1	Tuesday, 29 April 2025
2	(10.00 am)
3	(Proceedings delayed)
4	(10.30 am)
5	LADY SMITH: Good morning, and welcome to the start of
6	Phase 9 of our hearings in relation to a case study in
7	which we will be looking at provision of residential
8	care for children in healthcare institutions, additional
9	support needs institutions, and places that provided for
10	children with disabilities.
11	You may have picked up already that in circumstances
12	which are quite beyond the control of the Inquiry, we're
13	not able to start as we had planned this morning.
14	I would have been inviting representatives to make their
15	opening submissions and we can't do that until we have
16	a stenography system available in some format, and
17	I'm advised that nothing can be done in relation to that
18	at the moment.
19	However, you will have seen from the programme for
20	today that we were planning to play a couple of videos
21	after the opening submissions. What we're going to do
22	is play those videos now, so as to make use of some of
23	the time available. I'll just invite Ms Innes to
24	explain what the videos are being played in relation to.
25	I know she'll say something later in more detail about

- them, but if we just outline what they are now.
- 2 MS INNES: Thank you, my Lady.
- These videos relate to Lennox Castle and therefore

 are only relevant to the NHS and any other party which

 may have an interest. But I would have thought it would

 be the NHS provider who would have the greatest interest
- 7 in these videos.
- We propose to play two videos of material, which are 8 available online; an Open University programme and also 9 Lennox Castle Stories, which is output from a joint 10 11 project between C-Change and Project Ability. I will 12 repeat in retrospect a fuller introduction to the videos 13 once we have the stenography system up and running so 14 that it can be recorded in retrospect. It may be that your Ladyship may wish to rise so that those in the room 15 16 who are not directly engaged in this part can take a break and return, perhaps at 2 o'clock, if that's when 17 18 it's thought the opening submissions can start.
- 19 LADY SMITH: They are, of course, welcome to see the videos,
 20 if that's what they choose to do. But they don't need
 21 to feel obliged. I'm so sorry about this. There is
 22 nothing I can do to change matters, but I'll rise for
- a few minutes while we get the videos organised, and
 anyone who wants to depart just now and come back later
- 25 can do that.

- 1 (10.43 am)
- 2 (A short break)
- 3 (10.56 am)
- 4 LADY SMITH: I understand that we're ready to play the
- 5 videos. Sorry about that feedback. I hope it's okay.
- 6 Let's go.
- 7 (Video played)
- 8 (11.30 am)
- 9 (A short break)
- 10 (11.49 am)
- 11 LADY SMITH: Is the next video ready? Do we think it's
- 12 ready? Let's go. Thank you.
- 13 (Video played)
- 14 MS INNES: That concludes the two videos, my Lady.
- 15 LADY SMITH: So the plan is that we rise now until 2 o'clock
- and then proceed to opening submissions at that stage,
- 17 we hope.
- 18 MS INNES: Yes.
- 19 LADY SMITH: Very well. Thank you very much. Until
- 20 2 o'clock.
- 21 (12.16 pm)
- 22 (The luncheon adjournment)
- 23 (2.00 pm)
- 24 LADY SMITH: Good afternoon. I'm told the system is now
- 25 working and we can proceed to the submissions that

- weren't able to be given this morning.
- 2 Could I add that I do appreciate that for many of
- 3 you, your diaries probably had to get ripped up for
- 4 today because I'm sure you had arrangements to do other
- 5 things when you didn't have to be here once your
- 6 submissions had been delivered. I'm so sorry about
- 7 that. We have moved things as fast as we can and this
- is the earliest we have been able to get to this stage.
- 9 So without taking any more time to have you listen
- 10 to me, I would like to turn to Ms Innes and she'll take
- 11 it up from there.
- 12 Opening submissions by MS INNES
- 13 MS INNES: Thank you, my Lady.
- 14 This case study will focus on settings which
- 15 provided residential care for children and young people
- 16 with healthcare and additional support needs and
- 17 disabilities.
- 18 The organisations involved in the provision of such
- 19 residential accommodation included the National Health
- 20 Service, local authorities, voluntary and private
- 21 providers.
- Over the next three weeks, our focus will be on
- 23 healthcare settings. Primarily those which provided for
- 24 those with learning disabilities or mental health needs.
- 25 This will cover the three NHS institutions;

- 1 Lennox Castle, Ladyfield and other units at the Crichton
- 2 Royal and the Royal Scottish National Hospital.
- 3 It will also cover St Joseph's, Rosewell, operated
- 4 by the Daughters of Charity of St Vincent de Paul and
- 5 Algrade operated by the Algrade Trust.
- 6 Within this set of hearings, evidence will also be
- 7 led from the Care Inspectorate, the SSSC and Healthcare
- 8 Improvement Scotland.
- 9 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 10 MS INNES: Thereafter, commencing on 27 May, we will move on
- 11 to focus on other settings, including residential
- 12 schools providing for children with additional support
- 13 needs. We will also, in that block, hear evidence from
- 14 Education Scotland.
- 15 Later, and towards the end of the case study, in
- 16 hearings in August and September, we will hear evidence
- 17 relative to residential schools making specialist
- 18 provisions for children who are deaf or visually
- 19 impaired.
- 20 Expert evidence has also been commissioned from
- 21 Strathclyde University in relation to legislative and
- 22 policy developments over time in residential education
- 23 for disabled children. A separate report has been
- 24 commissioned from Professor Anita Franklin of MMU, on
- 25 what is known from the research in relation to the

nature and extent of abuse of disabled children in residential care settings. This will also consider particular risks and protective factors. Both of these reports will be produced in due course and will be spoken to in evidence.

While some of the institutions covered in the case study remain in operation, others shut down many years ago, for example, the large healthcare institutions.

Some other providers withdrew from the provision of residential care in this area. However, that is not to say that abuse of children in healthcare or other specialist settings is a thing of the past, or that we cannot learn from what happened.

We are, of course, aware of recent press reports in relation to the abuse of children with healthcare or additional support needs, including the recent BBC Disclosure programme in relation to Skye House. Whilst the period covered in the Disclosure programme was after 2014, it does highlight possible ongoing systemic issues which are relevant for the Inquiry to consider in relation to terms of reference 6 and 7.

That is:'To consider the extent to which failures by state or non-state institutions to protect children in care in Scotland from abuse have been addressed by changes to practice, policy or legislation and whether

- any further changes are required.'
- We have, therefore, served Section 21 notices on all
- 3 of the health boards which provide inpatient psychiatric
- 4 care for children and young people in specialist
- 5 settings in order to ascertain what current practices
- are, particularly in relation to restraint and sedation.
- 7 And, indeed, whether there are any changes following
- 8 upon the recent television programme.
- 9 At the end of the case study, there will be evidence
- 10 from the Mental Welfare Commissioner, who, together with
- 11 Healthcare Improvement Scotland, have been commissioned
- 12 by the Scottish Government to carry out a series of
- 13 visits to all such inpatient units. And it's hoped that
- 14 at that time, the Inquiry can be updated as to progress
- 15 in relation to that.
- 16 Whilst the evidence in this case study will no doubt
- 17 disclose themes common to other settings that the
- 18 Inquiry has considered, there are specific issues which
- 19 arise which have not been considered elsewhere. The
- 20 importance of these cannot be understated, given the
- 21 vulnerability of the children and young people
- 22 concerned.
- 23 LADY SMITH: Thank you very much, Ms Innes.
- 24 MS INNES: My Lady, there was some video evidence this
- 25 morning, but I think, perhaps, it's appropriate that we

- 1 hear the opening submissions of the other parties.
- Then, perhaps at the end, I might just provide a brief
- 3 narrative in relation to that evidence for the record.
- 4 LADY SMITH: Yes, I'm happy with that as a way forward.
- 5 Thank you very much.
- 6 MS INNES: Thank you, my Lady.
- 7 LADY SMITH: I would now like to turn to the representation
- 8 for INCAS. That takes me to Ms McCall. I'm ready to
- 9 hear you when you're ready.
- 10 Opening submissions by MS MCCALL
- 11 MS MCCALL: Thank you, my Lady.
- 12 INCAS is grateful for the opportunity to make
- 13 an opening submission. As the Inquiry begins to hear
- 14 and consider the evidence in this phase, it's important
- 15 to bear in mind that those children and young people
- 16 placed into the establishments with which we're now
- 17 concerned were especially vulnerable.
- 18 It is said by some institutions and organisations
- 19 that there are no records of complaints being made and
- 20 that they're unaware of any abuse. In that context,
- 21 it's important to bear in mind the particular challenges
- 22 that these children may have had in communicating about
- 23 abuse.
- 24 The Inquiry will learn that in spite of there being
- 25 no records of any complaints, as adults, former

residents or patients have reported abuse to the police,
resulting, in some instances, in criminal charges. The
Inquiry should therefore not accept such institutional
responses at face value.

When representatives of institutions come to give evidence, they should be asked whether they accept the testimony of survivors that they will read and hear during this phase as to the abuse that they suffered.

Where they accept it, will they apologise? Where they do apologise, survivors want them to explain what that apology means. Survivors want to be reassured that any acknowledgment and apology are not made because they think it's what's expected, but that it is meaningful, that it will be reflected in their approach when they leave the Inquiry room and in any future interactions with survivors.

My Lady, attitudes towards those with disabilities and additional support needs have undoubtedly evolved in recent years. But, even allowing for historical attitudes, it's anticipated by INCAS that the Inquiry will hear evidence of Dickensian conditions in some establishments. Particular themes are expected to emerge, which we invite the Inquiry to explore in depth.

The first concerns systemic failings. Were there similar concerns about different institutions under the

control of one local authority or other single provider?

Where institutions had common policies and common oversight and there was abuse, why was that not picked up as a pattern and actioned? Was there a tendency to view individual incidents of abuse as involving bad apples without considering if there was a more fundamental system failure?

The second theme concerns staff recruitment. It appears that in past decades, the vetting of potential staff members was deficient in multiple respects. The Inquiry will hear evidence of staff with previous convictions for sexual offending being employed to look after children. Regardless of whether knowledge has developed more recently about how child abusers operate, there is no point in time when that can be said to have been appropriate.

In other instances, in spite of concerns being raised about the conduct of staff and, on occasion, a staff member being suspended, some individuals were re-employed at other institutions. Some of those individuals continued to abuse children over many years. Survivors will want to understand how these things were allowed to happen.

