I am not aware of them, so it would 3 4 be difficult for me to comment. But, based on what you 5 have said, yes, that would indicate that there were 6 issues. 7 LADY SMITH: So do I take it, Susanne, at least taking 8 account of the records we have looked at today, there do seem to be real causes for concern about a number of 9 10 matters, and that's up to the 1990s in the latter cases. 11 A. It is difficult for me to comment, my Lady, because 12 I haven't seen them previously. What would differentiate them for me from what -- and I am very 13 14 familiar, as you know, my Lady, with Kerelaw and 15 Larchgrove records. LADY SMITH: Absolutely. 16 A. Because we were really clear of the systemic issues 17 18 within Larchgrove and Kerelaw. It is more difficult to 19 comment in relation to systemic issues, potentially, in 20 Cardross. But, from the descriptions and the records I have seen, there were certainly people working in 21 22 Cardross who were not -- who were not behaving as they 23 should and who were not following due process and 24 policy, and who were not providing a good level of 25 effective safe care; that's without doubt, from what you

1 have shown me.

2 LADY SMITH: Thank you. 3 MS FORBES: One other thing I wanted to raise with you, 4 Susanne, is that, from the limited logbooks from the 5 1990s that we have been able to obtain, there seem to be 6 things like a logbook for each unit. 7 A. Mm-hm. 8 Q. School logs. Recording of sanctions book, as well as 9 a search log. So it seems like there are various different ways in which things are being recorded. 10 11 I wonder if that is reflective of the current practice, 12 or not? Or is everything held together in one place, so the whole incident can be looked at as one instead of 13 14 having separate things in different books? 15 A. Yes, we don't operate with books in our children's 16 houses. Apart from anything else, it's usually 17 institutional. So, as well as not providing you with 18 effective information and making sure it's in the same 19 place, it is very institutional to be in a children's 20 house where there are various books. So it is primarily recorded in the electronic system, so all of our 21 22 residential staff have access to CareFirst. Q. So is there a danger, would you agree, if there are 23 24 different books, like this, that are handwritten, 25 recording different parts of perhaps the same incident,

1 that things could be missed or the whole picture isn't 2 given properly? 3 A. Yes, I can imagine that would be difficult when you are 4 recording -- and potentially different people recording. 5 So that would be -- would make it more confusing. 6 Q. Now, Susanne, thank you very much. That's really all 7 I wanted to ask you about today, so thank you for 8 bearing with me. I just wondered if there is anything else that you 9 wanted to say in relation to Cardross, and given the 10 11 applicant statements that have been released and the 12 information that you have heard today, that we have talked about, if there is anything you want to say that 13 you haven't had a chance or an opportunity to say before 14 15 now? A. I think from a Glasgow City perspective, we have made 16 17 comment at different stages, but we are making comment at the end of this final stage, so I would wait until 18 19 that point. 20 MS FORBES: Okay, I am very grateful. LADY SMITH: Susanne, can I add my thanks once more. I am 21 22 very conscious of the fact we have been getting you to 23 trawl through old records and help us to understand 24 them. It has been really useful to have you here. I am 25 now able to let you go with my thanks and look forward
1 to seeing you next time. 2 A. Thank you, my Lady. 3 LADY SMITH: Thank you. 4 I will rise now for five or ten minutes, so we can 5 get organised for the next witness, who I think is here; 6 is that right? 7 MS FORBES: My Lady, yes. 8 (2.26 pm) 9 (A short break) 10 (2.33 pm) 11 LADY SMITH: Mr Sheldon. 12 MR SHELDON: My Lady, the next witness is Liam Purdie, who 13 is the representative witness for South Lanarkshire 14 Council. LADY SMITH: Thank you. 15 16 LIAM PURDIE (sworn). 17 LADY SMITH: Good afternoon. Do sit down and make yourself comfortable. 18 19 First of all, are you comfortable with me using your 20 first name? A. Yes. 21 22 LADY SMITH: Thank you, Liam, for that. And then you will see there is a red folder on the desk and it has 23 24 documents that you might find helpful to have available 25 to you, but we will also bring documents up on the

1 screen, so that will be there as well.

2	Liam, if at any time you have any questions, please
3	don't hesitate to ask. One of the things I am always
4	anxious to do is try to help witnesses be as comfortable
5	as they can, doing something that probably feels very
6	uncomfortable; coming into a public place to answer
7	questions. But I am sure you appreciate why we are
8	doing what we are doing.
9	A. Yes.
10	LADY SMITH: And it is with a view to achieving the best
11	possible outcome looking ahead for children in the
12	future in Scotland, and in order to do that we have to
13	identify what went wrong in the past.
14	If you are ready I will hand over to Mr Sheldon and
15	he will take it from there; is that okay?
16	A. Thank you.
17	LADY SMITH: Thank you.
18	Mr Sheldon.
19	Questions from MR SHELDON
20	MR SHELDON: Thank you, my Lady.
21	I understand, Liam, you were sat in and were able to
22	hear some of Susanne Millar's evidence earlier, is that
23	right?
24	A. That's correct.
25	Q. So you will know what, broadly, to expect this

- 1 afternoon.
- 2 A. Yes.

3	Q.	I will first of all take you through your CV and ask you
4		some questions about that. We will then look briefly at
5		the Section 21 response we received from South
6		Lanarkshire about Calder House Assessment Centre in
7		Blantyre, and then we will look at some of the records
8		of that establishment. There are quite a few to get
9		through, and we will make as much progress as we can
10		today.
11		So just looking, I think you should have your CV in
12		front of you it should be in the red folder there?
13	Α.	Yes.
14	Q.	Have you got that? Just looking at that, you tell us
15		first of all about your qualifications in social work,
16		and child protection. And there is then a work history,
17		and I think we understand that you are now the Chief
18		Social Work Officer for South Lanarkshire Council, is
19		that right?
20	Α.	That's correct.
21	Q.	And you describe the various functions that you carry
22		out in that role.
23		The next entry on that page is in relation to your
24		previous position, that was as depute CSWO for social
25		work in Stirling and Clackmannan, and I think we see

1		there that among your responsibilities was the
2		management of residential children's homes, is that
3		right?
4	Α.	That's correct.
5	Q.	Perhaps you can just tell us a little bit about what
6		that involved? I think we are particularly interested
7		in current management oversight of residential
8		establishments run by Local Authorities like yours?
9	Α.	Yes, within Stirling and Clackmannanshire, I was the
10		Deputy Chief Social Work Officer and one of the
11		responsibilities was to be the external manager of the
12		residential children's homes. There were two that
13		I oversaw within the Stirling and Clacks area.
14		As Chief Social Work Officer within South
15		Lanarkshire, I am also overseeing six residential
16		children's homes as well, so that is probably not clear
17		within that, but I oversee them also.
18		What we have, every home has a registered manager
19		and they need to be registered with the
20		Care Inspectorate, and they are responsible for the
21		running of the home; basically the day to day work
22		within it.
23		What we also have is an external manager who
24		oversees the homes and gives support to the registered
25		managers, and they report to me with regards to any

1 issues or things that we would want to celebrate. 2 Q. Yes, I am going to ask you about that. Particularly 3 about external oversight of the management of these Δ units. A. Yes. 5 6 Q. What are the arrangements for external oversight? For 7 example how often does the external manager visit and 8 spend time in the particular home? A. Yes, the external manager -- within a South Lanarkshire 9 10 context, the external manager is in and out of the 11 children's houses frequently and regularly. 12 Q. Can you give us an idea of how frequently? A. I would say they are probably, for six houses, I think 13 14 they would be in at least once a fortnight for each 15 house. Q. And what will the external manager actually do when he 16 17 or she goes to the establishment? 18 A. One of the -- they are responsible for supervising the 19 registered managers so they would either have 20 supervision within the children's house, or the 21 registered manager would come to the headquarters for 22 supervision. They would vary it so it was different 23 settings at different times. 24 They would also make sure they have a relationship 25 with the staff in the homes as well, and they know who

1		the external manager is, but importantly they would have
2		a relationship with some of the young people. It
3		wouldn't be their job to get to know the young people
4		but we would want the young people to know who their
5		external manager was.
6	Q.	It is perhaps an obvious question, Liam, but what's the
7		purpose of the external manager getting to know the
8		staff in the unit?
9	A.	It is always about if the registered manager isn't
10		available and they need to escalate something, whether
11		it is about a young person, or about practice. When
12		I say practice, I am talking about if it is concerns
13		about getting a social worker, or education concerns,
14		that they know they have an external manager that they
15		can go to, and that they could escalate it appropriately
16		to the external management.
17	Q.	What about concerns with staff?
18	A.	We would hope they would raise it with the registered
19		manager in the first instance, but if it was a concern
20		about the registered manager we would want them to
21		escalate it to the external manager.
22	Q.	Are there any particular signs that a manager would
23		look for, I suppose an internal manager too, but
24		particular signs in the behaviour of staff and/or the
25		behaviour of children that would suggest there might be

1 a problem?