The next theme, my Lady, relates to the physical conditions in which children were living and the failure

to take steps to properly address issues, even when they
were known about. It's anticipated the Inquiry will
hear evidence about institutions where management were
aware of concerns around cleanliness, nutrition, lack of
suitable clothing, dehydration, yet failed to act until
outside inspectors intervened.

The Inquiry should consider whether such conditions were unavoidable or were the result of a complete disregard by staff and organisations for those under their charge.

As in other case studies, INCAS anticipates that the Inquiry will hear evidence of inappropriate punishment and the disproportionate use of restraint to control children, rather than to protect them. Children were subjected to corporal punishment, placed in isolation or denied family contact.

In this case study, there is also a further concern relating to the improper use of medication to subdue or control children rather than for a therapeutic purpose.

During the evidence, the Inquiry may hear institutions or some individuals argue that societal attitudes towards children and those with mental illness, additional needs and disabilities were very different in the past.

INCAS anticipates that the sort of testimony the

- 1 Inquiry will hear from survivors and their relatives
- 2 will put the lie to that suggestion. Whatever the
- 3 standards of the day, children deserved to be treated
- 4 with dignity. They were placed in these institutions
- 5 because they were in need of particular care and
- 6 support. They ought to have been nurtured and
- 7 encouraged to develop their potential. INCAS fears that
- 8 the picture that will emerge is of children being denied
- 9 their humanity and simply being housed, rather than
- 10 helped.
- 11 INCAS welcomes the opening of this case study and
- 12 invites your Ladyship to bring her customary rigour to
- 13 examination of the evidence that will be heard with
- 14 these various themes at the forefront of her mind.
- 15 LADY SMITH: Thank you very much, Ms McCall.
- 16 Could I turn next, please, to the representation for
- 17 NHS Greater Glasgow & Clyde, Forth Valley and Dumfries &
- 18 Galloway. I think that's what you're here for,
- 19 Mr Davidson; is that right?
- 20 Opening submissions by MR DAVIDSON
- 21 MR DAVIDSON: That's correct, my Lady.
- 22 This opening statement has been prepared on behalf
- of three NHS health boards. That's Dumfries & Galloway,
- 24 Forth Valley and Greater Glasgow.
- 25 The said boards appreciate their role in

1 participating in this case study concerning the 2 provision of residential care in establishments for children and young people with long-term healthcare needs, additional support needs and disabilities.

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is the first case study in which the three health boards have participated. The boards anticipate engaging fully and will be assisting the Inquiry in its important work. Anything that the Inquiry can do to improve the care of young people in Scotland is both welcomed and supported by the boards.

At the outset, my Lady, I can say that the boards' sympathy is with all those who have survived abuse in any establishment operated by each of the respective boards or their predecessors.

The boards accept that the abuse of children in whatever form is always reprehensible.

I propose to say a few words about the history of the various institutions, which are being considered by the Inquiry and for which the respective boards are responsible. But, to accommodate the need for brevity, I don't propose to read out fully paragraphs 6, 7 and 8 of my written submission, which has been handed to your Ladyship's senior counsel. That will be in the final copy, which is intimated electronically and will be available on the website.

- 1 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 2 MR DAVIDSON: But I do propose, having set out the history,
- 3 to go on to summarise the approach the boards have taken
- 4 to this Inquiry and its important work. I do not
- 5 propose to say much about the substance in this opening
- 6 statement. The time for that will come later in the
- 7 case study.
- 8 So turning now to Dumfries & Galloway Health Board.
- 9 So far as that health board is concerned, the Inquiry
- 10 will be considering four establishments linked to the
- 11 former Crichton Royal Hospital in Dumfries and that will
- 12 be Ladyfield East, Ladyfield West, Hannahfield Hall and
- 13 Eskdale House.
- 14 The Crichton Royal Hospital was Scotland's seventh
- 15 and last royal asylum to be established. Building began
- in 1835. The written submission goes on to set out the
- 17 detailed history of the development of that hospital. I
- 18 propose to take up my reading of the submission in
- 19 paragraph 8, about halfway through that paragraph, with
- 20 the words 'following various reorganisations', if your
- 21 Ladyship has that?
- 22 LADY SMITH: Thank you, yes.
- 23 MR DAVIDSON: So, following various reorganisations in the
- 24 following decades after 1951, in 1995,
- 25 Dumfries & Galloway Community Health NHS Trust was

- formed and it took over the management of Crichton Royal
 Hospital.
- In July of the same year, the majority of the

 Crichton estate was transferred to Dumfries and Galloway

 Council. NHS Dumfries & Galloway was formed in 2004,

 with the abolition of NHS trusts, and Crichton Royal

 Hospital officially closed in November 2011. At that

 time, the last patients were moved to a new mental
- 9 health hospital at Midpark, located across the road from 10 the Crichton estate.

- The board today. It is relevant at this point to note that the board no longer provides any residential care in its establishments for children and young people, such as those which are the focus of the present case study.
- During the relevant period, the hospital regarded itself as a centre of pioneering excellence and innovation. Sadly, it is now clear that not every child received that quality of care and treatment within the Crichton Royal Hospital during the period under consideration.
- Some children had an experience which, even judged by the standards of the time, was unacceptable. This is a matter of considerable regret for the board. The Inquiry will hear more details in due course.

The children who were placed into residential care of the type provided by the board in Dumfries were amongst the most vulnerable in Scotland. Often the care of these children was challenging. That cannot possibly provide an excuse. The board does not intend it to be regarded as such. Rather, the board considers that the only way to look after vulnerable children appropriately is by caring for them with compassion and understanding.

Children in the establishments for which the board was responsible were often victims of trauma prior to entering those establishments. Those caring for them ought to have understood the behaviours such trauma might induce and how to respond appropriately. That, as your Ladyship well knows, is often referred to as a trauma-informed approach to caring for children.

Instead, it now appears that some of the children cared for by the board encountered staff who were either unable to care for them appropriately or, even worse, took advantage of their vulnerabilities.

The abuse noted particularly in both Ladyfield units is deeply troubling. The board stands ready to assist Police Scotland and the Crown Office in any ongoing or future criminal investigations which may arise.

Forth Valley Health Board. So far as Forth Valley Health Board is concerned, the Inquiry will be

- considering a single establishment, the Royal Scottish

 National Hospital in Larbert. The Royal Scottish

 National Hospital opened in May 1863. The hospital was

 one of the first institutions in Scotland to care for

 children with learning disabilities. It took patients

 from across the country. The success of the institution
- 8 patients from 43 in 1863 to 120 in 1881, increasing to
 9 350 in 1911.

was seen in the steady increase in the number of

The facilities at the hospital also expanded to accommodate this growing patient population. Further expansion followed in the 1920s and, in 1948, it, too, became part of the National Health Service, ending the charitable status it had held since its foundation. The hospital finally closed in 2002. The site was re-used for the new Forth Valley Royal Hospital, which opened in 2010.

The board today. Like Dumfries & Galloway, it must be pointed out that since the closure of Royal Scottish National Hospital in 2002, Forth Valley Health Board no longer provides any residential care in establishments for children and young people, such as those which are the focus of the present case study.

On behalf of Forth Valley Health Board, it is again accepted that not every child received the care and

treatment within the Royal Scottish National Hospital as they ought to have been entitled to expect.

Again, some children had experiences which, even according to the standards of the time, were unacceptable. Again, that this occurred is a matter of considerable regret for the board.

As with Dumfries & Galloway, Forth Valley Health
Board offers no defence to any abuse on the basis that
these children were extremely complex care needs. Forth
Valley Health Board is appalled to learn about the
allegations, especially those involving criminal
behaviour by its staff, regarding the care provided to
children at the Royal Scottish National Hospital. It,
too, stands ready to assist Police Scotland and the
Crown Office in any ongoing or future criminal
investigations which may arise as a result.

The final health board represented at the Inquiry is Greater Glasgow Health Board. So far as it is concerned, the Inquiry will be considering a further single establishment, Lennox Castle Hospital.

Lennox Castle Hospital was built between about 1837 and 1841. In 1927, the castle and its land were purchased by the local authority, Glasgow Corporation, and converted into a hospital for people with learning disabilities.

The hospital itself opened in 1936. The castle was
the nurses' home, while its grounds provided
accommodation for about 1,200 patients. The hospital
was absorbed into the NHS in 1948. It was predominantly
an adult facility, although there were two wards known
as Adair and Leslie Cox, which admitted under
16-year-olds, which were a very small proportion of the
overall hospital population.

The hospital closed in 2002. Its closure reflected changes to the way in which society, especially professional physicians and carers, treated patients with additional support needs. During the 1990s, there was a national move away from institutional care towards patients being supported in the community.

Greater Glasgow Health Board continues, to a limited extent, to provide residential care in establishments for children and young people. For that reason, Greater Glasgow differs from the other two boards.

There are two facilities for the care and treatment of children and adolescents with severe mental health conditions requiring inpatient treatment. These have been delegated under the Public Bodies (Joint Working) (Scotland) Act 2014, to the East Dunbartonshire Health and Social Care Partnership managed through the East Dunbartonshire Integration Joint Board.

While the focus of this Inquiry is on the abuse of children in care up to December 2014, the board is aware that the Inquiry is taking an interest in Skye House, an adolescent inpatient unit and ward for a children's inpatient unit. This is further to the recent BBC Scotland Disclosure programme regarding previous care and treatment of some children at Skye House in Glasgow. The recent complaints made in relation to Skye House have already been the subject of an unreserved apology by the board, with both an internal and an external review ongoing.

As with the other two health boards, Greater Glasgow Health Board offers no defence to any abuse on the basis that the children had extremely complex care needs. It, too, stands ready to assist Police Scotland and the Crown Office in any ongoing or future criminal investigations which may arise as a result of the Inquiry's investigations and recommendations.

The approach to the Inquiry. On behalf of the three boards, I wish to apologise unreservedly to all of those who, as children, were abused within the various hospitals for which the three boards were and are responsible. What happened to you was unacceptable. At the material time, the boards should have done more to protect you. The boards are sorry.

Against that background, I make the following two
additional points:

First, the boards see their primary role as being to assist the Inquiry. The boards have already reviewed their initial Section 21 responses and have completed a number of addendum responses.

The assistance of the boards will continue throughout this case study. The Inquiry will shortly be hearing from senior figures, that's the Chief Executive of Dumfries & Galloway, and the Medical Director of Forth Valley, and the Medical Director of Greater Glasgow, who will all attend Mint House to give their evidence.

Secondly, the purpose of the boards' participation in this Inquiry is not to challenge the accounts of applicants. The Inquiry's terms of reference are understood by the boards. In particular, the boards understand that within the Inquiry's remit is the need to consider both the abuse suffered by the children and whether there were any systemic failures leading to that abuse. The boards also understand that they are not here to paint an alternative account of residential care for children such as those which are the focus of this case study.

Instead, the boards are here to listen to the

- 1 accounts of applicants who were abused while under their
- 2 care. Where it might assist the Inquiry, and only in
- 3 such circumstances, any factual questions will be
- 4 referred to Inquiry counsel who can consider how best to
- 5 proceed.
- 6 Therefore, in conclusion, my Lady, the boards will
- 7 listen carefully to all the evidence. The boards are
- 8 committed to doing all that they can to assist the
- 9 Inquiry in this important work.
- 10 One abused child is one too many.
- 11 LADY SMITH: Well said, Mr Davidson. Thank you for that.
- 12 I would now like to turn to Fife Council, please.
- 13 Ms Jack, I think you're here for Fife; is that right?
- 14 MS JACK: I am. Thank you, my Lady.
- 15 Opening submissions by MS JACK
- 16 MS JACK: Fife Council are grateful for having been granted
- 17 leave to appear at this Inquiry.
- 18 Fife Council was constituted by the Local Government
- 19 (Scotland) Act 1994 and is a statutory successor to Fife
- 20 Regional Council and before that, Fife County Council.
- 21 One of the functions of Fife Council is the provision
- 22 within its local authority area of residential care for
- 23 children, including residential care in establishments
- 24 for children and young people with long-term healthcare
- 25 needs, additional support needs and disabilities.

Fife County Council held that responsibility between 1 2 1930 and 1975, with Fife Regional Council taking over that responsibility between 1975 and 1996. Then 3 Fife Council, in its current form, taking over 5 responsibility from that date. Throughout the history of Fife Council and its 7 statutory predecessors, approximately 34 residential homes and schools were operated by Fife Council. From 8 the mid-1990s, children's homes in Fife closed as part 9 10 of a strategic plan to maintain more young people at 11 home and in foster care. 12 The remaining units, as they were called, were moved out from a large multi-unit children's centre into the 13 14 community as smaller four and five bedroom community-based houses supplemented by the use of 15 16 purchased private provision. This Inquiry has identified three establishments run 17 18 by Fife Council and/or its statutory predecessors for investigation. Those are Linwood Hall, Melville House 19 and Ovenstone School. 20 Linwood Hall opened in 1974. Ovenstone School and 21 Melville House respectively operated as residential 22

Linwood Hall opened in 1974. Ovenstone School and Melville House respectively operated as residential schools from the mid-1960s and 1970s. All three closed in 1998, following the council accepting the recommendations of an independent review and changing

23

24

25

- 1 its policy to provision of community-based care for
- 2 children. Staff were redeployed into other duties
- 3 within the council at that time.
- 4 Fife Council's Social Work Children and Families
- 5 Department continue to provide residential care for
- 6 children to the current day. There are currently four
- 7 residential houses in Fife which have a capacity of
- 8 18 beds.
- 9 Fife Council's Education Service is no longer
- 10 involved in the provision of residential care for
- 11 children following the closure of Linwood Hall,
- 12 Melville House, Ovenstone School and other
- 13 residential --
- 14 LADY SMITH: Just to check that: four in total now?
- 15 MS JACK: That's my understanding, my Lady.
- 16 LADY SMITH: Down from what was once 34?
- 17 MS JACK: Indeed. With a significant move to foster care as
- 18 the primary provision.
- 19 LADY SMITH: Yes, we covered that to some extent during the
- 20 Foster Care case study of course.
- 21 MS JACK: Indeed.
- 22 LADY SMITH: Yes, thank you.
- 23 MS JACK: Indeed.
- 24 Additionally, Fife Council's Social Work Service has
- 25 additional capacity to provide support and respite to

children in crisis and regular respite is also offered to up to 53 children affected by disability with a maximum of three children in each of the council's establishments at any one time.

Fife Council has responded in detail to the Section 21 notices for this part of the hearing, providing parts A, B and, later, parts C and D responses, for each notice, and submitting extensive documentation with a view to assisting the Inquiry and its work.

The council has also responded to requirements to produce records relating to named children and staff.

The council's core team includes its archivist and its records manager, as well as colleagues in its legal, social work and education teams. It's intended that two witnesses from the council's senior leadership team will give evidence during the course of this part of the Inquiry's investigations. Those witnesses are James Ross and Maria Lloyd.

James Ross is Fife Council's Head of Service for Children, Families and Justice. He has held that role since May 2024. However, prior to taking up this role, Mr Ross had worked in the council's Children and Families Team between 2006 and May 2023 beginning his career in youth work services and holding a variety of

- social work roles, including residential, child
- 2 protection and permanent placement work, working
- 3 directly with children, families and communities, as
- 4 well as operational and strategic management.
- 5 Mr Ross has also contributed to the council's work
- 6 in the Historic Child Abuse Redress Scheme, which
- 7 includes supporting those accessing their records and,
- 8 through the adoption team, supporting individuals to
- 9 reconnect with their families.
- 10 In the outline submission that I've handed up prior
- 11 to this hearing, I've listed a range of responsibilities
- 12 that Mr Ross has. I won't list them all but the Inquiry
- has a note and his responsibilities include statutory
- 14 duties and responsibilities as Chief Social Work Officer
- 15 and for Children, Families and Social Work Services,
- 16 including residential care provision.
- 17 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 18 MS JACK: Maria Lloyd is the Head of Service for
- 19 Fife Council's Education and Children's Services. She
- 20 has held this role since 2019. Again, I've listed the
- 21 various responsibilities that fall within her remit and
- I won't go through them all, but would simply mention
- 23 that she is lead officer in education for child
- 24 protection, including adult allegations for staff.
- On behalf of Fife Council, Mr Ross and Ms Lloyd are

1 anxious to assist the Inquiry to the best of their 2 abilities and also to learn from all of those giving evidence to the Inquiry as part of this investigation.

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Fife Council is committed to delivering the highest standard of care, support and education to children and young people in line with best practice legislation and national guidance. As part of Fife Council's commitment to continuous improvement, Mr Ross and Ms Lloyd will welcome, in due course, the Inquiry's report following the conclusion of its investigations and will work with their colleagues to ensure that lessons learned are fully considered and acted upon throughout their service areas.

Fife Council wishes to pay tribute to and offer its sincere apology to those who suffered abuse as children within establishments run by Fife Council and its statutory predecessors.

The council accepts and wishes to acknowledge the failure of systems to prevent abuse at each of the three Fife Council establishments that are being examined as part of this Inquiry.

Those establishments no longer exist and the way in which services were provided by those establishments has clearly changed significantly since their closure in 1998. However, the experiences of individuals and the

- 1 trauma connected with those experiences persist to this
- 2 day.
- 3 The council recognises that there is still much that
- 4 can be learned from the investigations that this Inquiry
- 5 is undertaking and wishes to acknowledge at the outset
- 6 the contributions that will be made during the course of
- 7 these hearings from those who experienced abuse in the
- 8 establishments concerned.
- 9 In concluding, Fife Council wishes to thank the
- 10 Inquiry for giving it an opportunity to provide evidence
- 11 and assist it with its important work during the course
- 12 of this case study.
- 13 LADY SMITH: Thank you very much, Ms Jack.
- 14 Now, next I would like to turn to representation for
- 15 the Daughters of Charity of St Vincent de Paul and
- 16 I think, Mr Rolfe, that is you.
- 17 Opening submissions by MR ROLFE
- 18 MR ROLFE: I'm much obliged, my Lady. Yes, I'm
- 19 Gregor Rolfe. I'm an advocate instructed on behalf of
- 20 the Daughters of Charity of St Vincent de Paul.
- 21 The Daughters of Charity would like to thank my Lady
- for the opportunity to make these opening submissions.
- 23 My Lady will no doubt recall that the Inquiry heard
- 24 evidence in relation to the Daughters of Charity and
- 25 their role in caring for children in Scotland during

1 Phase 1, Case Study 1.

That phase of the Inquiry focused primarily on

Smyllum Park Orphanage in Lanark and Bellevue Children's

Home in Rutherglen, both having been operated by the

Daughters of Charity.

At the conclusion of that case study, my Lady found that, amongst other things, for many children who were in Smyllum and Bellevue, the homes were places of fear, coercive control, threat, excessive discipline and emotional, physical and sexual abuse, where they found no love, no compassion, no dignity and no comfort.

The Daughters of Charity do not shy away from my Lady's findings. They welcome the opportunity to engage with the Inquiry's investigations into establishments operated by the Daughters of Charity, which provided care for children and young people with long-term healthcare needs, additional support needs and disabilities.

The Daughters of Charity, my Lady, will participate in blocks 1 and 4. In block 1, the Inquiry investigates St Joseph's Hospital, Rosewell. St Joseph's was run by the Daughters of Charity from 1924 until 1999.

The Daughters opened St Joseph's initially for the support and care of children with profound learning disabilities, who often also suffered from physical

disabilities. Children were referred to St Joseph's
from a number of health boards throughout Scotland. By
the early 1930s, St Joseph's was, while continuing to
care for children with learning disabilities, also
providing care and support to adults.

From 1942, St Joseph's was established as a training school for nurses, approved by the General Nursing Council of Scotland. The nursing school was open to anyone in Scotland wishing to train in the field of learning disability nursing.

By the late 1970s, local authorities began to place younger children with learning disabilities in schools nearer to their own homes. Over the following decades, the number of children in St Joseph's reduced in number, as those who had been admitted as children reached adulthood, with fewer young children newly admitted.

From the early 1990s, St Joseph's increasingly supported adults with learning disabilities in smaller care homes in a community setting. Records identify that the last child cared for at St Joseph's reached the age of 18 in 1997. From that date forward, my Lady, St Joseph's provided care and support to adults only.

The St Joseph's Hospital building itself, locally known as the 'Big House', was eventually closed in 1999, although the number of smaller community homes supported

- by St Joseph's continue to increase, providing care to
 adults only.
- 3 Since 2015, that service has been managed by a newly
 4 formed independent charity, rather than by the Daughters
 5 of Charity themselves.

In block 4, the Inquiry will be looking into

St Vincent's School, Tollcross, in Glasgow. The

Daughters of Charity had a role in the care of children

at the school between 1911 and 1985. The Daughters of

Charity constructed St Vincent's as a boarding school,

having noted a need in the west of Scotland for the

education of children who were deaf, blind or visually

impaired. There were several such children resident at

the Smyllum Park Orphanage. The first cohort of pupils

transferred there from Smyllum, although admission was

open to other children with similar needs.