2	A.	Yes, there is probably a number of strategies that they
3		would use, one is just an observational technique when
4		they went into a home to get a feel of what the culture,
5		the atmosphere, the friendliness within that home would
6		look like. Also the fabric of a home, if they were
7		going in and seeing things like smashed windows, doors
8		that had been damaged, fabric that had been damaged,
9		that would be an indication of things aren't settled.
10		They would look at the relationship between the children
11		and the staff as well, they would be able to observe
12		that.
13		They would cross-reference that with the reports
14		that they would get from social workers, who had been
15		going into the house as well, and they would also keep
16		in contact with the Care Inspectorate for any concerns.
17	Q.	So I suppose you might say there is an attempt at least
18		to triangulate the oversight among the various people
19		that you have mentioned?
20	Α.	That's right, yes.
21	Q.	Is that a fair way of putting it?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	We have heard from other Local Authorities, and indeed
24		from Susanne Millar earlier today, the trend in
25		residential care in Scotland has been towards much

1		smaller residential units. Is that trend also evident
2		in South Lanarkshire?
3	Α.	Yes, it is. We have moved from large units where you
4		would have 20 to 30 units, and our units are now 6 units
5		which are seven-bedded units.
6	Q.	Yes, and I think, actually, what used to be
7		Calder House, is an example of that, is that right, it
8		is now Bardykes Road Children's Unit, is that right?
9	A.	That's correct, that's on the site of where Calder House
10		used to be based.
11	Q.	What's the size of that?
12	A.	That's a seven-bedded unit.
13	Q.	Seven-bedded, thank you.
14		Going back to your CV, just going back a little in
15		time, the foot of that first page, from 2007 to 2011,
16		I think we see that you worked with Glasgow City Council
17		as an Integration and Service Development Manager, and
18		you say that one of your functions there was to support
19		and prepare staff for the roll out of Glasgow's
20		integrated assessment framework, specifically across
21		social work, health and education. Can you just tell us
22		about that, please, and what the assessment framework
23		was, and what it was intended to do?
24	Α.	Yes, the assessment framework was looking at a better
25		way, a holistic approach to dealing with children, and

1	children's plans. And it was a framework. The vision
2	was both health, education and social work would input
3	into that framework in real time and people would see
4	the updates in real time, and it would be a single
5	assessment that they would undertake, so it would be
6	presented as a single assessment whether from health,
7	social work or education for any child who had all three
8	of those partners involved.
9	THE STENOGRAPHER: I am sorry, my Lady, we have a technology
10	problem.
11	LADY SMITH: Oh. How long will it take to fix?
12	THE STENOGRAPHER: I don't know what the problem is, I just
13	know the audio isn't working.
14	LADY SMITH: That won't do.
15	MR SHELDON: How long has it been?
16	THE STENOGRAPHER: 30 seconds.
17	LADY SMITH: I'll rise to find out what's happening.
18	THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you, my Lady.
19	(2.40 pm)
20	(A short break)
21	(2.53 pm)
22	LADY SMITH: Liam, thank you for your patience.
23	A. Thank you.
24	LADY SMITH: Are you ready for to us carry on?
25	A. Yes.

1 LADY SMITH: Thank you.

2 A. Mr Sheldon.

3 MR SHELDON: My Lady.

4 Liam, before we took that break, I was asking you 5 about the integrated assessment framework that you 6 helped to roll out in Glasgow, in the early 2000s, the 7 noughties, I suppose you would call them. You were 8 telling us that was a way of getting updates about individual children into a single assessment document or 9 a single assessment file; is that how it worked? 10 11 A. A single assessment document, which would follow the 12 child. 13 Q. And what sort of updates, what sort of inputs were going 14 into that? 15 A. It wasn't a real time update; it was when there would be 16 a change in circumstances and an assessment needed to be 17 updated. And it would be for looked after children, either in the community or looked after away from home. 18 19 So if there was a LAC review, for example, that was 20 taking place, social work, education and health would 21 complete the document and then it would be merged into 22 one document. There would also be a part of the document that would have the views of the child and 23 24 a part of the document that would have views of the carers as well. And that would be whether it was 25

1 alternative carers, but also the biological parents. 2 Q. Did you say a LAC review? A. A 'Looked After Children's review', called a LAC review. 3 Q. Thank you. 4 5 And perhaps an obvious question -- I apologise if it 6 is -- but what's the purpose of the assessment? What 7 are you trying to do with that to assist the child? 8 A. It is so that it would be the child's document and they would know what the purpose of their care plan was. So 9 10 that would be the reasons why they were accommodated or 11 looked after in the community, but also what the care 12 plan was round about them. It was almost a contract for the child, so that they understood who was helping them 13 14 and when, and the individuals that were involved in that 15 care plan. 16 Q. I suppose the way the assessment was framed then would 17 have to depend, to some extent, on the individual child 18 and their age and capabilities and so on; is that how it 19 worked? 20 A. Yes, it should be age appropriate language -- that would be in the document, so a child that was five to ten's 21 document would look significantly different from 22 23 a child's who was a teenager, but it would be the same 24 document, just the language would be child-centred. 25 That would be the principle.

1 Q. Thank you. And just returning briefly to your CV,

2		please, it is on the second page, bottom of that second
3		page, I think we see that you started work as a social
4		worker in 1989; is that right?
5	Α.	That's correct.
6	Q.	Then, from 1993 to 1996, you were working with
7		Strathclyde region on a social work project which was
8		an alternative to a care resource for socially excluded
9		young people within the Children's Panel system.
10		Perhaps you could just tell us a little bit about that?
11	Α.	Yes. It was a joint social work education project, and
12		it was for young people who had either been excluded or
13		had excluded themselves from mainstream school, and it
14		was seen as an alternative to accommodation for them.
15		So it would offer social work support and education
16		support outwith the mainstream setting. And it was
17		a direct alternative to accommodation.
18		The principle was it would work with older children,
19		so more your teenage groups that we worked with and it
20		would look at educational support, but also more
21		vocational-type training that we would do for them.
22	Q.	I suppose what I am working round to here is that we
23		know that some Assessment Centres as we would
24		understand the term in this case study were still
25		operating well into the 1990s; were you familiar with

1 any Assessment Centres and their operation? 2 A. I was, yes. I was familiar with Larchgrove Assessment 3 Centre, Cardross, and with Calder House in its previous iteration. 4 5 Q. All right. I will ask you a little bit more about that 6 in a moment. But, just in terms of the way that they 7 functioned, and thinking about the comparison with that 8 'assessment' -- putting that in inverted commas for the moment -- how does that compare with the integrated 9 10 assessment framework that you later worked on for 11 Glasgow? 12 A. Mm-hm. Well, for the Steps Project, that worked in the community with children, so these children were still 13 14 care of their parents or they were care of foster parents. We didn't work with any children who were in 15 a residential setting, so they were actually still in 16 17 their own community. It was a combination of social workers and teachers 18 19 that were part of the project and we were based in 20 an office in Barrowfield, which was purpose built, where we had classroom settings, social settings, and we had 21

22 placements for children in various locations throughout 23 Glasgow. It was things like -- as I say, it was 24 vocational training, so it could be a placement in

25

85

a garage; it could be a placement in a B & Q warehouse;