In 1925, the school came under the control of the Education Board and the property was extended utilising funds provided by the Daughters of Charity, who continued to staff the residential block for boarders.

Glasgow Education Authority further extended the school in 1965 to meet the increasing need for education of Catholic deaf and blind children in Glasgow and surrounding areas.

The Daughters of Charity withdrew from St Vincent's

- in 1985 when the residential block was demolished, as the school had become a day school only.
- The Inquiry has further detail on the Daughters of

 Charity and their involvement with St Joseph's and

 St Vincent's within their written response to the

6 Section 21 notice.

My Lady, the Daughters of Charity wish to use this opening submission as an opportunity to apologise to anyone that is a survivor of abuse, directly or indirectly, at any establishment where members of their community were involved, including St Joseph's or St Vincent's.

The abuse of children in any form is contrary to the values of the Daughters of Charity, namely compassion, respect, love, forgiveness, justice and dignity.

Since the Inquiry's inception, it has been demonstrated that abuse of children took place within establishments for which the Daughters of Charity were responsible. That such abuse could and did take place was and is diametrically opposed to the core values of the Daughters of Charity.

For many survivors and their families, the devastating impact of that abuse persists. The Daughters of Charity of St Vincent de Paul offer their deepest and sincerest apologies to each and every

- 1 individual that experienced abuse whilst under their
- 2 care.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- The Daughters of Charity recognise the long-term and debilitating effects of childhood abuse, both on
- 5 survivors and on those close to them.

been locked away for decades.

- The Daughters of Charity commend the courage of all
 of the applicants that have come forward throughout each
 phase of this Inquiry. They recognise that
 participation in this Inquiry requires each applicant to
 relive traumatic experiences that in many instances have
 - Each applicant exposes themselves to retraumatisation via the retelling of their story to the Inquiry. For the pain endured and that continues to be endured by survivors of abuse at their establishments, the Daughters of Charity are truly and sincerely sorry.
 - The Daughters of Charity have fully supported, and will continue to fully support, the work of my Lady, as Inquiry Chair, and her team. They will offer all assistance within their power to allow a full investigation to be carried out with a view to examining the experiences of the past and ensuring that the abuse of children is eradicated in Scotland.
- The Daughters of Charity maintain their commitment to working to put right the wrongs that occurred. The

- Daughters of Charity strive to respond to anyone who has
 survived abuse and are open to exploring new ways of
 offering pastoral, emotional or practical support. To
 date, emotional and practical help has been provided via
 phone calls, visits and email communication. Wherever
 it has been made known to the Daughters of Charity that
 such emotional, psychological or practical support would
 be welcome, that has been provided.
 - Such support has been and will continue to be provided on a confidential basis. The Daughters of Charity earnestly invite any further survivors who would welcome such support to make contact with them. They are resolutely committed to listening with compassion, responding with gentleness and cordiality, and to respecting the wishes of survivors.
 - In closing, the Daughters of Charity reiterate their deep and sincere apology to all survivors and those close to them who have dealt and continue to deal with the enduring suffering caused by abuse under their care.

 I'm much obliged, my Lady.
- 21 LADY SMITH: Thank you very much. Thank you.

When you are ready.

Can I turn now to representation for the
Good Shepherd Sisters? And I think that's Ms Flanagan.

- 1 Opening submissions by MS FLANAGAN 2 MS FLANAGAN: Thank you, my Lady. Thank you for the opportunity to make this opening 3 statement. I appear on behalf of the congregation of 5 Our Lady of Charity of the Good Shepherd, commonly known as the Good Shepherd Sisters. 7 While this order was formed in June 2014, following the amalgamation of the Order of Our Lady of Charity and the Order of Our Lady of Charity of the Good Shepherd, 9 it can trace its origins back to 1641 in France, when 10 11 the Order of Our Lady of Charity was formed. The Order 12 of Our Lady of Charity of the Good Shepherd was founded by Sister Mary Euphrasia Pelletier in 1835. 13 14 On behalf of the Good Shepherd Sisters, the Order is grateful for the opportunity to participate in the 15 16 Inquiry's ongoing work and seeks to re-emphasise its commitment to assisting the Inquiry in any way that it 17 18 can. The Order's interest in this phase of the Inquiry's 19 work relates to Woodfield Ladymary School in Colinton, 20 21 in Edinburgh. 22 The Order's involvement in that school was between
 - to this case study, which have been duly provided to the

1967 and 1978. The Order was served with Section 21

notices requesting responses and documentation relating

23

24

25

Inquiry. The Order has also provided an updated
Section 21 response to the Inquiry.

The Order has the greatest sympathies for survivors who have suffered from abuse and accepts that abuse took place at Woodfield Ladymary School between 1967 and 1978. As the Inquiry is already aware, in 2017 and 2022, a former staff member at the school, Mr Brian Dailey, was convicted of numerous charges of physical and sexual abuse of children in care at the school.

The Order accepts that there will be other survivors who have not yet come forward, whether to the criminal justice process or to the Inquiry itself. The Good Shepherd Sisters acknowledge the suffering, trauma and the pain that all survivors have experienced.

The Order apologises to all those who suffered harm as a result of their time spent in the care of the Sisters at Woodfield Ladymary School. The abuse of children goes against everything that the Order stands for.

From the information sought by the Inquiry and with the information released to parties by the Inquiry, it appears to those instructing me that the Good Shepherd Sisters have an interest in the testimonies to be offered in this case study. The Good Shepherd Sisters therefore consider it appropriate that they exercise

- 1 their leave to appear in this case study.
- 2 On behalf of the Good Shepherd Sisters, I conclude
- 3 by reiterating their appreciation for the opportunity to
- 4 participate in this Inquiry and their hope and desire
- 5 that it will go towards providing survivors with the
- 6 closure that they seek.
- 7 The Good Shepherd Sisters have previously made clear
- 8 to the Inquiry that they deplore abuse of children in
- 9 any form and they're happy to assist the Inquiry in any
- 10 way required of them. The Sisters wish to reiterate
- 11 that position here today.
- 12 Unless I can assist your Ladyship further, that
- 13 concludes the opening statement for the Good Shepherd
- 14 Sisters.
- 15 LADY SMITH: That's all. Thank you very much, Ms Flanagan.
- Now, I would like to turn to the Archdiocese of
- 17 St Andrew's in Edinburgh. That is you, Mr Blair, is
- 18 that right?
- 19 Opening submissions by MR BLAIR
- 20 MR BLAIR: Thank you, my Lady. I represent the Archdiocese
- of St Andrew's in Edinburgh this afternoon. It is
- 22 pleased to be able to make a short opening statement.
- 23 The Archdiocese traces its history back to the
- founding of the diocese in St Andrew's in the 14th
- 25 century and, following the reformation, the Archdiocese

- was re-established in 1878. The present Archbishop is
- 2 the Most Reverend Leo Cushley, the 56th Bishop of
- 3 St Andrew's in Edinburgh. The Archdiocese of today has,
- 4 as its main purposes, the service of the 130,000
- 5 Catholics in its geographical area, which is bounded by
- 6 Dunbartonshire to the west, the Borders to the south,
- 7 Fife to the east, and Stirling to the north.
- 8 It does this through the advancement of the
- 9 Christian religion, through Catholic education and by
- 10 seeking to alleviate poverty in the community.
- 11 The Archdiocese works together with the See of Rome
- 12 and its Bishop, currently sede vacante following the
- 13 death of Pope Francis.
- 14 My Lady, the Archdiocese was granted leave to appear
- in this phase on 9 April this year in relation to its
- 16 connections to the establishments at St Joseph's,
- 17 Rosewell; Ladymary's, Colinton; and St Mary's,
- 18 Balnakeil. The decision to apply was taken following
- 19 the Archdiocese's substantive involvement in Phase 8 of
- 20 the Inquiry in respect of St Joseph's, Tranent, and St
- 21 John Bosco's, Aberdour.
- 22 In anticipation of this involvement, the Archdiocese
- 23 considered it would be appropriate to carry out a review
- of records held by the Scottish Catholic Archives on its
- 25 behalf in respect of the institutions named in Phase 9

- 1 which were located within its Archdiocese. Records show
- 2 it had a connection to the three establishments named,
- 3 which were all operated and managed by autonomous
- 4 religious orders in conjunction with local authorities
- 5 and regional health boards.
- 6 Whilst the Archdiocese was not involved in the
- 7 management, running or day-to-day care of the residents
- 8 at the three establishments, it acknowledges that it did
- 9 have a connection to them through the religious orders
- 10 that operated them and holds records which may assist
- 11 the Inquiry and the representatives of the Archdiocese
- 12 will be liaising with the Inquiry legal team regarding
- 13 the provision of these documents in early course,
- 14 my Lady.
- 15 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 16 MR BLAIR: Taking each establishment in turn, I'll summarise
- 17 very briefly what the records do tell us about the
- 18 Archdiocese's connection with these establishments.
- 19 Firstly, in terms of St Joseph's, Rosewell, the
- 20 Archdiocese is aware that it opened around 1924, was
- 21 operated by the Daughters of Charity of St Vincent de
- 22 Paul. Representatives of the Archdiocese have reviewed
- 23 documents which indicate that in the 1930s, the
- 24 Archbishop commissioned a series of independent
- 25 inspections by the Diocesan Visiting Committee to visit

1 St Joseph's.

The committee prepared three reports which highlighted the extremely poor conditions of the homes in the 1930s. The precise jurisdiction being exercised by the Archbishop in relation to these inspections is not clear from the documents that have been so far considered but that issue is the subject of further research at the hands of the Archdiocese in respect of its involvement with St Joseph's.

Following the intervention and in light of the findings of the report, the Daughters of Charity implemented positive changes within the home. This is the most substantive involvement that the Archdiocese has had with St Joseph's according to the records reviewed. Following the NHS Act 1947, the Archdiocese was kept updated as regards negotiations regarding the future of St Joseph's Hospital with the regional health board and local authorities.

The Archbishop would from time to time be informed of staff changes amongst the Daughters of Charity and invited to attend events in a pastoral capacity or as a matter of courtesy.

The Archbishop was responsible for appointing the local chaplain. In the 1990s, there are records which indicate that parishioners would ask the Archbishop to

approach the Daughters of Charity on their behalf to
request for their children to be placed at St Joseph's.

Whilst the Archbishop would pass on those requests, any
referral would require to be signed off by the regional

health board.