1 it could be a placement in a floor laying company. So 2 it was to give them a bit of life experience and also 3 training. So these were young people that had been -- seen as 4 5 an alternative to going to an Assessment Centre. 6 Q. But just thinking about Assessment Centres such as 7 Larchgrove and Cardross Park; what was the kind of 8 assessment? What was the level of assessment that was happening there during your time? 9 10 A. My view would be we were probably, on paper, offering 11 very similar activities. It was -- those Assessment 12 Centres were more about containing the young person within a single setting, because they were deemed to be 13 14 a risk to themselves or others, or they weren't seen as 15 manageable. We had a different relationship with the young 16 17 people that we worked with and they were given quite a bit of responsibility. And if they were able to take 18 19 that responsibility, they demonstrated it by getting 20 placements. Q. Were these children on your later programme specially 21 22 selected for that programme? 23 A. They would be referred to us through the Children's 24 Hearing, and they would have -- probably, I would say,

25 have the exact same profile as a child within

1		an Assessment Centre. But if they felt they could be
2		worked with within the community, then they would come
3		to the Steps Project, as it was called.
4	Q.	And what about children, I suppose now, certainly
5		2007-2011 what about children presenting with
6		challenging behaviours? I suppose one might put it that
7		way. How are they managed?
8	Α.	Er, I would say we're probably a bit more advanced in
9		our thinking about how we support children in the
10		community and we're probably more risk aware of that.
11		My experience is that it didn't take a lot for a child
12		to be accommodated, when I first started in social work.
13		My experience now is that it has to be very much agreed
14		on a multi-agency level that we've exhausted all
15		options
16	Q.	Can I stop you for a moment. You said just there:
17		'It didn't take much for a child to be accommodated
18		in those early days.'
19		Or earlier days. What did you mean by that?
20	Α.	Often a single agency could make a decision that a child
21		could be accommodated, and that wouldn't happen these
22		days. So it would have to be a multi-agency
23		an agreement that that was in the child's best
24		interests.
25	LAD	DY SMITH: And when you say 'could be accommodated',

1 that's having them away from their own family home and 2 residing somewhere else, is it? 3 A. That's correct. 4 LADY SMITH: Thank you. 5 MR SHELDON: Going back then to the Assessment Centres that 6 you were familiar with; Larchgrove, Cardross Park, what 7 was your impression of the way that they worked with 8 children during your time in practice? A. My view would be that they were very much about 9 containing children within the Assessment Centre. 10 11 I wasn't that confident that it was about getting the 12 best out of a child or in the best interests of a child. Q. The next question is going to be: did you have any 13 14 concerns about the way these centres were being 15 operated? A. My concerns were about the value base that I had seen. 16 17 I never actually witnessed anything, but my concerns 18 were about the values that seemed to be within the 19 units. It was very much a male, macho dominated 20 environment and it seemed to be that's the way they dealt with young people. So that was my concern. 21 22 Q. So specifically what follows from that kind of culture -- what would your concern be of what might 23 24 follow from that kind of culture? 25 A. It just became very -- it felt almost like it was very

1		adversarial relationships between children and staff.
2	Q.	Yes. And we heard earlier on, I think you will have
3		heard earlier on in the evidence that Susanne Millar
4		gave certainly complaints of violence
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	by staff, even in the quite late 1990s. I think you
7		have told us that you didn't, yourself, witness
8		anything, but does that sort of evidence surprise you?
9	Α.	Unfortunately, it doesn't surprise me.
10	Q.	At the time you were in practice; was there any
11		vehicle was there any procedure, whereby you and your
12		colleagues could raise concerns, such as the ones that
13		you have expressed today?
14	Α.	What as a social worker, what I would expect them to
15		do is to raise it with their senior social worker or
16		their team leader if they had concerns, and that that
17		would be escalated then appropriately to either external
18		manager or direct to the residential establishment
19		involved.
20	Q.	So the initial concern would be a concern to a manager
21		outwith the establishment?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	Is that right? All right. That at least is the way it
24		was meant to work?
25	Α.	Yes.

1 Q. Okay. My Lady, I am going to move on. Is there 2 anything else arising from that? 3 LADY SMITH: Nothing else that I need, no, thank you. 4 MR SHELDON: Liam, we know that South Lanarkshire Council 5 responded to the Inquiry's Section 21 notice seeking 6 information about Calder House. You have been Chief 7 Social Work Officer since 2016; did you have any input 8 into the preparation of the response that South Lanarkshire put forward? 9 A. Yes, when the Scottish Child Abuse Inquiry was set up, 10 11 we established a governance group or a steering group, 12 and I chair that group, which is made up of operational leads, legal support, risk management and archives 13 14 staff. That was in preparation for any enquiries we 15 had. So, in relation to the response with the Calder House inquiry, I overseen the response and gave 16 17 it the sign off before it was submitted. Q. Thank you. So you are familiar with --18 A. Yes. 19 -- what's in it? Well, can we look, please, first of 20 Q. 21 all, at SLC-000000076? 22 I think we see that this is actually an appendix to 23 the main report, and we will come back to the main 24 report in a moment or two. But this, perhaps, is 25 helpful in setting the scene, literally in some

1 respects. So, if we scroll down, do we see that 2 that's -- well, do we take it that's a photograph of 3 Calder House as it was? A. Yes. 4 Q. Does that building still exist? 5 A. No, the building was demolished, I believe, around about 6 7 2007, and it is now a Special Educational School that's 8 now been established there on that site. Q. Oh, right. 9 A. It is guite a large footprint of land and we also have 10 11 Bardykes Children's House just further down the road 12 from that. Q. I was going to ask you: do you remember the building as 13 14 it was before it was knocked down? A. I do remember the building, because when I was 15 a student, in 1987, I had a placement when it was 16 17 a group work project. That's when it had been decommissioned as an Assessment Centre and that's how 18 19 I remember that building. 20 Q. All right. So the building that we see in the 21 photograph; is that the full extent of the establishment 22 or is there more to it than that? 23 A. There is -- you will see within that -- that's the main 24 residential part of the building. There is also a --25 they called it 'the gatehouse', which is where the

Superintendent and his family stayed in, which is just 1 2 to the left of that picture. And then to the right of the picture, if you were to 3 get a bigger picture of it, there was a large -- it was 4 called a barn, but it was effectively a gym hall. 5 A large building in its own right as well and there was 6 7 a red ash football pitch at the front. 8 Q. Might some children or indeed staff have referred to the 9 barn as the play barn? 10 The play barn, yes. Α. 11 Q. So we are told in the paragraph below the photograph 12 that Calder House was constructed in 1968, first of all operated as a Remand Home to replace Bushleyhill Remand 13 14 Home, which was in Cambuslang. We are told that 15 Calder House operated as a Remand Home until 1987; is that right, Liam? Would it have changed or ought it to 16 17 have changed its function to an Assessment Centre as at 18 1971? A. Er, I believe it was initially a Remand Home and then it 19 20 changed to an Assessment Centre around about 1971. That would be correct. 21 22 Q. Yes. You have talked about the main structures on the 23 site. I think we note that there were three residential 24 wings: Iona for girls, and Arran and Tiree for boys.

92

You say it functioned as an Assessment Centre up until

1		1987; what happened to it at that stage?
2	Α.	It was decommissioned as an Assessment Centre and the
3		building was then turned into office accommodation for
4		social work teams. So there was a throughcare team that
5		was put in there, the community service team were put
6		in, a group work team, and there was a couple of, like,
7		third sector partners who used the building as well. So
8		it was no longer used as residential and it became en
9		masse office accommodation.
10	Q.	So, at that stage, there were no children living in it
11		at all; is that right?
12	A.	None at all at that stage.
13	Q.	But, at some stage, a children's unit was reopened
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	on that site. And I think if we look over the page,
16		page 3, there is a further picture of a building and
17		this was the gatehouse. That was declared surplus to
18		requirements in 1990. We are told, June 1992:
19		'Proposals formulated to return the gatehouse to
20		social work operational use and the former staff member
21		living there was rehoused.'
22		And you say at the foot of page 3:
23		'It is believed the property was reinstated as
24		a childcare facility in 1996.'
25	Α.	That's correct, that's what we believe.