- The final records indicate that prior to St Joseph's closure in 1992, parishioners also contacted the Archbishop at the time asking if he would be able to intervene to allow St Joseph's to remain open.
- So, my Lady, the Archdiocese notes that it did have
 a connection to St Joseph's, albeit not necessarily
 a formal role in its respect of the day-to-day
 operation.
 - With regards to the Ladymary's, Colinton, the
 Archdiocese notes that this was operated by the
 Good Shepherd Sisters. The Sisters were not subject to
 the hierarchy of the Archdiocese for its internal
 workings and had a degree of autonomy in the way it
 provided care for children in Scotland. It's noted that
 the Catholic children went to mass in the chapel each
 Sunday and the chaplain held services for the children
 and gave religious instruction, if required.
 - The documents reviewed from the archives suggest that the Archbishop, again, was, from time to time, told of changes to the headmaster of the school as a matter

of courtesy and that he would appoint the school chaplain.

Finally, in respect of St Mary's, Balnakeil, this was operated by the Poor Servants of the Mother of God.

The Poor Servants are a Roman Catholic Apostolic congregation, and, as such, are under the jurisdiction of Rome rather than the Bishop of any particular diocese.

They came to Scotland in 1948 at the invitation of the Archbishop McDonald of St Andrew's, in Edinburgh, who had approached the congregation to work in Scotland around 1921. This work was initially at St Teresa's, in Aberdour, before the Poor Servants moved to St Mary's.

From the review of the records from the Scottish Catholic Archives, we note that the Archbishop made visits to the Convent of St Mary's, including in the 1980s. And the records show the Archbishop would also liaise with the Mother Superior of St Mary's in respect of the provision of their chaplain, and the Archdiocese has provided the names of those who were appointed.

The Archdiocese has followed the works and findings of the Inquiry to date, in particular as it has related to abuse in the context of religious care and education. It has been shocked by the accounts given by witnesses in previous phases of the Inquiry and has sought to

- 1 reflect on its involvement with those institutions
- 2 considered in Phase 8.
- 3 The Archdiocese is most grateful for the opportunity
- 4 to appear in this new phase and for the opportunity to
- 5 make this opening statement and it wishes to assist the
- 6 Inquiry in any way it can in relation to its
- 7 investigations.
- 8 As a closing remark, my Lady, the Archdiocese wishes
- 9 to make it clear to the Inquiry that it takes
- 10 allegations of abuse extremely seriously. It is
- 11 committed to learning ways in which its own safeguarding
- 12 processes may be strengthened. The safeguarding adviser
- 13 for the Archdiocese is in attendance today and will
- 14 listen to any evidence relevant to the establishments
- 15 with which the Archdiocese has a connection.
- 16 Thank you, my Lady.
- 17 LADY SMITH: Mr Blair, you mentioned records and that there
- are records holding information that we don't yet have.
- 19 But you said you will be liaising with us regarding
- 20 provision of these documents; when?
- 21 MR BLAIR: My Lady, I consulted with my agents in the course
- 22 of last week and my understanding from that consultation
- 23 was that contact was to be made with those acting for
- 24 the Inquiry as soon as possible.
- 25 LADY SMITH: Obviously, now we're getting underway, the

- sooner we have these the better.
- 2 MR BLAIR: Indeed, my Lady. I'm sure those behind me will
- 3 hear that.
- 4 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 5 Very well, if I could now turn to Save the Children
- 6 UK and also the representation for Seamab, because it's
- 7 the same person. Mr Watson, you represent both,
- 8 don't you?
- 9 Opening submissions by MR WATSON
- 10 MR WATSON: Yes, thank you, my Lady.
- 11 My Lady, in the first instance in respect of
- 12 Save the Children UK, Save the Children's involvement in
- 13 this phase stems from their management and operation of
- 14 Harmeny School, in Edinburgh. Save the Children
- operated that school from 1958 until 1995.
- 16 This was in fact the only establishment they have
- 17 operated in Scotland, save for wartime evacuation
- 18 centres.
- 19 In the 1950s, Save the Children were concerned that
- 20 local authorities could not send children with
- 21 additional needs to appropriate schools. Instead they
- 22 were sending them to approved schools, which may not
- 23 have been appropriate or suited to their needs, as
- 24 your Ladyship has heard on previous occasions.
- 25 LADY SMITH: Yes.

- 1 MR WATSON: Harmeny was founded to address that issue. In
- 2 the language of the day, their counsel stated that the
- 3 intention, in 1955, was: to establish a residential
- 4 school for maladjusted children of both sexes, aged 5 to
- 5 12, of average and above average intelligence.
- 6 They were gifted Harmeny House in Balerno and
- 7 established the school there. Children were referred
- 8 from local authorities and the numbers fluctuated over
- 9 the years. In 1991, they commissioned an independent
- 10 review of the work being undertaken there under
- 11 Barbara Kahan.
- 12 That report led rather to several structural changes
- but, ultimately, to the recognition that the work being
- 14 undertaken there was incongruent with the rest of their
- 15 work. Save the Children therefore took the decision to
- 16 establish an independent charity, Harmeny Education
- 17 Trust Limited -- your Ladyship will hear from Mr Ross in
- 18 that respect -- and transferred the trust to them with
- 19 effect from 21 December 1995.
- 20 My Lady, Save the Children want to take this
- 21 opportunity to offer their sincere unreserved and
- 22 heartfelt apology and their regret to the children who
- 23 were harmed at Harmeny School.
- 24 They now know that children witnessed and
- 25 experienced serious abuse at the school. They now know

- that unacceptable practices took place. There were
 failures in safeguarding systems. Opportunities were
 created in which children could be and were harmed.
- This goes against everything that Save the Children stands for and should never have happened.
- My Lady, there is no time limit on their

 accountability to children and they are committed to

 playing their part in the effort, including this effort,

 to address historic child abuse in Scotland. They will

 continue to listen to experiences, take responsibility

 for and learn from these grave failings.

- As Save the Children have stated publicly before, they are committed to playing their part in the collective national effort to address historic child abuse. My Lady, I will have more to say about that at the close of Phase 9.
- There are, however, a number of practical consequences from Save the Children transferring the school to Harmeny Education Trust in 1995. First, their involvement ceased as from that date. Secondly, much of the record-keeping and archive material remained with the trust. We have responded wherever possible to requests for information and documents.
- Although Save the Children does not hold much material and hasn't for 30 years, they continue to work

closely with the trust. They have an information
sharing agreement in place, which sets out how they work
together as members of the Scottish Redress Scheme to
support former pupils to access records and also to

provide emotional and practical support.

The third consequence is that Save the Children does not have institutional knowledge of the running of the school. The knowledge they have comes from the limited records available to them.

All that said, my Lady, Save the Children has been keen from the start to contribute fully and to assist this Inquiry as far as possible. They have prepared detailed responses to the Section 21 notices served on them. They have reviewed those to bring them up to date and have submitted an addendum response to parts A to D.

Your Ladyship will hear in block 4 from Cat Carter,
Director of Safeguarding and, in addition, she or
a senior representative will be present in person or via
Webex for all the evidence of the relevant applicants.
Again, we'll take the opportunity to respond to that at
the close of this phase.

Ms Carter, the UK Director of Safeguarding,
Claire Telfer, Head of Scotland, and Bonike Bracewell,
General Counsel and Company Secretary are here today,
illustrative of their desire to engage with and hear

- directly from the Inquiry.
- 2 My Lady, Save the Children is committed to assisting
- 3 this Inquiry and would like to take this opportunity to
- 4 reiterate their desire to listen, to understand, to take
- 5 responsibility and to learn. They will be closely
- following this phase and will engage fully with
- 7 your Ladyship's recommendations in due course.
- 8 My Lady, that's all I propose to say for now, unless
- 9 I can assist any further at this stage.
- 10 LADY SMITH: Thank you very much.
- 11 MR WATSON: My Lady, the running order has
- 12 Harmeny Education Trust next. I'm happy to follow that
- or to speak on behalf of Seamab at this point.
- 14 LADY SMITH: I wonder if it would make sense, and you have
- 15 trailed him already, if I heard from Mr Ross on the
- 16 Harmeny Trust and then I'll come back to you on Seamab,
- 17 is that all right?
- 18 MR WATSON: Yes, I'm obliged, my Lady.
- 19 LADY SMITH: Mr Ross.
- 20 Opening submissions by MR ROSS
- 21 MR ROSS: Good afternoon, my Lady.
- 22 I appear on behalf of Harmeny Education Trust
- 23 Limited, which is the organisation currently responsible
- 24 for the operation and management of Harmeny School in
- 25 Balerno, Edinburgh.

As your Ladyship has just heard from Mr Watson, the trust took over the running of the school from the previous operator, Save the Children, in 1995. For simplicity and to avoid any artificial distinction between the trust and the school, I will simply refer to my client as 'Harmeny' in the remainder of this opening statement.

Today, Harmeny provides therapeutic residential care and education services for 26 children and young people from Scotland aged 5 to 18, who are placed there by local authorities. The school also offers a day education for 7 children.

The children who come to live at Harmeny, have suffered early years trauma, whether arising from familial neglect, parental substance use, mental health issues, domestic violence, poverty and disadvantage to name but several and some have been victims of child abuse.

Many of the children have experienced complete family breakdown or been in the care system from a young age, followed by multiple residential or foster placement breakdowns and exclusion from mainstream or specialist education.

As a result of these difficult circumstances, the children at Harmeny have complex additional support

needs, which demand a highly specialist approach to
their care and education. In delivering these services,
Harmeny provides therapeutic care and education, which
helps children to overcome and recover from their trauma
and adversity, discover the joy of learning and to lead
happy, fulfilling lives. Harmeny takes pride in being
one of Scotland's leading providers of care and
education of this very specialist type today.

My Lady, there are four overarching matters which Harmeny has asked me to briefly address in this opening statement today.

Firstly, Harmeny wishes to express its profound sorrow and sympathy to all those who have been the victims of child abuse at residential schools and care establishments in Scotland, which the work of this Inquiry has shone such a revealing light upon in its work to date. That includes, of course, those incidents of abuse sustained by children at Harmeny School, since it was established in 1958.

As I have said, Harmeny is a place where the traumatic impacts of previous childhood abuse and neglect are seen and felt every day in the children who are cared for there. For that reason, all those who work at Harmeny recognise and appreciate, from first-hand experience, the devastating consequences of

such abuse upon the lives of survivors and their family
members.