1 Q. All right. Certainly on the basis of that photograph, 2 it looks as if it would have been a pretty small 3 facility; is that right? 4 A. That's correct. What we understand is it was turned 5 into, like, an eight-bedded children's unit or 6 children's house at that time. 7 Q. All right. And over the page, page 4, just a couple of 8 lines above the photograph -- say 'the property', and I think you are referring there to the gatehouse: 9 'The property was demolished mid-2006 and replaced 10 11 with a new facility in 2007.' 12 And that's the one we see there; is that right? A. That's correct. That's the Bardykes Children's Unit 13 14 which sits on a similar site. Q. Oh, right. Thank you. And you told us already that's 15 a facility for up to eight children, and we see that on 16 17 page 5 as well, of that document. 18 If we can put that aside, please, and move to SLC-00000080. And I think this is the report or the 19 20 main body of the report that Lanarkshire provided; is that right? 21 22 A. That's correct. 23 Q. This was actually a shorter form report than the usual 24 report, because Calder House was a late addition to the 25 programme.

1 LADY SMITH: Okay, yes.

2 MR SHELDON: My Lady.

3 LADY SMITH: Yes.

MR SHELDON: Liam, in the first, really the first three
pages, the response sets out the historical and
regulatory background to the establishment of Assessment
Centres. I think we can take that as read. We are
aware of that.

9 At the foot of page 3, though, you note the 10 importance of the 1995 and 2001 Acts, and that there are 11 regulations and guidance and National Care Standards 12 which are also important. And there is then quite 13 a lengthy list of those.

Again, I think we can move over that and look at page 4, please. We see, in relation to the period 1975 to 1996, there is a note on Strathclyde region's response. Second paragraph there:

'A major and immediate challenge was bringing
together children's services across what was the largest
Local Authority of its kind in Europe.'

21 It may be a little before your time, professionally,
22 Liam, but do you have any comment on the kind of
23 problems and issues that that task posed for Strathclyde
24 region?
25 A. Well, I qualified in 1988, so I am aware of some of the

documents that came around about the 1980s and 1990s.
 And between 1988 and 1996, when the regions disbanded,
 it was apparent it was a very huge organisation to run
 and there was probably what would be seen as
 inconsistent practice across that, because of the scale
 of it.

7 The Director of Social Work at the time, in the 8 1980s, wanted us to have more consistency, so there are various documents that they produced, like the Young 9 10 People in Trouble report, which they expected, whether 11 you were in Oban or whether you were in Glasgow, that 12 you would be following the same standards of practice. Q. Over the page, page 5, and really on to page 6, there is 13 14 another list there of various reports and guidelines 15 produced as part of Strathclyde's efforts to deal with children's issues. So we see, for example, guidelines 16 17 for the long term planning of children in care 1986; that's towards the foot of page 5. Young people in 18 19 trouble, charter of rights for children, current issues 20 in child protection, and so on, on to page 6.

Again, it may be a question that you can't directly answer, Liam, but, if you can, please do. It is just this: there are all these guidelines and reports about child care, child protection, children's issues --I suppose the question that arises is: on the basis of

1		all of this effort on paper; what difference, if any,
2		did that make to children actually on the ground?
3	Α.	It is a difficult question to answer. I don't
4		I suppose from a professional perspective, what I would
5		say is it started to make a difference from how
6		professionals would look at working with children. So
7		I think that was where the difference was on the ground.
8		I don't think there was an immediate impact on the
9		children
10	Q.	By professionals you mean?
11	Α.	Social workers.
12	Q.	Right. I am sorry, I cut across you. You said you
13		didn't think that would make an immediate difference to?
14	Α.	I think there was a lot of traditional practice that was
15		taking place and this is about residential care
16		that was still evident. But I think what these
17		documents were trying to do was look at what social work
18		practice should be in trying to get better outcomes for
19		children. So I think what we were seeing was a shift in
20		the professional attitudes from social work staff at
21		that time, as opposed to it was then a seismic shift in
22		practice in residential establishments.
23	Q.	Foot of page 6, it is the paragraph beginning D, 'The
24		attitude to discipline of children, including
25		restraint'. You say that little is known about the

1 attitude to disciplining of children, including

2 restraint, at this time at Calder House. We will come3 back to that, I think.

You go on to look at the issue of restraint and you
note particular guidance at 'Holding Safely' from 2013,
dictating current practice. You go on to say:
'Terms such as discipline and restraint are no
longer in use among those occupying direct caring roles

9 in South Lanarkshire.'

10 Again, perhaps an obvious question: but why not? 11 Α. In 2013, the Holding Safely report, South Lanarkshire, 12 in around about 1996 I think it was -- no, sorry, I think it was 2006 -- actually adopted the therapeutic 13 14 crisis intervention approach to care and that was about 15 what safe holds were. That was then reviewed from American research. And South Lanarkshire, it was called 16 17 the Clyde Valley Group, looked at it. It was Glasgow, 18 South Lanarkshire, North Lanarkshire. We had Stirling 19 and Clackmannanshire, I believe were involved in that as 20 well. And what we then looked at was promoting positive behaviour as a strategy for working with children in 21 22 care, and that actually looks at restraint or actual 23 physical contact as a last resort and it looks at how 24 you can recognise triggers and use different strategies 25 before you get to restraint. That's the policy we now

1 use within South Lanarkshire.

2	Q.	Right. What about training? Are all staff given
3		training in these techniques or only some? And if only
4		some, then are they the only ones allowed to perform
5		holds of whatever sort?
6	A.	Within South Lanarkshire, we have approximately 110
7		residential workers that work in our residential units,
8		and all 110 are trained in the promoting positive
9		behaviour. It is a mandatory course which they go on,
10		which is about theory and practice. And they have to do
11		that course before they would get involved in
12		a restraint. And any new staff that are employed within
13		the Council, until they actually do the course, they are
14		told that they don't get involved in any restraint or
15		diversion activity.
16		We have a very low turnover of our residential
17		workers as well, so we don't have a lot of I think we
18		have had six new workers in the past year, so we don't
19		have big gaps in staff who are trained in promoting
20		positive behaviour.
21	Q.	I am sure you are aware, Liam, that there has been
22		discussion about whether national guidance on restraint
23		is necessary.
24	Α.	Yes.
25	Q.	Or further national guidance, indeed. Can I just ask

1 you: has the lack of such guidance been a problem in 2 practice, at least for South Lanarkshire? 3 A. I would say not recently, but I think in the past a lack 4 of guidance would have been an issue. Because the 5 restraint, or looking at managing behaviour within 6 a unit, was basically the responsibility of the 7 registered manager. So their interpretation of what 8 they felt was appropriate would have been the litmus 9 test for that. 10 Now what we say is that the restraint should only be 11 used through using the -- promoting positive behaviour, 12 and as well when you undertake a restraint, there is a debrief that needs to go with that. There is a report 13 14 that then comes with it. But, even if you use diversion 15 strategy, you similarly have to do a report that shows you didn't need to use a restraint. So we have a better 16 system now than we previously had. 17 Q. Right. So, in effect, the PPB system takes the place of 18 19 the guidance; is that --20 A. Yes. -- really how that works? All right. 21 0. 22 Moving on, if we can look, please, at the foot of 23 page 11, I think we see there the names and 24 qualifications of the persons in charge of the 25 establishment. I think we see there that

a Mr BHN was SNR , 1969 to 1987. So 1 2 that's really throughout the period of operation as an Assessment Centre; is that right? 3 A. That's correct. 4 And we also see the name of a circa 1990s. 5 Q. I think we see that he was SNR 6 2004. But, by this stage, you have told us 7 8 that the facility was -- had a slightly different emphasis; it was operating not as an Assessment Centre, 9 but really as a more general children's support unit. 10 A. That's correct. So from 11 onwards, going 12 down, that would have been the gatehouse that would have been referred to, which was still called Calder House, 13 14 so that's why there's --15 Q. Yes, there is some -- the records seem to take a while to reflect that change. 16 17 Thank you. Page 14, please. There is some material there about records, policies and procedures on, first 18 of all, complaints, and various other aspects of record 19 20 keeping. I just wanted to ask you about the practice now. We 21 22 heard from Susanne Millar this morning, in the context 23 of Glasgow Council, about the use of a client management 24 system called CareFirst. And that's a sort of multiple 25 input system, and there is use of daily logs, placement