Secondly, Harmeny considers it important on this occasion and in this forum, to pay tribute to all of the survivors of child abuse who have come forward to share their experiences with this Inquiry and their extraordinary dignity and courage in doing so.

For its own part, Harmeny commits itself to ensuring that the learning gained from the testimony of survivors to this Inquiry and from the report and recommendations which your Ladyship will make in due course are taken on board and implemented in its approach to the care and protection of the children at the school.

Thirdly, an apology. Harmeny takes this opportunity at the outset of these hearings to publicly acknowledge and accept that acts of child abuse have been committed against children at Harmeny School during the period since 1995 when it first became responsible for the school. This has included incidents of physical and verbal abuse by members of staff upon children. In particular, Harmeny is aware that a number of such incidents have occurred in the context of what is referred to as physical interventions or restraint procedures. There have also been incidents of abuse between children at the school.

It is Harmeny's position that abusive conduct 1 2 against children of any kind is completely unacceptable and it goes against everything that Harmeny stands for. 3 It should not have happened. Harmeny, therefore, wishes 5 to express its deep contrition for any shortcomings on its own part, whether in failing to prevent such incidents from occurring or in failing to meet the high 7 standard of professional practice which is rightly 8 expected of it. To all those who have been affected by 9 10 child abuse at Harmeny School during this period, 11 Harmeny would like to say to you that it is sorry. 12 The strength and sincerity of this apology is, of course, for those to whom it is given to judge. 13 14 However, given that it is actions that ultimately matter more than words here, it may be appropriate for me to 15 16 mention two points briefly. First, in 2023, Harmeny committed to membership of 17 the Scottish Government's Redress Scheme. In its 18 acknowledgment letter upon joining the scheme, Harmeny 19 20 offered an unreserved apology to anyone who suffered 21 abuse or harm while in their care. 22 Harmeny also acknowledge that the harm suffered by 23 survivors of abuse cannot be undone. However, it is

Redress Scheme serves to affirm Harmeny's commitment to

hoped that, if nothing else, its membership of the

24

25

1 trying to make amends.

The second point is that the Inquiry will, I hope,

hear evidence in due course demonstrating the

organisation's real and sustained commitment to

continuous improvement in its systems for protection and

safeguarding of the children entrusted to its care

within its policies and procedures, as well as its

therapeutic practice framework which the organisation

calls the Harmeny Way.

Harmeny will listen carefully to and reflect upon all of the evidence which the Inquiry will hear in the coming weeks relating to the school and it may be that in light of that, I'm instructed to add to the terms of this apology, when I next address your Ladyship at the conclusion of these hearings.

Fourthly and finally, Harmeny would like to reaffirm its commitment to assisting the Inquiry, in whatever way it can, to fulfill its terms of reference during the course of these hearings. This Inquiry is a matter of utmost importance for the school.

Harmeny's overriding purpose in appearing at these hearings is to listen to and learn from the evidence, both in order to gain a better understanding of what may have gone wrong in the past and to help inform continued improvements in its current practice.

Harmeny is dedicated to providing the highest quality services and the safety and well-being of children in its care are central to its values, practice and ethos. In particular, and as the Inquiry will understand, the needs and vulnerabilities of the children who live and are taught at Harmeny mean that the issue of physical intervention or restraint arises for consideration as a necessary aspect of its core duty to keep the children safe from harm.

For Harmeny, the children's vulnerability only serves to underscore the importance of ensuring that such physical interventions are only ever carried out as a last resort to prevent harm in a protective and caring manner by staff fully trained in their use.

As would be expected, Harmeny has robust procedures and policies in place which underpin a culture based on the principle of avoiding physical interventions wherever possible. Nevertheless, and as the Inquiry may hear in due course, there have been relatively recent incidents at Harmeny which tend to highlight the fact that harmful aberrations from those principles can still occur, even within a well-developed child protection framework.

The questions which naturally arise such as: 'how did such incidents happen?', and: 'what more can be done

- 1 to prevent this?', are therefore matters which Harmeny
- 2 has been reflecting on from within its own recent
- 3 experience. The Inquiry's consideration of this issue
- 4 during this phase of hearings, including in the context
- 5 of its terms of reference relating to current child
- 6 protection systems, is therefore of particular interest
- 7 and relevance to Harmeny.
- 8 Finally, may I say that Harmeny's Chief Executive,
- 9 Gavin Calder, and Jennifer Scott, the chair of the
- 10 board, in addition to other trustees, plan to be in
- 11 attendance for those evidence sessions concerned with
- 12 events at the school, as well as other hearings of more
- 13 general relevance to the school's position.
- 14 Those are my opening submissions on behalf of
- 15 Harmeny, my Lady, unless I can be of any further
- 16 assistance at this stage?
- 17 LADY SMITH: No, I have no other questions. Thank you very
- 18 much, Mr Ross.
- 19 Mr Watson, if I can invite you now to address me on
- 20 Seamab.
- 21 Opening submissions by MR WATSON
- 22 MR WATSON: Thank you, my Lady.
- 23 I do indeed appear on behalf of Seamab and also
- 24 present this afternoon is Stuart Provan, Chief Executive
- 25 of Seamab.

My Lady, Seamab is a charity providing residential
care for children and young people from across Scotland
with a variety of complex and additional support needs.
They provide primary and secondary education, therapy
and specialist support in school and in a separate care
campus in Perth and Kinross.

Seamab's involvement in this phase of the Inquiry relates to earlier iterations of that provision of care. The charity has existed for several decades. It has gone through a number of changes to evolve into the charity that exists today.

The first iteration was Lendrick Muir School. That opened in 1962 as a school for secondary school pupils unable to cope with mainstream schooling. It opened on the site of a previous private school.

In 1988, the governors of Lendrick Muir established Seamab School as a primary school. The location of that school has moved on several occasions. In 1998, Lendrick Muir School was closed and the property sold. That reflected falling pupil numbers as more pupils were catered for in mainstream schooling.

Seamab began as a primary school and is now able to support children and young people aged from 5 to 18 across the full year, not simply term time.

My Lady, through the changes of site and management

- 1 and through the closure of Lendrick Muir, the Seamab of
- 2 today has limited records for earlier eras and no
- 3 institutional knowledge of the care then provided.
- 4 That has hampered their ability to give detailed
- 5 responses to some aspects of the Section 21 notices.
- 6 However, I'm particularly grateful to the Inquiry's
- 7 legal team for highlighting areas where further
- 8 information might be available and that has allowed
- 9 Seamab to prepare an addendum response covering some
- 10 additional matters.
- 11 LADY SMITH: Good.
- 12 MR WATSON: My Lady, through preparing for this phase and
- 13 reading the applicants' statements, the current leaders
- of Seamab have learned a lot about those earlier eras,
- 15 much of it distressing.
- Mr Provan will be attending the oral evidence to
- 17 hear in person from the applicants, to hear directly
- 18 from them about what they experienced. He will then
- 19 give evidence and respond on behalf of Seamab.
- 20 My Lady, Seamab will contribute as fully as possible
- 21 to this phase through their Section 21 response, through
- 22 Mr Provan's evidence, through responding to any other
- 23 queries they receive and, in due course, through closing
- 24 submissions.
- 25 However, at this stage, that is all I propose to

- 1 say, unless I can assist your Ladyship any further.
- 2 LADY SMITH: No, that is very clear. Thank you, Mr Watson.
- 3 Now, we're now just after 3.15 and I normally take
- 4 a very short break in the middle of the afternoon and
- 5 that will give the stenographers a breather, so I'll do
- 6 that now but we'll keep it short and then I'll return to
- 7 the remaining opening submissions. I think we still
- 8 have half a dozen or so to hear from after that. Thank
- 9 you.
- 10 (3.18 pm)
- 11 (A short break)
- 12 (3.29 pm)
- 13 LADY SMITH: I would like to turn to the Donaldson Trust
- 14 next and, Mr Batchelor, I gather you are here for them,
- 15 yes?
- 16 Opening submissions by MR BATCHELOR
- 17 MR BATCHELOR: Thank you, my Lady.
- I appear on behalf of the Donaldson Trust. The
- 19 Donaldson Trust was constituted in 1844 following the
- 20 bequest of James Donaldson of Broughton Hall.
- 21 Donaldson's School for Deaf Children was initially
- 22 established in 1850 and admitted its first pupils in
- 23 1851. Whilst originally it accepted both hearing and
- 24 deaf pupils, from approximately 1930 onwards, pupils
- 25 there were exclusively deaf. The principal aim of

1	Donaldson's School was to provide education to deaf
2	children. It also provided residential accommodation
3	and care until approximately 2016.
4	From its establishment until 2008, Donaldson's
5	School for Deaf Children was based at The Playfair
6	building, Wester Coates, Edinburgh and in 2008, the
7	school moved to new premises in Linlithgow.
8	The trust acknowledges that some children who
9	attended the school were abused. That abuse included

physical, sexual and emotional abuse.

There have been a number of police investigations and prosecutions relating to allegations of abuse at Donaldson's School in the past which the Inquiry will wish to consider.

A former principal of Donaldson's School was prosecuted for physical abuse of children in approximately 2000. Following a trial, the majority of charges against him were found not proven. Another member of staff

Some former pupils have also been prosecuted in the criminal courts. One pupil was convicted of sexual offences whilst at the establishment.

A significant number of other incidents have been reported since 2000 involving pupils physically

assaulting other pupils. When the previous Chief
Executive, Laura Watkins, joined the organisation in
2014, she raised a number of child protection and

4 safeguarding concerns with Education Scotland.

This led to a preliminary notice being served under Section 66C of the Education (Scotland) Act 1980. The concerns raised included that the behaviour of some children and young pupils was resulting in a high number of assaults of a physical, verbal and sexual nature.

The trust wishes to apologise to all pupils who suffered abuse as children whilst in their care.

The trust's assessment, my Lady, is that there were failures in the historic safeguarding systems during the period 1930 to 2014. Two specific points in time which the Inquiry may consider to be particularly significant have been identified.

The first occasion is in around 1998/1999, when significant failures were noted in an inspection report by the Edinburgh and Lothians Registration and Inspection Service.

The second occasion was the Section 66C notice which was served in 2014, as I've mentioned.

The trust has provided assistance to the Inquiry to date by framing detailed responses to Section 21 notices and through the provision of extensive documentation.