1		plans, and so on; is that system, or something like it,
2		also in use in South Lanarkshire?
3	Α.	We have a similar system. It is called SWIS. It is
4		called our 'Social Work Information System', which is
5		very similar to the CareFirst that Susanne was
6		describing and that's everything about the child's
7		record would be in that electronic file.
8	Q.	Okay. So, again, there is no question of well,
9		I will start that again.
10		I think Susanne Millar said that there would be some
11		paper files, perhaps historically, for some children; is
12		that also the case in South Lanarkshire?
13	Α.	Yes, I would suspect every no, it is not 'I suspect'.
14		Every child that's looked after in South Lanarkshire
15		has an electronic file. It is not a hard copy file.
16		There might be some older children who would still have
17		an old hard copy file in the archives. But we adopted
18		the same approach where everything should then be
19		transferred on to an electronic system.
20	Q.	All right.
21	LAD	Y SMITH: Liam, what does SWIS stand for?
22	Α.	Social Work Information System.
23	LAD	Y SMITH: Is it one that was designed for you or was it
24		already there?
25	Α.	It was actually a previous Strathclyde region one. It

1 is the one before CareFirst. We are currently

2 commissioning for a new system which is more modern. We

- 3 have had that system since 1996.
- 4 LADY SMITH: Oh.
- 5 A. It is a very old system.
- 6 LADY SMITH: It is quite old. But it has enabled you to go
- 7 wholly electronic?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 10 MR SHELDON: I was going to ask you a little more about
- 11 that, Liam. How is the information -- and this is
- 12 perhaps too general a question -- but how is the
- 13 information arranged in the system?
- 14 I suppose what I am interested to know is: how would one search the records? Can you simply search on 15 a child's name and date of birth, for example, and that 16 17 would throw up all of the related documents? A. Yes. Every child has a unique reference number. If you 18 19 know the reference number, you can go straight to the 20 child's file. But you can search, for example, Liam Purdie and a date of birth, and it would bring up that 21 22 child. If there happened to be two Liam Purdies with the same date of birth, then you would just filter for 23 24 more information, like the name of a parent or 25 an address. So it's a system we can actually

1 interrogate that way.

2	Q.	Page 16, please. At the letter S, about halfway down
3		the page, there is a question about the nature of abuse
4		of children cared for at the establishment. And you
5		note that the information archived consisted of logbooks
6		for Calder House Children's House. These were completed
7		by staff on a daily basis. And you tell us that you
8		have not been able to identify any instances of abuse or
9		alleged abuse in the record. And we will look briefly
10		at some records. I will ask you for, perhaps, further
11		comment on that issue, because there are certainly
12		entries in the logbooks which, I think, might be
13		regarded as troubling, to put it mildly, in some cases.
14	Α.	Mm-hm.
14 15	A. Q.	Mm-hm. So I might ask you for further comment on that
15		So I might ask you for further comment on that
15 16		So I might ask you for further comment on that particular issue.
15 16 17		So I might ask you for further comment on that particular issue. Without going to them at the moment, I think there
15 16 17 18		So I might ask you for further comment on that particular issue. Without going to them at the moment, I think there are two appendices to this report which list known
15 16 17 18 19		So I might ask you for further comment on that particular issue. Without going to them at the moment, I think there are two appendices to this report which list known complaints by children about possible abuse by children
15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	So I might ask you for further comment on that particular issue. Without going to them at the moment, I think there are two appendices to this report which list known complaints by children about possible abuse by children and also possible abuse by adults; is that right?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A.	So I might ask you for further comment on that particular issue. Without going to them at the moment, I think there are two appendices to this report which list known complaints by children about possible abuse by children and also possible abuse by adults; is that right? That's correct.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A.	So I might ask you for further comment on that particular issue. Without going to them at the moment, I think there are two appendices to this report which list known complaints by children about possible abuse by children and also possible abuse by adults; is that right? That's correct. Right. And we can look briefly at those in a moment or

1 At the foot of that page, you note -- really, just 2 the final substantive paragraph: 3 'From the records we have managed to find that there were two investigations into allegations of historic 4 5 abuse (1972 and 1982) which involved the police.' And there are two individuals named there, one of 6 whom is BHN 7 ; is that right? 8 A. That's correct. Q. And over the page, the question is: 9 'The extent to which there were systemic failures to 10 11 protect children cared for at the establishment.' 12 And you say: 'We have not been able to identify any information 13 14 [taking it short] which highlight systemic failures to protect children.' 15 You go on to give some detail. About halfway down 16 17 the page, you say: 'There is one resource file which contains 18 complaints from young people made between 1998 and 19 20 2002.' Although of course that's, again, not during the 21 Assessment Centre period. 22 A. That's correct. 23 24 Q. But I think, very fairly, you do go on to suggest some 25 possible areas of difficulty in terms of systemic

1 failings at the unit. You say, first of all: 2 'There is little evidence of training or support for the staff who were responsible for the care of ... 3 traumatised young people ... little evidence of any 4 5 therapeutic approach for the young people ... little evidence of any individual work being done with young 6 7 people either by staff [or] external ... workers.' 8 Just to be clear: are you talking there about the later period or also about the Assessment Centre period? 9 It would be both periods that we are talking about. We 10 Α. 11 couldn't find any significant evidence that showed abuse 12 or of systemic abuse, but we couldn't even find anything that showed good practice for both when it was 13 14 an Assessment Centre and also when it was a Children's 15 House. Q. All right. Page 21, you are talking a bit more about 16 17 records. And about four lines down, you say: 'A logbook detailing important and official events 18 and other details, including disciplinary measures.' 19 20 And it is -- perhaps the sense of this is a little difficult to make out, but someone has clearly added in 21 22 this answer, I think: 23 'Those [presumably the logbooks] are often value 24 laden, emotionally charged, and staff-centred, and

106

unhelpful misuse of daily logs, incident reports and

1 records generally ...'

2 What in particular do you mean by 'staff-centred' 3 entries in the logbook? 4 The logbook seemed to be a theme that was -- or it Α. 5 seemed to be a tool where the staff would use it to 6 often offload or just vent about a young person and it 7 wasn't looking at any child plans. It would actually 8 capture a number of different young people within it, as opposed to there would be individual records for 9 individual children. And it was often -- just looked 10 11 like a tool that was used for staff to pass on issues 12 that had happened that day, as opposed to what should be 13 happening. 14 So the staff seemed to use it often just as an opportunity to have a go at some young people. 15 Q. Yes. Well, thank you. Just one final question about 16 17 that, then: how do you go about avoiding pitfalls like 18 that in current practice? What is the guidance on 19 logging incidents? Or indeed logging anything? 20 A. Well, we found no records of any incidents that were 21 logged. 22 What we currently have in practice is we have

23 instant logs that go into the individual child's file.
24 Staff are trained on what's appropriate language to use
25 within that file as well and it needs to be -- it can't

1		be subjective language that's used. What we are also
2		looking at as well is: if there is an incident that
3		takes place with a child and I say 'incident', if
4		there is something that needs to be recorded in
5		a child's file, we are now set up where the residential
6		staff can go direct into the child's file and record
7		anything within that, because it should be about the
8		child's journey and what's happening to them, not always
9		about what the negative behaviour is.
10	Q.	If we can set that aside now, please, then and look
11		and I am going to take this very briefly
12		GLA-000002038. I think we can see at the top of this
13		document, which is Appendix 1, described as Appendix 1,
14		this is a report relating to Calder Remand
15		Home/Calder House detailing the involvement of the City
16		of Glasgow Council and its predecessors, so presumably
17		Strathclyde; was this a document that you and your team
18		prepared or is this a Glasgow document?
19	Α.	This is a Glasgow document, this one.
20	Q.	I just want to take you to one passage in it, please,
21		and it is, I think, still on the same page, but at the
22		letter D:
23		'The attitude to the discipline of children,
24		including restraint, at the establishment.'
25		And I think we see that Glasgow give a bit more
1 detail, certainly in terms, perhaps not so much of 2 attitude, but just what in fact appears from the documentary record. So several references to strikes to 3 the posterior with the tawse. From November 1970, 4 punishments with detention cells, forfeit of visits and 5 privileges. The logbook, taking it short, notes 6 7 an instance where the writer thought corporal punishment 8 was merited, but because it had been ruled out the boy was taken on a three-mile run as exhausting, and for him 9 painful, experience of the run is sufficient. And a few 10 11 days later there is talk about the 'group method' with 12 privileges withdrawn. And staff appeared to have an established reward and 13 14 punishment method, although there is not much detail 15 about what that was. And over the page, from 1975 to 1986, disciplinary 16 17 measures continue to be detention and removals of privileges. There is a detention register for 1980 18 19 which clearly defines when, how to be used, and checks 20 to be made: 'The 1985 logbook details punishments for 21 misbehaviour and absconding, which are mostly work 22 programmes, such as scrubbing, as well as restrictions. 23 24 It also describes one girl being physically removed from 25 her dorm and another restrained after physically

1 attacking the staff.'