- 1 The governors and senior management team at the trust
- 2 are fully committed to assisting the Inquiry with its
- 3 work. Safeguarding of children is at the heart of what
- 4 they do. They hope to be able to assist the Inquiry
- 5 with its work, with a specific focus on the safeguarding
- of children with additional support needs.
- 7 LADY SMITH: Thank you very much, Mr Batchelor.
- 8 And next I would like to go to Sight Scotland. I
- 9 think that is you, Ms Loosemore, is it?
- 10 Opening submissions by MS LOOSEMORE
- 11 MS LOOSEMORE: Yes, thank you, my Lady.
- 12 I'm here to make opening submissions on behalf of
- 13 Sight Scotland in relation to the Royal Blind School.
- 14 Sight Scotland is a charity that has been dedicated
- 15 to providing services to people with visual impairments,
- for over two centuries, in one form or another. It is
- 17 currently the largest vision impairment organisation in
- 18 Scotland.
- 19 Sight Scotland fully supports the work of the
- 20 Inquiry and Pam Gaiter, Executive Support Manager, is
- 21 present today. Representatives intend to be present
- 22 whenever evidence is being given which concerns the
- 23 Royal Blind School.
- 24 Sight Scotland has fully cooperated with the Inquiry
- 25 to date and will continue to do so.

From its beginning, a main focus of the organisation
has been the provision of education and training to
children with visual impairments. Sight Scotland is
responsible for the Royal Blind School which has
operated in Edinburgh since 1875 and has provided, and
continues to provide, residential boarding facilities to
some of its students.

At its height in 1958, the school had 170 residential pupils. For a number of reasons, the numbers have declined since that time. Since 2000, there has been a presumption of mainstreaming, meaning that, wherever possible, children are educated in a local mainstream school, rather than a residential specialist school. I understand that, currently, this school has 17 residential pupils.

Sight Scotland is aware that there have been three past instances of pupils being convicted of serious criminal offences against other pupils.

David Penman was convicted in 2018 for offences dating from the late 1980s to the early 1990s, and two other pupils have been convicted of offences in the early 2000s.

Sight Scotland is deeply saddened by these crimes and wishes to express its heartfelt sorrow to the pupils who were the victims of these individuals.

1 Sight Scotland is also aware of a single allegation 2 of abuse by a now deceased staff member, dating to the 1970s. That matter continues to be investigated. 3 The protection of all pupils is of prime importance 5 to Sight Scotland. The Royal Blind School has had child protection guidelines in place since 1997. This 7 procedure was most recently reviewed in 2024 and now goes under the title, 'Safeguarding Policy Document'. 8 Sight Scotland is here to listen and to learn, to 9 pay close attention to the experience of the former 10 11 pupils of the Royal Blind School, and to reflect on what 12 more can be done to prevent anything like these past occurrences from happening in the future. 13 14 I thank the Inquiry for this opportunity to provide submissions on behalf of Sight Scotland. 15 LADY SMITH: Thank you very much. 16 And next to the Lord Advocate and, Ms Lawrie, you 17 18 are here for the Lord Advocate. I'm ready to hear you when you're ready. 19 Opening submissions by MS LAWRIE 20 21 MS LAWRIE: My Lady, I'm grateful for the opportunity to 22 make this brief opening statement on behalf of the 23 Lord Advocate, which explains the Crown's interest in

As with previous case studies, the Lord Advocate's

the present phase of the Inquiry's investigations.

24

25

interest stems from her responsibilities as Head of the
Systems of Criminal Prosecution and the Investigation of
Deaths in Scotland.

The focus of the present case study is the abuse of children within healthcare establishments, and establishments caring for children with additional support needs and disabilities.

It's anticipated that as the chapters of evidence progress, the Inquiry may hear evidence about the past and the continuing involvement of the Crown in relation to the prosecution of offences committed against children at those establishments.

The Crown Office and Procurator Fiscal Service continues to strive to be a compassionate and trauma-informed service. In particular, the service is committed to continuous improvement and to delivering the quality of service the public rightly expects.

The important work of this Inquiry, and, in particular, the evidence of those applicants with criminal justice experience, has allowed, and will continue to allow, the Crown to carefully reflect and consider how that service and, in particular, its communication with survivors of abuse in residential care settings can be improved.

In conclusion, my Lady, may I once again repeat the

- 1 Lord Advocate's public commitment to supporting the
- 2 Inquiry's important work and to contributing positively
- 3 and constructively to it. Secondly, to learning from
- 4 the Inquiry's work in relation to the prosecution of
- 5 crime in the public interest and the investigation of
- 6 deaths in Scotland.
- 7 I'm grateful, my Lady.
- 8 LADY SMITH: Thank you very much.
- 9 And now to Police Scotland. Ms Whyte, I think
- 10 that's you. Whenever you're ready.
- 11 Opening submissions by MS WHYTE
- 12 MS WHYTE: It is. Thank you, my Lady.
- 13 My Lady, I am grateful for the opportunity to make
- 14 this opening statement on behalf of the Chief Constable
- 15 of the Police Service of Scotland.
- 16 Firstly, the Chief Constable wishes to express
- 17 sympathy to all survivors of childhood abuse, including
- 18 survivors who have experienced abuse within healthcare
- 19 establishments and establishments caring for children
- 20 with additional support needs and disabilities.
- 21 Police Scotland remains committed to delivering its
- 22 response to the Inquiry and ensuring that all relevant
- 23 information held is provided in compliance with the
- 24 terms of the notices issued under the Inquiries Act
- 25 2005.

This information includes policies, procedures and documents relating to investigations into the abuse and neglect of children in establishments falling under the Inquiry's remit.

With regard to this phase of the Inquiry's hearings,
Police Scotland has identified and provided all material
meeting the terms of the request from the Inquiry
relating to previous police investigations into the
abuse and neglect of children within healthcare
establishments, and establishments caring for children
with additional support needs and disabilities.

Police Scotland also wishes to inform the Inquiry that, in keeping with its continued commitment to non-recent investigations, it is currently conducting a number of investigations into non-recent child abuse within healthcare establishments and establishments caring for children with additional support needs and disabilities.

Police Scotland continues to build on its engagement with adult survivors of childhood abuse, seeking views and consulting with survivors, support services and statutory partners, to enhance public confidence and improve service provision to adult survivors.

Police Scotland recognises the importance of using organisational learning to ensure its staff have the

- 1 capabilities and skills required to effect continuous
- 2 improvement.
- 3 As such, Police Scotland will take into account any
- 4 good practice or areas of learning that may be
- 5 identified from this phase of the Inquiry hearings as
- 6 part of its commitment to developing and improving its
- 7 service provision.
- 8 In conclusion, my Lady, Police Scotland remains
- 9 committed to child protection, both locally, as a core
- 10 statutory child protection agency, and nationally, in
- 11 partnership with multi-agency and strategic leadership
- 12 groups, to implement continuous improvements and make
- 13 a positive contribution to protecting Scotland's
- 14 children, both now and in the future.
- 15 LADY SMITH: Thank you very much. Thank you, Ms Whyte.
- Next, the Care Inspectorate, please, and that
- 17 I think is you, Ms Toner.
- 18 Opening submissions by MS TONER
- 19 MS TONER: It is. Thank you, my Lady.
- 20 My Lady, I do indeed appear on behalf of the Care
- 21 Inspectorate, and the Care Inspectorate is grateful,
- 22 my Lady, for the opportunity to address the Inquiry at
- 23 this time.
- 24 The Care Inspectorate has, of course, been a core
- 25 participant in the Inquiry in earlier phases, my Lady,

and opening and closing statements have been made on its behalf at earlier hearings.

Inspectorate and its statutory predecessor, the Care
Commission, has been set out before the Inquiry in
evidence and in previous opening and closing statements.
So consequently, my Lady, the role of the Care
Inspectorate is no doubt well recognised by
your Ladyship. But it may be appropriate for me to say
something of the background to the work of the Care
Inspectorate in order to place in context the
organisation's participation in this new phase of the
Inquiry.

In essence, my Lady, the Care Commission was created in 2002 by the enactment of the Regulation of Care (Scotland) Act 2001, with its purpose being to carry out the regulation of care services in Scotland. Its creation, my Lady, was against a background of recognition that regulation of care services was at that time not carried out to any consistent or identifiable standard, with some services not being regulated at all.

The purpose of the Act, my Lady, and the creation of the Care Commission itself, as it was at that time, was to reform the regulatory system for care services in Scotland.

From April 2011, following further legislative

change, the Care Inspectorate was established as the

statutory successor to the Care Commission and the

Inspectorate now has the responsibility for regulation

of care services in Scotland.

The care services for which the inspector has regulatory responsibility are varied and include regulation of residential care in establishments for children and young people with long-term healthcare needs, additional support needs and disabilities.

In that capacity, my Lady, the Care Inspectorate has a clear interest in the matters being explored in Phase 9 of the Inquiry's work.

The work of the Inquiry in investigating the nature and extent of abuse of children whilst in care in Scotland is of the utmost gravity. Principal amongst the Inquiry's terms of reference is no doubt the consideration of whether further changes in practice, policy or legislation are necessary in order to protect children in care in Scotland from such abuse in the future.

It is appropriate, my Lady, that the regulatory framework within which the Care Inspectorate operates is considered against that background.

My Lady, the Care Inspectorate is committed to

- 1 maintaining and improving the standard and quality of
- 2 care delivered by the services for which it has
- 3 regulatory responsibility.
- 4 Further, my Lady, it is committed to assisting the
- 5 Inquiry, as it has done so far, and to acting upon any
- 6 lessons learned from the findings of the Inquiry in due
- 7 course.
- 8 The Care Inspectorate, my Lady, reiterates that it
- 9 will continue to assist the Inquiry in whatever way it
- 10 can in order for the Inquiry to fulfil its terms of
- 11 reference thoroughly and expeditiously.
- 12 Thank you, my Lady.
- 13 LADY SMITH: Thank you very much.
- 14 And finally, could I turn to Ms O'Neill for Scottish
- 15 Ministers?
- Opening submissions by MS O'NEILL
- 17 MS O'NEILL: Thank you, my Lady.
- 18 This opening statement is made on behalf of the
- 19 Scottish Ministers. As the Inquiry is aware, the
- 20 Scottish Ministers also represent, at this Inquiry,
- 21 those executive agencies which form part of the Scottish
- 22 Government and for which the Scottish Ministers are
- 23 directly responsible.
- In the context of this part of the Inquiry's work,
- 25 those agencies include Education Scotland, under whose

umbrella HM Inspectors of Education currently sit. The

Scottish Government is also responsible for the

Registrar of Independent Schools and the Registrar is

appointed by the Scottish Ministers and, of course, the

Scottish Government is also ultimately responsible for

the delivery of healthcare by the National Health

Service, in Scotland.

As your Ladyship is aware, Crown Office and
Procurator Fiscal Service is, of course, represented
separately.

So far as Scottish Ministers' interest in this part of the Inquiry's work is concerned, Ministers continue to have an interest in all aspects of the Inquiry's work and are represented throughout the hearings of evidence from applicants and from others.

Scottish Ministers have a range of policy interests in the way in which residential accommodation services are provided to children and young people with long-term healthcare needs, additional support needs and disabilities.

In the first place, Scottish Ministers are responsible for setting the legal framework for the provision of those services. In addition, they have an overarching policy interest in the way in which the services are provided.

In relation to healthcare, Scottish Ministers have
legislative and policy responsibility for the provision
of healthcare by the NHS in Scotland and for the
inspection of healthcare services, which inspection is
carried out by Healthcare Improvement Scotland and by
the Care Inspectorate.

If a hospital establishment is considered to be a school, it will be subject to inspections by His Majesty's Inspectors of Education. While responsibility for the provision of education to children and healthcare establishments does not rest directly with Scottish Ministers, the Scottish Government has produced guidance setting out the legislative and policy context, and providing advice on roles and responsibilities of local authorities, hospitals and other services in relation to children unable to attend school due to ill-health.

In relation to education, Education Scotland is an executive agency. It operates at arm's length while remaining directly accountable to Scottish Ministers.

As noted previously, it houses His Majesty's Inspectors of Education and the Registrar of Independent Schools.

Inspectors are responsible for inspecting educational provision within special schools, while the accommodation service in such schools is regulated and

inspected by the Care Inspectorate. That Inspectorate
liaises with the Registrar of Independent Schools in
respect of the registration and regulation of those
schools.

In relation to this phase of the Inquiry's work, the Scottish Government has received a number of Section 21 notices. Several of those related to the production of relevant records held by Scottish Government.

In addition, the Inquiry served a Section 21 notice requiring a report to be produced and submitted by Education Scotland to deal with various matters, including the nature and extent of Education Scotland's responsibility for safeguarding and child protection in Phase 9 establishments.

Its knowledge of the nature and extent of abuse of children, and of complaints and allegations of abuse in each of those establishments, and the nature and extent of problems or issues with educational attainment in Phase 9 establishments, and the steps or actions taken by Education Scotland or its predecessors to address such problems or issues.

A report in response to that Section 21 notice was produced on 11 March this year. In that report,

Education Scotland acknowledged that the records disclosed the abuse of children who were accommodated in

- at least some establishments under investigation by the Inquiry during the relevant period.
- Education Scotland also acknowledged that the

 available records are unlikely to provide a full and

 comprehensive account of the extent of abuse that took

 place.
- There are a number of reasons for that, including
 that it is unlikely that all abuse will have been
 reported, because children may have been discouraged
 from reporting incidents or not felt comfortable in
 doing so.

- It is also because the records indicate that in some establishments, there were periods of time when inspections by HM Inspectors do not appear to have been carried out, including because there was a lack of clarity as to which body or bodies had responsibility for inspections of hospital settings where there was some educational provision for the children resident there.
 - In the report, Education Scotland apologised to children and their families for failings in inspection regimes that contributed to the creation of environments that enabled the abuse of children to take place in those establishments.
- 25 The Inquiry will hear evidence from Janie McManus,

His Majesty's Interim Chief Inspector of Education, on

May. She will wish to expand on Education Scotland's

acknowledgment of abuse and to apologise in person for

the inadequacies of inspection regimes that contributed

to an environment that allowed abuse to take place.

For the avoidance of doubt, the Scottish Government also anticipates that in this phase of its work, the Inquiry will hear evidence that will point to failings in relation to legislation, policy and resourcing as contributing to an environment that allowed abuse to take place.

The Scottish Government will listen carefully to that evidence and will not hesitate to acknowledge and apologise for such failings.

The Scottish Government, my Lady, would wish to draw the Inquiry's attention to two current issues and ongoing work that is relevant to this phase.

Your Ladyship may recall that in the closing submissions in Phase 8, reference was made to the fact that the Scottish Government had published new guidance for schools on the use of physical intervention, restraint and seclusion. That was published, my Lady, in November of last year.

Since then, my Lady, a Bill has been introduced in the Scottish Parliament by Daniel Johnson MSP, that is

- 1 the Restraint and Seclusion in Schools (Scotland) Bill.
- 2 It is a Members' Bill rather than a Government Bill. It
- 3 was introduced in March of this year and would, among
- 4 other things, impose on Scottish Ministers a duty to
- 5 issue guidance about the use of restraint and seclusion.
- 6 Scottish Ministers are considering that Bill and
- 7 will engage with it during its parliamentary passage.
- 8 It remains, my Lady, at stage 1 at this point.
- 9 LADY SMITH: Thank you.
- 10 MS O'NEILL: My Lady, mention also has already been made, in
- 11 opening submissions, of the recent BBC Disclosure
- 12 programme focused on patient safety and care issues at
- 13 Skye House, adolescent mental health in-patient unit, in
- 14 Glasgow, between 2017 and 2023, and Scottish Government
- 15 understands that the Inquiry will have an interest in
- 16 those matters.
- 17 While long-term residential hospitals for children
- and young people with mental health needs,
- 19 neurodivergence or learning disability no longer exist
- 20 in Scotland, there are three regional adolescent mental
- 21 health inpatient units for young people aged 12 to 18,
- 22 and one child mental health inpatient unit for children
- 23 under 12.
- 24 These are short-term hospital settings to support
- 25 young people who are acutely mentally unwell. Only

a small minority of children and young people requiring mental health support are admitted to these units, while the vast majority of care is provided within community settings.

The allegations that have been made about Skye House relate to overuse of restraint, seclusion, sedation, nasal gastric feeding for children with extreme eating disorders, and unacceptable staff communication and behaviour.

As part of the Scottish Government response to these allegations, and to seek assurance about current standards of care and treatment, Ministers have asked NHS Healthcare Improvement Scotland, which is responsible for monitoring the quality of healthcare provided by the Health Service, and the Mental Welfare Commission, which is an independent body responsible for ensuring compliance with the Mental Health Act, to undertake a series of joint visits to all three adolescent mental health inpatient units in Scotland, as well as the National Child Inpatient Unit and to make recommendations for improvement of services and for future scrutiny and assurance arrangements.

My Lady, the Scottish Government and Education

Scotland want and need to understand the nature and

extent of the abuse suffered by the survivors who have

- 1 engaged with the Inquiry and how that abuse was able to
- 2 happen.
- 3 The evidence of survivors and others may lead the
- 4 Inquiry, in due course, to make recommendations about
- 5 the further regulation of provision for children and
- 6 young people with long-term healthcare needs, additional
- 7 support needs and disabilities.
- 8 The Scottish Government has a direct interest in
- 9 supporting those who were abused while in residential
- 10 accommodation for children and young people with those
- 11 needs and ensuring that they secure acknowledgment of
- 12 and accountability for the abuse that they experienced.
- 13 The Scottish Government will reflect on all evidence
- 14 given during these hearings, including evidence that may
- 15 relate to how the government has responded and continues
- 16 to respond to survivors of abuse.
- 17 Those are my submissions, my Lady.
- 18 LADY SMITH: Thank you very much, Ms O'Neill. And thank you
- 19 for the update on the Bill. We'll keep track of that.
- 20 Ms Innes, back to you.
- 21 MS INNES: Thank you, my Lady.
- 22 As I said earlier, I would retrospectively advise
- 23 the Inquiry what happened this morning in terms of two
- 24 videos which were played.
- 25 The first video which was played was an Open

- 1 University programme called Lennox Castle: A Hidden
- 2 History, in which Howard Mitchell, who is due to give
- 3 evidence to the Inquiry on Friday of this week, spoke to
- 4 two former residents and a staff member of
- 5 Lennox Castle.
- It's understood from Mr Mitchell's statement that
- 7 the interviews were conducted in about 1996.
- 8 Thereafter, a video was played called
- 9 Lennox Castle Stories, which was a project conducted by
- 10 C-Change, along with Project Ability in 2012.
- 11 This is spoken to by Samantha Smith, the Chief
- 12 Executive of C-Change, in her witness statement, which
- for reference is at WIT-1-000001458 and at
- 14 paragraphs 134 to 139 thereof.
- 15 She will also give evidence this Friday. But she
- 16 explains that the partnership with Project Ability was
- 17 to allow people to share their experiences in more
- 18 creative ways rather than simply through question and
- 19 answer.
- 20 At the end of the video, there were two short
- 21 excerpts of two other interviews, one which I think was
- 22 also conducted by Howard Mitchell and the other was
- 23 a short video from Enable Scotland, again with a former
- 24 resident of Lennox Castle.
- 25 All these videos are available online, on YouTube.

2	played for the Inquiry.
3	Tomorrow, we will begin hearing evidence. We will
4	begin with evidence from Dr Scott Davidson, Medical
5	Director at NHS Greater Glasgow & Clyde, followed by
6	Julie White, Chief Executive of NHS Dumfries & Galloway.
7	LADY SMITH: Thank you very much.
8	It's almost 4 o'clock, so I'm going to rise for
9	today and we'll sit again at 10 o'clock tomorrow morning
10	for the evidence to which Ms Innes has just referred.
11	Thank you all.
12	(3.58 pm)
13	(The Inquiry adjourned until 10.00 am
14	on Wednesday, 30 April 2025)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

They were simply patched together, so that they could be

1	INDEX							
2	Opening	submissions	bу	MS	INNES			
3	Opening	submissions	bу	MS	MCCALL			
4	Opening	submissions	bу	MR	DAVIDSON12			
5	Opening	submissions	bу	MS	JACK22			
6	Opening	submissions	bу	MR	ROLFE28			
7	Opening	submissions	ру	MS	FLANAGAN35			
8	Opening	submissions	by	MR	BLAIR			
9	Opening	submissions	bу	MR	WATSON44			
10	Opening	submissions	bу	MR	ROSS48			
11	Opening	submissions	bу	MR	WATSON55			
12	Opening	submissions	bу	MR	BATCHELOR58			
13	Opening	submissions	bу	MS	LOOSEMORE61			
14	Opening	submissions	bу	MS	LAWRIE63			
15	Opening	submissions	bу	MS	WHYTE65			
16	Opening	submissions	bу	MS	TONER67			
17	Opening	submissions	bу	MS	O'NEILL			
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								