2		Liam, I think you have indicated that clearly your
3		team has looked at the logbooks to some extent; does
4		that description of these measures sound familiar from
5		your examination of the logbooks?
6	Α.	It does, yes.
7	Q.	As I say, in fairness to you, these are really
8		statements of fact about what was done rather than
9		perhaps attitudes per se, but that's Glasgow's answer to
10		that particular issue.
11		If I can look briefly, please, at SLC-000000077.
12		I think we see that's Appendix 3. Is that Appendix 3 to
13		your report?
14	A.	It is, yes.
		NAMES STREET
15	Q.	We see there that this is a summary of complaints
15 16		We see there that this is a summary of complaints regarding adults.
16		regarding adults.
16 17		regarding adults. So, just to give an example of that, we see there,
16 17 18		regarding adults. So, just to give an example of that, we see there, in November 2009, an individual was interviewed by
16 17 18 19		regarding adults. So, just to give an example of that, we see there, in November 2009, an individual was interviewed by police from Blantyre investigating an allegation of
16 17 18 19 20		regarding adults. So, just to give an example of that, we see there, in November 2009, an individual was interviewed by police from Blantyre investigating an allegation of physical abuse when he was SNR
16 17 18 19 20 21		regarding adults. So, just to give an example of that, we see there, in November 2009, an individual was interviewed by police from Blantyre investigating an allegation of physical abuse when he was SNR Calder House Assessment Centre, an allegation made by
16 17 18 19 20 21 22		regarding adults. So, just to give an example of that, we see there, in November 2009, an individual was interviewed by police from Blantyre investigating an allegation of physical abuse when he was SNR Calder House Assessment Centre, an allegation made by a female resident, former female resident, and it

1 continues, a relatively brief list, over the next couple 2 of pages. 3 If we look, please, at SLC-000000081, please, we see there that's Appendix 2, I think again to your report; 4 5 is this a summary of complaints by children and young 6 people about other children and young people? 7 A. That's correct. 8 Q. Thank you. That's all I want to take from that. My Lady, I am going to move on now to look at some records. 9 LADY SMITH: I am getting the nod from the stenographers, if 10 11 that's all right with you, Liam? 12 A. Yes. LADY SMITH: Very well. 13 14 MR SHELDON: If could you go, please, to GLA-000003365. 15 I am sorry, I am in technology trouble myself. Yes, Liam, you can take it from me that this is 16 17 an extract of a log from the girls' wing, Iona, 1971-72. If we look at page 1 first of all, there is an entry 18 for 26/4/71, and the entry there in slightly darker 19 writing, an individual, presumably a child, seen by BHN 20 and position discussed: 21 22 'Should boy again act out I would place him in detention cell for 24 hours. Pressure to be brought to 23 24 bear to ensure his cooperation. Allow no latitude in

111

25

either direction.'

1		So what would you take from that entry, Liam?
2	Α.	It is a threat to lock a child up in the cell and not to
3		give them any chance.
4	Q.	And the implication is until he does something, until he
5		cooperates in some way?
6	Α.	That's correct.
7	Q.	And he is to be in the detention cell and they are
8		calling it a cell for 24 hours.
9		If you look at page 2, please. Again, it is the
10		first column entry for the 3 June 1971. And the entry
11		reads:
12		'During a spot check, two matches were found
13		[I think, child] asked where he got them and the answer
14		was that he had them since his return from court. He
15		was given them while in the cell.'
16		So, again, a boy is put in a cell. There is no
17		period for that incarceration given there.
18		If we can go to page 3, please. This is in the
19		second column, right at the foot, entry for 29 October
20		1971:
21		'Girls' behaviour good all evening, except [a
22		particular girl] who was visited by her brother and
23		friend.'
24		Over the page:
25		'When searched after visit, was found to have

1 a cigarette and match hidden on her person. She was seen by Mr and Mrs BHN-HLP 2 She then scrubbed the rest of 3 the evening, to continue doing so tomorrow.' Again, perhaps not clear how long that evening she 4 5 was scrubbing, but it would certainly sound as though it 6 was during the course of the evening? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. And we will come back to the theme of scrubbing. If we look at, please, the next document, GLA-000003368, and 9 the first column entry for 5 January. It is also for 10 11 9 January. See here for the 5th: 'Two boys were scrubbing the toilets tonight for 12 talking after lights out.' 13 14 So, after lights out at bedtime, they are made to 15 scrub. And the entry for 9 January: 16 17 '[Boy, I think, it is "tipped bed", possibly] stated he was the guilty party and he was given extra duties 18 and privileges removed for the rest of the wing on 19 Mr BHN 's instructions.' 20 So there are extra duties, whatever those are, and 21 22 it appears, also, collective punishment of the rest of the wing; is that right? 23 24 A. That's correct. 25 Q. And page 3, please. A rather laconic entry, second

```
1
        column, 15 June.
 2
    LADY SMITH: I take it that's the date that has been
 3
        redacted?
 4
    MR SHELDON: Yes:
 5
             'All boys showered, jungle juiced, and Bible
 6
         thumped. '
7
            What would you take from that, Liam?
8
    A.
        The 'jungle juice' I have seen in statements. It was
 9
         the de-licing of children's hair, which was quite
        distressing. So it looks like they'd had that. It's
10
11
        been done to them.
12
    LADY SMITH: Jungle juice was a brand name. May still be;
        is that correct?
13
14
    A. I think it was a slang terminology --
    LADY SMITH: Oh.
15
    A. -- that was being used for it.
16
17
    LADY SMITH: Okay. Thank you.
18
            Bible thumped?
    A. I am aware of some of the statements that they would be
19
20
        taken into one of the recreation rooms and made to sing
        hymns or recite from the Bible. So I think that would
21
22
        be the Bible thumping. Now, it could be something else,
        but I am aware that that was something that children
23
24
        were made to do.
25
    MR SHELDON: The entry certainly has the ring, again, of
```

something being done to these boys. 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Page 4, please. First column, at the foot. Again, we 4 are told: 'Half the boys at Bible class tonight. Rest were 5 6 late back from a day out ... [particular child] tried to abscond whilst out and ditto ... [another boy] now been 7 8 moved into wing 3. Both to be kept working until further notice.' 9 10 From that does it appear from the record that boys are late back? This is all happening at quite a late 11 12 hour and the boys are just going to be kept working. 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. That's how that reads, doesn't it? 15 A. Yes, it does to me. Q. Another document, please. It is GLA-000003359. 16 17 It is the second column, entry 4, 15 December. Do we see that the entry reads: 18 'The boys in this wing are that thick in the head 19 20 that they don't realise what the loss of privileges are.' 21 22 And a particular individual had admitted to 23 a particular offence. Comments on that, Liam? 24 A. It is quite derogatory towards the children, and I think 25 it is the offence above that they are making reference

1

- 1 to.
- 2 Q. Yes, we will look at a couple of other references like
- 3 that.
- 4 LADY SMITH: Yes, and that's the reference to all
- 5 privileges.
- 6 A. All.
- 7 LADY SMITH: For everybody being stopped.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 LADY SMITH: Because one boy has done something stupid.
- 10 MR SHELDON: Yes.
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. GLA-000003366, please. First of all, in the first

13 column entry for 9 February 1973, and just at the end of 14 that entry, a particular person caught after absconding, 15 spending the night in the cell. It is perhaps obvious, 16 Liam, but does that suggest that the use of the cell is 17 being used as a punishment?

- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Second column, entry for 16th -- I beg your pardon.
- 20 Yes, I am sorry, it is page 2, second column, entry
- 21 for 16 July. The entry reads:

22 'PE followed by scrubbing, as behaviour not very 23 good.'

- 24 So, again, scrubbing used as a punishment.
- 25 A. Yes.

1	LAD	Y SMITH: And that would seem to be for everybody?
2	Α.	I don't know if that's for everybody or one individual
3		child.
4	MR	SHELDON: The entry would certainly appear to be
5		a generalised one, rather than specific. But that's
6		perhaps the difficulty with some of these entries, Liam,
7		that there is not much
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	in the way of detail or specifics.
10	Α.	That's correct.
11	Q.	GLA-000003367, please. Page 1. It is the first column.
12		About halfway down, it is quite a long narrative and
13		this is about a particular boy who went for a shower at
14		1.25 pm, finished by 2.50:
15		'I told him that everything he did out of turn would
16		be logged, but it is like talking to a toilet seat.
17		I threatened him with taking him out of the side room,
18		but even that doesn't seem to have been successful.'
19		There is a question:
20		'What do you think, Paul?'
21		Well, what do you think, Liam?
22	Α.	It is an example of the use of logbooks to vent as
23		opposed to anything constructive within them.
24	Q.	And again, a pretty derogatory way
25	Α.	Yes.

1 Q. -- of referring to a child.

2		Page 5 of that document, in the first column, the
3		left-hand column, the passage that's starred in blue
4		writing, we are told that two individuals have finished
5		their work programme, so no more extra scrubbing:
6		'But they have to be left on general restrictions,
7		eg no baths, no outings, no phone calls in or out.'
8		Their situation is to be reviewed on Thursday.
9		Again, comments on that?
10	Α.	It is abusive behaviour towards those children.
11	Q.	Specifically how? What's the is it all abusive?
12	Α.	Scrubbing is obviously being used as a punishment. The
13		fact that then their dignity about not having any baths
14		or outings being used as a punishment, no phone calls,
15		that would be contact with family, that's both in or
16		out. So that's not appropriate.
17	Q.	Yes. What concerns would you have about forbidding
18		phone calls in or out?
19	Α.	A child can't express how they are. If it's a phone
20		call, someone phoning to check how they are, or even if
21		it's someone phoning in, it means the staff are
22		colluding and actually saying someone's not available if
23		it is being used as a punishment.
24	Q.	Thank you.
25		Next document, GLA-000003397, please. This is back

1 to the use of language point. It is the first column 2 entry for the 18 June -- I beg your pardon, bear with 3 me. 4 LADY SMITH: On the left-hand side? Derogatory comments 5 about the girls? 6 MR SHELDON: Yes. 7 Yes, I am sorry, my Lady. Yes, it is the 18th, 8 towards the top of the page: 'Fairly good atmosphere ...' 9 Sorry. I beg your pardon, my Lady. My page has 10 11 been --12 LADY SMITH: 'God knows why I thought I would like to spend more time on the girls' wing.' 13 14 That one? MR SHELDON: There is that one, towards the top. Yes, 15 16 that's the entry: 17 'God knows why I ever thought I would like to spend more time on the girls' wing. They are an insolent 18 shower of bitches.' 19 Views on that? 20 A. It is offensive. It's inappropriate language. 21 22 Q. Next document, please. GLA-000003356, please. It is on 23 the first page, second column, entry for the 24 2 August 1980. I think in that there is a reference to: 'After breakfast, girls scrubbed the wing.' 25

1 It is not clear whether that's punishment scrubbing, 2 my Lady. It seems there was just normal cleaning, usually every morning, and the scrubbing was an extra 3 punishment. But, at all events, on this occasion we see 4 that a particular girl went out after lunch. This is 5 towards the end of that entry: 6 7 'She returned late very upset and said that she had 8 been raped. See occurrence sheets. In the evening we had a disco.' 9 10 LADY SMITH: Do we have these occurrence sheets? 11 MR SHELDON: No, we don't, my Lady. I will come back to the 12 other and obvious issue in a moment, Liam. But, just in relation to this idea of having separate sheets, 13 14 occurrence sheets, separate to the log: 'We don't have the occurrence sheets.' 15 Comments on that? 16 We couldn't find any records that would indicate what 17 A. 18 occurrence sheets are. But any child's record should be 19 in the one file; it shouldn't be separate logs or 20 different sheets, or logs for different things. So that would be inappropriate. 21 22 LADY SMITH: Would you like to just spell out why? 23 A. The suspicious mind would say that it is hiding 24 something else about further punishment or further 25 incidents that are taking place. It also doesn't paint

1 the picture at all about what's happening in a child's 2 life if it is placed somewhere else. Or it could be 3 collusion of staff about dealing with things in another Δ manner. 5 LADY SMITH: I suppose you are just increasing the risk of 6 losing track of important details regarding the child 7 and the child's daily life? 8 A. Yes. MR SHELDON: Sorry, Liam, the other obvious issue arising 9 from that entry is the allegation or disclosure that 10 11 this girl has made. 12 A. Yes. Q. What's your comment on the way that's dealt with, at 13 14 least on the face of this entry? 15 A. Totally inappropriate. There is an offence that's been -- the child has reported an offence that's been 16 17 committed and it's given no indication that it has been 18 passed on to police or social work to investigate, or 19 that the child's had any support about a sexually 20 violent act that's been committed against her. And it talks about a disco. There is nothing proportionate 21 22 about it. Q. It seems almost flippant, off-hand, doesn't it? 23 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. And if we look at the next entry there, about four lines

1 from the bottom of the entry, we are told: 2 'Girls in bad mood as they could not get their own 3 way.' And there is a girl who is named, and it is the same 4 girl who had made the disclosure in the previous entry: 5 'She is not allowed out for weekend as giving up 6 cheek.' 7 8 In the context of these two entries, again: what would you want to say about all that? 9 10 What we are probably seeing is a child who is going Α. 11 through an extreme trauma if she has been sexually 12 assaulted and raped, and actually not talking to other people is an indication of that trauma as well, and they 13 14 are saying it is a child -- the child is being punished 15 for having been assaulted. So there was no follow up or support for that child to find out what happened and 16 17 what they could do. Q. Thank you. If we look at page 2 of this document, 18 19 please. It is in the first column, the entry for 20 29 August 1980 -- I beg your pardon, it is the entry in black writing, rather than blue: 21 'Pleasant night on the wing spoiled by ... 22 23 [a particular girl] planking a cigarette. She was 24 supposed to have three with her, but apparently two 25 others were flushed down the toilet. None of the girls

1 are on restrictions, but ... [she] is sleeping in the 2 detention cell and will be scrubbing during the night.' 3 For the offence of -- forgive me, but what is planking a cigarette? 4 A. She was caught secreting a cigarette, hiding it, hiding 5 6 a cigarette. 7 Q. Right. Thank you. 8 Look at page 9, please. This is the entry for 21 February. Yes, I think it is the single column in 9 this instance. No, I beg your pardon, it is two 10 11 columns, and it is the second column, 21 February: 12 'Wing thoroughly cleaned out this morning by all the girls. All did it quite willingly. Mr Hayley then took 13 14 ... [certain children] for a voluntary three-mile run.' 'Voluntary' is heavily underlined; any views on what 15 that might signify? 16 17 A. I think it is sarcasm, when they are indicating it is underlined like that -- so I don't think there was 18 19 anything voluntary about the children going for 20 a three-mile run. LADY SMITH: 'They all seemed to enjoy it.' 21 22 A. I assume that they are seeing it as a punishment for the 23 girls. 24 MR SHELDON: If we can compare that entry, please, with 25 an entry in GLA-000003357. It's page 2 of that

1 document. Yes, page 2 of that document. In the first 2 column, there is a series of entries. The dates, I am 3 afraid, are quite hard to make out. Yes, it is the entry for 24 April 1982. Yes, it is in the second 4 column. Sorry, I am getting myself lost in my own 5 notes. 6 7 Second column, 24 April, a particular girl is on 8 a punishment. LADY SMITH: And this is 1982? 9 10 MR SHELDON: This is 1982. Then, in the next entry, for the 11 25th, we see that the same girl was now back with the 12 group. So the first point is she seemed to have been isolated, perhaps overnight. And the entry is: 13 14 'Restrictions removed after she completed the 15 three-mile run.' So does that tell us anything about the previous 16 17 entry that we looked at about the runs being voluntary? A. No, it doesn't look like it is voluntary. 18 19 Q. If we can go back to the previous document, please, and, 20 my Lady, I am conscious of the time. LADY SMITH: How much longer do we need to go? 21 22 MR SHELDON: There are some more records to get through, but 23 I can cut it short, but another five or ten minutes 24 would be quite helpful. 25 LADY SMITH: Is that okay?

1 THE STENOGRAPHER: (Nods).

2 LADY SMITH: Very well. Keep going. 3 MR SHELDON: So we are back to GLA-000003356. And if we can 4 look at page 11, please. Second column. Entry for 5 25 February 1981. The entry, well, it reads: 6 'Practically every girl was sleeping in their pants 7 and bra last night. I have made them wash them this 8 morning.' 9 Reading short: 'Will staff please make sure the girls have no extra 10 11 underwear in their lockers. Everything must be kept in 12 the metal lockers.' Can you hazard a view, Liam, on why girls wouldn't 13 14 be allowed to store extra underwear in their lockers? A. I have no idea. If they want to sleep in their bra and 15 pants, then they should be allowed to sleep in their bra 16 17 and pants. Q. Might it be to stop them running away? Might it be 18 19 a restriction of that sort? 20 A. It could be, but it might also be because the girls would feel safer if they had underwear on. 21 22 Q. And at page 12, please. The foot of the second column, 23 page 12, entry for -- I think it is the 2 March 1981: 24 'Seven girls on restrictions. Sat in corridor all 25 day in shorts and t-shirts. Had their dinner, also tea,

1 there. The others were with the boys. I took over 2 wing. Went in afternoon [I think] all in corridor.' 3 And then there is an entry, 5.30: 4 'Night spent sitting in corridor with seven girls. 5 I am absolutely frozen.' 6 Any comment on that? A. It is inappropriate. It is abusive. 7 8 Q. And I think, in fairness to you, you draw attention to 9 this in your Section 21 response, but it is perhaps a classic example of a staff-centred entry; would that 10 be fair? 11 12 A. Yes. Q. If we can look, please, at GLA-000003363. At page 16, 13 14 please. In the first column, an entry for the 20 October 1981. This is beside the heading or the 15 marginal note 'Gen Report'. 16 17 'At 10.45, girl brought in by NS.' Night staff, perhaps? 18 A. Possibly, yes, night shift. 19 20 Q. Night shift: 21 'The girl was crying and shouting. The social 22 workers would not let her be put in the DC.' First of all, do you think that the DC might be the 23 24 detention cell? 25 A. Yes.

1	Q.	What do you think the social worker's concern might have
2		been?
3	Α.	Er, I think the social worker I am not really too
4		sure, but I think the girl had shared that the detention
5		cell was in use as a punishment. The social worker was
6		expressing the view it's not that shouldn't be
7		appropriate.
8	Q.	And it does appear that this girl was in a pretty
9		distressed state on coming in?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	How would you and your contemporary you and your
12		colleagues deal with that sort of situation in
13		contemporary practice?
14	Α.	You don't have isolation rooms or detention cells. What
15		you would do, if the child was upset, our houses are set
16		up in a way where we have quiet spaces. The living room
17		would not be in use at night, and you would take time
18		with them to calm them down or talk to them about what
19		the distress was.
20	Q.	You would want to try to calm them down, comfort them,
21		perhaps?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	If we can look, please, at GLA-000003357. I took this
24		slightly out of order before, but I think there are
25		another couple of entries that I think are instructive.

1 Page 8 of this. It is the first column entry for 2 13 October 1982. Do we see, at the foot of that column, the entry there is -- well, I am not even going to 3 attempt to pronounce it. Comments on that? 4 A. Again, it is just an inappropriate recording about 5 6 what's happening in children's lives. It's about the 7 needs -- I don't know what needs or service it is at 8 all, or what use it is. 9 Q. I think the initials there are WB. And we have a list 10 of names, we can perhaps put together who the particular 11 member of staff was. I will come back to that in 12 a moment. But, just to look at one final entry in this 13 14 document, it is page 11. The first column, entry for 15 the 29 December, it is the entry in black writing: 'Only ... [particular girl] got a visit tonight. 16 17 Someone made a phone call and returned crying. Both ... 18 [these girls] are, if you will pardon the expression, 19 moaning faced little bitches. They have complained 20 incessantly about Calder House, how it is like a prison, they hate staff, nothing ever happens. They are bored, 21 forgetting what people have done over the past few days 22 23 for them.' 24 What can you say about that, Liam? 25 It is inappropriate reference to the girls that were in A.

1 Calder House. There is no understanding about 2 children's needs or trauma they may be going through, or why a child would be upset. 3 4 Q. And in the final two lines of that entry: 5 'They will have to be stepped on as they can't 6 handle this type of treatment yet.' 7 A. It is totally inappropriate. It is getting other staff 8 to gang up on two girls. MR SHELDON: My Lady, if I can go to one more and ask Liam a 9 concluding question or two. 10 11 LADY SMITH: Thank you. 12 MR SHELDON: It is in the document GLA-000003361, at page 3, please. Entry for 16 January 1983. It is quite a long 13 14 entry and I will try to take it short. Are they 15 confirming what may have happened earlier? About seven lines from the bottom of that entry, seven or eight 16 17 lines, the writer says: 18 'I chose tonight to punish as The Professionals were on. Whereas last night there was little to view on TV 19 20 and an early bed might have been desirable to them (devious sod, ain't I?).' 21 Any comment on that? 22 It is obviously a calculated approach to disrupt the 23 A. 24 children's night, all the children within that wing. 25 It is premeditated.

Q. Liam, there are a number of other entries I could take 1 2 you to. But just from the entries that we have looked 3 at; what impression do you form of the regime that's 4 being run in Calder House during this period? 5 A. I think it was a regime that had a culture -- an abusive 6 culture towards children that wasn't child-centred in 7 any manner or means at all. 8 What I notice from this as well, it is a number of different staff that are writing and it is something 9 10 that's a total culture across the board. There is very 11 little that you see where there is any warmth or 12 understanding of what the children's needs are. Q. And what does that tell you about SNR 13 and 14 management of the establishment? 15 A. I think it is being endorsed from the top down, that approach, and we have seen as well that Mr BHN is 16 referenced several times having approved actions against 17 children, but also instructed actions against children 18 19 as well. 20 MR SHELDON: My Lady, I think I can leave it there, unless there is anything else that my Lady wants to explore? 21 22 LADY SMITH: It is very clear, I am sad to say. 23 Liam, thank you so much for bearing with us. 24 Hearing your thoughts on these records has been 25 enormously helpful and it is not lost on any of us, I am

```
1
         sure, that this isn't deep into history; this takes us
         into the 1980s, which is not that long ago.
 2
 3
    A. Yes.
 4
    LADY SMITH: Thank you for coming here today, bearing with
 5
         us and being here longer than you no doubt expected you
 6
         would. But I am now able to let you go.
 7
     A. Thank you.
     LADY SMITH: Well, that's it for today, Mr Sheldon. Am
 8
 9
         I right in thinking we are starting with a video link in
10
         the morning?
     MR SHELDON: Yes, there is a witness who is on WebEx
11
12
         tomorrow morning and a further live witness later in the
13
         morning; read-ins in the afternoon, we hope.
14
     LADY SMITH: Very well, I will rise now until 10 o'clock
         tomorrow, thank you.
15
16
     (4.18 pm)
17
      (The Inquiry adjourned until 10.00 am on Tuesday, 2 July
18
                                2024)
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

SUSANNE MILLAR (sworn) .....2 Questions from MS FORBES .....2 LIAM PURDIE (sworn). .....73 Questions from MR SHELDON .....74